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ABSTRACT

Carbon-in-pulp (CIP) technology is firmly entrenched in the mining sector due to numerous advances in the last few decades. CIP technology recovers complexed gold in solution from slurry streams by contacting the pulp with carbon and separating the two by screening. The carbon-in-leach (CIL) process, where the pulp contains free cyanide, is closely related to CIP. Both these processes, especially CIL, are complex and are governed by a number of interacting unit processes. The overall process efficiency is dependent on a large number of variables, making the process difficult to optimize.

This study uses simple adsorption and leaching rate equations in order to predict dynamic CIL/CIP plant performance under varying operating conditions. This will assist plant metallurgists to achieve optimum efficiency, highlight plant sensitivity to certain variables and will ultimately result in proactive process control. Various assumptions were made in order to keep the modeling considerations as simple and realistic as possible. The process was assumed to have linear kinetic and equilibrium operation. The process was simulated using Simulink in Matlab. Variables are solved on a time step basis by Matlab’s built in optimization algorithms.

Simulation results illustrated that the use of simple leaching and adsorption rate expressions are effective for investigating dynamic plant behavior under changing operating conditions.
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## NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;C&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Carbon loading</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;L&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Concentration of gold in liquid phase</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;S&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Concentration of gold in solid phase</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;p(e)&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Concentration of gold in ore at equilibrium</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;p(t)&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Concentration of gold in ore at time t</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F&lt;sub&gt;C&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Fraction of carbon in stage to be transferred</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>Height</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h&lt;sub&gt;0&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Initial height</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k&lt;sub&gt;A&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Adsorption rate constant</td>
<td>s&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K&lt;sub&gt;A&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Adsorption equilibrium constant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k&lt;sub&gt;L&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Leaching rate constant</td>
<td>s&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K&lt;sub&gt;L&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Leaching equilibrium constant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Liquid flow rate</td>
<td>t/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mass per stage (used with subscript)</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Solids flow rate</td>
<td>t/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Carbon transfer interval</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td>Volumetric flow rate</td>
<td>m³/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>m³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUBSCRIPTS

- in: Value entering stage
- out: Value leaving stage
- N: Referring to stage number
- C: Carbon
- CN⁻: Cyanide
- L: Liquid phase
- S: Solid phase
- OM: Other metals (Used only when excluding gold)

### ABBREVIATIONS

- CIC: Carbon-in-Column
- CIL: Carbon-in-Leach
- CIP: Carbon-in-Pulp
- OGR: Overall Gold Removal
Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1. Background

The CIP process is firmly established in gold producing countries all over the world. The carbon-in-pulp process recovers gold from solution by adsorbing gold onto activated carbon and separating the two by screening. Since its inception in the early 1960’s, the CIP process has undergone a lot of transformation and fine-tuning.

The CIP process is complex, since the overall efficiency is governed by numerous inter-dependant variables and processes. The large number of factors which dictate the dynamics of the system makes the process difficult to optimize and control.

1.2. Project Motivation

CIP technology is firmly entrenched in the mining sector due to numerous advances in the last few decades. Powerful computing techniques and software has made it possible for plant simulations to accurately predict real plant dynamics. This provides crucial insight during normal operation and the design stage.
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The large number of factors that dictate plant dynamics makes the process difficult to optimize and control. It therefore becomes necessary to establish simulation techniques whereby real plant behavior can be approximated, in a dynamic environment, without the use of complex models. Many models used today are limited in their applicability (van Deventer and Ross, 1991). Where a fundamental modeling approach is used, models often contain factors that are difficult to measure or interpret, making them impractical for use on a large scale.

In addition, some simulation packages that are available are difficult to implement and require extensive training before it can be used correctly. These packages are also limited in versatility. The user is not exposed to the construction or inner workings of the simulation. Hence, it is not possible to conduct a “what if” simulation on all the variables present.

The CIP section of a gold plant requires thorough understanding, as this section ultimately dictates dissolved gold losses. This project is aimed at providing additional understanding of the dynamic behavior of the CIP process. It is intended that this project will result in a flexible, user-friendly simulation of the process that can be used as a design aid.
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A dynamic simulation will enable operators and engineers to run start-up simulations, investigate control strategies, simulate periodic carbon transfers and investigate the addition of cyanide to any stage at any time (Simulation time). It is also possible to conduct investigations on the influence of any variable that is time dependant or stage-number dependant.

1.3. Objectives

The objective of this study is to evaluate the effectiveness and versatility of a dynamic CIP process simulation, using Simulink in Matlab. An empirical approach is taken based on simplicity. This leads to a powerful, uncomplicated solution that can be used by plant personnel to examine and predict the effect of disturbances on the dynamics of the system.

Rate and equilibrium parameters are calculated from plant data, in Simulink, by minimizing the error between actual and predicted outputs, using Matlab's built in search algorithms. Hence, the empirical model implicitly incorporates variables like oxygen addition. The flexibility of Simulink allows the user to look into the effects of a change in any parameter on plant performance, which must ultimately be maximized.
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The applicability of the simulation will be assessed quantitatively, by subjecting the system to variations in operating conditions.

1.4. Plan of Development

The literature review of this report will provide a brief description of the CIP and CIL process, plant operation and models that were used. The literature review includes a description of the solution methods utilized by Matlab.

The Methodology section encompasses the modeling and simulation considerations, simplifying assumptions and mass-balance equations. The reader is exposed to the Simulink environment and some of the most commonly used blocks. The method of simulation construction in Simulink is explained with the help of an unsteady-state tank example. The simulation architecture is explained in terms of layout, variable inputs and outputs, sub-system blocks and their flow-sheet layouts.

Simulation results are presented and compared to actual data. The effects of some disturbances to the system are investigated. These disturbances are countered in various ways.

Finally, relevant comments and recommendations are made.
2.1. The Carbon-in-Pulp Process

Carbon adsorption recovery includes the following branches: carbon-in-pulp (CIP), carbon-in-leach (CIL) and carbon-in-column (CIC). The CIL process is the result of combining adsorption and leaching into one circuit.

Filtration followed by zinc precipitation has been the method of recovery for more than 80 years. Since its inception in the 60’s, CIP processes have become the preferred method of gold recovery due to its simplicity, reduced capital cost, reduced operating cost and insensitivity to tonnage changes (Bailey, 1987).

The CIP method of recovery is generally used to treat low-grade ore feed. Granular activated carbon, made from wood, nut-shells, coal or coke, is passed counter-current to cyanide in adsorption contactors.

The CIP process most widely used in South Africa consists of the following major unit operations:

1) Pre-screening
2) Carbon adsorption
3) Carbon acid-washing and elution
4) Gold electrowinning or precipitation, and
5) Carbon reactivation
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The gold bearing ore is crushed, then finely ground to around 75 microns size, with water added to form a slurry or 'pulp'. The pulp is dosed with cyanide, and agitated through a series of tanks. In the process it leaches the gold from the ore particles into solution. This process is termed cyanidation. On completion of cyanidation the pregnant pulp is transferred to the CIP process. The pregnant pulp is passed progressively through a number of tanks in series (generally around 5 or 6) which are situated on a sloped surface. These are mechanically stirred, or air agitated. Granulated carbon is passed through the tanks counter-current to the flow of the pulp. The granulated carbon is of a significantly larger particle size than the ground ore particles. This allows the carbon to be pumped counter current to the pulp through the use of screens sized to allow the finely ground ore to flow through and the coarser carbon to be contained. As the carbon passes through the tanks (up the train) it collects increasing quantities of gold from solution, finally reaching its highest loading value in the first tank (Bailey, 1987). The loaded carbon is separated from the pulp in the final tank and transferred to the elution circuit. The barren pulp is dewatered, to recycle water and cyanide for reuse in the process, and the remainder, termed tailings, is transferred to the tailings dam. The tailings will also contain cyanide (Mintek, 2004)
The loaded carbon is treated in the elution circuit with a hot cyanide and caustic solution to remove the gold from the carbon. The barren carbon is transferred to the reactivation circuit and then reused in the CIP process. The cyanide and caustic solution is passed through an electrowinning circuit where the gold is transferred to steel wool (or alternatively, it can be precipitated using zinc dust). The barren cyanide and caustic solution is recycled to the elution circuit.
The gold plated steel wool is transferred to the smelting circuit to produce gold bullion (Mintek, 2004).

This project is primarily concerned with the adsorption stage of the process. The simulation as described in this project is set up as a CIP/CIL system (where the pulp contains cyanide). Hence, leaching and adsorption takes place simultaneously in each contacting stage. The efficiency of the pulp-carbon contact in the adsorption stage governs the recovery of soluble and adsorbed gold from the pulp. Gold is lost in the tailings pulp via fine carbon that carries gold. Apart from this, the undissolved gold in the pulp also results in losses. Gold locked up in carbon as a result of inefficient elution will result in decreased adsorption kinetics.

This operation usually occurs in a number of adsorption vessels in series. Leached pulp is counter-currently contacted with activated carbon. Carbon transfer occurs intermittently, hence the process never truly reaches steady-state. Nevertheless, steady-state is used to describe the behaviour of the system under normal operating conditions. The pulp concentration decreases as it moves down the train to give the barren values in the last stage. Carbon loading increases at it moves up the train. Only a fraction of the carbon in each stage is passed up the train when transfer takes place. Carbon is retained by screens. The slurry and carbon are kept in suspension by mechanical mixers.
2.2. The Carbon-in-Leach Process

The addition of carbon direct to the leaching circuit has become an attractive alternative to using separate leaching and adsorption circuits. Although this process has its advantages in terms of lowered capital cost, the process becomes considerably more complex. This inevitably leads to a number of operational problems, as the number of variable parameters increase.

It has been attempted in the past to model the carbon-in-leach process by combining suitable rate expressions for gold leaching and adsorption with the relevant mass balance equations (Nicol, Fleming and Cromberg, 1984).

The successful operation and design of the CIL process is very dependent on the leaching profile (Bailey, 1987). Some ores leach very rapidly. If this changes and gold dissolution occurs down the train, insufficient stages remain to recover the gold released.

The operation of the Beatrix CIL plant indicated that the advantages of CIL are offset by a number of disadvantages:

- Process control and operation require a great deal of understanding.
- Capital costs are lower, but can be quickly offset by high operational gold losses.
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- Carbon and gold inventories are higher and their associated problems greater.
- The low carbon concentration increases transfer times, with more back mixing, giving poorer results.
- Leaching and adsorption requirements may be in conflict and optimisation of these stages cannot easily be achieved.

2.3. CIP/CIL Modelling

A successful CIP plant’s adsorption section is expected to extract more than 99.6% of the gold present in the solution. The large amount of inter-related factors present must be well understood to achieve good plant operation and design. They include factors such as gold content of the carbon feed, gold content of the solution feed, carbon activity, solution barren value, gold content of the loaded carbon, carbon inventory, gold lock-up and the number of stages. Changes in any one of these factors will affect the others (Bailey, 1987).

In general, increasing the amount of stages results in:

- Less carbon required to achieve the same barren.
- Higher gold value on the carbon in each stage.
- Lower weighted average gold on carbon for the system as a whole.
- Less gold lock-up.
- Less carbon abrasion and gold loss.
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- Shorter carbon retention time and thus lower poisoning potential.

Increasing the gold loading on carbon gives:

- Little change in the carbon inventory.
- Increases the gold loading in all the stages.
- Decreases the amount of carbon transferred for elution each day.
- Increases the carbon retention time.
- Increases carbon abrasion and gold loss.
- Increases carbon poisoning potential.

A lower barren gold value can be obtained by increasing the amount of carbon present in each stage. This results in:

- A lower loading in each stage.
- An overall increase in gold lock-up.
- Longer carbon retention times.
- An increase in carbon inventory.
- More abrasion and associated gold loss and poisoning potential.

(Bailey, 1987)

In addition to the above mentioned factors, the process is made considerably more difficult to model as a result of the carbon movement in the system. Carbon
is transferred intermittently; hence, the system is a dynamic one which never attains a true steady-state. When the carbon is transferred, a certain percentage of the carbon inventory in each tank is passed up the train to result in counter-current carbon flow. Hence, a decreased average loading of gold on carbon is created in each stage. These phenomena have to be accounted for in order to achieve a realistic industrial-scale CIP/CIL model or simulation.

2.4. Adsorption Kinetics

An adsorption model, known as the updated “kn” model, has been presented by Nicol, Flemming and Cromberg (Nicol et. al., 1984). The model is given by :

\[
\frac{dC_L}{dt} = k \cdot (K \cdot C_L - C_C) \tag{1}
\]

Where :
- \( k \) is the rate constant
- \( K \) is the equilibrium constant
- \( C_L \) is the concentration of gold in solution (g.t\(^{-1}\))
- \( C_C \) is the gold loading on carbon (g.t\(^{-1}\))

It was shown that the equation can be used to describe the rate of gold loading onto carbon for constant gold concentration in solution. The model includes the equilibrium loading by making use of a unitless, linear equilibrium factor, \( K \). This model linearly relates the adsorption rate to the distance from equilibrium.
In CIP operations where loading of gold is not allowed to reach equilibrium, film diffusion predominates the overall adsorption rate. Physical aspects like mixing, pulp density, carbon particle size and carbon concentration overshadow chemical considerations under these conditions. Adsorption circuits that operate close to equilibrium are governed by loading capacity. Hence, factors that effect loading capacity dictate extraction rates under these conditions (Bailey, 1987).

2.5. Leaching Kinetics

Various gold leaching models have been proposed in the past by Schubert et. al. (1993) and Habashi (1966). Most of these models are relatively complex to solve and require a number of parameters like surface areas, diffusivities, occlusion factors and particle radii to be determined or estimated. These models are difficult to apply practically. As a result, semi-empirical rate expressions are used more often.

An empirical rate expression has been developed at Mintek and has the following form:

\[
\frac{dC_p(t)}{dt} = k_p \left[ C_p(t) - C_p(e) \right] \tag{2}
\]

Where \( C_p(t) \) is the concentration in the ore at time \( t \)

\( C_p(e) \) is the concentration in the ore at equilibrium
k_p is a rate constant

(Bailey, 1987)

The stoichiometric relationship between gold and cyanide consumption can be

given by Elsner’s equation:

\[ 4\text{Au} + 8\text{CN}^- + \text{O}_2 \rightleftharpoons 4\text{AuCN}_2^- + 4\text{OH}^- \]

The amounts of solid gold, dissolved cyanide and oxygen play an important role

in kinetics and equilibrium. It is assumed that oxygen is present in excess and
does not have any significant impact on the rate of leaching.

From equation no. 3 it can be seen that the reaction is reversible and that

equilibrium of the reaction is a function of the amounts of solid gold and gold
cyanide present.

Equilibrium = \[ f(S, C_L, C_{CN^-}), \text{Assuming excess O}_2 \]

Assuming a linear isotherm:

\[ K_L C_S = \frac{C_L}{C_{CN^-}} \]  (3)

Where \( C_S \) : Gold concentration on solids (g/t)

\( C_L \) : Gold concentration in liquid (g/t)

\( C_{CN^-} \) : Cyanide concentration in liquid (g/t)
This implies that an increase in gold or cyanide concentration will increase the distance from equilibrium and vice versa. Similarly, an increase in aurocyanide concentration will decrease the distance from equilibrium and vice versa.

If it is assumed that the rate of the reaction is a linear function of the distance from equilibrium we obtain the following rate equation:

\[ \frac{dC_s}{dt} = k_L \left( K_L C_s - \frac{C_L}{C_{CN^-}} \right) \]  

(4)

Where

- \( k_L \): Leaching rate constant
- \( K_L \): Leaching equilibrium constant

2.6. Other Metals

Many other metallic species are also present, including iron, copper, nickel and silver, which must be accounted for in the cyanide balance. For simplicity it was decided to lump these various species together as a single metal M of valence +2.

\[ 2M^{2+} + 6CN^- \leftrightarrow M_2(CN)_6^{3-} \]

A similar lumping of metallic species in CIP/CIL operations has been proposed in the past (Shubert et al). Leaching therefore consists of two reactions, each with its own kinetic and equilibrium parameters, that compete for available cyanide.
2.7. Solution Methods of Matlab Simulink

The solution methods used by Simulink are imbedded in the Matlab functionality. Simulink simulates a dynamic system by computing its states at successive time steps over a specified time frame, by using the information provided by the model. The optimal solution method differs from one system to another; hence Simulink provides a set of programs, known as “solvers”, that each embody a particular solution method. These solvers incorporate numerical techniques to solve ordinary differential equations (ODE’s) that represent the continuous states of a dynamic system.

Simulink solvers fall into two main categories; fixed step and variable step. Fixed step solvers solve the model at regular time intervals. In general, decreasing the step size increases accuracy and increases solution time. Specifying a variable step solution method enables the solver to decrease the time step when the model states are changing rapidly and increase the time steps when the states are changing slowly. This method is computationally less intensive (Matlab Help, 2005). The selection is made based on model behavior and the required accuracy.

Furthermore, Simulink provides both discrete and continuous solvers. In this project a continuous solution strategy was used. Continuous solvers make use of
numerical integration methods to determine a model's continuous states at the current time step from the states at previous time steps and the state derivatives (Matlab Help, 2005).

The solver utilized in this project is ODE45 (Dormand-Prince), which is a continuous solver. It is based on an explicit Runge-Kutta (4,5) formula and it is a one-step solver. To compute $y$ at $t_n$ it only needs the solution at the immediately preceding time point ($y(t_{n-1})$).

ODE45 is a general application, medium scale algorithm. It provides a reasonable trade-off between accuracy and computation speed and is also the first solution method that should be tried with any system in Simulink. In this project, step size is set to variable. Beginning at the initial time with initial conditions, it steps through the time interval, computing a solution at each time step. If the solution for a time step satisfies the solver's error tolerance criteria, it is a successful step. Otherwise, it is a failed attempt; the solver shrinks the step size and tries again (Matlab Help, 2005).

The ODE45 routine is more suitable for use with non-stiff problems. For a stiff problem, solutions can change on a time scale that is very short compared to the interval of integration, but the solution of interest changes on a
much longer time scale. Methods not designed for stiff problems are ineffective on intervals where the solution changes slowly because they use time steps small enough to resolve the fastest possible change (Matlab Help).

Changeable parameters include:

- Fixed or variable step
- Maximum step size
- Minimum step size
- Initial step size
- Relative tolerance
- Absolute tolerance

Simulink’s Parameter Estimation toolbox is used to estimate and calibrate model parameters from experimental data. It provides the tools to:

- Set up the problem
- Specify the model parameters to estimate
- Import and preprocess the experimental data
- Follow the estimation progress
- Validate the estimation results through various plots
Parameter estimation uses optimization routines to determine the minimum or maximum of the objective functions, by minimizing or maximizing general nonlinear functions. Functions for nonlinear equation solving and least-squares (data-fitting) problems are also provided (Matlab Help, 2005).
3.1. Modelling Considerations

The stoichiometric relationship between gold and cyanide consumption can be given by (Bailey, 1987):

$$4Au + 8CN^- + O_2 + 2H_2O \leftrightarrow 4Au(CN)_2^- + 4OH^-$$ (5)

The amounts of solid gold, dissolved cyanide and oxygen play an important role in kinetics and equilibrium. It is assumed that oxygen is present in excess and does not have any significant impact on the rate of leaching.

Various gold leaching models have been proposed in the past by Schubert et al (1993) and Habashi (1966). Most of these models are relatively complex to solve and require a number of parameters like surface areas, diffusivities, occlusion factors and particle radii to be determined or estimated. These models are difficult to apply practically and as a result, a semi-empirical leaching model that requires fewer parameters to obtain dissolution rates was used.

From equation 5 it can be seen that the reaction is reversible and that equilibrium of the reaction is a function of the amounts of solid gold and gold cyanide present. Therefore:

$$Equilibrium = f \left[ S, C_L, C_{CN^-} \right], \text{ Assuming excess } O_2$$
Where $C_S$ is the gold concentration on solids (g/t)

$C_L$ is the gold concentration in liquid (g/t)

$C_{CN^-}$ is the cyanide concentration in liquid (g/t)

Assuming a linear isotherm:

$$K_L C_S - \frac{C_L}{C_{CN^-}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

This implies that an increase in gold or cyanide concentration will increase the distance from equilibrium and vice versa. Similarly, an increase in aurocyanide concentration will decrease the distance from equilibrium and vice versa.

If it is assumed that the rate of the reaction is a linear function of the distance from equilibrium we obtain the following rate equation:

$$\frac{dC_S}{dt} = k_L \left( K_L C_S - \frac{C_L}{C_{CN^-}} \right) \left[ \frac{g}{t.hr} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Where $k_L$ is the leaching rate constant (s$^{-1}$)

$K_L$ is the leaching equilibrium constant

Many other metallic species are also present, including iron, copper, nickel and silver, which must be accounted for in the cyanide balance. For simplicity it was
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decided to lump these various species together as a single metal $M$ of valence +2 and average weight 60 g/mol.

The reaction stoichiometry is given by:

$$2M^{2+} + 6CN^- \Leftrightarrow M_2(CN)_5^{3-} \quad (8)$$

Leaching therefore consists of two reactions, each with its own kinetic and equilibrium parameters, that compete for available cyanide. These four parameters must be determined from data before leaching rates can be predicted. The cyanide concentration is implicitly linked to both reactions in the simulation.

The adsorption model selected for this study is that proposed by Nicol et al. (1987) and was selected based on its simplicity. Once again, the rate is a linear function of the distance from equilibrium.

$$\frac{dC_L}{dt} = k_A C_L C_C - C_C \left[ \frac{g}{t,hr} \right] \quad (9)$$

Where $C_C$ is the carbon loading (g/t)

$k_A$ is the adsorption rate constant (s$^{-1}$)

$K_A$ is the adsorption equilibrium constant
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Again, four parameters must be solved in order to predict sorption rates. That is, rate and equilibrium parameters for both gold and M.

There are numerous variables that affect the CIP/CIL process, such as:

- pH
- carbon fouling
- preg-robbing
- pore structure of carbon
- carbon transfer rates / loading frequency
- competitive adsorption
- carbon breakage mechanisms
- equilibrium conditions
- carbon activity

However, some of these variables are also present when we determine our overall rate and equilibrium parameters and can be said to be implicitly incorporated into the kinetic and equilibrium parameters. These parameters are not likely to have an adverse affect on the system’s response to the disturbances we are concerned with. For this reason the simulation makes use of the following simplifying assumptions:

- No adverse pH changes are present
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- Adsorption and leaching kinetics are explained by a linear rate equation
  (Concentration difference between source and destination phase)
- The effect of fouling on mass transfer kinetics and equilibrium is constant
- Carbon breakage can be expressed as a fixed percentage of the amount of carbon transferred with carbon fines gold loading equal to the gold loading in the final stage.
- Perfect mixing
- The effect of back mixing is negligible
- Carbon activity remains constant

3.2. Mass Balances

Mass balances are performed for both gold and the other metal species (OM) in each phase (liquid, solids and carbon). Perfect mixing is assumed and therefore exit concentrations are equal to the concentrations inside the stage. Steady-state is assumed to prevail for the duration of each time-step, provided that the time-step is sufficiently small. Accumulation terms then become negligible.

The sets of mass balance equations are identical for gold and OM, since they are both subjected to leaching and adsorption. They differ only in kinetic and equilibrium parameters and are linked through cyanide consumption, which in turn affects the leaching kinetics.
3.2.1. Mass Balances for Gold and OM

(Note: The text will progressively define nomenclature as they appear.)

(Concentration terms may refer to either gold or OM. Their mass balances differ only with respect to the values assigned to their kinetic and equilibrium parameters.)

In the solid phase:

\[ 0 = S \cdot C_{S,N-1} - S \cdot C_{S,N} - k_L \left( K_L \cdot C_{S,N} - \frac{C_{L,N}}{C_{CN^{-},N}} \right) M_S \] (g/s) \hspace{1cm} (10)

Where:

- **S**: Solids flow rate (t/s)
- **L**: Liquid flow rate (t/s)
- **M**: Mass per stage (Solids or Liquids indicated by subscript) (t)
- **k_L**: Leaching rate constant (s\(^{-1}\))
- **K_L**: Leaching equilibrium constant
- **k_A**: Adsorption rate constant (s\(^{-1}\))
- **K_A**: Adsorption equilibrium Constant
- **C**: Concentration (Referring to either gold or OM) (g/t)

Subscripts:

- **S**: Solid phase
- **L**: Liquid phase
- **C**: Carbon phase
- **N**: Stage number
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CN⁻ : Cyanide

In the liquid phase:

\[ 0 = L \cdot C_{L,N-1} - L \cdot C_{L,N} + k_L \left( K_L \cdot C_{S,N} - \frac{C_{L,N}}{C_{CN^{-},N}} \right) M_S - k_A \left( K_A \cdot C_{L,N} - C_{C_N} \right) M_L \]

(g/s) \hspace{1cm} (11)

In carbon:

The process, as set up in the simulation, is unsteady with respect to carbon loading. Carbon transfer occurs every \( t_i \) seconds where fraction \( F_C \) of the carbon from stage \( N+1 \) is transferred to stage \( N \) (counter-current). The initial carbon loading for stage \( N \) is re-calculated every \( t_i \) seconds in the following manner:

\[ C_{C,N,t=0} = C_{C,N,t=0} \cdot (1-F_C) + C_{C,N+1,t=0} \cdot F_C \] \hspace{1cm} (g/t) \hspace{1cm} (12)

Where \( F \) : Fraction of Carbon per stage that is transferred every \( t_i \) seconds

\( t_i \) : Transfer time (s)

At this point it is necessary to clarify the concept of simulation time in Matlab Simulink. Simulink conducts a 500 000 s dynamic simulation in a very short time, depending on the complexity of the simulation. Simulation time has no bearing on real-world time.
With a transfer time of 2700 s and a simulation time of 500 000 s, carbon transfer will occur 185 times during the course of the simulation.

Carbon loading in stage N at simulation time is given by:

\[
C_{C,N,t=0} \cdot M_C + \int_{t=0}^{t=t} k_A \cdot C_{L,N} - C_{C,N,t=t} \cdot M_L
\]

\[
C_{C,N,t=0} = \frac{M_C}{M_C} (g/t)
\]  

(13)

Where t is the transfer time. This effectively gives a saw-tooth carbon loading profile over time in every stage.

3.2.2. Cyanide Consumption

From equations 5 and 8 it can be seen that cyanide reacts with gold in molar ratio 2:1 and in ratio 3:1 with M\textsuperscript{2+}. A cyanide mass balance over stage N yields:

\[
0 = L C_{C_{N-,N-1}} - L C_{C_{N-,N}} - 1.3k_{LOM} \cdot C_{LOM,N} - M - 2.653k_L \cdot C_{S,N} - C_{L,N} \cdot M_S
\]

(g/s)

(14)

Subscript OM refers to Other Metals.

3.2.3. Overall Gold Removal

The overall gold removal is calculated in the following manner:
\[ OGR(\%) = \left( \frac{(L.C_{L, Feed} + S.C_{S, Feed}) - (L.C_{L,N=6} + S.C_{S,N=6})}{(L.C_{L,Feed} + S.C_{S,Feed})} \right) \times 100 \] (15)

The gold removal is based purely on leaching and adsorption efficiency.

### 3.3. Simulink Interface

The Simulink interface allows a user to graphically program functions. Functions are constructed by dragging various basic blocks onto the flow-sheet and linking them to manipulate input signals to obtain the desired output. Functions can be grouped together in subsystems. A subsystem is the graphical equivalent of a “procedure” in Turbo Pascal or a “Sub” in Visual Basic. Just like procedures, subsystems can contain more subsystems.

![Blank Simulink flow-sheet](image)
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It is important to bear in mind that Simulink is merely a GUI programming tool which is built on top of Matlab. Simulink uses either medium or large-scale search algorithms, built into Matlab, to solve unknowns (exit concentrations).

Another important concept in Simulink is simulation time. This allows the user to conduct dynamic simulations. The user is able to investigate the behavior of the system, or fluctuations of a certain variable, over the specified simulation time (time dependant variables). Matlab is able to simulate long simulation times in a matter of seconds. Simulation time has no bearing on real-world time.

The blocks necessary for constructing models in Simulink are found in the Simulink Library Browser (Figure 3.2.). The left-hand-side contains the library directories. It essentially groups related blocks. The blocks themselves can be found on the right-hand-side. Real time simulations can acquire inputs through a server (OPC toolbox). Offline simulations acquire their inputs through data files or by specifying input parameters in the Matlab workspace or within a “constant” block in Simulink.
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Figure 3.2: Simulink Library Browser.
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Here follows a list of the most commonly used blocks in the CIP/CIL process simulation:

![Figure 3.3: Constant Block (Sources directory).](image)

Contains either a value or a variable name which has been defined in the Matlab workspace. Used as an input block.

![Figure 3.4: Mux block (LHS) and Demux block (RHS) linked via signal line.](image)

The Mux block groups various (2 or more) inputs into a single signal line and the Demux block de-groups the inputs in the same order. Used mostly to prevent simulation flow-sheets from looking cluttered and to send two or more signals into a block or subsystem through a single in-port.

![Figure 3.5: Scope block (Sinks directory).](image)
A Scope block can be attached to a signal to plot the signals’ value over time. It can also be attached to the output of a Mux block to plot various signals on one graph. Simulation time is plotted on the X-axis.

**Figure 3.6.:** Add block (*Math operations directory*).

Add two (or more) signals connected to it on the left-hand side to produce the sum on the right-hand side.

**Figure 3.7.:** Subtract block (*Math operations directory*).

Gives the result of top input minus bottom input (LHS). Result on right-hand side.

**Figure 3.8.:** Divide block (*Math operations directory*).

Divides the top input by the bottom input.
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Figure 3.9.: Product block (Math operations directory).

Multiplies two or more inputs.

Figure 3.10.: Gain block (Math operations directory).

Acts as an in-line multiplier. It can contain either a numeric value or a variable which has been defined in the workspace.

Figure 3.11.: Integrator block (Continuous directory).

Accumulates its input signal over time. Initial conditions can be specified internally or externally. Enabling external initial condition source gives the block an extra in-port on the left-hand side. Can also be set to “resetable”. This resets the integrator block to its initial value when some external event occurs.
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Figure 3.12.: Derivative block (Continuous directory).

Outputs the rate of change of the input signal w.r.t. time.

Figure 3.13.: Compare to zero block (Logic and bit operations directory).

Gives a Boolean output (true or false) based on the specified condition. If the condition is \( \leq 0 \), then an input of -1 will give an output of “true”.

Figure 3.14.: If then Else block (Ports and Subsystems directory).

Used in combination with “If Action” subsystem (below). If a specified condition is true, then the “If Action” block manipulates a signal in a certain manner.
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**Figure 3.15.: If Action Block (Ports and Subsystems directory).**

Top in-port receives a Boolean signal. If the block becomes activated, the left-hand side in-signal is manipulated according to function constructed inside the block. It can have multiple inputs and outputs. The block behaves like a subsystem, but it only manipulates a signal (or lets a signal through) when some external event triggers it.

**Figure 3.16.: MinMax Block (Math operation directory).**

Keeps track of either the minimum or the maximum of all the values that have passed through it.

**Figure 3.17.: Memory Block (Discrete directory).**

Outputs the input from the previous time step when used with discrete model simulations. Also useful for specifying the initial condition of a signal.
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Figure 3.18.: Uniform Random Number and Step Change blocks (Sources directory).

Allows the user to investigate the effects of disturbances on the dynamics of the process simulation.

At this stage it is necessary to discuss an example to make some of the concepts more clear.

3.3.1. Tank Example

We have a tank with a height of 2 m and a base area of 1m$^2$.

We want to monitor the level in the tank.

Figure 3.19.: Tank.
Let \( \dot{v}_2 = A_{\text{out}} \sqrt{2gh} \) \hspace{1cm} (16)

A balance over the tank yields:

\[
\frac{dV}{dt} = \dot{v}_1 - \dot{v}_2 \hspace{1cm} (17)
\]

\[
\therefore A \frac{dh}{dt} = \dot{v}_1 - A_{\text{out}} \sqrt{2gh} \hspace{1cm} (18)
\]

**Figure 3.20.: Tank model in Simulink.**

The integrator block (grey) is given an initial height. The height is used to determine the flow out of the tank. This value is then subtracted from the flow into the tank to obtain change in volume. The change in volume is divided by the cross sectional area of the tank to obtain the change in height. This can have either a positive or a negative value. The value is sent to the integrator block,
which determines the new height inside the tank. Scope block 1 plots $v_1$ and $v_2$ vs. simulation time and scope block 2 plots $h$ vs. simulation time.

The following inputs were given:

- $h_0 : 1 \text{ m}$
- $A_{\text{base}} : 1 \text{ m}^2$
- $A_{\text{out}} : 0.0005 \text{ m}^2$

$V_1$ is varied randomly between 0.0015 and 0.002 m$^3$/s every 4000 s. A simulation time of 20 000 s was used.

*Figure 3.21.: $v_1$ and $v_2$ vs. simulation time (20 000s).*
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Figure 3.21 illustrates how the system strives towards steady state after each step change in inlet flow-rate.

A plot of liquid level vs. simulation time yields:

![Graph showing liquid level vs. simulation time](image)

*Figure 3.22: h vs. simulation time (20 000 s).*

Our model flow-sheet can be converted to a subsystem. We can then connect our tanks in series without having our flow-sheet look cluttered and confusing. In general, sub-systems are created when a specific function may be required elsewhere and needs to be duplicated.

The inside of the sub-system is illustrated in Figure 3.23.
Inputs and outputs are automatically detected and connected to in- and out-ports.

Externally, the sub-system looks as follows:

**Figure 3.23.: Tank subsystem.**

**Figure 3.24.: Sub-system exterior.**
3.4. Simulation Architecture

3.4.1. Stage Block

Six stages were used in the simulation. Every stage is a masked sub-system, hence inputs that are relevant to that stage can be entered in the mask. This eases the process of manually adding stages.

Stage mask parameters are:

- Mass of solids
- Mass of liquids
- Mass of carbon in stage
- Initial carbon loading
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- Fraction of carbon that is transferred
- Cyanide concentration increase

![Figure 3.26.: Sub-system mask.](image)

Furthermore, every stage also receives inputs from the preceding stage (Gold and OM concentration data), following stage (Gold and OM loading passed counter-current) and parameters that are specified in the Matlab workspace. They include:

- Other metals elution efficiency
- Gold elution efficiency
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- Gold adsorption rate constant
- Gold adsorption equilibrium constant
- Gold leaching rate constant
- Gold leaching equilibrium constant
- Other metals adsorption rate constant
- Other metals adsorption equilibrium constant
- Other metals leaching rate constant
- Other metals leaching equilibrium constant

Solids flow-rate, liquid flow-rate and transfer time are entered into a separate mask in the flow-sheet.

*Figure 3.27.: Plant parameters mask.*
Figure 3.28. illustrates the entire simulation as it appears in Simulink.
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3.4.2. Gold And OM Sub-systems

Underneath the Stage sub-system lays the Gold and OM sub-systems. Their inner workings will be discussed in more detail later. For now it is sufficient to point out that these sub-systems are linked only by cyanide concentration (red) and consumption (blue). The sub-system that evaluates the combined Gold and OM consumption is located in the Gold sub-system, therefore the exit cyanide concentration is...
concentration \(C_{\text{CN out}}\) is determined by the Gold sub-system. Apart from that, and kinetic and equilibrium parameters (specified in workspace), the Gold and OM sub-systems receive identical inputs with respect to operating parameters (specified in sub-system mask).

They are (refer to Figure 3.29.):

- Liquid flow-rate (L)
- Solids flow-rate (S)
- Carbon transfer fraction (C Fraction)
- Mass of solids in stage (Mass Solids)
- Mass of liquid in stage (Mass Liquids)
- Mass of carbon in stage (Mass Carbon)
- Load interval
- Cyanide concentration \(C_{\text{CN in}}\) (Only in Gold sub-system)

Concentration data (Gold and OM in liquid and solids) that is passed to a stage (from stage N-1 or Feed input) is de-muxed and distributed to their relevant input ports (LHS : C Data in). Output concentration data is muxed together and passed to the next stage (RHS : C Data out). Refer to Figure 3.25.

The muxed concentration data consists of:

- Other metals on Solids (OM on S,OM sub-system)
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- Other metals in Liquid (OM in L, OM sub-system)
- Gold on solids (CS in, Gold sub-system)
- Gold in liquid (CL in, Gold sub-system)
- Cyanide in liquid (CN in, Gold sub-system)

Gold and OM loading on carbon (CC and OM on C) are passed individually and from stage N+1 to stage N.

The constant blocks on the left-hand-side of Figure 3.29. contain stage parameters which are entered via the stage mask.

Both the Gold and OM sub-systems each conceal a leaching sub-system, adsorption sub-system and carbon loading sub-system. These Sub-sub-systems have identical flow-sheet layouts for Gold and OM. As mentioned, their inputs differ only with respect to kinetic and equilibrium parameters and concentrations, as Gold and OM concentrations are treated separately. For this reason, only the Gold sub-system will be discussed. It should be pointed out that only the Gold sub-system contains a cyanide consumption sub-system.
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3.4.3. Leaching Sub-system

The leaching sub-system acquires the following inputs:

- CS in (g/t) : Concentration of gold on solids in feed to stage
- CL out (g/t) : Concentration of gold in liquid in stage (hence exit concentration)
Cyanide concentration (g/t) : Concentration of cyanide in stage. Received from cyanide consumption sub-system.

Mass solids (t) : Total mass of solids present in stage (as apposed to specifying stage volume and feed density)

S Flowrate (t/s) : From plant parameters mask.

It yields the following outputs:

- Leaching rate (g/s) : Passed to the adsorption sub-system and results in an increase in dissolved gold concentration.
- Leaching rate2 (g/s) : Passed to the cyanide consumption block.
- CS out (g/t) : Exit concentration of gold on solids

“CS out” is an unknown that has to be solved for.

As mentioned before, gold and OM mass balances are performed for liquid, solids and carbon separately. The leaching sub-system evaluates mass balances over solids via the following equation:

\[ 0 = S.C_{S,N-1} - S.C_{S,N} - k_L \left( K_L.C_{S,N} - \frac{C_{L,N}}{C_{CN^{-},N}} \right) M_S \]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

The flow-sheet layout of this equation is shown in Figure 3.32.
The sub-system determines, from CS in and S flowrate, the amount of gold (in g/s) entering the stage and subtracts the rate of leaching (in g/s) to determine the current concentration of gold on solids (CS). CS out is fed backwards and to an output port. aG and AG are rate and equilibrium constants for gold leaching. They are specified in the Matlab workspace.

3.4.4. Adsorption Sub-system

Figure 3.33.: Gold adsorption sub-system.
The adsorption sub-system receives the following inputs:

- **CL in (g/t)**: Concentration of gold in liquid
- **Leaching rate (g/s)**
- **L Flowrate (t/s)**: Liquid flow rate
- **CC out (g/t)**: Carbon loading in stage at any time
- **Mass liquid (t)**: Mass of liquid present in stage

The following outputs are determined:

- **Loading rate (g/s)**: Rate at which gold is adsorbed onto carbon
- **CL out (g/t)**: Exit concentration of gold in liquid (to output port)
- **CL out2 (g/t)**: Becomes input to leaching sub-system

“CL out” and “CL out2” are identical. “CL out” is an unknown that has to be solved.

The adsorption sub-system constitutes mass balancing over the liquid component, as described by the following equation:

\[
0 = L C_{L,N-1} - L C_{L,N} + k_L \left( K_L C_{S,N} - \frac{C_{L,N}}{C_{CN^{-},N}} \right) M_S - k_A \left( \delta_k A \cdot C_{L,N} - C_{C,N} \right) M_L
\]

(20)

The third term in the equation is obtained from the leaching sub-system.

Its flow sheet representation is illustrated by Figure 3.34.
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Figure 3.34.: Adsorption sub-system flow sheet (inputs : red, outputs : blue)

The amount of gold which enters the stage through the liquid component (in g/s) is determined. Gold entering the liquid phase through leaching (in g/s) is added and the rate of adsorption (in g/s) is subtracted. This yields the amount of gold leaving the stage (in g/s). This is converted to the exit concentration of gold in the liquid phase (CL out, in g/t), which is equal to the concentration inside the stage, since it is assumed that every stage is perfectly mixed. The loading rate (in g/s) is sent to the carbon loading sub-system via an output port.

kG and KG are rate and equilibrium constants relating to loading kinetics of gold only. They are specified in the Matlab workspace.

3.4.5. Carbon Loading Sub-system

This sub-system is slightly more complex, mainly because it contains more sub-systems. It contains integrator blocks, which enables the simulation to
accumulate gold onto the carbon in every stage. Integrator blocks are at the center of almost every dynamic simulation in Simulink.

Figure 3.35.: Carbon loading sub-system.

The carbon loading sub-system receives the following inputs:

- Load interval (s): Loading occurs from t=0 to t=tf. Initial loading is adjusted when carbon transfer takes place.
- Loading rate (g/s): From loading sub-system. The loading rate is accumulated to determine the overall loading of carbon in the stage.
- C_0 (N+1) (g/t): Gives the maximum overall carbon loading of Stage (N+1) just before transfer occurs.
- Transfer fraction: Fraction of carbon in stage N that is transferred to stage N-1
- Mass C (t): Mass of carbon in stage

Outputs:

- CC out (g/t): Carbon loading
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- **CC out1 (g/t)**: Carbon loading. This output becomes an input to the adsorption sub-system, since adsorption kinetics depend on carbon loading.

The carbon loading sub-system, and its sub-subsystems, embodies the following equations:

\[
C_{C,N,t=0} = \frac{M_C + \int_{t=0}^{t=t} k_A \cdot C_{L,N} - C_{C,N,t=0}}{M_C} \]

\[
C_{C,N,t=0} = C_{C,N,t=0} \cdot (1 - F_C) + C_{C,N+1,t=0} \cdot F_C
\]

![Diagram of the Carbon Loading Sub-system](image)

*Figure 3.36.: Carbon loading sub-system (inputs : red, outputs : blue).*

The carbon loading sub-system contains three more sub-systems:

- **Timer**
- **Concentration reset**
Methodology

If Action block (regarded as a sub-system)

The Timer sub-system receives the transfer time interval and produces a saw-tooth pulse output. The output ranges between 0 and the transfer time interval (say 3000 s) and resets when the transfer time is reached. The If Action sub-system is then activated and the timer begins anew.

The If Action sub-system consists of one integrator block. It receives loading rate (in g/s), initial gold on carbon in the stage (in g, determined by the Concentration reset sub-system) and a reset signal (Boolean). The integrator block accumulates the gold on carbon in the stage. Its output is the physical amount of gold (in g) on the carbon in the stage at a specific time. It evaluates the integral in equation 21.

![If Action sub-system flow sheet.](image)

*Figure 3.37.: If Action sub-system flow sheet.*
The output is converted to carbon loading (in g/t) by dividing by the amount (in t) of carbon present in the stage. This is done within the carbon loading sub-system’s flow sheet.

![Figure 3.38.: Concentration reset sub-system flow-sheet.](image)

The concentration reset sub-system represents equation 22. The MinMax block in the above figure records the maximum loading that is achieved between transfers in that particular stage. This value is used, together with the current loading of stage N+1 and transfer fraction, to calculate a new average loading after every transfer. It is then converted to the total gold on carbon (in g) by multiplying by the mass of carbon present in the stage. The output is continuous, but is only utilized once the “If Action” sub-system is activated.
3.4.6. Cyanide Consumption Sub-system

The cyanide consumption sub-system receives the following inputs:

- C CN in (g/t) : Concentration of cyanide entering the stage
- L Flowrate (t/s) : Liquid flow rate to the stage
- Leaching rate in (g/s) : Received from the leaching sub-system
- OM CN consumption (g/s) : Received from the Other Metals sub-system

Output:

- C CN out (g/t) : Concentration of cyanide inside stage (exit concentration)

"C CN out" has to be solved.

The cyanide consumption flow-sheet is constructed to represent the following model:

$$0 = L C_{CN-,N-1} - L C_{CN-,N} - 1.3k_{LOM} C_{LOM} C_{S,OM,N} - C_{LOM,N} M_S - 0.2653k_L C_{LOM} C_{SM,N} - C_{LN} M_S$$  \( (23) \)
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Figure 3.40.: Cyanide consumption flow-sheet (inputs : red, outputs : blue).

The OM CN consumption input represents the third term of equation. It is received from the Other Metals sub-system. The leaching rate input is acquired from the leaching sub-system and represents the fourth term in equation.

The flow-sheet determines the amount of cyanide consumed, in g/s, from stoichiometry, by multiplying the gold and OM leaching rates by molar conversion factors (Molar conversion for OM = 1.3, molar conversion for gold = 0.2653).

The combined CN consumption rate is subtracted from the rate at which cyanide enters the stage. The result is divided by the liquid flow rate to obtain the exit CN concentration.
3.4.7. Overall Gold Removal Sub-system

The Overall gold removal sub-system is situated in the main simulation flow-sheet. It embodies the following equation:

\[
OGR(\%) = \left[ \frac{(L.C_{L,Feed} + S.C_{S,Feed}) - (L.C_{L,N=6} + S.C_{S,N=6})}{(L.C_{L,Feed} + S.C_{S,Feed})} \right] \times 100
\]  (24)

It acquires concentrations of gold in the liquid and solid phase for both feed and the final product. Overall gold removal is expressed as the percentage of gold removed from the solid and liquid phase.
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4.1. Modelling

Table 4.1 shows actual plant data used in determining adsorption and leaching kinetic and equilibrium parameters. Table 4.2 gives solids/liquid retention and flow specifications. Table 4.3 show intermittent carbon transfer data. Intermittent flow conditions are equivalent to a steady flow of 0.6 t/hr. Table 4.4 shows stage-by-stage adsorption data (Liquid concentration and exit carbon loading).

**Table 4.1. : Actual Plant Data used for CIP Modelling.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solids Feed Rate</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>t/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed Relative Density</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solids Relative Density</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Flow Rate</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>t/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume per Stage</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head Grade</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Gold Loading (Feed)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Gold in Effluent</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanide in Effluent</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elution Efficiency (Gold)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elution Efficiency (OM)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4.2. : Plant Data Converted for Simulation Input.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solids Mass Fraction in Pulp Feed</td>
<td>0.493</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solids Feed Rate</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>t/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Feed Rate</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>t/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Flow Rate</td>
<td>1.67E-4</td>
<td>t/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass Solids and Liquid per Stage</td>
<td>532.150</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass Solids per Stage</td>
<td>262.297</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass Liquid per Stage</td>
<td>269.853</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 4.3. : Equivalent Discrete Carbon Flow Rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass Carbon per Stage</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Fraction Transferred</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer Interval</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discrete Carbon Flow Rate</td>
<td>1.67E-04</td>
<td>t/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.4. : Stage-by-stage Adsorption Data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage Number</th>
<th>$C_{L, \text{out}}$ (g/t)</th>
<th>$C_{C, \text{out}}$ (g/t)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feed</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>3500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A parameter Estimation was run using the above plant data and operating conditions by minimizing the error between actual and predicted values (Carbon loading in each stage, liquid gold concentration in each stage, cyanide concentration and solids gold loading in last stage).

The gold adsorption and leaching kinetic parameters were determined:

\[ k_A = 7.032 \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}^{-1} \]
\[ K_A = 18075 \]
\[ k_L = 5.847 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}^{-1} \]
\[ K_L = 2751.4 \]
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To ease the parameter estimation, kinetic parameters for Other Metals were not determined. It is assumed that gold is the overall rate limiting component and that the affects attributed by the Other Metal species can be neglected.

Estimates for Other Metal leaching and adsorption kinetics were taken:

\[ k_{A,OM} = 5.5 \times 10^{-7} \text{s}^{-1} \]

\[ K_{A,OM} = 17000 \]

\[ k_{L,OM} = 1.6 \times 10^{-6} \text{s}^{-1} \]

\[ K_{L,OM} = 800 \]

Table 4.5. shows a comparison between actual plant values and model outputs.

The overall gold removed from the solid and liquid streams amount to 99.84%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage Number</th>
<th>C_L (g/t)</th>
<th>C_C (g/t)</th>
<th>C_S (g/t)</th>
<th>C_CN^- (g/t)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feed</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>3300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.405</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>3300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>0.356</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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A start-up simulation, with all initial carbon loadings made zero, was conducted with sufficient simulation time to reach steady-state. A second simulation was conducted where initial carbon loading in the stages were specified in their respective sub-system masks. Table 5.1. shows the simulation input values used.

Table 5.1. : Simulation Inputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simulation Time</td>
<td>500000</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solids Feed Rate</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>t/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Feed Rate</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>t/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass of Solids per Stage</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass of Liquid per Stage</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass of Carbon per Stage</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Transfer Fraction</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermittent Carbon Transfer Interval</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold Elution Efficiency</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM Elution Efficiency</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed Concentration Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold on solids</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold in Liquid</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM on solids</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM in liquid</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanide</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Carbon Loading (t_{\text{sim}} = 0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 1</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 2</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 3</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 4</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 5</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 6</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold Kinetic Parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaching Rate Constant (k_{L})</td>
<td>7.03e-8</td>
<td>s(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaching Equilibrium Contant (K_{L})</td>
<td>18075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsorption Rate Constant (k_{A})</td>
<td>5.84e-7</td>
<td>s(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsorption Equilibrium Constant (K_{A})</td>
<td>2751</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Metals Kinetic Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leaching Rate Constant ($k_L$)</td>
<td>1.6e-6</td>
<td>s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaching Equilibrium Contant ($K_L$)</td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsorption Rate Constant ($k_A$)</td>
<td>5.5e-7</td>
<td>s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsorption Equilibrium Constant ($K_A$)</td>
<td>17000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: These parameters are used throughout, except where stated otherwise.
5.1. Start-up Simulation (Un-steady)

The simulation was run with all initial loadings made zero. Figures 5.1. and 5.2. show how the carbon loading in each stage strive towards a steady value. The effect of intermittent carbon transfer can be seen in the saw-tooth profile. The figures are presented separately (first 3 and last 3) for clarity.

![Carbon loading vs. Simulation time (first three stages)](image)

*Figure 5.1. : Carbon loading (first three stages) vs. simulation time (initial loadings equal zero)*

Figures 5.1. and 5.2. indicate how the gold loading on carbon progressively increase in each stage until a final settling value is reached. As literature predicted, the highest loading is achieved in the first stage.
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Intermittent carbon transfer yields a saw-tooth loading profile against time. Once the loading profile in each stage varies between two extremes that remain more or less constant over time, the system is said to be at steady-state. View Figure 5.3. Although the system is unsteady with respect to carbon transfer, it becomes steady with respect to its inherent equilibrium conditions.

![Graph: Carbon loading vs. Simulation time (last three stages)](image)

*Figure 5.2. : Carbon loading (last three stages) vs. simulation time (initial loadings equal zero).*
The carbon loading profile against time is viewed in Figure 5.3. over the last 50000 s of the time-line. The loading remains within a certain band. A valley signifies a 10% carbon transfer from stage N+1, whereas the maximum loading (peak) is reached the moment before transfer.
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The initial barren solution concentration is low and progressively increases (Figure 5.4.). The rate of adsorption from the liquid phase decreases as the loading on carbon increases. Hence, the steady-state barren solution concentration will be higher than the initial, since the initial carbon loading in each stage is made zero.

Figures 5.5., 5.6. and 5.7. take a closer looks at the saw tooth profiles of the output concentrations (liquid, solid and carbon phase) in the last stage.
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The profiles are viewed over the last 20000s of simulation time. The figures indicate how the effects of intermittent carbon transfer is imposed on the leaching rate (solid phase) and adsorption rate (liquid phase). The rates of leaching and adsorption adapt to the corresponding carbon loading. When the average carbon loading is low (moment after transfer) the exit gold concentrations in the solid and liquid phase is also low. The concentrations increase as the carbon loading increases. The rate of leaching depends on the dissolved gold in the liquid phase. The rate of adsorption, again, depends on the carbon loading. Hence, the effect of carbon loading is propagated from one phase to the next.

Figure 5.5.: Saw-tooth carbon loading profile in last stage.
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Barren Solids Gold Content vs. Simulation time

Figure 5.6.: Barren value of solids.

Barren Liquid Gold Content vs. Simulation time

Figure 5.7.: Barren solution gold concentration.
As expected, the initial overall gold removal is high (low barren values) and progressively decreases (barren values increase) as a result of an increase in carbon loading in each stage. Once again, a steady value is reached near the end of the simulation.
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5.2. Simulation Using Initial Carbon Loadings (Plant data)

The plant carbon loading data is used as initial loading values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Initial Carbon Loading ($t_{\text{sim}} = 0$)</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 6</td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>g/t</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.2.: Initial carbon loadings.

Figures 5.9. and 5.10. indicate how the system once again strives towards its own steady-state loading values under the same operating conditions (Table 5.1.: Simulation inputs). The bump in the carbon loading vs. time of stage one is
the result of the decrease in loading of the succeeding stages, as the carbon moves up the train.

Regardless of the initial carbon loadings specified, the system strives towards a set of unique carbon loadings in all six stages. The results are summarized in Table 5.3. This would indicate that the simulation produces repeatable results and that these results are dependant on the specified operating conditions.
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Table 5.3.: Steady-state carbon loadings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>$C_{C,0}$ (plant data)</th>
<th>$C_{C,0} = 0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3295</td>
<td>3292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since the initial carbon loadings are higher than the inherent steady-state carbon loadings of the system, the initial adsorption rates will be low. Hence, output concentrations in the liquid and solid phases will be high initially. Figure 5.11. strongly agrees with this statement.

![Liquid barren value vs. Simulation time](image)

Figure 5.11. : Barren solution gold concentration vs. simulation time (initial loadings specified).
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The overall percentage of gold removed compares well for both runs. In both cases a final value of 99.838% is reached. Once again, it can be seen that the system operates uniquely under a given set of operating conditions. The final output concentrations in each stage are independent of initial carbon loading.

Figure 5.12: Overall gold removal percentage (initial loadings specified).
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A Sensitivity Analysis’s was performed by varying or creating a step change in each one of the following variables:

- Feed Concentrations (Gold in Solution)
- Feed rate (Solids)
- Elution Efficiency

It was attempted to alleviate the effects of some of these step disturbances by stepping the Fractional Carbon Transfer or Transfer Interval. The effects of these disturbances on process performance were monitored in terms of:

- Barren Solution Concentration
- Loading in first three stages
- Overall Gold Removal
6.1. Step-change in Liquid Feed Gold Concentration

At 400 000s, the feed concentration of gold in the liquid phase was stepped from 5.3 to 6.36 g/t (20% increase). Figure 6.1. shows the liquid feed concentration step. Figures 6.2. and 6.3. show the effect on the carbon loading in the first 3 stages and the barren solution concentration respectively.

Figure 6.1.: Step in liquid feed gold concentration.
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Figure 6.2.: Carbon loading profiles (first three stages) resulting from step-change in liquid feed gold concentration.

Figure 6.3.: Barren solution concentration profile resulting from step-change in liquid feed gold concentration.
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Figures 6.2. and 6.3. show how the carbon loading in each stage and the barren solution concentration increase at the moment the step occurs. With the residence time remaining unchanged and the distance from equilibrium increased, it is expected that an increase in the exit liquid phase gold concentrations will occur in every stage. Hence, it would be expected that the barren solution concentration will increase. This is shown by Figure 6.3. The barren solution concentration increases from 0.0104 g/t to 0.0121 g/t.

An increase in solution concentration will result in an increase in adsorption rate in each stage. Thus, higher loadings can be expected in each stage (Figure 6.2.). The carbon loading in the first stage increases from 3411 g/t to 3879 g/t. The simulation once again strives towards a new steady operation.
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Overall Gold Removal vs. Simulation time

Figure 6.4.: Overall gold removal vs. simulation time resulting from step-change in liquid feed gold concentration.

The overall gold removal only increases at the moment the step occurs, but settles at its initial steady state value once the effect of the step change has propagated through all six stages.

We now attempt to keep the loading in each stage constant by countering the step in liquid feed gold concentration. Stepping either the Carbon transfer fraction or Transfer frequency is investigated as a means of achieving a steady carbon loading in each stage.
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The Carbon transfer fraction is stepped from 0.1 to 0.115 at 400,000s. This will result in a decrease in average loading in each stage at each transfer interval. Hence, this provides additional loading capacity in each stage.

![Carbon Loading vs. Simulation time](image)

Figure 6.4.: Carbon loading profiles (first three stages) corrected by stepping carbon transfer fraction.

Figure 6.4. shows how the carbon loading profile in the first three stages remain unchanged as a result of an increase in the Carbon transfer fraction. The barren solution concentration changes from 0.0104 g/t to 0.0106 g/t (Figure 6.5.).
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Figure 6.5.: Barren solution concentration resulting from a step-change in carbon transfer fraction.

Alternatively, the step in feed solution gold concentration can be countered by stepping the Transfer frequency.

The transfer frequency is stepped down from 3000s to 2570s. This results in the carbon loading profiles illustrated by Figure 6.6.
Increasing the transfer frequency (decreasing the transfer interval) results in a lower average carbon loading being maintained in each stage over a given time-span. The slight “dip” in the carbon loading profiles that occur at 400 000 s can be ascribed to the delayed effects of adsorption kinetics. Time is needed for the process to acclimatize to the increased transfer frequency. Increasing either the transfer fraction or transfer frequency results in an increase in carbon circulation rate. Hence, the average loading in each stage is decreased. This, in turn, increases the adsorption rate in each stage and so counters an increase in liquid feed gold concentration.
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Figure 6.7.: Barren solution concentration profile resulting from step-change in transfer frequency.

The barren solution concentration changes from 0.0104 g/t to 0.0105 g/t as a result of stepping the transfer frequency. A sudden decrease in carbon loading occurs in all six stages as a result of a sudden increase in circulation rate. Hence, the slight dip in the barren solution profile is attributed by the delay in adsorption kinetics.
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6.2. Step-change in Solids Feed Rate

The system is subjected to a 10% increase (from 0.083 t/s to 0.0913 t/s) in solids feed rate at 400 000s.

Figure 6.8.: Step-change in solids feed rate.
The carbon loading in the first stage increases from 3280 g/t to 3357 g/t. A step-change in solids feed rate results in an increase in the amount of gold available for leaching and, hence, adsorption in each stage. An increase in feed rate will also result in a decrease in residence time.

A higher carbon loading in each stage, apart from the decrease in residence time, results in a decrease in adsorption kinetics. The empirical rate expression used assumes the rate of adsorption to be proportional to the linear distance from equilibrium (Nicol, 1987). It is therefore expected to see an increase in the barren solution concentration, which is exactly the case. The barren solution
concentration changes from a steady value of 0.0103 g/t to a new steady value of 0.0107 g/t after the step-change is initiated.

A decrease in residence time will inevitably lower the overall process efficiency. The shift in carbon loading is ascribed to the increase in gold in the liquid phase, which in turn is the result of increased solid gold feed-rate. With leaching kinetics being rapid in comparison to adsorption kinetics, it is apparent that this shift in operation increases the gold in the liquid phase in each stage. The apparent rise in process efficiency (Figure 6.10.) at 400 000s is due to the sudden increase in gold entering the process. This increase will not be reflected in the barren values immediately.

Figure 6.10.: Overall gold removal vs. simulation time resulting from a step-change in solids feed rate.
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The step-change in solids feed-rate is countered by increasing the fraction of carbon transferred. It is found, by manually increasing the transfer fraction in small increments, that the carbon loadings and barren solution concentration progressively return to their original steady state values. The carbon transfer fraction was increased from 0.1 to 0.120. Alternatively, the optimum step in carbon transfer fraction can be located by programmatic control measures, but it is sufficient here to indicate the direction the simulation takes when this parameter is adjusted.

Figure 6.11.: Barren solution concentration profile corrected by step-change in carbon transfer fraction.
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Figure 6.11. shows the barren solution concentration returning more or less to its original state as a result of increasing the carbon transfer fraction. Similar results may be obtained by decreasing the carbon transfer period. Either way, this will result in a lower average loading per stage after every transfer as both methods causes an increase in carbon circulation-rate (figure 6.12.). Decreasing the average loading results in an increase in adsorption kinetics (Nicol, 1987).

![Carbon Loading vs. Simulation Time](image)

Figure 6.12.: Carbon loading profiles (first 3 stages) corrected by step-change in carbon transfer fraction.

Figure 6.12. shows how the carbon loading profiles in the first three stages continue more or less un-disturbed as a result of the carbon transfer fraction step-change.
By decreasing the average loading in each stage, the process’s ability to assimilate gold is increased. It is therefore expected to see an increase in process efficiency. This is illustrated by figure 6.13. The overall percentage of gold removed undergoes a rapid increase the moment the carbon transfer fraction is stepped up. Unlike the solids feed-rate step which propagates through the process with a delay, the carbon transfer fraction step is incurred on all six stages simultaneously.

Figure 6.13.: Overall gold removal vs. simulation time resulting from step-change in carbon transfer fraction.
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6.3. Step-change in Elution Efficiency

The elution efficiency is decreased from 95% to 80% at 400 000s. The simulation time is increased to 1000 000s to allow the process to reach steady loading profiles in each stage.

![Carbon Loading vs. Simulation time (First 3 Stages)](image)

**Figure 6.14.:** Carbon loading profiles (first 3 stages) resulting from step-change in elution efficiency.

Figures 6.14. and 6.15. depict an increase in carbon loading in every stage. This is a realistic result, since carbon entering the final stage now has a higher loading. Transferring carbon up the train (from N+1 to N) will result in higher
average loadings in the preceding stages. Carbon leaving the first stage exhibits an increase in loading from 3280 g/t to 3908 g/t.

![Carbon Loading vs. Simulation time (Last 3 Stages)](image)

*Figure 6.15.: Carbon loading profiles (last 3 stages) resulting from a step-change in elution efficiency.*

From figure 6.15. it is notable that the rate of change of loading in the final stage (N6) is greater than that of the preceding stages. The step in elution efficiency will be felt by this stage first. The preceding stages respond relatively slower as they acclimatize to the increase in loading in the final stage. As the step in elution efficiency propagates up the train the loading in the preceding stages progressively increase with every transfer.
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Figure 6.16. shows an increase in dissolved gold in the effluent from 0.01 g/t to 0.045 g/t. This is a realistic result, since an increase in carbon loading in each stage will certainly have a negative impact on adsorption kinetics.

With a 15% drop in elution efficiency, cet. par., it is expected to see a decrease in process efficiency. Figure 6.17. verifies this statement. The overall percentage removed from the gold decreases from 99.84% to 99.29%. It is worth mentioning here that although this decrease is slight, the simulation adequately portrays the direction in which the impinged disturbances affect the process.
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Figure 6.17.: Overall gold removal vs. simulation time resulting from a step-change in elution efficiency.

It was attempted to correct the carbon loading in the first stage by increasing the carbon transfer fraction from 0.1 to 0.118. Figure 6.18. shows the results. The carbon loading in the first stage is brought down to 3291 g/t due to the step in carbon transfer fraction. Figure 6.19. illustrates the comparative results.

It can also be seen from Figure 6.18. that stepping the carbon transfer fraction has less of an impact on the second and third stages. This is reasonable, since transferring carbon up the train results in a cumulative averaging effect that becomes more prominent as the first stage is approached.
Results for Sensitivity Analysis

Figure 6.18.: Carbon loading profiles (first 3 stages) resulting from a step-change in carbon transfer fraction.

Figure 6.19.: Controlled and un-controlled carbon loading in the first stage.
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When attempting to keep the dissolved gold in the effluent constant, it was found necessary to increase the fraction of carbon transferred during each transfer interval to 0.43 in order to keep dissolved gold losses at a steady value. Stepping the carbon transfer fraction to 0.43 is very unrealistic. However, it is an indication that the simulation responds as expected. Stepping the carbon transfer fraction has no impact on the loading in the last stage when the elution efficiency is decreased, hence the decrease dissolved gold losses in the effluent can only be attributed to adsorption in the preceding stages.

Figure 6.20.: Barren solution concentration profile resulting from a step-change in carbon transfer fraction.
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**Conclusions**

It was shown in this study that simple rate and equilibrium expressions for adsorption and leaching can be used effectively to model CIP/CIL operations when combined with a powerful simulation tool. Matlab Simulink was found to be a suitable simulation tool for dynamic simulations with the disadvantage that a fair level of expertise is required to use this complex software effectively.

Realistic what-if analyses were conducted with the simulation results moving in realistic directions. It was shown how negative effects can be off-set by changing plant operating conditions. This can result in a very powerful tool for plant metallurgists helping to make operation decisions under varying conditions.

Identical carbon loadings in all six stages were reached at steady state, whether initial loadings were specified or not. This indicates that the simulation yields repeatable results under a given set of operating conditions.

Sensitivity analyses qualitatively and quantitatively reflect the effects of disturbances imposed on the system. In each case the process simulation yielded realistic results. It was possible, in turn, to counter these disturbances by manipulating another plant parameter.
A chronological solution, which may be used by plant personnel, was developed. Such a tool may assist plant engineers to achieve optimum efficiency and investigate better control strategies.
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