EMPLOYEE SATISFACTION AS A CATALYST FOR IMPROVED EFFICIENCY, PRODUCTIVITY AND CUSTOMER SATISFACTION.

BOTHA MARCEL

2010



EMPLOYEE SATISFACTION AS A CATALYST FOR IMPROVED EFFICIENCY, PRODUCTIVITY AND CUSTOMER SATISFACTION.

by

BOTHA MARCEL

Dissertation submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

Master of Technology: Quality

in the Faculty of Engineering

at the Cape Peninsula University of Technology

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. J A Watkins D. Phil., D. Com., Ph. D. Co-supervisor: Mr A Bester

Bellville

November 2010

Declaration

"I hereby declare that this thesis submitted for the degree Magister Technologiae at the Cape Peninsula University of Technology, is my own original work and has not previously been submitted to any other institution of higher education. I further declare that all sources cited or quoted are indicated or acknowledged by means of a comprehensive list of references".

Marcel Botha

At

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank those who have supported me through the delivery of this thesis:

- My supervisor Professor Andre Watkins for his guidance, insight, time and support throughout this endeavour.
- Ronel van der Merwe with the statistical analysis.

ABSTRACT

This research will focus on employee satisfaction in the workplace. The research will be conducted as a result of the high number of factory staff resigning due to unhappiness in their work environment. This transposes into not only productivity being lost, but also that the efficiency of the organisation is being compromised. The purpose of this research is to establish if employee satisfaction could serve as a catalyst for improved efficiency, productivity and customer satisfaction.

The research will be conducted at Anchor Lining Systems (ALS). The company is based in Cape Town South-Africa and manufactures a concrete protection liner referred to as Anchor Knob Sheet (AKS). The product represents a 'state of the art' robust concrete protection liner system. It is designed to protect concrete structures in chemically aggressive environments.

The research question which will be researched to mitigate the research problem reads as follows: "What approach could be deployed to minimise employee dissatisfaction in a manufacturing organisation to improve its overall efficiency, profitability and customer satisfaction?"

Applied research will be conducted in this thesis, as the research will be designed to apply its findings to solve a specific, existing problem. The research will furthermore fall in the social world as social science has to do with how things are, and why. The research, due to the fact that it would require intensive textual investigation will be theoretical in nature, which can be define as, "contemplative of the mind, on intellectual faculties". Furthermore, theoretical research is commonly associated with the phenomenological paradigm, which is used to answer questions about the complex nature of a phenomena, often with the purpose of describing and understanding the phenomena from the participant's view. These concepts are also commonly referred to as the 'qualitative paradigm'.

The proposed research in this dissertation would be of specific benefit to the manufacturing industry in South-Africa, due to the high levels of staff turnover being experienced in the industry due to employee dissatisfaction. Such high levels of turnover de-stabilises the industry in that key resources are lost to organisations. If turnover can be minimised by elevating the levels of employee satisfaction then efficiency, productivity and customer satisfaction can be exponentially improved.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECI	LARATION	i
ACK	NOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABST	TRACT	iii
TABI	LE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST	OF FIGURES	viii
LIST	OF TABLES	ix
CHAI	PTER 1 – SCOPE OF THE RESEARCH	1
1.1	INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND	1
1.2	STATEMENT OF THE RESEARCH PROBLEM	2
1.3	THE RESEARCH QUESTION, SUB-QUESTION AND OBJECTIVES	2
1.4	A HIGH LEVEL PERSPECTIVE ON EMPLOYEE SATISFACTION	3
1.4.1	Identification of the negative and / or unhappy employee	3
1.4.2	Factors that can cause employee unhappiness	3
1.4.3	The impact on the organisation's efficiency	4
1.4.4	Matching people to jobs	4
1.4.5	The value of training	5
1.4.6	Happy employees equate to satisfied customers	5
1.5	RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY	5
1.6	DELINEATION OF THE RESEARCH	6
1.7	CONTRIBUTION OF THE RESEARCH	6
CHAI	PTER 2 – EMPLOYEE SATISFACTION: A LITERATURE REVIE	W 7
2.1	INTRODUCTION	7
2.2	IDENTIFICATION OF THE NEGATIVE AND / OR UNHAPPY	
	EMPLOYEE	7
2.2.1	Types of unhappy employees	8
2.2.2	The identification of the unhappy employee	9
2.3	FACTORS THAT CAN CAUSE EMPLOYEE UNHAPPINESS	13
2.3.1	Decline in employee happiness	14
2.3.2	Termination interview	14
2.3.3	Factors that make employees happy and /or unhappy at work	16

THE IMPACT ON THE ORGANISATION'S EFFICIENCY	16
Job satisfaction and efficiency	17
Replacing employees	18
Improving productivity	19
Employees as the company's biggest asset	20
MATCHING PEOPLE TO JOBS	21
Hiring mistakes	22
The interview process	24
TRAINING AND EVALUATING EMPLOYEES	26
On the job training	27
Intrinsic and extrinsic factors	27
Training program development	29
Identifying training needs	30
Evaluate the training	32
THE REQUIREMENT FOR EMPLOYEE SATISFACTION	34
Job satisfaction	35
Improved productivity and efficiency	37
Conflict in the organisation	39
Effective communication	39
HAPPY EMPLOYEES EQUATE TO SATISFIED CUSTOMERS	41
Ensuring employee happiness	41
CONCLUSION	43
	Job satisfaction and efficiencyReplacing employeesImproving productivityEmployees as the company's biggest assetMATCHING PEOPLE TO JOBSHiring mistakesThe interview processTRAINING AND EVALUATING EMPLOYEESOn the job trainingIntrinsic and extrinsic factorsTraining program developmentIdentifying training needsEvaluate the trainingTHE REQUIREMENT FOR EMPLOYEE SATISFACTIONJob satisfactionImproved productivity and efficiencyConflict in the organisationHAPPY EMPLOYEES EQUATE TO SATISFIED CUSTOMERSEnsuring employee happiness

CHAPTER 3 – EMPLOYEE SATISFACTION SURVEY DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

METH	METHODOLOGY 4		
3.1	THE SURVEY ENVIRONMENT	44	
3.2	AIM OF THIS CHAPTER	44	
3.3	THE TARGET POPULATION / CHOICE OF SAMPLING METHOD	44	
3.4	DATA COLLECTION	45	
3.5	MEASUREMENT SCALES	46	
3.6	THE DEMAND FOR A QUALITATIVE RESEARCH STRATEGY	46	
3.7	SURVEY DESIGN	47	
3.8	VALIDITY AND RELIABILITY ISSUES	48	
3.9	THE RESEARCH QUESTIONAIRE	48	

RESU	LTS	50
4.1	INTRODUCTION	50
4.2	ANALYSIS METHOD	50
4.2.1	Validation survey results	50
4.2.2	Data format	51
4.2.3	Preliminary analysis	51
4.2.4	Inferential statistics	51
4.2.5	Technical report with graphical displays	51
4.2.6	Assistance to the researcher	51
4.2.7	Sample	52
4.3	ANALYSIS	52
4.3.1	Reliability testing	52
4.3.2	Descriptive statistics	54
4.3.3	Uni-Variate graphs	61
4.3.4	Comparative statistics	62
4.4	CONCLUSION	63
СНАР	TER 5 – CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	65
5.1	INTRODUCTION	65
5.2	THE RESEARCH PROBLEM / RESEARCH QUESTION REVISITED	66
5.3	INVESTIGATIVE QUESTION REVISITED	66
5.3.1	What role can management play in assuring employee satisfaction?	66
5.3.2	What role can management play to form a better trust relationship betwee	n
	factory staff and management?	67
5.3.3	What can management do to make employees feel important in their job?	68
5.3.4	What can management do to retain dissatisfied employees?	68
5.4	KEY RESEARCH OBJECTIVES REVISITED	69
5.4.1	To establish a strategy that will identify dissatisfied employees	69
5.4.2	To establish a strategy that will build a better trust relationship between	
	factory staff and management	69
5 4 2		

CHAPTER 4 – DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF

5.4.3 To determine the level of awareness pertaining to recognition and

	appreciation	70
5.4.4	To establish a strategy to retain dissatisfied employees	70
5.5	RECOMMENDATIONS	71
5.5.1	Employee dissatisfaction	71
5.5.2	Production capacity	72
5.5.3	Organisational efficiency	72
5.5.4	Profitability	73
5.5.5	Customer satisfaction	74
5.6	FINAL CONCLUSION	76
BIBL	IOGRAPHY	77
ANNE	EXURE A: DESCRIPTIVE STATICTICS FOR EACH VARIABLE	89
ANNE	EXURE B: SIMPLE STATISTICS	95
ANNE	EXURE C: VARIABLES	96
ANNE	EXURE D: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR CATEGORICAL	
	VARIABLES	101
ANNE	EXURE E: SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE	106

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Job Satisfaction versus Job Performance	18
Figure 2.2: The impact of human factors on productivity as compared with the	
impact of technology factor	19
Figure 2.3: A systematic approach to training	28
Figure 2.4: Kirkpatrick Model for Evaluating Effectiveness of Training	
Programs	34
Figure 4. 1: Management health and safety	61
Figure 4. 2: Management statements	61
Figure 4. 3: General statements	62
Figure 5.1: Improved communication model	70

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1: Research questions, sub-questions and objectives	2
Table 2.1: Factors which make employees happy / unhappy	16
Table 4.1: Cronbach's Alpha Coefficients	52
Table 4.2: Descriptive statistics for categorical variables	54
Table 4.3: Descriptive statistics for the statements	59

CHAPTER 1 – SCOPE OF THE RESEARCH

1.1 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

This research will focus on employee satisfaction in the workplace. The research will be conducted as a result of the high number of factory staff resigning due to unhappiness in their work environment. This transposes into not only productivity being lost, but also that the efficiency of the organisation is being compromised. The purpose of this research is to establish if employee satisfaction could serve as a catalyst for improved efficiency, productivity and customer satisfaction.

The research will be conducted at Anchor Lining Systems (ALS). The company is based in Cape Town South-Africa and manufactures a concrete protection liner referred to as Anchor Knob Sheet (AKS). The product is representative of a 'state of the art' robust concrete protection liner system. It is designed to protect concrete structures in chemically aggressive environments. This 'cast-in' concrete protection liner is manufactured from High Density Polyethylene (HDPE), ensuring good performance and easy customisation of the product to suit a wide range of applications and requirements.

The company has seven management staff and seventeen factory staff. During the last three years, the company experienced an average staff turnover of six employees per annum. The majority of the employees who resigned were factory staff, who are directly involved with the day to day factory operations. As a result of this dispensation, all the departments of the company are affected when an employee resigns. According to Lazear (1998:169), turnover involves cost, as new workers must be found, trained, and integrated into the firm's production process. Furthermore the new employee needs training and has to adapt to the new working environment. As a result, the organisation's efficiency is directly affected by the turnover of staff.

It is a common occurrence that many employees in the manufacturing industries are not completely satisfied with their jobs, which can be attributed to a plethora of valid business reasons. It is very important for an employee to be satisfied at his or her job, as job dissatisfaction represents an unpleasant working environment to which employees respond by searching for ways to reduce their dissatisfaction (Rosse & Saturay, 2004:1). Employees' adaptive mechanisms may operate in ways that affect organisationally-relevant outcomes, ranging from changes in job performance to such withdrawal behaviours as absenteeism or job turnover. According to Bittel and Newstrom (1990:252), an employee's

morale drops, absence and lateness increase, and it becomes increasingly difficult to obtain their cooperation when they do not get satisfaction from their jobs.

1.2 STATEMENT OF THE RESEARCH PROBLEM

The research problem to be researched within the ambit of this dissertation, reads as follows: "Employee dissatisfaction has an adverse effect on production capacity, which in turn has an impact on the organisation's efficiency, profitability and customer satisfaction".

1.3 THE RESEACH QUESTION, SUB-QUESTIONS AND OBJECTIVES

Table 1.1 reflects the research question, sub-questions and associated objectives for this research study.

Research Question	What approach could be deployed to minimise employee		
	dissatisfaction in a manufacturing organisation to improve its		
	overall efficiency, profitability and customer satisfaction?		
Research sub-questions	Research methods	Research objectives	
What role can management	Literature analysis and	To establish a strategy that will	
play in assuring employee	research survey using a	identify dissatisfied employees.	
satisfaction?	structured questionnaire		
What role can management	Literature analysis and	To establish a strategy that will	
play to form a better trust	research survey using a	build a better relationship	
relationship between factory	structured questionnaire	between factory staff and	
staff and management?		management.	
What can management do to	Literature analysis and	To determine the level of	
make employees feel important	research survey using a	awareness pertaining to	
in their job?	structured questionnaire	recognition and appreciation.	
What can management do to	Literature analysis and	To establish a strategy to retain	
retain dissatisfied employees?	research survey using a	dissatisfied employees.	
	structured questionnaire		

Table 1.1: Research questions, sub-questions and objectives

1.4 A HIGH LEVEL PERSPECTIVE ON EMPLOYEE SATISFACTION

1.4.1 Identification of the negative and / or unhappy employee

Umalme (2005:Online), poses the question why negative employees come to work every day if they are so unhappy with their jobs, the organisation, or with their managers. Furthermore, why does the negative employee not just find another job where he or she will be happier?

Umalme (2005:Online), expands on the above questions by providing the following insight thereto:

- The main goal of a negative employee is to influence the workplace in a negative way; thus, becoming toxic to the organisation's ability to effectively run its day to day activities.
- The negative employee's goal is to undermine either the organisation or the people within the organisation for various reasons.
- A negative employee is rewarded for his or her negativity inadvertently by the organisation, for example with pay increases, seniority, and good benefits etc. They have no reason not to come to work as they get paid to be negative, and to affect the attitude of their colleges.
- It is important to identify patterns versus causes of negative behaviours in the early stages of dealing with a negative employee.

1.4.2 Factors that can cause employee unhappiness

According to Heathfield (2009:Online), the following factors can cause employees to be negative and dissatisfied with their jobs:

- Too much work causing employees in not being able to complete tasks allocated per schedule.
- Concerns about the management's ability to steer the organisation forward successfully.
- Concerns about what the future hold, particular longer-term job, income and retirement security.
- Not having a challenging job, with boredom increasing existing frustration about workload.
- Not receiving the deserved recognition for the level of contribution and effort provided, and concerns that pay is not commensurate with performance.

1.4.3 The impact on the organisation's efficiency

According to Cole and Cole (2005:2), an organisation's productivity and efficiency is best achieved when employees are satisfied and when attention is paid to their physical as well as socio-emotional needs. The authors further highlight the fact that it is argued by human relations researchers, that employee satisfaction sentiments are best achieved when the organisation maintains a positive social environment, such as by providing autonomy, participation, and mutual trust. Furthermore, it is also believed that employee satisfaction have an influence on the development of routine patterns of interaction within companies.

1.4.4 Matching people to jobs

Moore (1999:Online), found that hiring people who are capable and competent for a specific job, would be keen to do the job, and would as a result be manageable. This should result in happy employees whose work will contribute to customer satisfaction and higher profits. As a rule, it takes time for a new person to adapt to his or her new environment and colleagues. Finding a reliable and skilled person for a specific position can be challenging. Employee dissatisfaction needs to be addressed at an early stage, and managers have the responsibility to ensure that their employees are satisfied in their roles.

These costs can easily result in an expense equal to approximately eighteen month's salary of the position being filled. This statistic clearly demonstrates the need and importance to hire the right person for the job the first time.

It is argued by Erickson (2005:Online), that the organisation will need to go through a series of easy steps to recruit the right employee for the right job. These steps include the following:

- Start by evaluating the company's needs.
- Selecting prospects that suit the role best for an interview.
- Interview the prospective employee.
- Select the right person for the job.

1.4.5 The value of training

Training is useful as employees can learn new scenarios that might arise in their practice. According to Moore (1998:Online), an emphasis on training, written procedures, job instructions and continuous improvement is fundamental to achieving customers' expectations and job satisfaction. Furthermore, training also ensures that the employee understands what is expected of him or her.

1.4.6 Happy employees equate to satisfied customers

Satisfied employees are loyal, more responsible and work harder than unhappy employees. The issue being that if an organisation does not have good employee satisfaction, they will not have good customer satisfaction (Cassano, 2008:Online). It is most important to recognise what the employee's needs are, and then to satisfy such needs, because if the company can satisfy its employees' needs, they will automatically satisfy customers' needs. According to Smith (2008:Online), an employee's attitude, good or bad will have a direct influence on the organisation's customers. Loyal, satisfied customers often recommend others, thus growing the company's customer base and revenues.

1.5 RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

Applied research will be conducted in this dissertation, as the research will be designed to apply its findings to solve a specific, existing problem (Collis & Hussey, 2003:10-15). The research will furthermore fall in the social world as social science has to do with how things are and why (Babbie, 2005:12) The research due to the fact that it would require intensive textual investigation will be theoretical in nature, which Remenyi, Williams, Money and Swartz (2002:76) and Leedy & Ormrod (2001:101), define as, "contemplative of the mind, on intellectual faculties". Furthermore, theoretical research is commonly associated with the phenomenological paradigm, which is used to answer questions about the complex nature of phenomena, often with the purpose of describing and understanding the phenomena from the participant's view. These concepts are also commonly referred to as the 'qualitative paradigm' (Leedy & Ormrod, 2001:101).

Within the context of the phenomenological (qualitative) research paradigm, action research will serve as the research method. There are clear tangent planes between action research and case study research. Action research is described by Gummesson (2000:116), as the method of doing case study research. According to Collis & Hussey (2003:66-63), action

research is designed to find an effective way of bringing about conscious change in a partly controlled environment.

The action research cycle is described by Coghlan and Brannick (2002:17-18) as:

- **Diagnosing:** This involves naming the provisional issues as a working theme on the basis of which action will be planned and taken.
- Planned action: This follows from the analysis of context and purpose of the project.
- **Taken action:** This highlights the plans that are implemented and interventions made.
- **Evaluation action:** This describes the outcomes of the action. This includes whether the original diagnosis was correct, action taken was correct, action taken in appropriate manner and the feedback of all actions evaluated.

Data collection will be executed using a survey, which is defined by Remenyi et al, (2002:290) as: "...the collection of a large quantity of evidence usually numeric, or evidence that will be converted to numbers, normally by means of a questionnaire". The survey will consist of a questionnaire formulated in terms of the Likert scale (Emory & Cooper, 1995:180-181) (Likert, 1932:1-55). Employees working for the company Anchor Lining Systems will form part of the sample frame. It is anticipated that 20 respondents who will be randomly selected, will complete the questionnaires.

1.6 DELINEATION OF THE RESEARCH

While the very nature of the research study can be applicable to most manufacturing organisations in South-Africa, this research study will be de-limited to include only one manufacturing company in the Western Cape, namely Anchor Lining Systems. This de-limitation is also in line with action research, which falls within the context of case study research (Yin, 2003:1).

1.7 CONTRIBUTION OF THE RESEARCH

The proposed research in this dissertation would be of specific benefit to the manufacturing industry in South-Africa, due to the high levels of staff turnover being experienced in the industry due to employee dissatisfaction. Such high levels of turnover de-stabilises the industry in that key resources are lost to organisations. If turnover can be minimised by elevating the levels of employee satisfaction then efficiency, productivity and customer satisfaction can be exponentially improved.

Chapter 2 – EMPLOYEE SATISFACTION: A LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 INTRODUCTION

Following on to the introductory notes in Chapter 1 on the primary theme of the thesis, the following aspects which will form the crux of this literature review will be expanded upon in detail below:

- Identification of the negative and / or unhappy employee
- Factors that can cause employee unhappiness
- The impact on the organisation's efficiency
- Matching people to jobs
- Training and evaluating employees
- The requirement for employee satisfaction
- Happy employee equates to satisfied customers

2.2 IDENTIFICATION OF THE NEGATIVE AND / OR UNHAPPY EMPLOYEE

Korris (2003:Online), points out that unhappy employees are the individuals who are the obvious outsiders looking in, and still trying to belong. Ostracizing these people starts small; usually with a few comments, undermining their work, forgetting to invite them to meetings, passing them over for pay increases and promotions. The other side of this equation brings a reciprocal number of reactions: anger and frustration towards the manager, defensive behaviour, paranoia, increased sick days, etc. By the time the employees reach this point, they are sitting on the sidelines watching and do not want to be involved. This results in a poisonous disease that is permeating the workplace. According to Betts (2000:257), there are various 'signs' that could indicate job dissatisfaction, namely general discontent, low productivity, low morale, poor health, high staff turnover, extended breaks, poor timekeeping, and un-cooperativeness.

It is argued by Kjerulf (2007:Online), that the following signs can be used to identify and unhappy employee:

- The employee is procrastinating.
- The employee spends Sunday nights worrying about Monday morning and the rest of the week.
- An employee is competitive about his salary and title.

- The employee does not really want to help his co-workers.
- The work days are feeling long for the employee and he often complains about how slow the day is passing.
- The employee has no friends at work.
- The employee cares about nothing at work.
- Small things are bugging the employee and this sometimes leads to an unnecessary argument.
- The employee is suspicious of his co-workers' motives.
- Physical symptoms are visible, like headaches, insomnia or low energy.

2.2.1 Types of unhappy employees

Negative employees show many signs of unhappiness in the workplace. According to Lynn (2009:Online), an unhappy employee can be an angry, bitter, gossiping, or negative person with intentions to steal other people's time and joy. The four different types of unhappy employees as identified by Lynn (2009:Online), are expanded upon below:

- The angry employee: This is a walking time bomb waiting to explode any moment should people impact upon their ego. Their ego trip is only about their feelings and interests. Angry people loudly speak their mind with no consideration of other people, verbally sling bad words, acts out physically, and possibly be abusive to all those around them. Unfortunately they cannot take criticism to better their lives and will criticise other people that have improved their lives. Bacal (2009:Online), states that angry situations become negative and destructive when they are not dealt with in the correct way. When the situations are dealt with properly, the team could get stronger and better. Federal Occupational Health (2004:Online), believes that angry behaviour can often be traced to friction that has intensified over time between the employer and employee, or supervisor and employee. Sometimes an employee's behaviour problems may be exacerbated by the employee's belief that his supervisor or manager is not offering any support. It is believed by Williams (2009:Online), that a manager should never deal with an angry employee in front of other employees. The manager should ask the individual to come into his office and work the situation out. Furthermore, ask the employee to state what is causing the problem. The manager should also ask the employee for a solution to the problem.
- The bitter employee: This type of person still holds grudges from past experiences and indulges in pity parties. Their bitterness has created mental problems from being

hurt in the past and then never dealing with these problems. People with guilty consciences often become bitter and are disappointed with life in general, people, and their job. The bitter employee has a hard time to forgive other people including himself, and resent other people's opinions especially when it relates to them. According to Fripley (2008:Online), bitter people actively look for new employees to talk to because experienced staff members have learned to keep their distance from these type of people.

- The gossipy employee: Their gossiping tongue is like a venomous snake that poisons the work environment and positive people's attitudes. The words spoken about others behind their backs are 'poison' to destroy people's happiness and success. The gossipy employee wastes valuable time with all of his gossiping, and has no ambition to reach his own goals. Abbajay (2009:Online), is of the opinion that gossip can have many adverse side effects on a company. It can increase conflict between employees and decrease morale, which will result in strained relationships. Gossip will break down the trust level within the group, which results in employees second-guessing each other and ultimately running to management to clarify the directions or instructions, or to settle the differences.
- The negative employee: Negative employees really do not want to resolve the problem and they are constantly complaining about everything. Their first thoughts and spoken words on any subject are negative words. They have no room for success, just failure and their body language is always negative with a loud voice. They will find many reasons not to pursue their dreams or goals and are mentally too lazy to think of ways to solve problems or to achieve success. Schmidt (2009:Online), argues that one employee's negativity can seriously damage the morale of an entire work team. It is believed by Wolfe (2004:Online), that a negative employee's bad attitude can tear through an organisation, disrupting productivity, uprooting employees and destroy employee morale.

2.2.2 The Identification of the unhappy employee

When a supervisor or manager is asked what they consider to be their toughest challenge on the job, their answer will be a 'disgruntled or unhappy employees'. Forget about the pressures to produce, deadlines or excessive overtime; dealing with a depressed or unhappy co-worker drains the entire team. There have been many situations in which a manager becomes obsessed with negative employees, and spends the bulk of his day finding ways to get back at them. This can also be seen as an expensive corporate game of 'cat and mouse'. Sweeney, Theresa, Landolt and Cox (2000:Online), ask the question of how a manager or supervisor can tell if an employee is unhappy? Unhappy workers display telltale signs that reveal their feelings. By knowing the signs, management and supervisors can work with unhappy employees to address the problems at an early stage. It is suggested by Sweeney *et al.* (2000:Online), that the management team look out for the following unhappy employee characteristics:

- An employee will not go the extra mile: Satisfied employees are enthusiastic to take on new challenges that expand their skills. Unhappy workers hardly ever put forth extra effort. Davlin (2008:Online), is of the opinion that the employee's productivity will begins to slide. It is argued by Bennet (2008:Online), that some employees are generally lazy and some are just unwilling to give something for nothing. Employees are just unaware of the chain reaction of positive events that come from going that extra mile.
- When focusing on problems: Unhappy employees always find something to complain about, such as their manager, salary or policies instead of focusing on general positive aspects of the job. Some complaints might be valid, but unhappy workers are more likely to focus on problems and not much else, while happy employees work together to find solutions. According to Cranny, Smith and Stone (1992:176), unhappy employees complain to his supervisor basically about everything. Sweeney *et al.* (2000:Online), are of the opinion that satisfied workers take ownership and responsibility, demonstrate commitment, work hard to overcome obstacles and learn skills, and actively seek and implement ways to improve jobs. Davlin (2008:Online), describe that a negative attitude and gossiping, is common amongst unhappy employees.
- They are always watching the clock: Unhappy workers may spend as little time at work as possible, to the point of coming in late and leaving early. Davlin (2008:Online), agrees that unhappy employees are clock watchers which would come in late and be the first ones to leave. Cranny *et al.* (1992:176), state that this type of employee takes longer lunch breaks than he or she is suppose to, arrive late at work and leave earlier than they should.

- They are disengaging themselves: Unhappy employees lack passion for their jobs. They may be more interested in office politics and chatting about non-work related subjects, than core business objectives. According to Davlin (2008:Online), there is no enthusiasm for the job, the employee is completely disengaged. It is argued by Cranny *et al.* (1992:176), that these employees can show some of the following signs: Destroy company property, bad mouth the company, ignore instructions from the supervisor, cry on the job, use drugs or alcohol, steal supplies from the company and verbally abuse his co-workers. Knight-Turvey and Abbot (2007:Online), are of the opinion that employee engagement is the key to increasing an organisation's labour productivity. The challenge is to get hesitant staff engaged and inspired to give it their all. An engaged employee is more productive, provides better customer service, is far more likely to remain with the organisation for a long period, and contributes in no small part to the firm's bottom line.
- They are often sick and tired: A high rate of absenteeism often prevails among unhappy employees. When they are at work, they appear tired or complain of maladies. Davlin (2008:Online), argues that employees are absent from work more frequently and for longer periods than before. It is argued by Cranny *et al.* (1992:176), that the employee might call in sick even though he is not sick. Balan (2009:Online), states that absenteeism is a common language faced by almost all organisations. Unhappy, demoralised employees are more likely to stay away from work, while workplace stress is still the most common cause of long-term sickness among employees. Continued absenteeism can be harmful to the company, as this leads to the loss of efficiency and effectiveness, as well as a fall in customer service and not dismissing the strain on the absent employee's co-workers.

Ever wondered why negative employees come to work every day if they are so unhappy with their job, the organisation, or with their manager? Umalme (2005:Online), ask why do negative employees not just find another job where he or she will be happier? There are two simple answers according to Umalme (2005:Online), to these questions:

The first one is that the main goal of a negative employee is to influence the workplace in a negative way; thus becoming toxic to the organisation's ability to effectively run its day to day activities. The negative employee's goal is to undermine either the organisation or people within the organisation for various reasons. It is very important to identify the patterns and causes of negative behaviours in the early stages of dealing with a negative employee. The second answer is that negative employees are rewarded for their negativity unintentionally by the organisation; with pay increases, bonuses, seniority, or other benefits.

They have no reason not to come to work, as they get paid to be negative and to affect the attitude of their colleges.

Vikesland (2002:Online), argues that the following questions can be asked to help a manager or supervisor to identify a negative or dissatisfied employee:

- Does the employee go out of his way to find something to complain about?
- Does the employee attempt to make his co-workers unhappy just for his own enjoyment?
- Does the employee get any pleasure when a new initiative in the organisation fails?
- Does the employee make the managers angry or frustrated?
- What is the general attitude of the employee towards the rest of the staff?

According to Aamodt (2007:351), the most common scale to use for measuring job satisfaction is the Job Descriptive Index (JDI). The JDI consists of a series of job-related adjectives and statements that are rated by the workers. This scale focuses on five fields of job satisfaction: supervision, pay, promotion opportunities, co-workers and the work itself. It is argued by Pennington and Riley (2005,Online), that the JDI possesses good content validity, impressive construct validity, and adequate reliability. Furthermore, very few instruments in industrial-organisational psychology have received the attention and interest of researchers that the JDI has. In addition, the JDI which is short and easy to fill out is ideal for maximisation of response and practicality of scoring. As it is well-regarded and simple to use, it has been employed in more job satisfaction research studies than any other instrument, so that comparative data can readily be found. Bittel and Newstrom (1990:34), argues that between eighty nine and ninety percent of all employees are reasonably satisfied with their jobs. This does not mean that these employees are ecstatic about their jobs.

Davlin (2008:Online), is of the opinion that the following signs can be seen with a happy employee:

- Happy employees are productive and stay productive.
- They do not just stay away from work or take days off unless it is absolutely necessary.
- A happy employee is confident in his position and finds time to help other employees.
- They are creative and are always looking for ways to improve the situation.
- Their overall attitude is positive.

2.3 FACTORS THAT CAN CAUSE EMPLOYEE UNHAPPINESS

According to Aamodt (2007:306), the difference between what an employee expected a job to be like and the reality of the job can affect both his motivation and satisfaction. More often than not employees compare what the company promised to do for them with what the company actually does. If the company does less than promised, the employee will be less motivated to perform well and this will develop a feeling of unhappiness. Calahan (2009:Online), ask is an employee's unhappiness in the workplace is due to others or to himself. The good news is, if the reason behind his unhappiness is self-based, something can be done about it. According to Bernard (2009:Online), the following explains why people are unhappy with their jobs:

- People find their jobs boring and not challenging: This is often the case when people have been in the same position for a long period of time. Managers quickly forget that this person has more to offer. Heathfield (2009:Online), argues that by not having a challenging job an employee will become bored and frustrated about the workload. The solution is not to give the employee more work, as too much work can 'drown' an employee. Bragg (2002:Online), states that although managers like to think money is the key motivator to job satisfaction, it is not. Money is only a short-term motivator, where an interesting and challenging work is the top motivator in the workplace. Employees find that work itself is a stronger motivator than the compensation for the work. Irons (2006:Online), argues that many people find that their job satisfaction is directly linked to how challenging their work is. What they are doing and who they are doing it with at work, are more important than how much they are getting paid to do it. Bittel and Newstrom (1990:34), are of the opinion that most employees expect something that is challenging their skills and in return offers a fair pay for the extra effort. Eighty percent of Americans say that their jobs are too easy, and that they prefer a more challenging job. Supervisors can minimise these complaints by, (1) making sure that the employees have enough to do, especially of he kind of work that requires their initiative and, (2) living up to their promises about promotions or relief from boring assignments for those workers who do go out to do a good job.
- **Difficult people**: More often than not it is not the specific tasks of a job that are stressful; it is the people one has to deal with that make the job difficult. Co-workers, bosses, clients and suppliers can all be difficult to get along with, or sometimes be very unreasonable in their demands. It is hard enough to deal with difficult people directly, but dealing with company politics also can make it very unpleasant.

Naukrihub (2009:Online), believes that difficult people exist in every workplace and that they can be in the form of a bad manager, a complex co-worker or a non-corporative customer. Difficult people become the problem employees for a company very soon. From an organisation's point of view, difficult people in the workplace is a problem because not only do they perform ineffectively, but also affect the other employee's productivity, and often become a bottleneck for the other employees to perform efficiently on their jobs.

2.3.1 Decline in employee happiness

Bytestart Limited (2009:Online), argues that employee happiness declines the longer people stay with the company. Eighty-two per cent of employees who have been with their current employer for two years or less are reasonably happy. This figure drops to seventy six per cent for those who have been with their employer for more than eight years. Cooper (2005:Online), mentions that employees with low job satisfaction are most likely to experience emotional burn-out, have reduced self-esteem and raised anxiety, which could even lead to depression. The research is important to employers as depression and anxiety are some of the most common reasons why employees are claiming sickness benefits, and have overtaken musculoskeletal conditions such as back pain. According to Betts (2000:388), more often than not employees divulge the true reason for resigning, although this is important because it may indicate problems in the organisation such as a flaw in personnel policy, poor supervision and management, low morale, the ineffectiveness of selection interviewing, poor performance appraisals, or an unsolved grievance.

2.3.2 Termination interview

Many organisations offer a termination interview, which is conducted by the personnel department and the supervisor or manager. Information can be gathered on the true reason for resignation and management can negotiate a mutual settlement to avoid the resignation. Small Business Notes (2009:Online), describe that an exit interview is separate from the termination meeting and information exchanged at exit interviews may benefit both the organisation and employee. The organisation may learn that a supervisor is not leading the employees as well as management thought, or may find that the employees need more training in a particular area. According to Bradley, Freeman and Gary (2009:Online), one of the best ways to steer clear of potential future conflicts is by conducting a discharge meetings or exit interview. These meetings are a highly underutilised method of learning information that may benefit the firm, by determining causes of employee turnover and also

help the employer identify potential lawsuits at a very early stage. Furthermore, avoid them through early discussion with the employee. An employer should follow the right process and take all necessary measures to insure that the discharge meeting is conducted in a sensitive and fair manner.

Bittel and Newstrom (1990:34), are of the opinion that most people can choose to work hard, or just get through the day. Only one in five people do their very best, and more often than not employees assume that an increase in output will only benefit the consumer, stockholders and managers, and not them. Most employees will work harder and better if the work they do make sense to them and is genuinely appreciated by their superiors. It has been researched by Articlesbase (2009:Online), that knowledge of his or her job description will furnish an employee with a list of responsibilities and duties. This will ensure that the employee knows what jobs he or she is suppose to do, and which jobs not to do. It is argued by Lazear (1998:392), that there are job characteristics that are desired by workers and others are less preferred. The majority of employees view flexibility as a good factor, where as almost all employees view danger as bad.

Bittel and Newstrom (1990:413), explain that mistakes made by employees are due to six reasons, and most of them begin with 'management inadequacies' rather than 'employee shortcomings'. Bittel and Newstrom (1990:413), explain that the following points could lead to potential errors:

- Employee training shortcomings.
- Bad communication in the organisation.
- Employees that do not have the right tools and equipment.
- Poor planning.
- Incomplete specifications, systems and procedures.
- The lack of attention.

2.3.3 Factors that make employees happy and unhappy at work

Bytestart Limited (2009:Online), list the following eight factors (that make employees happy and unhappy at work) which are tabulated for ease of reference:

Table 2.1: Factors which make employees h	appy / unhappy (Source: Bytestart Limited,
2009: Online)	

Happy employee	Unhappy employee
Friendly, supportive co-workers	Lack of communication from management
Enjoyable and challenging work	Feeling of being under paid
Good manager or supervisor	Lack of recognition for achievements
Good work and / or life balance	Poor boss and / or line manager
Variety of work, changing tasks	Little or limited personal development
The feeling of doing something that is	Feeling of no support or ideas being ignored
worthwhile	
The feeling of what we do makes a	Lack of opportunity for employees who are
difference	good performers
To be part of a successful team	No benefits

According to Cooper (2005:Online), firms should include the development of stress management policies to identify and remove work practices that cause most job dissatisfaction (e.g. working hours, organisational management style, workload, work control / autonomy etc.) as part of any exercise to improve employee health. Aamodt (2007:343), found that people who enjoy working with their manager, supervisor and coworkers will be more satisfied and productive. Satisfaction with supervisors and coworkers is related to organisational and team commitment, which in turn would result in higher productivity, greater efficiency and lower intent to leave the company. It is argued by Fincham and Rhodes (1999:143), that employees complain about the unsafely, dirty, noisy working conditions which offer little or no job satisfaction for their needs.

2.4 THE IMPACT ON THE ORGANISATION'S EFFICIENCY

Campbell (2009:Online), explains that a good employee is one of the organisation's greatest assets, while a poor employee is a liability. Murck (2009:Online), expresses the view that not only does a negative attitude cause an unhealthy environment, but it can eventually influence other employees and will lead to lower productivity. One good thing for managers

to know is that problem behaviour does not go away; they usually get worse if not addressed quickly. Timeliness is the most important tool in a manager's kit. Managers should not wait, because the sooner the problems are addressed, the easier it will be to resolve them. A manager should not be afraid to put the employee on the spot and give him the opportunity to answer for his behaviour. If an employee is not forthcoming with a response, the manager can still make an impact by stating that the employee's behavior is unacceptable, but he would like to give him a chance.

The consequences of harbouring an unhappy or negative employee without dealing with their problems can lead to excessive absenteeism, theft, fraud and even sometimes workplace violence (Korris, 2003:Online). With a tight labour market expected for the next several years, organisations can not afford to lose hard working productive employees due to job dissatisfaction. According to The Corporate Leadership Council (2003:Online), employee productivity depends on the amount of time that the employee is physically present at a job and also the degree to which he or she is 'mentally present' or efficiently functioning while present at a job. Companies must address both of these issues in order to maintain high employee productivity, and this may occur through a diversity of strategies that focus on employee satisfaction, health, and morale.

2.4.1 Job satisfaction and efficiency

It is argued by Berry (1998:294), that for the past fifty years, supervisors, managers and psychologists have assumed that job satisfaction will have important implications for the organisation's success. There has been enough evidence to maintain the belief that job satisfaction of employees will affect the organisation's outcomes. Berry (1998:294), argues that it seems almost common sense to say that happy and satisfied workers are more productive and efficient and that productivity problems can be solved when employee satisfaction is increased. Spector (2003:228), points out that it is clear that performance and satisfaction are related but there are two opposite explanation for this. Firstly, satisfaction might lead to performance - people are happy with their jobs, so they work harder and therefore perform better. Secondly, performance might lead to satisfaction - people who performs well are likely to benefit from that performance and those benefits result in satisfaction. An employee who performs well might receive pay benefits and recognition, which might increase job satisfaction.

This dispensation is graphically depicted in Figure 2.1.



Figure 2.1: Job Satisfaction versus job performance (Source: Spector, 2003:228).

Spector (2003:231), furthermore argues that restaurants with satisfied employees are more profitable than those with unhappy employees. Many organisations have emotional display rules requiring the expression of positive emotions, such as smiling at the customers and appearing to be enjoying their job. The required expression of certain emotions at the work place is called emotional labour, recognising that it can take effort for an employee to maintain the appearance of positive feelings. Emotional labour has been shown in the past to have both a positive and negative effect on employees. Various studies have shown that acting happy at the work place can lead to increased job satisfaction. Berry (1998:295), explains that managers are concerned about employee withdrawal and not only is employee withdrawal costly, but when people are absent from their jobs they are not producing, and they may be paid for the time that they are absent. Argano (2009:Online), points out that in today's business environment it is essential that executives and management focus on the human capital of their organisations and not just on their balance sheets and targets. Employee satisfaction directly impacts on financial performance through costs and revenue. Customer satisfaction is the indirect link between employee satisfaction and the organisation's profitability.

2.4.2 Replacing employees

Berry (1998:296), describes that when employees resign, replacements have to be hired and trained. Individuals who are dissatisfied with some parts of their job are absent more frequently, and those who are dissatisfied with the job, as a whole stay absent longer. Functional turnover is when the organisation has the opportunity to replace a poor performer who quits with one who will perform well. There is more turnover among poor performers. Dysfunctional turnover is costly to the organisation because a good performer

is leaving the firm. According to Hawthorne (2008:Online), 'dysfunctional turnover' is when an organisation's best employees are leaving, instead of its worst performers. The opposite of that situation is when the worst performing employees are leaving, and the firm is retaining its superstars which is referred to as 'functional turnover'. Lazear (1998:169), states that turnovers involve cost as new workers must be found, trained, and integrated into the firm's production process.

2.4.3 Improving productivity

Bittel and Newstrom (1990:387), are of the opinion that job satisfaction is the most important factor for improving productivity. There is an increasing need to place major emphasis on the role of job satisfaction as people control their work pace. Comparative productivity in areas dictated by high, medium and low technology operations is evident and graphically depicted in Figure 2.2.





Supervisors and managers must try to match jobs with each person's own kind of job satisfaction. Productivity gets its strongest boost from the 'human side', when supervisors support employees as much as possible in their individual search for job satisfaction. According to Bittel and Newstrom (1990:388), the idea is to balance employee's needs for

satisfaction with the organisation's need for productivity and cost control. Spector (2003:229), describes that employees quitting their jobs or turnover can be linked to low job satisfaction. Correlation of job satisfaction and turnover has been interpreted as indicating the effects of employee satisfaction on behaviour. Employee absence from work is the by-product of employee job dissatisfaction. People who are not happy or dislike their jobs will be more likely to miss work than happy employees. It is believed by Aamodt (2007:356), that employees who are unhappy or not committed to the company are more likely to miss work or leave their jobs than happy and satisfied employees. It is also known that employees with low job satisfaction and low organisational commitment are more likely to engage in a variety of counter-productive behaviours or leave their jobs. Hackett (1998:6), is of the opinion that the loss and replacement process of employees cause some disadvantage to the organisation, which can be categorised into two main headings, namely when an employee departs, and when replacing an employee.

When an employee departs:

- Training cost: Time and money that have been spent on the employee for training.
- **Lost opportunities:** This person who leaves might have achieved much more and added value to the organisation if he stayed.
- **Direct cash cost:** The employee's resignation may give rise to unforeseen payments, which could cause cash flow problems to a small firm.

Replacing an employee:

- **Lost output:** The company output will be affected and somewhere there will be a failure to produce, or to control, or to contribute to the long-term profitability.
- **Recruitment and selection costs:** Replacing an employee is expensive. Advertising costs are not cheap.
- Induction costs: New employees need training and training costs money.

2.4.4 Employees as the company's biggest asset

Marketing Innovators International (2005:Online), are of the opinion that the company employees are their most valuable asset and that their employees come first. They also mention that their organization is only as strong as their people. Research has shown that satisfied employees generate superior customer satisfaction and that in turn, satisfied customers are more profitable ones. According to Yee, Yeung and Cheng (2008:8), employees who are satisfied with their jobs tend to be more involved in their employing organisations, and more dedicated to delivering a high quality service. The direct relationship between employee satisfaction and customer satisfaction is established based on the theory of 'emotional contagion'. Emotional contagion is defined by Yee *et al.* (2008:8), as "the tendency of a person to automatically mimic and synchronize expressions, postures, and vocalizations with those of another person and, consequently, to unite emotionally". United Press International (2009:Online), explains that an employee with a higher level of psychological well-being and job satisfaction will perform better and is less likely to quit. It is argued by Bigg Success Staff (2009:Online), that employee satisfaction leads to customer satisfaction, and satisfied customers create revenue growth, which automatically leads to profit growth. The chain will never break when an organisation reinvest a portion of those profits into things that will make the employees even more satisfied.

When an organisation wishes to increase its profits, it has to determine what will make the employees happy as happy employees will stick around longer and they know what they are doing. Spector (2003:239), is of the opinion that in order for an organisation to achieve its purposes, every individual has to perform his job at some reasonable level of proficiency. This statement also holds true for government organisations, in which poor performance means a failure to provide mandated public services, as it is in private firms, in which poor performance can mean bankruptcy. People can only perform their jobs well if they have both the necessary ability and the necessary motivation. Lazear (1998:303), describes that firms exist mainly because working together is more productive and effective than working individually. Individuals can only work together productively when supervisors or managers know how to set up teams and motivate team members.

2.5 MATCHING PEOPLE TO JOBS

Aamodt (2007:105), believes that the first decision is weather to promote someone from within the company, or to hire someone externally. Employee morale and motivation will be enhanced when current employees are given the opportunity to obtain new internal positions. According to a study done in the United States the 2005 ranking of the twenty five *Best Small Companies* to work for and the *Best Medium Companies* to work for in America indicates that these companies fill more than twenty percent of their vacancies with internal employees, rather than hiring new people. It is argued by Kruger and Barr (2004:Online), that by hiring the wrong person for a job can have consequences ranging from the 'irritating' to the 'expensive' to the 'nightmarish'. Any employer knows how critical it is to hire good employees, and the cost of a mistake however, can be so much greater. Finding the right person for the job will cost the firm time, which can be tough when the organisation is desperate to get a position filled.

Robertson, Callinan and Bartram (2002:85), are of the opinion that when recruiting an outside person, it is important to find the right person for the job and this starts with advertising the position. Chapman (2009:Online), expresses the view that the best techniques for writing an effective job advertisement is the same as for any other forms of advertising. The job is the company's product; the readers of the job advert are the potential customers. The aim of the job advert is to attract interest, communicate quickly and clearly the necessary (appealing and relevant) points, and to provide a clear response process and mechanism. The design should focus on clarity or text layout, and on conveying a professional image. This technique relates mainly to designing and writing job adverts to appear in printed newspapers and magazines media, although the principles apply to other media and methods. It is important that the information is communicate effectively to the target audience.

Robertson *et al.* (2002:85), point out that this process is followed by an initial sifting of applicants, often only on the basis of information contained in the applicants resume. After a short list is created, selection tools are used to identify the prospects with the highest job potential. Effective job advertising involves providing information about a job vacancy in such a way that relevant applicants come to know about it and are attracted to apply to the position. Improved personnel selection will lead to improved organisational effectiveness. Britnell (2006:Online), states that it takes more than just the processes, systems, machines or products, it is human capital that drives financial performance. In today's knowledgeand service-based economy, the talent (the people with specialized skills and knowledge), is often the only thing that sets an organisation apart from the competition. Organisations that want to dominate their industries must attract and retain the best and brightest people. It is argued by Robertson et al. (2002:86), that by hiring better employees not only implies that their own individual tasks will be done better; it also implies that the organisation might have more flexibility in how they use workers. An example of this is where an organisation succeed in hiring intelligent, motivated reliable workers are more likely to be able to adapt to complex and unstable work environments, and take advantage of the flexibility provided by the increasingly unstructured nature of jobs and organisations.

2.5.1 Hiring mistakes

Gilberd (2009:Online), explains that more often than not, organisations make mistakes in hiring when they employ someone in their own image, and not for the job that they want them to do. Those mistakes are often made by employers who have not written down the job descriptions. Spector (2003:139), describes that the purpose of employee selection is to hire people who are likely to be successful in their position. Several approaches can be used

to ensure that the correct person is appointed. One of the most often used approaches is to have the manager of the specific department interview the applicants and decide who to hire. Robertson *et al.* (2002:87), are of the opinion that an organisation who hires the best people may get workers who learn more quickly, who make less mistakes, but they can also get workers who are easily bored, who are more likely to leave the organisation for better opportunities and who perform differently in teams. Hiring new employees and training them is one the largest expense that an organisation has to contend with (Erickson, 2005:Online).

The total cost for employee turnover includes costs associated with: Recruiting of prospects, screening of potential applicants, wages paid for management and personnel officials to assist with the interviews and training, paying for a replacement employee to fill the position during the search period and during training of the new employee, wages for the trainer, and costs associated with lower productivity rates during the six months it takes for the new employee to get up to speed. These costs can easily result in an expense equal to approximately eighteen month's salary of the position being filled. This statistic clearly demonstrates the need and importance to hire the right person for the job the first time. Erickson (2005:Online), furthermore believes that the organisation will need to go through a series of steps to recruit the right employee for the right job. The steps according to Erickson (2005:Online) include the follows:

- Start by evaluating the company's needs: The first step in finding the best employee for the open position, is to establish what the needs for the specific position are and how to rank candidate qualifications and attributes.
- Selecting prospects that suit the role best for an interview: Interested employees will start to send their resumes very shortly after the advertisements are placed. Review each application as it comes in and if practical, sort into a 'Yes', 'No', and 'Maybe' group. Prospects in the 'Yes pile' should be applicants that have all of the minimum qualifications that are required for the position, the 'No pile' will have none of the qualifications that are required, and the 'Maybe pile' will have some of the qualifications that is required.

2.5.2 The interview process

The interview process should be used to get to know the applicant. This is not the time to go over their basic qualifications, as that can be accomplished by reading their resume as it arrives. The purpose of the interview is to determine what kind of person and employee the applicant is. This can be accomplished by asking questions that will give the interview team an idea of amongst others the person's personality, his work ethics, and if the organisation wants to work with this person. Well-Run Concepts (2009:Online), argues that by interviewing job applicants gives the organisation the opportunity to learn more about their skills, work style, personality, interests and suitability for the position. If there are any special duties that the job requires, this is the ideal time to determine if the applicant is capable of, or willing to do such special requirements. Take notes about each applicant's answer, and pay attention to their body language, their appearance and their personalities. To wrap up the interview, three last questions can be asked:

- What was his / her best and worst work experience?
- Why did he / she leave the previous job?
- When are they available to start should they be chosen for the job?

After the interviews are completed and the references and experience have been verified, the company should take the necessary time to discuss the advantages and disadvantages of each applicant with the rest of the interview team. Martin and Lehnen (1992:Online), point out that the science of psychology can help an organisation to improve its employee recruitment operations. More often than not businesses rely on subjective methods in selecting employees, but this practice has several drawbacks. One disadvantage being the lack of standardisation of such methods such as the interview process, reference checks and resume assessments. This transposes in the analogy that the selection process could vary from applicant to applicant. Furthermore, these subjective procedures are not effective when it comes to accurately predicting the actual job performance of applicants. With the use of psychologically-based pre-employment tests, employers have the assurance that there is uniformity in the questions asked of applicants and in the scoring of responses, that all questions are relevant to the job, and that the whole testing process is legal and fair.

Properly selected and evaluated performance tests can be very useful in selecting better workers (Bittel & Newstrom, 1990:176). The test can be simple and direct, such as those that show whether an applicant can read or write or perform simple arithmetic that recordkeeping on the job may require. Highly specific tests may enable an applicant to demonstrate the ability to perform the special skills that the position demands. Always

check employee references. Advise the applicant that his or her employment and education will be checked and verified. It is argued by Erickson (2005:Online), that the interview team should take special note of personal impressions about how well each applicant would fit into and be able to function within the organisation. Personality conflicts will harm the company's productivity as much as the applicant's qualifications or experience.

The following questions according to Erickson (2005:Online), can be asked about the applicants:

- Will this applicant be able to work within the organisational culture?
- Does the applicant have the necessary people skills for the position?
- Does the applicant have the required qualifications for this position? If no, does he have the most important qualifications?
- How does this applicant compare with the other applicants?

According to Spector (2003:139), purely subjective hiring procedures are likely to be biased and also inaccurate. The two most important elements to remember in the employee selection process is the criterion, which is the definition of a good employee, and secondly the predictor, which is anything that is relating to the criterion. The interview should not be turned into a 'third degree' by asking too many point black questions, especially questions that can be answered with a yes or no answer (Bittel & Newstrom, 1990:172). Open-ended questions that begin with what, where, why, when and who should be asked. This will allow the applicant to talk and to show what kind of person he or she is. Open-ended questions such as the following can be asked:

- Where did the person get his most valuable experience?
- Whom did this person report to?
- Why did this person leave his previous job?
- How is the person's health?

Campbell (2009:Online), believes that the following questions should be asked to the applicant:

- Ask the applicant to describe a difficult workplace situation that he or she faced, and how he or she handled it.
- Ask the applicant how many sick days he or she has taken in the past year.
- Is the applicant able to work shifts, weekends or travel for business, even if the job does not require it?
- Explain the company policy regarding dress code, smoking, alcohol and drug use while on the job, and ask their opinions on the issues. Pay attention to body language and answers?
- Where does this person see himself / herself in five years time?

Career Services (2006:Online), suggest that the following questions be asked to the applicants:

- Ask the applicant to tell more about his education, qualifications and experiences.
- Where does this person expect to be in five or ten years from now?
- Why should the company hire this person?
- What is the applicant's idea of a salary?
- Why does the applicant want to work for the company?

Campbell (2009:Online), is of the opinion that poor employees are unsuitable for the position they fill and that they might be short of the skills needed to do the job. Also, their work habits and personalities are incompatible with the business environment. They could be excellent employees at a different workplace, but not at that specific organisation. The best time to 'weed out' unsuitable employees is before the company hires them. Once they are on the job, dealing with the problem will be time-consuming, stressful, unpleasant and could even cost the company money. It is argued by HR experts that supervisors typically spend eighty percent of their time with twenty percent of their employees (Campbell, 2009:Online).

2.6 TRAINING AND EVALUATING EMPLOYEES

Buckley and Caple (2004:5), define training as, "a planned and systematic effort to modify or develop knowledge, skills and attitude through a learning experience, to achieve effective and efficient performance in an activity or series of activities". The purpose of on the job training is to enable an individual to acquire abilities in order that he can perform adequately a given task or job. BusinessDictionary.com (2009:Online), defines on the job training as, "employee training at the place of work while the person is doing the actual job". Normally a professional trainer (or sometimes an experienced employee) acts as the course instructor, and employs the principles of learning (participation, repetition, relevance, transference, and feedback) often supported by a formal classroom training session.

According to MacMichael (2009:Online), job site training is a crucial part of teaching an organisation's employees the proper ways to do business within the company. It allows the

employees to understand exactly what will be expected of them while employed by the company, as well as teaching them the conduct rules of the organisation. Job site training is a must for every level of employee within the business. Many people assume training is only for new employees, but it is important for seasoned employees to be reminded of their tasks. Training is also useful as employees can learn new scenarios that might arise in their practice. Betts (2000:406), believes that training would be required for beginners with little or no experience of the job in order to make a positive contribution. Training provides a source for the individual to achieve competence in technical and technological aspects, in performing job tasks, and improving skills.

2.6.1 On the job training

Southwest Wisconsin Workforce Development Board (2008:Online), states that on the job training means training in specific occupational skills provided by an employer at the job site to a hired individual, while that person is engaged in productive work on the job. On the job training provides the knowledge or skills necessary to the full and adequate performance of the job. Participants eligible for on the job training are those who would not have been hired by the organisation due to lack of skills or qualifications and who have been determined to be in need of the training to be productive in the occupation. It is argued by Buckley and Caple (2004:5), that by training an employee, they can gain greater intrinsic or extrinsic job satisfaction. 'Intrinsic' job satisfaction will come when an employee performs a task well and when he is able to exercise a newly learned skill. 'Extrinsic' job satisfaction will come from extra earnings through improved job performance and the enhancement of career and promotion prospects both within and outside the company. This will benefit the organisation as it will improve employee work performance and productivity, shorter learning time, decrease in wastage, less accidents, less absenteeism, lower labour turnover, and greater customer satisfaction.

2.6.2 Intrinsic and extrinsic factors

Bowditch and Buono (2005:84), explain that 'extrinsic rewards' are outcomes that come when we think of general rewards like pay, benefits and bonuses as recognition for performance. Contrasting to extrinsic rewards, 'intrinsic rewards' are more intangible in nature and include things like feelings of achievement, growth and challenges in the job, and esteem. Intrinsic rewards are intimately related to the nature of work itself, while extrinsic rewards are related to material aspects of the work being done. Within the context of Herzberg's motivator-hygiene theory, motivators are classified as intrinsic in nature while hygiene factors are more extrinsically orientated. Fincham and Rhodes (1999:143), found that clerks complain about the lack of intrinsic satisfaction, even though this is due to the routine nature of the work and declining status and pay, rather than poor conditions.

Turner and Brown (2004:Online), argue that job satisfaction is derived from the work itself and that those factors intrinsic to the job provide the true satisfaction from the work. The intrinsic factors (job satisfiers) and extrinsic factors (job dissatisfiers) are not totally independent, as there are some factors, which seem to influence both, e.g. salaries, promotions, coworkers. Warr (2007:Online), explains that job satisfaction can be described in terms of a relative emphasis on intrinsic or extrinsic features. It focuses on either satisfaction with the job content (intrinsic features), like the amount of responsibility or with extrinsic features such as the rate of pay. Andrew, Faubion and Palmer (2002:Online), are of the opinion that intrinsic factors are the work itself, recognition, and achievement and can be seen as 'satisfiers' or 'motivators'. The authors explain that extrinsic factors are the working conditions, co-workers, and compensation which can be seen as 'dissatisfiers'. To avoid dissatisfaction and to ensure job satisfaction, the organisation has to warrant that positive extrinsic job factors and positive intrinsic factors are present.

Buckley and Caple (2004:25), suggest that a 'systems approach', which is graphically depicted in Figure 2.3 can be applied at organisational level to examine the broader issues of the goal, function and appropriateness of the training.



Figure 2.3: A systematic approach to training (Source: Buckley & Caple, 2004:25).

2.6.3 Training program development

According to Spector (2003:165), one of the most important steps in developing a training program is setting the objectives. Unless the purpose of the training is clear, it is difficult to design a training program to achieve it. The training objectives are based on criteria and should include a statement of what the trainee should be able to do or know after the training session. The training criterion is a statement of how achievement of the training objectives can be assessed. Criteria are serving as the basis for the design of organisational training. Only after one knows what the training criterion is, can one design appropriate training to achieve it. A training program is not completed until its effectiveness has been properly evaluated. The evaluation involves research to determine whether the training program had its intended effects. Aamodt (2007:263), suggests that a needs analysis has to be conducted to develop an employee training system. The main purpose of the needs analysis is to determine the types of training that are needed in the organisation as well as the extent to which training is a practical means of achieving the company's goals. It is argued by hrVillage.com (2007:Online), that the basic steps to effectively design a training program are to:

- Define the training needs and goals.
- Define and identify the target audience to receive training.
- Develop the content to that will be used in training.
- Define the desired outcomes to be achieved from training.

McNamara (2008:Online), points out that there are many sources of online information about training and development of employees at different levels in an organisation. There are several reasons why supervisors and managers should conduct training among employees. These reasons are listed below:

- To increase job satisfaction and morale among employees.
- To increase employee motivation.
- To increase efficiencies in processes which will result in financial gain.
- To increase capacity to adopt new technologies and methods.
- To increase innovation in strategies and products.
- To reduce employee turnover.
- To enhance the company's image, e.g., conducting ethics training (not a good reason for ethics training).
- Risk management training, e.g., training about sexual harassment, diversity training.

According to Moore (1999:Online), an emphasis on training, written procedures, job instructions and continuous improvement is fundamental to achieving customer expectations. Bartram and Gibson (1998:3), explain that it is important to analyse the training needs, as this provides a focus and direction for the investment that the organisation has to make in its people. Hackett (1998:98), argues that a training gap analysis should be conducted to establish the new employee's current skills and knowledge in relation to the requirements of the job, to highlight what he or she needs to learn before the applicant can be expected to perform to the required standard. It is argued by Spector (2003:78), that for employees to improve and maintain their job performance, job skills and job satisfaction, they need constant feedback from their supervisors. One of the major roles of supervisors is to provide information to their subordinates about what is expected of them and how well they are meeting those expectations. Employees need to know when they are performing well, so that they can continue to do so and when they are not, so that the can change and do better. Even employees who are performing really well on the job can benefit from feedback about how to improve and perform better. According to Spector (2003:78), feedback is also useful in telling employees how to improve their skills to move up to a higher position.

2.6.4 Identifying training needs

Bittel and Newstrom (1990:218), state that employees will learn with or without training, and what they learn without proper training in the workplace may be good, or may only be partially correct. It can not be expected of employees to read instruction manuals to learn their job. There are two major ways to identify training needs, representing the gaps between the 'expected' and the 'actual' performance. For informal approaches, the manger or supervisor should be on the alert for the following conditions, namely: too much scrap or rework, sub-par production rates, out-of-line operating costs, high accident rate, excessive overtime and a general state of poor morale. The formal approaches to assessing training needs are much more structured. Here management attempt to identify the people who need training and the type of training they need, and whether or not training is the viable solution to performance problems.

According to Bittel and Newstrom (1990:219), common methods of assessing needs include the use of surveys, interviews, and skills tests and by observing employees while they are working. According to Davlin (2008:Online), recruiting and training the right employee can be an expensive and time-consuming process. By retaining these employees during times of economic crisis is an investment to any organisation's future. Bittel and Newstrom (1990:223), are of the opinion that all training is costly and that the direct costs pertaining to the training are the training materials, visual aids and outside instructors. Indirect costs include the time of both supervisors and trainees, the effects of errors made, and the lost productivity during the training period.

Experience has shown that training programs need to be customised to meet specific training needs (Berry, 1998:157). The training should be designed to accommodate existing procedures at the company. Before any training is conducted, two questions need to be asked, namely: Is there a need for the training, and what is the nature of this need? Supervisors or managers should not be too quick to think that training is needed when something is not going well in the factory. Training is best when a performance problem is due to a lack of ability or skill. Betts (2000:16), explains that two types of training are used to train employees. The first is informal training where the employee learns his job by making mistakes and avoiding as a result of these experiences. Trial and error is considered to be an essential part of training, but must be supplemented by formal training to form a sound framework for future action. Formal training must have suitable training facilities, a syllabus, appropriate lectures and strong support from management, who should ensure adequate follow-up after the course. On the job training provides an employee with the opportunity to learn the job by doing it. According to Berry (1998:158), there are three occasions when training is required. The first one is when new employees are hired. The second one is when new jobs are developed or when old ones are redesigned, present employees will lack the necessary skills for that position. The last one is when the company changes its products, or buys new equipment.

Kurtus (1999:online), found that the goal of training an employee is to impart a skill or knowledge, and the desired outcome of the training process is that the learner is sufficiently skilled in performing a task, such that he can pass a competency test proving that skill. Berry (1998:167), describes that the most important goal of employee training is to improve performance and efficiency. Training is meant to develop behavioural tendencies that are useful when a similar situation is encountered on the job. It is argued by Thachappilly (2009:Online), that the primary objectives of employee training programs are to develop employee competence and positive attitudes. Competence can be defined as, "the ability to perform well in a specific job and this ability comes from skill, knowledge, and behavior". Knowledge provides the expertise and information, while skill is the capacity to bring about the desired result. The employee's behavior can either help or hinder the achievement of the desired results.

Berry (1998:172), mentioned that one of the following training techniques can be used for improving an employee's knowledge and skill:

- Lectures: Oral presentation of a topic.
- Moderate panel discussion: Group of experts discuss a topic while trainees listen.
- **Demonstrations:** Presentation with explanations of what the trainer is doing.
- Question and answer: Trainer or trainees asks questions to one another.
- Case study: Presentation of a real life problem that employees might encounter.
- **Discussion groups:** Discussing problems in small groups.
- Role play: Trainees act out a real problem and discussions follow.
- Behaviour modelling: Demonstrating and participating in a behavioural process.
- **Structured experiences:** Allows trainees to learn interpersonal or communication skills.
- Simulation: Create the characteristics of a real life work situation.
- **Programmed instruction:** Self-instruction training technique.

Robertson *et al.* (2002:128), believe that to maintain a competitive edge in the global economy, investment in training and processes that support effective transfer of training into the workplace needs to be increased within the more established economies. Training must be seen as part of a change management programme, and the success or failure of a training intervention will depend on how well the change represented by a training intervention is managed. According to Lazear (1998:142), on the job training is the most significant type of human capital investment for personnel analysts, as this is not only because the firm provides the training, but also because the type and timing of the training must be coordinated with compensation. On the job training is an investment in human capital that is effective for the organisation's productivity.

2.6.5 Evaluate the training

Training must be evaluated, but evaluation is a sensitive issue among trainers as the evaluation measures seems to be designed to show only positive effects (Berry, 1998:179). When the training evaluation shows mediocre or negative results the trainer could risk losing his job. Trainers are not very enthusiastic about telling management that their training was not successful. Aamodt (2007:217), noted that the most important use of training evaluation is to improve employee performance by feedback about how the employee is doing. Employee training should be an ongoing process, where managers or supervisors should meet with employees and discuss their weaknesses and strengths with

them. But more importantly, this time should be used to determine how to correct and improve the employee's weaknesses. Hackett (1998:134), states that a great deal of money can be wasted on training if there is no evaluation system in place to determine if the training has been done properly.

The United States Department of Labour (2009:Online), argues that evaluation of training within the work environment can assist an organisation in learning more about itself. It is important to understand the purpose of the evaluation before planning it and choosing a method to do it. Some advantages of using evaluations are difficult to directly witness, but when done correctly they can influence an organisation in a positive way. According to The United States Department of Labour (2009:Online), training evaluation assists in improving efficiency and effectiveness of:

- The training content and methods.
- The use of organisation money, personnel, and other resources.
- Employee's performance
- Organisational productivity.

Through evaluation, the trainers can:

- Recognise the improvements to be made in their teaching skills.
- Are provided with suggestions from trainees for improving future training.
- Can determine if their training matches the workplace needs.

According to Maddrell (2006:Online), training evaluation is used for the purposes of making judgments about the worth or success of the actual training. The evaluation methods should emphasise the ultimate outcomes of improved group or organisation performance. An evaluation strategy builds the organisation's potential to increase the performance and business value of the training investment. Training evaluation generates information that will help the organisation value or judge the results of a training session, and trigger or support a decision regarding the training, the trainer or the organisation. It is believed by Business Performance (2008:Online), that many training programs do not succeed to achieve the expected organisational benefits. By having a well-structured measuring system in place, it can help the organisation to determine where the problems lie. Being able to demonstrate a real and significant benefit to the company from the training that is provided can help the organisation gain more resources from important decision-makers.

The most renowned and used model for measuring the training effectiveness was developed by Donald Kirkpatrick in the late 1950s, which is graphically depicted in Figure 2.4.

Level 4 – Results	How did the organisation benefits from the training?
Level 3 – Behavior	To what degree did participants change their behavior back in the workplace as a result of the training?
Level 2 – Learning	To what degree did the participants improve their knowledge and skills and change attitudes as a result of the training?
Level 1 – Reaction	How did the participants react to the training program?

Figure 2.4: Kirkpatrick Model for Evaluating Effectiveness of Training Programs (**Source:** Business Performance: Online).

Considering that the business environment is not static, the company's competitors, technology, legislation and regulations are also constantly changing. Training programs that were successful yesterday, may not be a cost-effective program tomorrow. By being able to measure results, will help the organisation to adapt to such changing circumstances and evaluation is necessary at each level to answer whether the fundamental requirement of the training program have been met. It is not that conducting an evaluation at one level is more important that another. All the levels of evaluation are equally important.

2.7 THE REQUIREMENT FOR EMPLOYEE SATISFACTION

Spector (2003:188), points out that motivation can be defined as, "an internal state that is inducing a person to engage in particular behaviours". Motivation can also be seen as the desire to acquire or achieve some goals. Motivation is mainly derived from a person's wants, needs or desires. It is argued by Bittel and Newstrom (1990:269), that motivation is a power that arises within an individual to satisfy one or more needs. Berry (1998:234), describes work motivation as the arousal, direction, and persistence of behaviour. Robertson *et al.* (2002:159), argue that motivation concerns the forces, which initiate, direct and maintain behaviour, and which also establish the intensity of that behaviour. Values can be considered as important drivers and motivators of human behaviour

(Robertson *et al.* 2002:173). Values can be thought of as concepts or ideas that are important to a person who leads to certain behaviours. Robbins and De Cenzo (2001:267), define motivation as, "the willingness of an individual to do something; it is conditioned by this action's ability to satisfy some need for this individual". A need in turn can be defined as, "physiological or psychological deficiency that makes certain outcomes seem attractive" (Robbins & De Cenzo, 2001:267).

Bowditch and Buono (2005:84), state that the final distinction in types of rewards and motivation is the difference between intrinsic and extrinsic rewards, and how these kinds of motivations are related to performance and satisfaction. Betts (2000:257), believes that motivation is vital for improving productivity and job satisfaction and that improved job satisfaction can improve mental and physical health. According to Spector (2003:210), job satisfaction is an attitudinal variable that reflects how people feel about their jobs overall as well as about various aspects of the job. Many people might think that pay is a strong determinant of job satisfaction, but it relates more strongly with the facet of pay satisfaction. Job satisfaction is almost always assessed by asking employees how they feel bout their jobs, either with a questionnaire or by interviewing them. Betts (2000:253), argues that the responsibilities and tasks in a job have critical influence on an individual's job satisfaction, motivation and the organisation's productivity.

2.7.1 Job satisfaction

Free Management Library (2009:Online), found that job satisfaction pertains to a person's feelings or state-of-mind regarding the nature of their work. Job satisfaction can be influenced by a range of factors, e.g., the quality of an employee's relationship with their supervisor, the quality of the physical environment in which the person work, degree of fulfilment in their work, etc. The two main features of job satisfaction according to Betts (2000:257), are job design, and matching the individual with the job. The job includes all the intrinsic and extrinsic factors; matching includes all of the individual's characteristics. This author defines job satisfaction as, "the individual's perception of the job, who determines the level of satisfaction based upon psychological and physiological needs". Berry (1998:234), explains that job satisfaction can be defined as, "a job attitude", and can be studied along with other attitudinal concepts, such as morale, job involvement and organisational commitment.

Wikipedia (2009:Online), defines job satisfaction as, "the happier employees are within their job, the more satisfied they are said to be". Job satisfaction is not the same as motivation, although it can be linked. Job design aims at enhancing job satisfaction and performance with methods such as job rotation, job enlargement and job enrichment. Other influences on job satisfaction include the management style and culture, employee involvement, empowerment and co-workers. It is also argued by Berry (1998:234), that job satisfaction is an individual's reaction to the job experience, whereas morale is about a whole group of employees and includes their general level of satisfaction with the company. Attitude is a hypothetical construct and cannot be measured, but the reflection of the attitude in behaviour and in reports of thoughts and feelings can be measured. Berry (1998:281), points out that it has been found that in studies of job satisfaction, jobs are treated as if they are single uniform entities, while job satisfaction is viewed as a single attitude.

For many employees, some aspects of the job are satisfying while others are not, and to understand satisfaction, the components of the job need to be considered. An employee's job can be defined as an interacting set of tasks, roles, and relationships with other people. "What is a job...a job is a collection of tasks and each task should be clear to the employee" (Lazear, 1998:442). Fincham and Rhodes (1999:143), are of the opinion that when an employee say he is happy with his job, he is usually expressing something more like a feeling about his job rather than his thoughts about it. Seeing job satisfaction as effective rather than a cognitive response, means the concept can be placed more squarely in a broader mental health context. Research on person-job fit has looked at the correspondence between what people say they want and what they say they have (Spector, 2003:226). The difference between 'having' and 'wanting' represents the amount of fit of person to job. Studies over the years have been consistent in showing that the smaller the discrepancy between having and wanting, the greater the job satisfaction. Hackett (1998:170), explains that job satisfaction can be increased by improving the following four factors:

- **Ergonomics:** Can play a major role in job satisfaction. By designing jobs to fit employees and not the other way around may contribute to job satisfaction or the avoidance of frustration.
- Job rotation: Can also be cynically defined as swapping one boring monotonous job for another boring job. The idea of job rotation is that employees derive greater satisfaction when they experience variety in their work. This creates greater flexibility and improved utilisation in human resources.

- Job enlargement: When the supervisor adds additional jobs or tasks to the worker's current job. This gives greater variety in job content and has some benefits in terms of increased intrinsic satisfaction.
- Job Enrichment: Involves adding to the work cycle work of a more responsible, rather than just a different, nature which means in simple terms to give the employees the responsibility and authority to make decisions.

Bittel and Newstrom (1990:250), argue that satisfaction for an employee comes from truly motivating factors such as interesting and challenging work, utilisation of one's capabilities, opportunity for the employee to do something meaningful, recognition of achievement from his or her manager, and the responsibility for one's work. Dissatisfaction can occur when the following factors are not present on the job: good pay, adequate holidays, pension, save working conditions, and congenial people to work with. According to Bittel and Newstrom (1990:252), an employee's morale drops, absence and lateness increases and it becomes increasingly difficult to obtain their cooperation when they do not get satisfaction from their job. Davlin (2008:Online), mentioned that management should communicate with their employees on a regular basis. An open, honest discussion is the best way to secure loyalty and productivity. When a manager or supervisor sees a specific employee problem, it should be addressed with the employee immediately and discreetly. Employees should be allowed the opportunity to share their concerns with management, the latter which should be honest about their observations. By 'clearing the air', can often help both the employer and employee to turn a potentially negative situation into an opportunity for personal and professional growth.

2.7.2 Improved productivity and efficiency

It is believed by Cole and Cole (2005:2), that an organisation's productivity and efficiency is best achieved when employees are satisfied, and when attention is paid to their physical as well as socio-emotional needs. It is argued by human relations researchers that employee satisfaction sentiments are best achieved when the organisation maintains a positive social environment, such as by providing autonomy, participation, and mutual trust. It is also believed that employee satisfaction have an influence on the development of routine patterns of interaction within companies.

The Harvard Business Review reports that a five percent increase in retention can lead to a twenty five to sixty five percent productivity gain (Far, 2005:Online). The lesson is clear; organisations cannot afford to lose employees as the cost associated with loosing employees

is just too high. It sounds obvious that employee satisfaction leads to employee retention, but how does an organisation create employee satisfaction? Some of the answers are surprising as a salary is crucial but not sufficient for ensuring job satisfaction. This can also be seen that the financial compensation of an employee can cause dissatisfaction but generally is not a cause for satisfaction. Companies can usually forget about buying employee satisfaction, which will leave them with other less-expensive ways to increase satisfaction. This is great news for smaller companies that typically do not have huge monetary resources. According to Cranny *et al.* (192:56), job satisfaction is highly affected by the following:

- The work itself.
- The supervisor or manager.
- The co-workers.
- Salary.
- Promotions.

Research has shown that a work environment that helps to increase a person's self-esteem will actually result in more satisfied workers. The explanation according to Far (2005:Online), is simple: People who feel good at their job will work harder, be more efficient and will ultimately be more satisfied. It is possible to raise an employee's self-esteem, but it requires a conscious effort. Typically, an organisation needs to ensure that employees feel that they are actively involved in achieving results, that their efforts are recognised and appreciated and that they are an important member of the company's success. Among other things, this will require that management treats the employees with respect, praise and reward accomplishments (and attempts) liberally and communicate openly the employee's responsibilities and what they expect. Far (2005:Online), points out that it is critical to try and make the work environment friendly and fun. Marketing Innovators International (2005:Online), suggest the following to ensure that employees are satisfied:

- Ensure that employees have honourable leadership.
- Make sure that management listens and responds.
- The organisation must have a strong reward and recognition systems.
- Ensure that individual and organisational goals are well defined and understood.
- Ensure that organisations lay emphasis on training.
- The importance of communication can not be emphasized enough.
- The same goes for measurement as it is just as important.
- Empower employees who will act in the customers' best interest.

2.7.3 Conflict in the organisation

Although employees do promise to honour and obey their employer, conflict will at some point happen (Korris, 2003:Online). People are born to disagree as everyone has individual opinions and different motivations that lead to an eventual clash. If management deals with the clash unfairly, then the 'honeymoon period' will end sooner than expected. Both the employee and employer will question whether the hiring decision was the right decision and the feeling of betrayal is no different than that found in a personal relationship. 'Second-guessing' the hiring choice at this point can start to create a feeling of insecurity and looking over one's shoulder. Every company deals with conflict in a different way. Is a differing opinion by a staff member looked upon with contempt or is it considered a healthy difference of opinion? Are performance reviews carried out in a fair and consistent manner by all supervisors or are some tougher with their praise than others?

2.7.4 Effective Communication

According to Bowditch and Buono (2005:115), communication is one of the fundamental processes that management needs to follow. From a management perspective, communication can be analysed in terms of three broad functions:

- **Production and regulation:** Here the communication is focused on getting the work done and meeting the organisational output objectives and targets such as quality control
- **Innovation:** Messages about new ideas and changing procedures that will help the organisation to adapt and to respond to its environment
- Socialisation and maintenance: The communication is focused on the involvement, interpersonal relationships, and motivating individuals in the company. Each of the points mentioned above is important for managing an organisation effectively and efficiently and will lead to employee satisfaction.

It is argued by Bittel and Newstrom (1990:298), that effective communication helps to develop positive working relationships between the employee and supervisor or manager. Berry (1998:410), explains that communication and interaction is required within all jobs, and communication is the transmission and exchange of information. One critical problem in organisational communication is failure to receive or understand a message. Jobsite (2009:Online), found that good communication between management and staff, and working with friendly colleagues are vital to being happy at work. However, the

individual's attitude plays a major role, and by adopting a happy, positive attitude the employees may get much more out of their job.

Robbins and De Cenzo (2001:330), are of the opinion that words have different meanings to different people. The initiation of a message is no assurance that it is received or understood as intended by the messenger. Communications often become distorted when transmitted from one person to another, and involves the transfer of meaning. When no information or idea has been conveyed, communication has not taken place. Communication can only be successful when the message is imparted and also understood. According to Aamodt (2007:382), problems in communication occur more often than not as a result of the channel through which the message is transmitted. Information can be communicated in a variety of ways, such as orally, nonverbally, through a second party, or through a written medium which is normally a memo or letter. The same message can be interpreted in different ways based on the channel that is used to communicate it and this is why it is important to ensure that the message is understood as it is meant.

Gravett (2002:Online), believes that managers and supervisors should ask themselves the following questions:

Question 1: Does the employee understand what is expected of him or her? This can cause employees a huge amount of frustration because the objectives and goals they thought were critical for their position's success were not the same objectives and goals their superior had in mind.

Question 2: Does the employee have the resources available to successfully complete his job? This can also cause frustration and a negative attitude when the expectations are clear enough but the resources are not provided to do the work.

Question 3: Can the employee see that the manager or supervisor care about him as a person? One of the most significant factor that kept employees with an organisation was their relationship with their direct supervisor. If there were no respect and understanding, employees would left their jobs even if the pay and other working conditions were positive.

Question 4: Does the managers or supervisors listen to the employee's opinion? Do the employees believe that his supervisor is really listening to his opinion?

Question 5: Do employees have the chance to learn and grow in this job? Many employees do not want to job hop every year or two, but they are forced to move to a new job if the company can not provide role models, training, mentoring, and professional development.

2.8 HAPPY EMPLOYEES EQUATE TO SATISFIED CUSTOMERS

Research has shown that it is the engagement and commitment of employees towards the brand, proposition, and ultimately to the customer, has a huge impact on efficiency and profitability (Dandapani, 2007:Online):

- Organisations with highly engaged employees have generated a 200% higher threeyear return to shareholders, than low commitment companies between 1999 and 2002.
- Over the past five years the companies whose employees have rated their workplace as a great places to work have shown a 25% growth in share and dividend returns, compared to 6,3% for the rest.
- 70% of customer brand perception is determined by experiences with people; and 41% of customers are loyal because of the positive and good employee attitude.

In the manufacturing industry, a large percentage of customer satisfaction is probably determined by the quality of the product (Davey, 2008:Online). In the opinion of this author the quality of the product is directly linked to happy employees. Cassano (2008:Online), states that the main thing to remember is that if an organisation does not have good employee satisfaction they will not have good customer satisfaction. It is most important to recognise what the employee's needs are and then to satisfy their needs, because if the company can satisfy its employees' needs, they will automatically satisfy the customers' needs. According to Parmar (2008:Online), many people believe that 'happy employees lead to an effective organisation'. Research does show that employee satisfaction. Jasrotia (2000:Online), is of the opinion that 'happy employees create happy customers'. He also believes that the right environment fosters high standards of professionalism and performance.

2.8.1 Ensuring employee happiness

Klebanow (2008,Online), argues that it is not easy to keep employees happy so that they continue to provide great customer service. Firstly, it takes a commitment from senior leadership, and this commitment is then manifested throughout the property so that employees know intuitively that the organisation's management team cares about them.

Management's commitment to the happiness and comfort of its employees is best manifested through the following ongoing initiatives:

- A great dining room: Employees not only go there to eat, but also to relax during their breaks or unwind after their shifts. For many employees the meal they eat in the dining room may be the primary meal or only meal of the day.
- Employee locker rooms: Clean, secure and well-maintained locker rooms are another area where the organisation can have a positive impact on employee attitudes and make their employees happy. This will require both a capital commitment and an ongoing obligation to maintain the locker and shower areas so that this area remains clean and comfortable.
- **Convenient and safe parking**: By providing a safe and secure place to park is important not only to the organisation's customers but to its employees also. Employees need the assurance of a well-lit and secure parking area and that there is adequate surveillance and security.
- **Provide employees with the right tools to succeed**: Employees need the right tools to do good job.
- Management matters: Managers and supervisors are accountable for maintaining employee satisfaction.

Rosenbluth (2008, Online), explains that happiness in the workplace is a strategic advantage for an organisation. Service comes from the heart, and employees who feel cared for will care more. While the "happy employees = happy customers" equation is oversimplified, in reality, is it is hard to have one without the other. It is important to also consider the other extreme of how unhappy employees can affect the organisation's customers, particularly when employees are frustrated in their efforts to serve customers. It is not difficult for customers to pick up on signals of employee dissatisfaction, whether conveyed inadvertently or deliberately. An unhappy customer is not only capable of taking his business away from the company; he may also harm existing and potential business by publicly airing and sharing his complaints. This negative talk was manageable, pre-Internet, when dissatisfied customers would share their experience with five or ten people. Gage (2009:Online), found that committed employees can literally turn a floundering organisation around. When people feel appreciated, they tend to be more loyal, creative, and responsible. They are willing to do what ever it takes to keep the customers happy. They are less likely to undermine the organisation's success or merely be in a state of contentment while at work. Satisfied and loyal employees make excellent public relations representatives, who truly have the desire to make the customers happy and keep them happy.

Service Untitled (2007:Online), explains that there will always be really outstanding people that will provide excellent service and maintain a good attitude even if the rest of the things are not that great. Those people are very rare and would provide an *even better* service if they were in a position that they really liked. An organisation should aim to make all of its employees happy. Happy employees are a lot more motivated and will provide quality service. Customer service is at least fifty percent attitude and employees have better attitudes when they are happy.

2.9 CONCLUSION

Things have changed and today, 'word-of-mouth' has become 'word-of-mouse' with disgruntled customers going online to get their own form of retribution (Rosenbluth, 2008:Online). An unhappy customer knows exactly how to leverage consumer generated media to reach millions of people with a single click. The Internet has made it possible for people to become vocal, human megaphones who use every opportunity to express their dissatisfaction and displeasure to others through blogs and 'your company sucks.com' websites. With so much at stake and considering the competition in the market place, who can afford to ignore the happy employees-happy customers equation? It is believed by Martlew (2006:Online), that when employees have job satisfaction and feel valued, appreciated and respected for the work they do, their attitude is portrayed in the service they provide to the customers. It is only fair to assume that happy employees result in happy customers and a successful business.

CHAPTER 3 – EMPLOYEE SATISFACTION SURVEY DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

3.1 THE SURVEY ENVIRONMENT

Anchor Lining Systems manufactures a High Density Polyethylene product which is used in the construction industry. The factory consists of management staff and factory staff. The factory staff work two shifts per day, and there are eight (8) people per shift. Each shift has a supervisor which is normally a senior member of the shift with more experience than the rest of the staff.

3.2 AIM OF THIS CHAPTER

The aim of this chapter and the survey contained therein is to determine the key factors for employee satisfaction amongst Anchor Lining System's employees. The ultimate objective being to solve the research problem as defined in Chapter 1, Paragraph 1.2, and which reads as follows: 'Employee dissatisfaction has an adverse effect on production capacity, which in turn has an impact on the organisation's efficiency, profitability and customer satisfaction'.

3.3 THE TARGET POPULATION / CHOICE OF SAMPLING METHOD

It is required with any survey, that the target population be clearly defined, which is defined by Collis & Hussey (2003:56) as, "A population is any precisely defined set of people or collection of items which is under consideration".

According to Collis and Hussey (2003:155-160), a sample is made up of some of the members of a 'population' (the target population), the latter referring to a body of people or to any other collection of items under consideration for the purpose of research. The 'sampling frame' according to Vogt (1993) and cited by Collis and Hussey (2003:155), is representing a list or record of the population from which all the sampling units are drawn. One questionnaire will be distributed to obtain the required data for statistical analysis (descriptive and inferential statistics). This questionnaire will be directed at a sample frame of 15 of ALS factory staff and 5 management staff, which will be randomly selected. The objective of this questionnaire is to elicit their opinions of the current management system and level of employee satisfaction.

According to Babbie (2005:196-197), there are two reasons for using random selection methods. First, this procedure serves as a check on conscious or unconscious bias on the part of the researcher. Random selection erases the danger of the researcher who selects cases on an intuitive basis to support his or her research expectations or hypotheses. Second, random selection offers access to the body of probability theory, which provides the basis for estimating the characteristics of the population as well as estimates of the accuracy of the samples.

The sampling frames were specifically chosen in order to validate the practicality of the concepts as presented here. However, the risk of bias, which cannot be statistically eliminated, is recognised by the author due to the small number of respondents to the employee satisfaction survey.

3.4 DATA COLLECTION

Emory and Cooper (1995:278), distinguish between three primary types of data collection (survey) methods namely:

- Personal interviewing
- Self-administered questionnaires / surveys
- Telephone interviewing

The primary data collection method used in this survey is the self-administered questionnaires / surveys.

The survey conducted in this dissertation falls within the ambit of the 'descriptive survey' which is determined by the purpose of the study (Ghauri, Grønhaug and Kristianslund 1995:58-64). The data collection methods used fall within the ambit of both the definitions attributed to the concepts 'survey' and 'field study'. Remenyi *et al.* (2002:290), define the concept of 'survey' as: "...the collection of a large quantity of evidence usually numeric, or evidence that will be converted to numbers, normally by means of a questionnaire", while according to Gay and Diebl (1992:238), 'survey', is an attempt to collect data from members of a population in order to determine the current status of that population with respect to one or more variables. Kerlinger (1986:372), defines 'field study' as non-experimental scientific inquiries aimed at discovering the relations and interactions among ... variables in real ... structures. As with the case of most academic research, the collection of data forms an important part of the overall dissertation content. According to Leedy and Ormrod (2005:185), a questionnaire allows the participants to respond to questions with

assurance that their responses will be anonymous. This means the respondents can be more truthful than they would be in a personal interview.

3.5 MEASUREMENT SCALES

The survey is based on the Likert scale, where respondents are asked to respond to questions or statements (Parasuraman 1991:410). The Likert scale is chosen as the scale can be used in both respondent-centred (how responses differ between people) and stimulus-centred (how responses differ between various stimuli) studies, and it is most appropriate to glean data in support of the research problem in question (Emory and Cooper 1995:180-181). According to Emory and Cooper (1995:180-181), the following are the advantages of the Likert scale:

- Easy and quick to construct.
- Each item meets an empirical test for discriminating ability.
- The Likert scale is probably more reliable than the Thurston scale, and it provides a greater volume of data than the Thurston differential scale.
- The Likert scale is also treated as an interval scale.

According to Remenyi *et al.* (2002:153-154), interval scales facilitate meaningful statistics when calculating means, standard deviation and Pearson correlation coefficients.

3.6 THE DEMAND FOR A QUALITATIVE RESEARCH STRATEGY

The author acknowledges that numerous strategies can be applied to similar research projects. In this research, the well-known concepts of objectivity, reliability etcetera, inherited from the empirical analytical paradigm, is suggested. Emory & Cooper (1995:156) defines these concepts as follows:

- **Practicality:** Practicality is concerned with a wide range of factors of economy, convenience, and interpretability.
- Validity: Validity refers to the extent to which a test measures what we actually wish to measure. Yin (2003:34), identifies 3 subsets to the concept validity namely, construct validity, internal validity and external validity.
- **Reliability:** Reliability has to do with the accuracy and precision of a measurement procedure.

3.7 SURVEY DESIGN

According to Collis & Hussey (2003:60-66), research should be organised in order to make the best of opportunities and resources available. Furthermore, to provide a coherent and logical route to a reliable outcome, research must be conducted systematically, using appropriate methods to collect and analyse the data. The survey should be designed according to the following stages:

- Stage one: Identify the topic and set some objectives.
- **Stage two:** Pilot a questionnaire to find out what people know and what they see as the important issues.
- Stage three: List the areas of information needed and refine the objectives.
- **Stage four:** Review the responses to the pilot.
- **Stage five:** Finalise the objectives.
- Stage six: Write the questionnaire.
- **Stage seven:** Re-pilot the questionnaire.
- **Stage eight:** Finalise the questionnaire.
- Stage nine: Code the questionnaire.

The survey design to be used in this instance is that of the descriptive survey as opposed to the analytical survey. The descriptive survey is according to Collis & Hussey (2003:60-66), frequently used in business research in the form of attitude surveys. The descriptive survey as defined by Ghauri, Grønhaug and Kristianslund (1995:60), has furthermore the characteristics to indicate how many members of a particular population have a certain characteristic. Particular care was taken to avoid bias in the formulation of the questions. According to Patel, Patel, Tang and Elliot (2005:5), questionnaire construction is a very demanding task, which requires not only methodological competence but also extensive experience with research in general and questioning techniques in particular.

The statements within the survey have been designed with the following principles in mind:

- Avoidance of double-barrelled statements.
- Avoidance of double-negative statements.
- Avoidance of prestige bias.
- Avoidance of leading statements.
- Avoidance of the assumption of prior knowledge.

3.8 VALIDITY AND RELIABILITY ISSUES

It is the opinions of Yin (1994), Janesick (1998) and Donmoyer (1998) cited by Janesick, (1998:12), that a fatal flaw in doing case studies is to conceive of statistical generalization as a method of generalizing the results of the case. This flaw exists because cases are not 'sampling units' and should not be chosen for this reason. The researcher thus acknowledges that results obtained from the research should not be generalized. According to Babbie (2005:285), survey research is generally weak on validity and strong on reliability. According to Denzin (1998:328), qualitative research is biased, because interpretation produces understandings which are shaped by class, gender, race, and ethnicity. Malterud (1998:329-330), expresses the opinion that qualitative research presents a perspective that is always partial, and findings that represent only a temporary and limited view. The researcher also acknowledges that descriptions and explanations involve selective viewing and interpretation, and that they cannot be neutral, objective or total (Mason, 1996: 6).

3.9 THE RESEARCH QUESTIONAIRE

A questionnaire is a quantitative data collection method, which has several advantages, namely:

- It is relatively economical.
- It can ensure anonymity.
- It contains questions for specific purposes.
- Existing questionnaires can be used, or modified.

The purpose of the questionnaire is to determine presence of diversity, the vision and commitment to diversity, the educational benefit of diversity, responsible interactions and the supportive climate for diversity. The questionnaire in this research study focuses on employee satisfaction in the workplace.

A list of the questions in the research questionnaire, are contained within the ambient of Annexure E for ease of reference.

3.10 CONCLUSION

In this chapter, the 'employee satisfaction' survey design and methodology were addressed under the following functional headings:

- Survey environment.
- Aim of the chapter.
- Target population / Choice of sampling method.
- Data collection.
- Measurement scales.
- Demand for a qualitative research strategy.
- Survey design.
- Validity and reliability issues
- Survey questions.

In Chapter 4, results from the survey will be analysed, recommendations to mitigate the research problem will be made, and final conclusions will be drawn from the research study.

CHAPTER 4 – DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS

4.1 INTRODUCTION

Data analysis is, "the process of bringing order, structure and meaning to the mass of collected data" (de Vos 2002, 339). The objective of this questionnaire is to determine if the working environment and strategies deployed within Anchor Lining Systems (ALS) is conducive to the marketing of the company's products abroad. The data obtained from the completed questionnaires will be presented and analysed by means of various analyses (univariate, bi-variate and multivariate) as it comes applicable.

The data has been analysed by using SAS software. As descriptive statistics, frequency tables are displayed in Paragraph 4.3, which shows the distributions of the statement responses. Descriptive statistics is used to summarize the data. As a measure of central tendency and dispersion, Table 4.3 shows the means and standard deviation of all the statements.

4.2 ANALYSIS METHOD

4.2.1 Validation survey results

A descriptive analysis of the survey results returned by the research questionnaire respondents are reflected below. Furthermore, the responses to the questions obtained through the questionnaires are indicated in table format for ease of reference. Each variable is tested to fall within the boundaries. The database in which the data is captured was developed so that data validation is insured. That is, build in boundaries and rules to obviate data capture mistakes. Other measures to insure data validity was to capture the information twice, and then compare it to see whether any omissions were present calling for corrective action. Data validation is the process of ensuring that a program operates on clean, correct and useful data. The construct validation however can only be taken to the point where the questionnaire measure what it is suppose to measure. Construct validation should be addressed in the planning phase of the survey, and when the questionnaire is developed. This questionnaire was aimed to measure the opinions of employees with regard to determining the working environment and strategies deployed within Anchor Lining Systems (ALS).

4.2.2 Data format

The data received from the questionnaires was coded according to a predetermined coding scheme and captured on Microsoft Access. It was then imported into SAS-format through the SAS ACCESS module. This information was then analysed and interpreted.

4.2.3 Preliminary analysis

The reliability of the measuring instrument (statements in the questionnaire posted to the sample respondents drawn from within Anchor Lining Systems (ALS)), were tested by using the Cronbach Alpha tests (See Paragraph 4.3.1). Descriptive statistics was performed on all variables; displaying means, standard deviations, frequencies, percentages, cumulative frequencies and cumulative percentages. These descriptive statistics are discussed in Paragraphs 4.3.2 and 4.3.3. (See also computer printout in Annexure A & C).

4.2.4 Inferential statistics

The following inferential statistics are performed on the data:

- Cronbach Alpha test. Testing construct validity.
- Chi-square test.

4.2.5 Technical report with graphical displays

A written report with explanations of all variables and their outcomes are contained within the ambit of this chapter. Furthermore, all inferential statistics are discussed in Paragraph 4.2.4.

4.2.6 Assistance to the researcher

The conclusions made by the researcher, is validated by the statistical report. Assistance was provided to interpret the outcome of the data. The final report written by the researcher was validated and checked by the statistician, to exclude any misleading interpretations.

4.2.7 Sample

The target population forming the sampling frame is the international market segment serviced by Anchor Lining Systems, comprising of Business Development Managers, Sales and Marketing employees. A convenient sample was drawn and the number of employees that completed the sample was 17.

4.3 ANALYSIS

4.3.1 Reliability testing

Cronbach's Alpha is an index of reliability associated with the variation accounted for by the true score of the 'underlying construct'. Construct is the hypothetical variables that are being measured (Schindler & Cooper, 2003:216-217). More specific, it would be that Cronbach's alpha measures how well a set of items (or variables) measures a single unidimensional latent construct.

The reliability test (Cronbach's Alpha Coefficient) was executed on all the items (statements) which represent the measuring instrument of this survey, with respect to the responses rendered in this questionnaire. The results are represented in Table 4.1. A computer printout with these results is contained within the ambit of Annexure B.

Sta	tements	Variable nr.	Correlation	Cronbach's
			with total	Alpha
				Coefficient
Hea	alth & Safety			
1.	ALS provides the staff with the appropriate	Q01	0.4858	0.8849
	safety clothing wear.			
2.	I am aware of the safety rules & regulations of	Q02	0.8068	0.8802
	ALS.			
3.	ALS does everything possible to prevent	Q03	0.4034	0.8859
	injuries.			
4.	The factory is an unsafe environment to work	Q04	-0.1734	0.9184
	in.			
Ma	nagement	1		
5.	My manager clearly defines my job duties and	Q05	0.3981	0.8874

TABLE 4.4: Cronbach's Alpha Coefficients.

Stat	tements	Variable nr.	Correlation with total	Cronbach's Alpha Coefficient
	responsibilities.			
6.	The organisation respects its employees.	Q06	0.5825	0.8815
7.	My manager listens to what I'm saying.	Q07	0.7825	0.8788
8.	My manager/supervisor clearly communicates what is expected of me.	Q08	0.6961	0.8786
9.	Senior management communicates well with the rest of the organisation.	Q09	0.8302	0.8772
10.	My manager/supervisor acts in a professional manner.	Q10	0.52889	0.8828
11.	I feel that I always get support from my manager or supervisor.	Q11	0.5695	0.8828
12.	Management has created an open and comfortable working environment.	Q12	0.5798	0.8826
13.	I have received the training I need to do my job efficiently and effectively.	Q13	0.5079	0.8834
14.	I respect my manager as a competent professional.	Q14	0.4979	0.8847
15.	My manager/supervisor gives me praise and recognition when I do a good job.	Q15	0.6151	0.8820
16.	I am treated with respect by management.	Q16	0.4006	0.8859
Gen	neral			
17.	I am very satisfied with my job at ALS.	Q17	0.7114	0.8815
18.	People are held accountable for the quality of work they produce.	Q18	0.7999	0.8801
19.	There is a strong feeling of teamwork and cooperation in this organisation.	Q19	-0.0334	0.9017
20.	The quality of our products and services are very important to this organisation.	Q20	0.6130	0.8831
21.	In this organisation we maintain very high standards of quality.	Q21	0.6079	0.8830
22.	Customer needs are the top priority in this organisation.	Q22	0.8068	0.8802
23.	My salary is competitive with similar jobs I might find elsewhere.0.8876	Q23	0.4028	0.8876
24.	My ideas and opinions count at work.	Q24	0.5626	0.8831

Statements	Variable nr.	Correlation with total	Cronbach's Alpha Coefficient
25. Communication is encouraged in this organisation.	Q25	0.7964	0.8774
26. The amount of work I am asked to do is reasonable.	Q26	0.7872	0.8803
Cronbach's Coefficient Alpha for standardized var	0.9330		
Cronbach's Coefficient Alpha for raw variables			0.8886

According to the Cronbach's Alpha Coefficients (Table 4.1) for all the items in the questionnaire:

- 0.8886 for raw variables; and
- 0.9330 for standardized variables;

which were more than the acceptable level of 0.70. As a result, the questionnaire proved to be reliable and consistent.

4.3.2 Descriptive statistics

Table 4.2 shows the descriptive statistics for all the variables in the questionnaire measuring performance, with the frequencies in each category and the percentage out of total number of questionnaires completed. Due to the voluminous nature of Table 4.2, the data is contained within the ambit of Annexure A1. It is of importance that the descriptive statistics are based on the total sample. The computer printouts with the descriptive statistics are also shown in Annexure A.

Vai	iables	Categories	Frequency	Percentage out of total
Hea	lth & Safety		1	I
1.	ALS provides the staff with the	Completely agree	9	52.9%
	appropriate safety clothing wear.	Agree	8	47.1%
		Undecided	0	0.0%
		Disagree	0	0.0%
		Completely disagree	0	0.0%

TABLE 4.5: Descriptive statistics for categorical variables

Va	riables	Categories	Frequency	Percentage
				out of total
2.	I am aware of the safety rules &	Completely agree	11	64.7%
	regulations of ALS.	Agree	6	35.3%
		Undecided	0	0.0%
		Disagree	0	0.0%
		Completely	0	0.0%
		disagree		
3.	ALS does everything possible to	Completely agree	9	52.9%
	prevent injuries.	Agree	7	41.2%
		Undecided	0	0.0%
		Disagree	1	5.9%
		Completely	0	0.0%
		disagree		
4.	The factory is an unsafe environment	Completely agree	3	17.6%
	to work in.	Agree	1	5.9%
		Undecided	1	5.9%
		Disagree	2	11.8%
		Completely	10	58.8%
		disagree		
Ma	nagement	1	1	1
5.	My manager clearly defines my job	Completely agree	6	35.3%
	duties and responsibilities.	Agree	9	52.9%
		Undecided	0	0.0%
		Disagree	1	5.9%
		Completely	1	5.9%
		disagree		
6.	The organisation respects its	Completely agree	9	52.9%
	employees.	Agree	6	35.3%
		Undecided	0	0.0%
		Disagree	1	5.9%
		Completely	1	5.9%
		disagree		
7.	My manager listens to what I'm	Completely agree	11	64.7%
	saying.	Agree	5	29.4%
		Undecided	1	5.9%

Var	iables	Categories	Frequency	Percentage
				out of total
		Disagree	0	0.0%
		Completely	0	0.0%
		disagree		
8.	My manager/supervisor clearly	Completely agree	7	41.2%
	communicates what is expected of me.	Agree	8	47.1%
		Undecided	1	5.9%
		Disagree	1	5.9%
		Completely	0	0.0%
		disagree		
9.	Senior management communicates	Completely agree	6	35.3%
	well with the rest of the organisation.	Agree	9	52.9%
		Undecided	2	11.8%
		Disagree	0	0.0%
		Completely	0	0.0%
		disagree		
10.	My manager/supervisor acts in a	Completely agree	7	41.2%
	professional manner.	Agree	9	52.9%
		Undecided	0	0.0%
		Disagree	0	0.0%
		Completely	1	5.8%
		disagree		
11.	I feel that I always get support from	Completely agree	8	47.1%
	my manager or supervisor.	Agree	8	47.1%
		Undecided	1	5.8%
		Disagree	0	0.0%
		Completely	0	0.0%
		disagree		
12.	Management has created an open and	Completely agree	8	47.1%
	comfortable working environment.	Agree	8	47.1%
		Undecided	1	5.8%
		Disagree	0	0.0%
		Completely	0	0.0%
		disagree		
13.	I have received the training I need to	Completely agree	9	52.8%

Var	iables	Categories	Frequency	Percentage
				out of total
	do my job efficiently and effectively.	Agree	7	41.2%
		Undecided	0	0.0%
		Disagree	1	5.9%
		Completely	0	0.0%
		disagree		
14.	I respect my manager as a competent	Completely agree	9	52.9%
	professional.	Agree	8	47.1%
		Undecided	0	0.0%
		Disagree	0	0.0%
		Completely	0	0.0%
		disagree		
15.	My manager/supervisor gives me	Completely agree	11	64.7%
	praise and recognition when I do a	Agree	5	29.4%
	good job.	Undecided	1	5.9%
		Disagree	0	0.0%
		Completely	0	0.0%
		disagree		
16.	I am treated with respect by	Completely agree	10	58.8%
man	agement.	Agree	6	35.3%
		Undecided	1	5.9%
		Disagree	0	0.0%
		Completely	0	0.0%
		disagree		
Gen	eral			
17.	I am very satisfied with my job at	Completely agree	10	58.8%
	ALS.	Agree	7	41.2%
		Undecided	0	0.0%
		Disagree	0	0.0%
		Completely	0	0.0%
		disagree		
18.	People are held accountable for the	Completely agree	10	58.8%
	quality of work they produce.	Agree	7	41.2%
		Undecided	0	0.0%
		Disagree	0	0.0%

Var	iables	Categories	Frequency	Percentage
				out of total
		Completely	0	0.0%
		disagree		
19.	There is a strong feeling of teamwork	Completely agree	6	35.3%
	and cooperation in this organisation.	Agree	7	41.2%
		Undecided	2	11.8%
		Disagree	1	5.9%
		Completely	1	5.9%
		disagree		
20.	The quality of our products and	Completely agree	11	64.7%
	services are very important to this	Agree	6	35.3%
	organisation.	Undecided	0	0.0%
		Disagree	0	0.0%
		Completely	0	0.0%
		disagree		
21.	In this organisation we maintain very	Completely agree	9	52.9%
	high standards of quality.	Agree	8	47.1%
		Undecided	0	0.0%
		Disagree	0	0.0%
		Completely	0	0.0%
		disagree		
22.	Customer needs are the top priority in	Completely agree	11	64.7%
	this organisation.	Agree	6	35.3%
		Undecided	0	0.0%
		Disagree	0	0.0%
		Completely	0	0.0%
		disagree		
23.	My salary is competitive with similar	Completely agree	9	52.9%
	jobs I might find elsewhere.0.8876	Agree	5	29.4%
		Undecided	0	0.0%
		Disagree	3	17.6%
		Completely	0	0.0%
		disagree		
24.	My ideas and opinions count at work.	Completely agree	6	35.3%
		Agree	10	58.8%

Var	iables	Categories	Frequency	Percentage
				out of total
		Undecided	1	5.9%
		Disagree	0	0.0%
		Completely	0	0.0%
		disagree		
25.	Communication is encouraged in this	Completely agree	8	47.1%
	organisation.	Agree	7	41.2%
		Undecided	2	11.8%
		Disagree	0	0.0%
		Completely	0	0.0%
		disagree		
26.	The amount of work I am asked to do	Completely agree	10	58.8%
	is reasonable.	Agree	7	41.2%
		Undecided	0	0.0%
		Disagree	0	0.0%
		Completely	0	0.0%
		disagree		

The descriptive statistics: number of respondents, mean, median, standard deviation and are shown in table 4.3 and the computer printout is attached in Annexure C.

Var	iable	Ν	Mean	Median	Standard	Range
					Deviation	
Hea	lth & Safety					
1.	ALS provides the staff with the appropriate	17	4.53	5.0	0.5145	1.0
	safety clothing wear.					
2.	I am aware of the safety rules & regulations	17	4.65	5.0	0.4926	1.0
	of ALS.					
3.	ALS does everything possible to prevent	17	4.41	5.0	0.7952	3.0
	injuries.					
4.	The factory is unsafe to work in.	17	2.12	1.0	1.6156	4.0
Ma	nagement					
5.	My manager clearly defines my job duties	17	4.06	4.0	1.0880	4.0
	and responsibilities.					

TABLE 4.6: Descriptive statistics for the statements

6.	The organisation respects its employees.	17	4.24	5.0	1.1472	4.0
7.	My manager listens to what I'm saying.	17	4.59	5.0	0.6183	2.0
8.	My manager/supervisor clearly	17	4.24	4.0	0.8314	3.0
	communicates what is expected of me.					
9.	Senior management communicates well	17	4.24	4.0	0.6642	2.0
	with the rest of the organisation.					
10.	My manager/supervisor acts in a	17	4.24	4.0	0.9701	4.0
	professional manner.					
11.	I feel that I always get support from my	17	4.41	4.0	0.6183	2.0
	manager or supervisor.					
12.	C I	17	4.41	4.0	0.6183	2.0
	comfortable working environment.					
13.	I have received the training I need to do my	17	4.41	5.0	0.7952	3.0
	job efficiently and effectively.					
14.	I respect my manager as a competent	17	4.53	5.0	0.5145	1.0
	professional.					
15.	My manager/supervisor gives me praise	17	4.59	5.0	0.6183	2.0
	and recognition when I do a good job.					
16.	I am treated with respect by management.	17	4.53	5.0	0.6243	2.0
Ger	neral					
17.	I am very satisfied with my job at ALS.	17	4.59	5.0	0.5073	1.0
18.	People are held accountable for the quality	17	4.59	5.0	0.5073	1.0
	of work they produce.					
19.	There is a strong feeling of teamwork and	17	3.94	4.0	1.1440	4.0
	cooperation in this organisation.					
20.	The quality of our products and services are	17	4.65	5.0	0.4926	1.0
	very important to this organisation.					
21.	In this organisation we maintain very high	17	4.53	5.0	0.5145	1.0
	standards of quality.					
22.	Customer needs are the top priority in this	17	4.65	5.0	0.4926	1.0
	organisation.					
23.	My salary is competitive with similar jobs I	17	4.18	5.0	1.1311	3.0
	might find elsewhere.0.8876					
24.	My ideas and opinions count at work.	17	4.29	4.0	0.5879	2.0
25.	Communication is encouraged in this	17	4.35	4.0	0.7019	2.0
	organisation.					
26.		17	4.59	5.0	0.5073	1.0



FIGURE 4.4: Management health and safety statements

The respondents indicated that most of the health and safety issues are met. Q04 indicated that the respondents disagree and completely disagree with the statement that the factory is an unsafe environment to work in. The obvious analogy that can be drawn is that the factory is perceived by the respondents as a safe place to work in.



FIGURE 4.5: Management statements

The respondents indicated that almost all of the management issues were met. The only difference being the extent to which they agreed with the statements.
The management issues that were almost completely met are listed below:

- My manager / supervisor gives me praise and recognition when I do a good job.
- My manager listens to what I'm saying.
- I am treated with respect by management.
- I respect my manager as a competent professional.
- I have received the training I need to do my job efficiently and effectively.



FIGURE 4.6: General statements

The respondents indicated that almost all of the general issues were met, and those that were mostly met are listed:

- Customer needs are the top priority in this organisation.
- The quality of our products and services are very important to this organization.
- The amount of work I am asked to do is reasonable.
- People are held accountable for the quality of work they produce.
- I am very satisfied with my job at ALS.

4.3.4 Comparative statistics

Due to the fact that this study focuses on the descriptive statistics, no comparisons were made. Chi-square tests to determine the differences in the proportions of the answers are shown in Annexure A. The differences shown for these test only indicate the difference in the degree of which the respondents agree with the statements.

SAS computes a P-value (Probability value) that measure statistical significance, which is derived from the test values like the chi-square. Results will be regarded as significant if the p-values are smaller than 0.05, because this value presents an acceptable level on a 95% confidence interval ($p \le 0.05$). The p-value is the probability of observing a sample value as extreme as, or more extreme than, the value actually observed, given that the null hypothesis is true. This area represents the probability of a Type 1 error that must be assumed if the null hypothesis is rejected (Schindler & Cooper, 2003:509).

The p-value is compared to the significance level (α) and on this basis the null hypothesis is either rejected or not rejected. If the p value is less than the significance level, the null hypothesis is rejected (if p value < α , reject null). If the p value is greater than or equal to the significance level, the null hypothesis is not rejected (if p value $\geq \alpha$, don't reject null). Thus with α =0.05, if the p value is less than 0.05, the null hypothesis will be rejected. The p value is determined by using the standard normal distribution. The small p value represents the risk of rejecting the null hypothesis.

A difference has statistical significance if there is good reason to believe the difference does not represent random sampling fluctuations only. Results will be regarded as significant if the p-values are smaller than 0.05, because this value is used as cut-off point in most behavioural science research.

4.4 CONCLUSION

The survey returned the following specific outcomes, which are of importance to the research:

- The overall Health and Safety issues are met within ALS.
- A high degree of satisfaction is shown by management with the procedures at ALS.
- Almost all of the general issues concerning employee and customer satisfaction are met in the company.

The attention of the reader is now drawn to the fact that the above specific outcomes and reciprocal results attained as a result of the survey, does not map in any way to the current dispensation relating to employee satisfaction within ALS. This dispensation can be attributed to a plethora of reasons, which range from fear of being penalised or their positions being compromised if the true facts are made known, to fear of losing their positions during a period of economic depression, the latter which would hold severe consequences for them and their families. The true facts about employee satisfaction are reflected below:

- Employees feel that the communication from management and the supervisors is insufficient.
- Employees are of the opinion that they have not received proper training for their roles.
- Employees are of the opinion that they are not always clear of what is expected of them.
- Employees are of the opinion that they do not always have the support of their supervisors or management.
- Employees are of the opinion that they are always doing the same job without provisioning of job rotation.

The above issues invariably affect the organisation's production capacity, efficiency, and profitability. Furthermore it impacts ultimately on customer satisfaction. In addition, the production capacity is being compromised leaving the organisation in turn unknowingly in a compromised position. These aspect, contra to the findings in the survey have a direct impact on the future of the organisation, hence the recommendations differing from what would have been expected if based on the findings of the survey.

Chapter 5 – CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

5.1 INTRODUCTION

In this chapter the research will be concluded, and recommendations will be made to mitigate the research problem. This chapter reviews the key aspects of this research, revisiting the stated objectives in order to demonstrate that these have been met. For ease of reference and to position this chapter in terms of the overall research thus far, the following chapters have been concluded:

Chapter 1: In this chapter, the background to the research problem is discussed. The statement of the research problem, research questions, sub-questions and objectives were established.

Chapter 2: In this chapter a literature review was conducted under the following headings:

- Identification of the negative and / or unhappy employee.
- Factors that can cause employee unhappiness.
- The impact on the organisation's efficiency.
- Matching people to jobs.
- Training and evaluating employees.
- The requirement for employee satisfaction.
- Happy employees equates to satisfied customers.

Chapter 3: In chapter 3 the research design and methodology was elaborated upon in detail.

Chapter 4: In this chapter, data gleaned from the survey conducted in Chapter 3 was analysed and interpreted.

Chapter 5: In this concluding chapter, final analogies will be drawn and recommendations made to mitigate the research problem.

5.2 THE RESEARCH PROBLEM / RESEARCH QUESTION REVISITED

The research problem which was researched within the ambit of this dissertation reads as follows: "Employee dissatisfaction has an adverse effect on production capacity, which in turn has an impact on the organisation's efficiency, profitability and customer satisfaction". The research question which was researched within the ambit of this dissertation reads as follows: What approach could be deployed to minimise employee dissatisfaction in a manufacturing organisation to improve its overall efficiency, profitability and customer satisfaction? The research problem will be mitigated and research question answered should the recommendations be discussed in Paragraph 5.5 hereunder be adopted.

5.3 INVESTIGATIVE QUESTIONS REVISITED

Investigative questions researched within the ambit of this dissertation and associated comments thereto are elaborated upon below:

5.3.1 What role can management play in assuring employee satisfaction?

Employee satisfaction can be established by recruiting the right people for the job. Moore (1999:Online), explains that when a company hire people who are capable and competent for a specific job, want to do the job and would be manageable if hired, this should result in happy employees whose work will contribute to customer satisfaction and higher profits. The next step would be for management to identify unhappy employees. It is argued by Kjerulf (2007:Online), that the following signs can be used to identify and unhappy employee:

- The employee is procrastinating.
- The employee spends Sunday nights worrying about Monday morning, and the rest of the week.
- An employee is competitive about his salary and title.
- The employee does not really wish to help his co-workers.
- The work days are feeling long for the employee, and he often complains about how slow the day is passing.
- The employee has no friends at work.
- The employee cares about nothing at work.
- Small things are bugging the employee and this sometimes leads to an unnecessary argument.

- The employee is suspicious of his co-workers' motives.
- Physical symptoms are visible, like headaches, insomnia or low energy.

According to MacMichael (2009:Online), job site training is a crucial part of teaching an organisation's employees the proper ways to do business within the company. It allows the employees to understand exactly what will be expected of them while being employed by the company, as well as teaching them the conduct rules of the organisation. Job site training is a must for every level of employee within the business. Many people assume training is only for new employees, but it is important for seasoned employees to be reminded of their tasks. Training is also useful as employees can learn new scenarios that might arise in their practice. Betts (2000:406), believe that training provides a source for the individual to achieve competence in technical and technological aspects, in performing job tasks, and improving skills.

Management can ensure that employees are motivated. Betts (2000:257), believes that motivation is vital for improving productivity and job satisfaction and that improved job satisfaction can improve mental and physical health. Bittel and Newstrom (1990:250), argue that satisfaction for an employee comes from truly motivating factors such as interesting and challenging work, utilisation of one's capabilities, opportunity for the employee to do something meaningful, recognition of achievement from his or her manager, and the responsibility for one's work.

According to Bowditch and Buono (2005:115), communication is one of the fundamental processes that management needs to follow. This aspect was elaborated upon in detail in Chapter 2, Paragraph 2.7.4.

5.3.2 What role can management play to form a better trust relationship between factory staff and management?

It is argued by Bittel and Newstrom (1990:298), that effective communication helps to develop positive working relationships between the employee and supervisor or manager. Berry (1998:410), explains that communication and interaction is required within all jobs and communication is the transmission and exchange of information. One critical problem in organisational communication is failure to receive or understand a message. Jobsite (2009:Online), found that good communication between management and staff, and working with friendly colleagues are vital to being happy at work. But the individual's

attitude plays a major role, and by adopting a happy, positive attitude, the employees may get much more out of their job.

5.3.3 What can management do to make employees feel important in their job?

According to Cranny *et al.* (192:56), managers need to ensure that their employees feel that they are actively involved in achieving results, that their efforts are recognised and appreciated and that they are an important member of the company's success. Among other things, this will require that management treats the employees with respect, praise and reward accomplishments (and attempts) liberally and communicate openly the employee's responsibilities and what they expect. Employee satisfaction is vital and employers should ensure that this is established. In this respect, refer to the list of requirements from Marketing Innovators International (2005:Online), discussed in Chapter 2, Paragraph 2.7.2.

5.3.4 What can management do to retain dissatisfied employees?

It is believed by Davlin (2008:Online), that recruiting and training the right employee can be an expensive and time-consuming process. By retaining these employees during times of economic crisis is an investment to any organisation's future.

The management team can have a one on one discussion with the unhappy employee to get to the core of the problem. With this information in hand they can endeavour to find a practical solution. According to Betts (2000:388), more often than not employees divulge the true reason for resigning, although this is important because it may indicate problems in the organisation such as a flaw in personnel policy, poor supervision and management, low morale, the ineffectiveness of selection interviewing, poor performance appraisals, or an unsolved grievance. In the event when the manager did not notice that the employee is unhappy, the organisation should offer a termination interview, which is conducted by the personnel department and the supervisor or manager. Information can be gathered on the true reason for resignation and management can negotiate a mutual settlement to avoid the resignation.

Small Business Notes (2009:Online), describes that an exit interview is separate from the termination meeting and information exchanged at exit interviews may benefit both the organisation and employee. The organisation may learn that a supervisor is not leading the employees as well as management thought, or may find that the employees need more

training in a particular area. Betts (2000:253), argues that the responsibilities and tasks in a job have critical influence on an individual's job satisfaction, motivation and the organisation's productivity.

5.4 KEY RESEARCH OBJECTIVES REVISITED

The key research objectives for this research study are listed below and subsequently elaborated upon.

5.4.1 To establish a strategy that will identify dissatisfied employees

It is suggested by Sweeney *et al.* (2000:Online), that the management team look out for the following unhappy employee characteristics:

- An employee will not go the extra mile.
- Unhappy employees always find something to complain about.
- They are always watching the clock.
- They are disengaging themselves, unhappy employees lack passion for their jobs.
- They are often sick and tired, a high rate of absenteeism often prevails among unhappy employees.

Negative employees show many signs of unhappiness in the workplace. According to Lynn (2009:Online), an unhappy employee can be an angry, bitter, gossiping, or negative person with intentions to steal other people's time and joy. One on one sessions should be hold with the unhappy employee to establish the reason for the unhappiness and to work out a practical solution.

5.4.2 To establish a strategy that will build a better relationship between factory staff and management

Communication is the key word in this equation and should not be underestimated at any stage. At no time should the employee feel that he or she does not understand what is expected. Communication builds trust and helps to eliminate misunderstandings. It is argued by Bittel and Newstrom (1990:298), that effective communication helps to develop positive working relationships between the employee and supervisor or manager.

Management should ensure that the proper communication channels exist between factory staff, supervisors and management as depicted in the Improved Communication model in Figure 5.1.



Figure 5.1: Improved communication model (Source: Own Source)

5.4.3 To determine the level of awareness pertaining to recognition and appreciation

Management needs to adopt a state of awareness that is very sensitive towards recognizing and appreciating employees. The level of awareness needs to be high within all operations. Bittel and Newstrom (1990:34), are of the opinion that most employees will work harder and better if the work they do make sense to them and is genuinely appreciated by their superiors. According to Cranny *et al.* (192:56), research has shown that a work environment that helps to increase a person's self-esteem will actually result in more satisfied workers.

5.4.4 To establish a strategy to retain dissatisfied employees

Counselling and motivation sessions should be implemented. Firstly the root cause of the problem should be established and measurements established to prevent the same problems from occurring. The unhappy employee must be given the chance to propose a solution to the problem, and a meeting should be held to discuss the practicality of the solution. The employee should receive feedback within a day or two on how the problem can be solved.

5.5 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

Considering the observations made under Paragraph 4.4, Chapter 4, the following recommendations are made to mitigate the research problem as it pertains to the following categories:

5.5.1 Employee dissatisfaction

- Negative and unhappy employees have to be identified at a very early stage to prevent employees from resigning. There are many signs that will help the management team to identify an unhappy employee, and these signs should be used to convert a potentially negative situation into a positive solution.
- It is important that management train employees. On the job training is important for all employees, not only for new employees. This will ensure that employees are constantly challenged by their jobs and do not become bored or frustrated.
- Employees have to be trained for their positions and have to understand what is expected from them.
- Communication plays a vital role in the organisation as each employee has to be fully aware of what is expected of him or her and when it is expected. Poor communication from management can lead to errors in the work place which can lead to negative employees. According to Bowditch and Buono (2005:115), communication is one of the fundamental processes that management needs to follow.
- Ensure that employees have all the right equipment, tools and safety gear to perform their jobs properly and in a safe manner.
- Employees should be rotated in their jobs, so that they can experience variety in their work and not get bored with their job. Bittel and Newstrom (1990:250), furthermore argue that satisfaction for an employee comes from truly motivating factors such as interesting and challenging work, utilisation of one's capabilities, opportunity for the employee to do something meaningful, recognition of achievement from his or her manager, and the responsibility for one's work.
- Prevent employee dissatisfaction by ensuring that the employee feels that he or she is earning a good salary, have adequate holidays, have a good pension scheme, is working in save working conditions, and is working with pleasant people. According to Bittel and Newstrom (1990:252), an employee's morale drops, absence and lateness increases and it becomes increasingly difficult to obtain their cooperation when they do not get satisfaction from their job.

5.5.2 Production capacity

- It is essential to employ the right people for the job. Moore (1999:Online), explains that when a company hire people who are capable and competent for a specific job, want to do the job and would be manageable if hired, should result in happy employees whose work will contribute to customer satisfaction and higher profits.
- An organisation's production capacity can be increased by ensuring that its employees are satisfied. An unhappy employee will find any excuse to miss work or stay away and not only does this cost the company money, but when employees are absent they are not producing and this means that production capacity is lost.
- An organisation should realise that its employees are the most important asset of the company and should be taken care of. Marketing Innovators International (2005:Online), are of the opinion that the company's employees are their most valuable asset and that their employees come first. They also mention that their organization is only as strong as their people.
- By ensuring employee happiness, an employee will be more involved in his or her job and more committed to delivering a quality service. United Press International (2009:Online), explains that an employee with a higher level of psychological wellbeing and job satisfaction will perform better and is less likely to resign.
- Production capacity can be increased by providing proper training to employees and ensuring that each employee is clear of what is expected from him or her. MacMichael (2009:Online), furthermore argues that job site training is a crucial part of teaching an organisation's employees the proper ways to do business within the company. Job site training is a must for every level of employee within the business. Betts (2000:406), believes that training provides a source for the individual to achieve competence in technical and technological aspects, in performing job tasks, and improving skills.

5.5.3 Organisational efficiency

Organisational efficiency can be increase by ensuring employee satisfaction and according to Hackett (1998:170), job satisfaction can be increased by improving the following four factors:

- **Ergonomics:** By designing jobs to fit employees and not the other way around may contribute to job satisfaction and avoid frustration.
- Job rotation: The idea of job rotation is that employees derive greater satisfaction when they experience variety in their work. This will create greater flexibility and improved utilisation in human resources.
- Job enlargement: When the supervisor adds additional jobs or tasks to the worker's current job. This gives greater variety in job content and has some benefits in terms of increased intrinsic satisfaction.
- Job Enrichment: Giving the employees the responsibility and authority to make decisions.

Optimised organisational efficiency can be achieved when:

- Employees work together, which is more productive and effective than working as an individual.
- Supervisors have to support and motivate their teams to achieve high levels of efficiency and productivity.
- Every employee's competence, awareness and training is assessed at least once in twelve months.
- Eliminating the factors that cause employee unhappiness, ensuring that every employee is happy and finding ways to maintain that level of satisfaction. Furthermore, it is believed by Cole and Cole (2005:2), that an organisation's productivity and efficiency is best achieved when employees are satisfied and when attention is paid to their physical as well as socio-emotional needs.
- The right people are employed for the job and a training program is established that will develop each employee's competence. According to Thachappilly (2009:Online), competence can be defined as "the ability to perform well in a specific job and this ability comes from skill, knowledge, and behaviour". Knowledge provides the expertise and information, while skill is the capacity to bring about the desired result. The employee's behaviour can either help or hinder the achievement of the desired results (Thachappilly 2009:Online).

5.5.4 Profitability

- Profitability starts with hiring the right people who are capable and competent for a specific job, want to do the job and would be manageable if they are hired. This should result in happy employees whose work will contribute to customer satisfaction and higher profits (Moore, 1999:Online). Research has proven that satisfied employees generate superior customer satisfaction and that, in turn, satisfied customers are more profitable ones (Marketing Innovators International, 2005:Online).
- The importance of employee training can not be overemphasized. Hiring new employees and training them is one the largest expense that an organisation has to contend with (Erickson, 2005:Online). These costs can easily add up to approximately eighteen month's salary of the position being filled. This clearly demonstrates the importance to hire the right person for the job the first time.
- Ensure that the employees are happy within their working environment and that their needs are taken care of. When an organisation wants to increase its profits, it has to find out what will make the employees happy as happy employees will stick around longer and they know what they are doing (Bigg Success Staff, 2009:Online). The happier the employees are the happier the customers will be. It is almost common sense to say that happy and satisfied employees are more productive and efficient and that productivity problems can be solved when employee satisfaction is increased (Berry, 1998:294).
- Research has shown that it is the engagement and commitment of employees towards the brand, proposition and, ultimately, to the customer, that has a huge impact on efficiency and profitability (Umalme, 2005:Online).

5.5.5 Customer satisfaction

- Customer satisfaction should be the primary focus of every organisation and a culture of customer satisfaction should be created with each and every employee.
- In the manufacturing industry, a large percentage of customer satisfaction is determined by the quality of the product (Davey, 2008:Online). This means that the quality of the product is directly linked to happy employees.
- Recognise what each employee's needs are and then satisfy these needs, because if the company can satisfy its employees' needs, they will automatically satisfy the customers' needs. Jasrotia (2000:Online), furthermore argues that 'happy employees create happy customers'. He also believes that the right environment fosters high standards of professionalism and performance.

- Senior management should set the example to the rest of the management team by showing the employees that the organisation's management team cares about them.
- The company should provide the employees with a comfortable dining room and room for breaks.
- The company should ensure that the employees have clean, secure and well-maintained locker rooms as this can have a positive impact on employee attitudes and make the employees happy. This will require both a capital commitment and an ongoing obligation to maintain the locker and shower areas so that this area remains clean and comfortable.
- The company should provide safe and secure parking to the employees and to its customers. Employees need the assurance of a well-lit and secure parking area and that there is adequate surveillance and security.
- Employees need the right tools to do good job.
- The company's management team and supervisors are accountable for maintaining employee satisfaction.

It is not difficult for customers to note when a company's employees are not happy. An unhappy customer is not only capable of taking his business away from the company; he may also harm existing and potential business by publicly airing and sharing his complaints. This negative talk was manageable, pre-internet, when dissatisfied customers would share their experience with five or ten people. Gage (2009:Online), found that committed employees can literally turn a floundering organisation around.

• Employees will be more loyal, creative and responsible when they feel that the company appreciates them. Satisfied and loyal employees make excellent public relations representatives who truly have the desire to make the customers happy and keep them happy. Service Untitled (2007:Online), furthermore argues that happy employees are a lot more motivated and will provide quality service. They are less likely to undermine the organisation's success or merely be in a state of contentment while at work.

5.6 Final conclusion

As a final analogy, the conclusion can be drawn that if a company hire the right people, train them properly, have a proper communication system in place, meet the employee's needs, and eliminate factors that will cause unhappiness, then the organisation can be efficient, productive, satisfy its employees which will in turn satisfy its customers and result in increased profits.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Aamodt, M.G., 2007. Industrial and Organisational Psychology. USA: Thomson Wadsworth.

Abbajay, M. 2009. *The Danger of Workplace Gossip*. Available at: <u>http://www.careerstonegroup.com/z-media/wp-workplace-gossip.pdf</u> [Accessed: 14/05/2009]

Andrew, J. D., Faubion, C. W. & Palmer, C. D. 2002. *The relationship between counsellor satisfaction and extrinsic job factors in state rehabilitation agencies*. Available at: http://www.accessmylibrary.com/coms2/summary_0286-2102271_ITM [Accessed: 8/05/2009]

Argano, M. 2009. *The Employee Satisfaction- Performance Link and How It Relates to Company Profitability*. Available at: <u>http://human-resources-mgt.bestmanagementarticles</u>. com/a-32685-the-employee-satisfaction-performance-link-and-how-it-relates-to-company-profitability.aspx [Accessed: 16/05/2009]

ARTICLESBASE. 2009. Understand on Your Job Description. Available at: http://www.articlesbase.com/careers-articles/understand-on-your-job-description-728445.html [Accessed: 16/05/2009]

Babbie, E. 2005. The basics of social research. Belmont: Thomson Wadsworth.

Bacal, R. 2009. *Dealing With Angry Employees*. Available at: <u>http://work911.com/articles/angrye.htm</u> [Accessed: 14/05/2009]

Balan, A. 2009. *Employees Habitual Absence from Work? How do Employers Deal with It?* Available at: <u>http://www.hg.org/article.asp?id=6198</u> [Accessed: 16/05/2009]

Bartram, S. & Gibson, B. 1998. Training Needs Analysis. Great Britain: Gower

Bennet, B. 2008. *Going the Extra Mile*. Available at: http://www.yeartosuccess.com/members/ y2s/blog/VIEW/00000008/00000046/Going-the-Extra-Mile.html [Accessed: 15/05/2009] Bernard, P. 2009. *Why are you unhappy in your job?* Available at: http://www.getyouridealjob.com/Why%20are%20you%20unhappy%20in%20your%20job.ht ml [Accessed 27/03/2009]

Betts, P.W, 2000. Supervisory Management. England: Prentice Hall.

Berry, L.M. 1998. *Psychology At Work: An Introduction To Organisational Psychology*. Singapore: McGraw-Hill Publishing Company.

Bigg Success Staff. 2009. *Keep Your Employees Happy And Watch Your Profits Grow!* Available at: <u>http://biggsuccess.com/biggarticles/keepyour-employees-happy-and-watch-your-profits-grow/</u> [Accessed: 17/05/2009]

Bittel, L.R & Newstrom, J. W. 1990. *What Every Supervisor Should Know*. Singapore: McGraw-Hill Publishing Company.

Bowditch, J.L., & Buono, A.F. 2005. A Primer on Organisational Behaviour. USA: Wiley.

Bradley, T. A., Freeman, M., & Gary, LLP. 2009. *Creating a Successful Termination Program and Exit Interviews*. Available at: <u>http://www.zeroriskhr.com/articles/termination</u> <u>program.aspx</u> [Accessed: 16/05/2009]

Bragg, T. 2002. *Motivate your employees by offering more interesting, challenging job experiences.* Available at: <u>http://www.bizjournals.com/louisville/stories/2002/09/02/editorial</u> 2.html [Accessed: 16/05/2009]

Britnell, A. 2006. *The ultimate guide to hiring today's best employees: The new rules of recruiting*. Available at: http://www.canadianbusiness.com/entrepreneur/human_resources/article.jsp? content=20061019_145640_5628 [Accessed: 17/05/2009]

Buckley, R. & Caple, J. 2004. The Theory & Practice of Training. England: Kogan Page.

BusinessDictionaty.com. 2009. *On the Job Training*. Available at: <u>http://www.businessdictionary.com/definition/on-the-job-training-OJT.html</u> [Accessed: 18/05/2009]

Business Performance. 2008. *Why Measure Training Effectiveness?* Available at: <u>http://www.businessperform.com/html/evaluating_training_effectiven.html</u> [Accessed: 18/05/2009]

Bytestart Limited. 2009. *Mates not money make us happy at work*. Available at: <u>http://www.bytestart.co.uk/content/news/1 12/mates-not-money.shtml</u> [Accessed: 08/ 05/2009]

Calahan, K. 2009, Unhappiness at Work - Resolving the Three Causes Behind Job Dissatisfaction. Available at: <u>http://ezinearticles.com/?Unhappiness-at-Work-Resolving-the-Three-Causes-Behind-Job-Dissatisfaction</u> [Accessed 31/03/2009]

Campbell, J. 2009. 7 *Questions to Ask During a Recruitment Job Interview*. Available at: <u>http://www.nightcats.com/samples/jobinterview.html</u> [Accessed: 18/05/2009]

Career Services. 2006. *Typical Interview Questions*. Available at: <u>http://www.career.vt.edu/JOBSEARC/interview/questions.htm</u> [Accessed: 18/05/2009]

Cassano. E, 2008. *The path to customer satisfaction*. Available at: http://www.sbnonline.com/National/Article/15028/0/The_path_to_customer_satisfaction.as px?Category=101 [Accessed: 29/03/2009]

Chapman, A. 2009. *How to design and write effective job advertisements - tips and techniques*. Available at: <u>http://www.businessballs.com/jobadvertswriting.htm</u> [Accessed: 17/05/2009]

Coghlan, D. & Brannick, T. 2002. *Doing Action Research in your own organisation*. London: Sage.

Cole, L.E. & Cole, M.S. 2005. *Employee Satisfaction And Organisational Performance: A Summary Of Key Findings From Applied Psychology*. Available at: <u>http://www.teammax.net/files/LiteratureReview.pdf</u> [Accessed: 27/03/2009]

Collis, J. & Hussey, R. 2003. *Business Research: A practical guide for undergraduate and post graduate students*. Houdmills: Macmillan Palgrave.

Cooper, C. 2005. *Research reveals that unhappiness and dissatisfaction at work causes illness.* Available at: http://domino.lancs.ac.uk/Info/lunews.nsf/I/30552BB58844CDC3802570BD0036404C [Accessed: 26/03/2009]

Cranny, C.J., Smith, P.C. & Stone, E.F. 1992. Job Satisfaction. USA: Lexington Books.

Dandapani, V. 2007. *Do Happy Employees Really Make Happy Customers?* Available at: <u>http://www.4hoteliers.com/4hots_fshw.php?mwi=2181</u> [Accessed: 28/03/2009]

Davey, N. 2008. *Do happy employees make happy customers?* Available at: <u>http://www.mycustomer.com/cgi-bin/item.cgi?id=133689</u> [Accessed: 29/03/2009]

Davlin, H. 2008. *Five Signs Of A Happy Employee & Five Signs Of An Unhappy Employee*. Available at: <u>http://www.atlantawomanmag.com/Articles/2008/Web_August/Happy_Unhappy_Employees.html</u> [Accessed: 08/05.2009]

Denzin, N. K. 1998. The art and politics of interpretation. USA: Sage Publications Inc.

De Vos, A.S. 2002. *Scientific theory and professional research*. In de Vos, A.S. Strydom, H. Fouché, C.S.L. & Delport, C.S.L. (eds) *Research at grass roots: for the social sciences and human service professions*. 2nd edition. Pretoria: Van Schaik.

Emory, C.W. & Cooper, D.R. 1995. Business Research Methods. Boston: Irwin

Erickson, R. 2005. *How To Hire the Right Employee for the Job and Your Company*. Available at: <u>http://www.googobits.com/articles/1810-how-to-hire-the-right-employee-for-the-job-and-your-company.html</u> [Accessed: 27/03/2009]

Far, E. 2005, *Companies can't afford to have unhappy workers*. Available at: <u>http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_qn4188/is_20050213/ai_n11502985</u> [Accessed 26/03/2009]

Federal Occupational Health. 2004. *Dealing with an Angry Employee*. Available at: <u>http://www.foh.dhhs.gov/services/EAP/leadershiptoday/leadershipsummer04.pdf</u> [Accessed: 13/05/2009]

Fincham, R. & Rhodes, P. 1999. Organisational Behaviour. USA: Oxford University Press.

Free Management Library. 2009. *Job Satisfaction*. Available at: <u>http://managementhelp.org/prsn_wll/job_stfy.htm</u> [Accessed: 18/05/2009]

Fripley, G. 2008. Understanding your work colleagues - Part 1. Available at: <u>http://www.onlineopinion.com.au/view.asp?article=8047&page=0</u> [Accessed: 14/05/ 2009]

Gage, K. 2009. *Satisfied Employees*. Available at: <u>http://www.seniormag.com/marketing/satisfied-employees.htm</u> [Accessed: 19/05/2009]

Gay, L. R. & Diebl, P. L. 1992. *Research methods for business and management*. MacMillan Publishing Company. New York.

Ghauri, P. Gronhaug, K. Kristianslund, I. 1995. *Research methods in business studies*. New York: Prentice Hall

Gilberd, P. 2009. *How to Hire the Right People for the Job*. Available at: <u>http://www.shine.yahoo.com/channel/life/how-to-hire-the-right-people-for-the-job-462562/</u> [Accessed: 17/05/2009]

Gravett, L. 2002. Employee Job Satisfaction: Five Questions to Which Your Employees Must Have the Answer. Available at: <u>http://www.e-hresources.com/Articles/Dec2002.htm</u> [Accessed: 28/03/2009]

Gummesson, E. 2000. Qualitative Methods in Managing Research. Thousand Oakes: Sage.

Hackett, P. 1998. Success in Managing People. Great Britain: John Murray.

Hawthorne D.R. 2008. *Turnover*. Available at: <u>http://cogniphany.com/interests/turnover/</u> [Accessed: 17/05/2009]

Heathfield, S. M. 2009. *The Five Causes of Employee Negativity*. Available at: <u>http://humanresources.about.com/od/workrelationships/a/negativitycause.htm</u> [Accessed: 26/03/2009]

hrVillage.com. 2007. *Develop an Effective Employee Training Program*. Available at: <u>http://www.hrvillage.com/hrfaqs/training/employee-training.htm</u> [Accessed: 18/05/ 2009]

Irons, K. R. 2006. *Is Your Job Challenging Enough to Keep You Happy?* Available at: http://www.associatedcontent.com/article/103549/is your job challenging enough to kee p.html?cat=31 [Accessed: 16/05/2009]

Janesick, V.J. 1998. *The dance of research design; metaphor, methodology, and meaning*. USA: Sage Publications Inc.

Jasrotia, P. 2000. *Happy employees create happy customers*. Available at: <u>http://www.itpeopleindia.com/20011224/management5.shtml</u> [Accessed: 27/04/2009]

Jobsite. 2009. *How to be Happy at Work*. Available at: <u>http://www.jobsite.co.uk/cgi-bin/bulletin_search.cgi?act=da&aid=1782</u> [Accessed: 08/05/2009]

Kerlinger, F. N. 1986. *Foundations of behavioral research*. New York: CBS College Publishing.

Kjerulf, A. 2007. *Top 10 signs you're unhappy at work*. Available at: <u>http://positivesharing.com/2007/11/top-10-signs-youre-unhappy-at-work/</u> [Accessed: 08/05/2009]

Klebanow, A. 2008. *Happy Employees Make For Happy Customers*. Available at: <u>http://www.indiangaming.com/istore/Feb08_Klebanow.pdf</u> [Accessed: 27/04/2009]

Knight-Turvey, N. & Abbot, L. 2007. *Going The Extra Mile*. Available at: <u>www.jra.co.nz/getdocument.aspx?FileID=117</u> [Accessed: 15/05/2009]

Korris, N.B. 2003, Canadian News. *The Unhappy Employee: Does Your Company Have One?* First Quarter 2003 Volume 11, Number 1. Available at: <u>http://www.worldatwork.org/</u><u>waw/canadanews/html/canv11n1-4.html</u> [Accessed 28/03/2009]

Kruger, P. & Barr, J. 2004. *Hire the right person the first time*. Available at: http://www.accessmylibrary.com/coms2/summary_0286-21602545_ITM [Accessed: 17/05/2009]

Kurtus, R. 1999. *Goals and Outcomes of Training*. Available at: <u>http://www.school-for-</u> <u>champions.com/training/goals.htm</u> [Accessed: 18/05/2009]

Lazear, E. P. 1998. Personnel Economics For Managers. USA: Wiley.

Leedy, P.D. & Ormrod, J.E. 2001. Practical research. New Jersey: Merril Prentice Hall.

Leedy, P. D. & Ormrod, J. E. 2005. *Practical research: planning and design.* 8th ed. New Jersey: Pearson Education.

Likert, R.A. 1932. A technique for the measurement of attitudes. Archives of Psychology. No 140, pp 1-55.

Lynn, C. 2009, *Identifying and dealing with negative people*. Available at: <u>http://www.helium.com/items/703801-identifying-and-dealing-with-negative-people</u> [Accessed 30/03/2009]

Malterud, K 1998. *Making changes with key questions in medical practices; studying what makes a difference. Doing qualitative research.* USA: SAGE Publications Inc.

MacMichael, J. 2009. *Job Site Training - Good For All Your Employees*. Available at: <u>http://ezinearticles.com/?Job-Site-Training-Good-For-All-Your-Employees&id=2068627</u> [Accessed: 28/03/2009]

Maddrell, J. 2006. *Purpose of Evaluation*. Available at: http://designedtoinspire.com/drupal/files/R561%20Quiz%201%20Jennifer%20Maddrell%2 <u>OResponses%20Final.doc</u> [Accessed: 18/05/2009]

Marketing Innovators International. 2005. *The Effects of Employee Satisfaction on Company Financial Performance*. Available at: <u>http://www.marketinginnovators.com/Downloads/TheEffects.pdf</u> [Accessed: 27/03/2009]

Martin, S.L. & Lehnen, L.P. 1992. *Select the right employees through testing*. Available at: <u>http://www.faqs.org/abstracts/Human-resources-and-labor-relations/Select-the-right-employees-through-testing-Internships-add-value-to-college-recruitments.html</u> [Accessed: 18/05/2009]

Martlew, E. 2006. *Good Customer Service Through Satisfied Employees Increases Your Bottom Line*. Available at: <u>http://www.erikamartlew.com/articles1.htm</u> [Accessed: 19/05/2009]

Mason, J. 1996. Qualitative Research. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.

McNamara, C. 2008, *Employee Training and Development: Reasons and Benefits*. Available at: <u>http://managementhelp.org/trng_dev/basics/reasons.htm</u> [Accessed 30/03/2009]

Moore, D. 1999. *Happy Employees = Happy Customers*. Available at: <u>http://www.qualitydigest.com/oct99/html/happy.html</u> [Accessed: 28/03/2009]

Murck, M. 2009, *Turning around negative employees*. Available at: <u>http://www.helium.com/items/948276-turning-around-negative-employees</u> [Accessed 30/03/2009]

NAUKRIHUB, 2009. *Managing Difficult People at Work*. Available at: <u>http://www.naukrihub.com/hr-today/managing-difficult-people.html</u> [Accessed:16/05/2009]

Parasuraman, A. 1991. *Market Research*. 2nd Edition, Eddision-Wesley.

Nunnally, J.C. (1978). Psychometric theory (2nd ed.). New York. McGraw-Hill.

Parmar, A. 2008. *Happy Customers = Happy Employees?* Available at: <u>http://asthaparmar.spaces.live.com/blog/cns!BB0155A45B1604C7!1215.entry</u> [Accessed: 27/04/2009]

Patel, P., Patel, D., Tang, H. & Elliott, G. 2005. *Research methods for organisational studies*. Paper read at the First Annual Conference and Workshop on Sustainable ICT Capacity in Developing Countries, held in Kampala, Uganda, on the 9-12 August 2005. London, UK. (Unpublished).

Pennington, M. C. & Riley, P. V. 2005. *Measuring Job Satisfaction In ESL Using, The Job Descriptive Index.* Available at: <u>http://sunzi1.lib.hku.hk/hkjo/view/10/1000015.pdf</u> [Accessed: 16/05/2009]

Remenyi, D., Williams, B., Money, A. & Swartz, E. 2002. *Doing research in business and management*. London: Sage

Retention.Naukrihub.com. 2007. *Employee Turnover*. Available at: <u>http://www.allbusiness.com/human-resources/workforce-management-hiring</u> [Accessed: 17/05/2009]

Robbins, S.P. & De Cenzo, D.A., 2001. Supervision today. USA: Prentice Hall.

Robertson, I.T., Callinan, M., Bartram, D. 2002. Organisational Effectiveness: The Role of Psychology. USA: Wiley.
Rosenbluth, H. G. 2008. The Happy Employees-Happy Customers Equation. Available at: http://www.hrguru.com/training/articles/588-the-happy-employees-happy-customers-equation [Accessed: 27/04/2009]

Rosse, J.G & Saturay, S.L. 2004. *Individual Differences in Adaptation to Work Dissatisfaction. Available*: <u>http://leeds-faculty.colorado.edu/Rosse/Research/WAM04%20presentation.pdf</u> [Accessed: 26/03/2009]

Schindler, D.R. & Cooper, P.S. 2003. *Business Research Methods*. Seventh/Eight Edition. New York, NY. McGraw-Hill.

Schmidt, D. J. 2009. *How to Handle Bitter Employees*. Available at: <u>http://www.hodu.com/bitter-employees.shtml</u> [Accessed: 14/05/2009]

Service Untitled. 2007. *Do happy employees give better service?* Available at: <u>http://www.serviceuntitled.com/do-happy-employees-give-better-service/2007/10/17/</u> [Accessed: 19/05/2009]

Small Business Notes. 2009. *Employee Termination: Exit Interviews*. Available at: http://www.smallbusinessnotes.com/operating/hr/termination/termexitinterview.html [Accessed: 16/05/2009] Smith, P.E. 2008. *Happy Positive Employees Make Happy Satisfied Customers*. Available at: <u>http://www.articlesbase.com/human-resources-articles/happy-positive-employees-make-happy-satisfied-customers-700069.html</u> [Accessed: 30/03/2009]

Southwest Wisconsin Workforce Development Board. 2008. *On-The-Job Training*. Available at:

http://search.wi.gov/query.html?col=badger&qp=url%3Adwd.wisconsin.gov&style=dwd& qt =On-The-Job+Training [Accessed: 18/05/2009]

Spector, P.E. 2003. Industrial and Organisational Psychology. USA: Wiley.

Sweeney, A., Theresa, M., Landolt, R. & Cox, S. 2000. *Spot An Unhappy Employee*. Available at: <u>http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_qa5328/is_200007/ai_n21457983/</u> [Accessed: 28/03/2009]

Thachappilly, G. 2009. *Employee Training Goals, Relevance and Process*. Available at: http://human-resources-management.suite101.com/article.cfm/employee_training_goals_ relevance_and_process [Accessed: 18/05/2009]

The Corporate Leadership Council, 2003. *Linking Employee Satisfaction with Productivity, Performance, and Customer Satisfaction*. Available at: <u>http://www.google.co.za/search?Source id=navclient&ie=UTF-</u> <u>8&rlz=1T4SKPB_enZA366AU3 67&q=Linking+Employee+</u> <u>Satisfaction+with+Productivity%2c+Performance%2c+and+Customer+Satisfaction</u> [Accessed: 17/05/2009]

Turner, J. H. & Brown, G. 2004. An improved job dimension scale to measure jobsatisfactioninsalesreps.Availableat:http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mim0OGT/is1_4/ain8690398/[Accessed: 18/05/2009]

Umalme, U. 2005. *Managing negative behaviour at workplace*. Available at: <u>http://www.citehr.com/3661-managing-negative-behaviour-workplace.html</u> [Accessed: 26/03/2009] United Press International. 2009. *Happy employees = more profit*. Available at: <u>http://www.redorbit.com/news/health/1633966/happy_employees_more_profit/</u> [Accessed: 17/05/2009]

United States Department of Labour. 2009. Training Evaluation Tips. Available at: http://www.msha.gov/training/trainingtps/trainingeval/evaluation%20and%20training%20 main%20page.htm [Accessed: 18/05/2009]

Vikesland, G. 2002. *Dealing with the Negative Employee*. Available at: <u>http://www.employer-employee.com/october2002tips.html</u> [Accessed: 26/03/2009]

Warr, P. B. 2007. *Work, happiness, and unhappiness*. Available at: <u>http://books.google.co.za/books?id=JPIMLcB_cKkC&pg=PA35&lpg=PA35&dq=intrinsic+</u> <u>or+extrinsic+job+satisfaction&source=bl&ots=KJrj7zlRIt&sig=H8rODadmBHn6hoavTVg</u> <u>Qst7pQn8&hl=en&ei=7xYRSqb_BaW6jAfPvIDIBg&sa=X&oi=book_result&ct=result&re</u> <u>snum=8#PPP10,M1</u> [Accessed: 18/05/2009]

Well-Run Concepts. 2009. *Interviewing & Applicant Screening*. Available at: http://www.google.co.za/search?sourceid=navclient&ie=UTF-8&rlz=1T4SKPB_en ZA366AU367&q=Interviewing+%26+Applicant+Screening [Accessed: 18/05/2009]

Wikipedia.2009.JobSatisfaction.Availableat:http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Job_satisfaction[Accessed: 18/05/2009]

Williams, S. 2009. Managing Emotions. Available at: http://www.wright.edu/~scott.williams/skills/emotions.html [Accessed: 14/05/2009]

Wolfe, I. S. 2004. *Like Bad News, Negative Employees Hit Fast...and Hard.* Available at: <u>http://www.super-solutions.com/negative_employees.asp</u> [Accessed: 14/05/2009]

Yee, R.W.Y., Yeung, A. C. L. & Cheng, T.C. E. 2008. *The Impact of Employee Satisfaction on Quality and Profitability in High-contact Service Industries*. Available at: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science?_ob=ArticleURL&_udi=B6VB7-4RRFNG0-</u> <u>1&_user=10&_coverDate=09%2F30%2F2008&_rdoc=1&_fmt=high&_orig=search&_sort</u> <u>=d&_docanchor=&view=c&_searchStrId=1320473824&_rerunOrigin=google&_acct=C00</u>

<u>0050221&_version=1&_urlVersion=0&_userid=10&md5=c936b6b58cf52283de90d7e229a</u> <u>0a4aa</u> [Accessed: 27/03/2009]

Yin, R. K. 2003. Case Study Research: Design and Methods. Sage: Thousand Oakes.

Annexure A :

	Frequency ffffffffffffff	Percent F ffffffffffffffff	requency	Percen fffffffff
Agree Completely agree	8 9	47.06 52.94	8 17	47.06 100.00
	Chi-Sau	are Test		
	for Equal	Proportions		
	ffffffffff Chi-Square	ffffffffff 0.0588		
	DF	1		
	Pr > ChiSq Sample	0.8084 Size = 17		
		Cu	umulative	Cumulati
Q02 ffffffffffffffffffffffffff	Frequency		requency	Percen
Agree	6	35.29	6	35.29
Completely agree	11	64.71	17	100.00
		are Test		
		Proportions ffffffffff		
	Chi-Square			
	DF Pr ≻ ChiSq	1 0.2253		
	Sample	Size = 17		
000	Fraguera		umulative	Cumulati
Q03 ffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	Frequency		requency	Percen fffffffff
Disagree Agree	1 7	5.88 41.18	1 8	5.88 47.06
Completely agree	9	52.94	17	100.00
	Chi-Sou	are Test		
	for Equal	Proportions		
	ffffffffff Chi-Square	ffffffffff 6.1176		
	DF	2		
	Pr > ChiSq Sample	0.0469 Size = 17		
				Cumul at i
Q04	Frequency		umulative requency	Cumulati Percen
fffffffffffffffffffffffffff	ffffffffffffff 10	ffffffffffffffff 58.82	ffffffffff; 10	ffffffffff 58.82
Disagree	2	11.76	12	70.59
Undecided Agree	1 1	5.88 5.88	13 14	76.47 82.35
Completely agree	3	17.65	17	100.00
		are Test		
		Proportions ffffffffff		
	Chi-Square			
	DF Pr ≻ ChiSq	4 0.0021		
MADNITHC. The		have expected o		
wakining: The			a valid test	÷
	n 5. Chi-Squa Sample	re may not be a Size = 17		
		Size = 17	umulative	Cumulati
tha Q05	Sample Frequency	Size = 17 Cu Percent F	umulative Frequency	Cumulati Percen
tha Q05 fffffffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree	Sample Frequency ffffffffffff 1	Size = 17 Cu Percent F ffffffffffffffff 5.88	umulative Frequency Fffffffffff 1	Cumulati Percen fffffffff 5.88
than Q05 fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	Sample Frequency fffffffffffffffff	Size = 17 Cu Percent F ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	umulative requency	Cumulati Percen fffffffff 5.88 11.76
tha Q05 fffffffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree	Sample Frequency fffffffffff 1 1	Size = 17 Cu Percent F ffffffffffff 5.88 5.88	umulative Frequency fffffffffff 1 2	Cumulati Percen fffffffff 5.88 11.76 64.71
than Q05 ffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Disagree Agree	Sample Frequency ffffffffffff 1 9 6	Size = 17 Percent F fffffffffffffff 5.88 5.88 52.94	umulative Frequency fffffffffff 1 2 11	Cumulati Percen
than Q05 ffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Disagree Agree	Sample Frequency fffffffffff 1 9 6 Chi-Squ for Equal	Size = 17 Cu Percent F fffffffffffffff 5.88 5.88 52.94 35.29 are Test Proportions	umulative Frequency fffffffffff 1 2 11	Cumulati Percen fffffffff 5.88 11.76 64.71
than Q05 ffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Disagree Agree	Sample Frequency fffffffffff 1 9 6 Chi-Squ for Equal	Size = 17 Ct Percent F 5.88 5.88 52.94 35.29 are Test Proportions fffffffffff	umulative Frequency fffffffffff 1 2 11	Cumulati Percen fffffffff 5.88 11.76 64.71
than Q05 ffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Disagree Agree	Sample Frequency fffffffffff 1 9 6 Chi-Squ for Equal fffffffffff Chi-Square DF	Size = 17 CC Percent F 5.88 5.88 52.94 35.29 are Test Proportions ffffffffffff 11.0000 3	umulative Frequency fffffffffff 1 2 11	Cumulati Percen fffffffff 5.88 11.76 64.71
Q05 ffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Disagree Agree Completely agree	Sample Frequency 1 1 9 6 Chi-Squ for Equal fffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq	Size = 17 Ct Percent F ffffffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 35.29 are Test Proportions ffffffffff 11.0000 3 0.0117	umulative Frequency fffffffffff 2 11 17	Cumulati Percen fffffffff 5.88 11.76 64.71
than Q05 ffffffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Disagree Agree Completely agree WARNING: The	Sample Frequency fffffffffff 1 9 6 Chi-Squ for Equal fffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq table cells	Size = 17 CC Percent F 5.88 5.88 52.94 35.29 are Test Proportions ffffffffffff 11.0000 3	umulative requency ffffffffff 2 11 17 17	Cumulati Percen fffffffff 5.88 11.76 64.71 100.00
than Q05 ffffffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Disagree Agree Completely agree WARNING: The	Sample Frequency fffffffffffff 1 9 6 Chi-Squ for Equal fffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq table cells n 5. Chi-Squa	Size = 17 CC Percent F fffffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 35.29 are Test Proportions ffffffffff 11.0000 3 0.0117 have expected of	umulative requency ffffffffff 2 11 17 17	Cumulati Percen fffffffff 5.88 11.76 64.71 100.00
than Q05 ffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Disagree Agree Completely agree WARNING: The than	Sample Frequency ffffffffffff 1 9 6 Chi-Squ for Equal fffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq table cells n 5. Chi-Squa Sample	Size = 17 Ct Percent F 5.88 5.88 52.94 35.29 are Test Proportions ffffffffff 11.0000 3 0.0117 have expected co re may not be a Size = 17 Ct	umulative requency ffffffffffff 1 2 11 17 counts less a valid test umulative	Cumulati Percen ffffffffff 5.88 11.76 64.71 100.00
Q05 fffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Disagree Agree Completely agree WARNING: The that	Sample Frequency 1 1 9 6 Chi-Squ for Equal for Equal fffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq table cells n 5. Chi-Squa Sample Frequency	Size = 17 Ct Percent F 5.88 5.88 52.94 35.29 are Test Proportions ffffffffff 11.0000 3 0.0117 have expected of re may not be a Size = 17 Ct Percent F	umulative requency fffffffffff 1 2 11 17 counts less a valid test umulative requency	Cumulati Percen 5,588 11.76 64.71 100.00
Q05 ffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Disagree Agree Completely agree WARNING: The than Q06 fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	Sample Frequency fffffffffffff 1 1 9 6 Chi-Squ for Equal fffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq table cells n 5. Chi-Squa Sample Frequency ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	Size = 17 Ct Percent F 5.88 5.88 52.94 35.29 are Test Proportions fffffffffff 11.0000 0.0117 have expected co re may not be a Size = 17 Ct Percent F ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	umulative requency ffffffffffff 1 2 11 17 counts less a valid test umulative requency 1	Cumulati Percen ffffffffff 5.88 11.76 64.71 100.00 100.00 : : Cumulati Percen ffffffff5.88
Q05 ffffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Disagree Agree Completely agree WARNING: The than Q06 ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	Sample Frequency fffffffffffff 1 9 6 Chi-Squ for Equal ffffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq table cells n 5. Chi-Squa Sample Frequency ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	Size = 17 Ct Percent F 5.88 5.88 52.94 35.29 are Test Proportions ffffffffffff 11.0000 3 0.0117 have expected of re may not be a Size = 17 Ct Percent F ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	umulative requency ffffffffffff 1 2 11 17 counts less a valid test umulative requency ffffffffffff 1 2	Cumulati Percen 5.88 11.76 64.71 100.00 100.00 5.0 Cumulati Percen 5.88 11.76
Q05 ffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Disagree Agree Completely agree WARNING: The than Q06 fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	Sample Frequency fffffffffffff 1 1 9 6 Chi-Squ for Equal fffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq table cells n 5. Chi-Squa Sample Frequency ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	Size = 17 Ct Percent F 5.88 5.88 52.94 35.29 are Test Proportions fffffffffff 11.0000 0.0117 have expected co re may not be a Size = 17 Ct Percent F ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	umulative requency ffffffffffff 1 2 11 17 counts less a valid test umulative requency 1	Cumulati Percen 5,588 11.76 64.71 100.00
Q05 ffffffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Disagree Agree Completely agree WARNING: The that Q06 fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	Sample Frequency fffffffffffff 1 9 6 Chi-Squ for Equal fffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq table cells n 5. Chi-Squa Sample Frequency ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	Size = 17 Ct Percent F fffffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 35.29 are Test Proportions ffffffffff 11.0000 3 0.0117 have expected of re may not be a Size = 17 Ct Percent F ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	unulative Frequency ffffffffff 2 11 17 17 sounts less a valid test unulative fffffffffff 1 2 8	Cumulati Percen 5,588 11.76 64.71 100.00 : : Cumulati Percen fffffffff 5.88 11.76 47.06
Q05 ffffffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Disagree Agree Completely agree WARNING: The that Q06 fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	Sample Frequency fffffffffffff 1 1 9 6 Chi-Squ for Equal fffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq table cells n 5. Chi-Squa Sample Frequency ffffffffffffffffff 1 1 6 9 Chi-Squ for Equal	Size = 17 Ct Percent F fffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 35.29 are Test Proportions fffffffffff 11.0000 3 0.0117 have expected core may not be a Size = 17 Ct Percent F ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	unulative Frequency ffffffffff 2 11 17 17 sounts less a valid test unulative fffffffffff 1 2 8	Cumulati Percen 5,588 11.76 64.71 100.00 : : Cumulati Percen fffffffff 5.88 11.76 47.06
than Q05 ffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Disagree Completely agree WARNING: The than Q06 fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	Sample Frequency fffffffffffff 1 1 9 6 Chi-Squ for Equal fffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq table cells n 5. Chi-Squa Sample Frequency ffffffffffffffffff 1 1 6 9 Chi-Squ for Equal	Size = 17 Ct Percent F fffffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 35.29 are Test Proportions fffffffffff 11.0000 0.0117 have expected of re may not be a Size = 17 Ct Percent F ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	unulative Frequency ffffffffff 2 11 17 17 sounts less a valid test unulative fffffffffff 1 2 8	Cumulati Percen 5,588 11.76 64.71 100.00 : : Cumulati Percen fffffffff 5.88 11.76 47.06

Descriptive statistics for each variable

WARNING: The table cells have expected counts less than 5. Chi-Square may not be a valid test. Sample Size = 17

	Sample S	Size = 17		
			Cumulative	Cumulative
fffffffffffffffffffffff			Frequency	Percent ffffffffff
Undecided Agree	1 5	5.88 29.41	1 6	5.88 35.29
Completely agree	11	64.71	17	100.00
		are Test		
		Proportions ffffffffff		
	Chi-Square DF	8.9412 2		
	Pr ≻ ChiSq	0.0114		
	Sample S	Size = 17		
fffffffffffffffffffffff				
Disagree Undecided	1 1	5.88 5.88	1 2	5.88 11.76
Agree Completely agree	8 7	47.06 41.18	10 17	58.82 100.00
compretery ugi ce			1,	100100
	for Equal I ffffffffff Chi-Square			
	DF Pr ≻ ChiSq			
WARNING: The than	5. Chi-Squa		d counts less e a valid tes	
			Cumulative	Cumulative
Q09 {}}}	Frequency ffffffffffff	Percent fffffffffff	Frequency ffffffffffffff	Percent ffffffffff
Undecided	2 9	11.76 52.94	2	11.76
Agree Completely agree	6	35.29	11 17	64.71 100.00
	Chi-Squ	are Test		
	for Equal I	Proportions fffffffffff		
	Chi-Square			
	DF Pr ≻ ChiSq	2 0.1134		
		Size = 17		
			Cumulative	Cumulative
fffffffffffffffffffffff	Frequency fffffffffff 1	Percent fffffffffff 5.88	Frequency	Percent
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Agree	<i>fffffffffffff</i> 1 9	ffffffffffff 5.88 52.94	Frequency fffffffffff 1 10	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 58.82
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	<i>ffffffffffff</i> 1	ffffffffffff 5.88	Frequency fffffffffff 1	Percent fffffffff 5.88
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Agree	fffffffffff 1 9 7 Chi-Squa	fffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 41.18 are Test	Frequency fffffffffff 1 10	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 58.82
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Agree	ffffffffffff 1 9 7 Chi-Squi for Equal I fffffffffff Chi-Square	fffffffffff 5.88 52.94 41.18 are Test Proportions fffffffff 6.1176	Frequency fffffffffff 1 10	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 58.82
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Agree	fffffffffff 1 9 7 Chi-Squa for Equal 1 ffffffffff	ffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 41.18 are Test Proportions fffffffffff 6.1176 2	Frequency fffffffffff 1 10	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 58.82
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Agree	fffffffffffff 9 7 7 for Equal 1 ffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq	ffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 41.18 are Test Proportions fffffffffff 6.1176 2	Frequency fffffffffff 1 10	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 58.82
fffffffffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Agree Completely agree	ffffffffffff 1 9 7 7 for Equal I fffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S	<pre>fffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 41.18 are Test Proportions fffffffff 6.1176 2 0.0469 Size = 17</pre>	Frequency fffffffffffff 1 10 17 27 Cumulative	Percent <i>ffffffffff</i> 5.88 58.82 100.00 Cumulative
fffffffffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Agree Completely agree	fffffffffffff 9 7 Chi-Squa for Equal I ffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S Frequency	fffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 41.18 are Test Proportions fffffffff 6.1176 2 0.0469 Size = 17 Percent	Frequency fffffffffffff 1 10 17 Vunulative Frequency	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 58.82 100.00 Cumulative Percent
fffffffffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Agree Completely agree Q11 fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	ffffffffffff 9 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	<pre>ffffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 41.18 are Test Proportions ffffffffff 6.1176 2 0.0469 Size = 17 Percent ffffffffffff 5.88</pre>	Frequency ffffffffffff 1 10 17 Cumulative Frequency fffffffffffffff	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 58.82 100.00 Cumulative Percent fffffffffff 5.88
fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Agree Completely agree Q11 fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	ffffffffffffff 9 7 Chi-Squar for Equal I fffffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S Frequency ffffffffffffffffff	<pre>ffffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 41.18 are Test Proportions ffffffffffff 6.1176 0.0469 Size = 17 Percent fffffffffffffffff</pre>	Frequency fffffffffff 1 10 17 Cumulative Frequency	Percent fffffffffff 5.88 58.82 100.00 Cumulative Percent ffffffffffff
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	fffffffffffff 9 7 Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample : Frequency fffffffffffff 1 8 8 Chi-Square	fffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 41.18 are Test Proportions fffffffffff 6.1176 2 0.0469 Size = 17 Percent ffffffffffff 5.88 47.06 47.06 are Test	Frequency fffffffffff 1 10 17 Volume Frequency ffffffffffff 1 9	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 58.82 100.00 Cumulative Percent fffffffffff 5.88 52.94
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	fffffffffffff 9 7 Chi-Squa for Equal 1 fffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample 5 Frequency fffffffffffff 1 8 8 Chi-Squa Chi-Squa Chi-Squa Chi-Squa Chi-Squa 1 8 8 8 Chi-Squa 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<pre>ffffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 41.18 are Test Proportions fffffffffffff 6.1176 2 0.0469 Size = 17 Percent fffffffffffff 5.88 47.06 47.06 are Test Proportions</pre>	Frequency fffffffffff 1 10 17 Volume Frequency ffffffffffff 1 9	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 58.82 100.00 Cumulative Percent fffffffffff 5.88 52.94
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	ffffffffffff 9 7 Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S Frequency ffffffffffffff 1 8 8 Chi-Square 1 Chi-Square Chi-S	<pre>ffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 41.18 are Test Proportions fffffffffff 6.1176 2 0.0469 Size = 17 Percent ffffffffffff 5.88 47.06 47.06 are Test Proportions fffffffffff 5.7647</pre>	Frequency fffffffffff 1 10 17 Volume Frequency ffffffffffff 1 9	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 58.82 100.00 Cumulative Percent fffffffffff 5.88 52.94
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	fffffffffffff 9 7 Chi-Square Frequency fffffffffffff Pr > ChiSq Sample S Frequency ffffffffffffff 8 8 Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Pr > ChiSq	ffffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 41.18 are Test Proportions fffffffffff 6.1176 0.0469 Size = 17 Percent fffffffffff 5.88 47.06 are Test Proportions fffffffffff 5.7647 2.0.0560	Frequency fffffffffff 1 10 17 Volume Frequency ffffffffffff 1 9	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 58.82 100.00 Cumulative Percent fffffffffff 5.88 52.94
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	fffffffffffff 9 7 Chi-Square Frequency fffffffffffff Pr > ChiSq Sample S Frequency ffffffffffffff 8 8 Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Pr > ChiSq	<pre>fffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 41.18 are Test Proportions ffffffffffff 6.1176 2 0.0469 Size = 17 Percent fffffffffff 5.88 47.06 47.06 are Test Proportions fffffffffff 5.7647 2</pre>	Frequency fffffffffff 1 10 17 Volume Frequency ffffffffffff 1 9	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 58.82 100.00 Cumulative Percent fffffffffff 5.88 52.94
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff Completely disagree Agree Completely agree Q11 ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff Undecided Agree Completely agree	fffffffffffff 9 7 Chi-Squar For Equal I fffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S Frequency ffffffffffffff 1 8 8 Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S Chi-Square DF	<pre>fffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 41.18 are Test Proportions ffffffffffff 6.1176 0.0469 Size = 17 Percent ffffffffffff 5.88 47.06 47.06 are Test Proportions fffffffffff 5.7647 2 0.0560 Size = 17</pre>	Frequency ffffffffffff 1 10 17 Cumulative Frequency fffffffffffff 1 9 17 27 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 58.82 100.00 Cumulative Percent ffffffffff 5.88 52.94 100.00 Cumulative
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	ffffffffffffff 9 7 Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample : Frequency ffffffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq 8 8 Chi-Square 1 8 8 Chi-Square 1 Chi-Square 2 Sample : 2 Chi-Square 2 Sample : 2 Chi-Square 2 Sample : 2 Chi-Square 2 Sample : 2 Chi-Square 2 Sample : 2 Chi-Square 2 Sample : 2 Chi-Square 2 Sample : 2 Chi-Square 2 Chi-Square 2 Sample : 2 Chi-Square 2	<pre>fffffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 41.18 are Test Proportions fffffffffff 6.1176 2 0.0469 Size = 17 Percent ffffffffffffff 5.88 47.06 47.06 are Test Proportions ffffffffffffff 5.7647 2 0.0560 Size = 17 Percent ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff</pre>	Frequency ffffffffffff 1 10 17 Volume Frequency ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 58.82 100.00 Cumulative Percent fffffffffff 5.88 52.94 100.00 Cumulative Percent ffffffffffff
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	ffffffffffff 9 7 Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S Frequency ffffffffffffffff 1 8 8 Chi-Square DF Frequency Frequency Frequency	<pre>ffffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 41.18 are Test Proportions ffffffffffff 6.1176 0.0469 Size = 17 Percent ffffffffffff 5.88 47.06 are Test Proportions fffffffffff 5.7647 2 0.0550 Size = 17 Percent ffffffffffff 5.88</pre>	Frequency fffffffffffff 1 10 17 Cumulative Frequency fffffffffffff 1 9 17 Cumulative Frequency	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 58.82 100.00 Cumulative Percent fffffffffff 5.88 52.94 100.00 Cumulative Percent fffffffffff 5.88
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	ffffffffffff 9 7 Chi-Square Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S Frequency ffffffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S Frequency fffffffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S Frequency ffffffffffffffff DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S Frequency ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	<pre>fffffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 41.18 are Test Proportions fffffffffff 6.1176 2 0.0469 Size = 17 Percent ffffffffffffff 5.88 47.06 47.06 are Test Proportions ffffffffffffff 5.7647 2 0.0560 Size = 17 Percent ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff</pre>	Frequency ffffffffffff 1 10 17 Cumulative Frequency ffffffffffffff 1 9 17 Cumulative Frequency ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 58.82 100.00 Cumulative Percent fffffffffff 5.88 52.94 100.00 Cumulative Percent ffffffffffff
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	fffffffffffff 9 7 Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S Frequency fffffffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S Frequency ffffffffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S Chi-Square for Equal I ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	<pre>fffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 41.18 are Test Proportions fffffffffffff 6.1176 2 0.0469 Size = 17 Percent ffffffffffff 5.88 47.06 47.06 are Test Proportions ffffffffffff 5.88 47.06 Size = 17 Percent ffffffffffff 5.88 47.06 are Test Proportions ffffffffffff 5.88 47.06 are Test Proportions fffffffffffffff 5.88 47.06 are Test Proportions ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff</pre>	Frequency ffffffffffff 1 10 17 Very State Frequency ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 58.82 100.00 Cumulative Percent fffffffffff 5.88 52.94 100.00 Cumulative Percent ffffffffffff 5.88 52.94
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	fffffffffffff 9 7 Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S Frequency ffffffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S Frequency fffffffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S Chi-Square Sample S Sample S	<pre>fffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 41.18 are Test Proportions fffffffffffff 6.1176 2 0.0469 Size = 17 Percent ffffffffffff 5.88 47.06 47.06 are Test Proportions ffffffffffff 5.88 47.06 Size = 17 Percent ffffffffffff 5.88 47.06 are Test Proportions ffffffffffff 5.88 47.06 are Test Proportions fffffffffffffff 5.88 47.06 are Test Proportions ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff</pre>	Frequency ffffffffffff 1 10 17 Very State Frequency ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 58.82 100.00 Cumulative Percent fffffffffff 5.88 52.94 100.00 Cumulative Percent ffffffffffff 5.88 52.94
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	fffffffffffff 9 7 Chi-Squar for Equal 1 ffffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample 5 Frequency ffffffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample 5 Frequency ffffffffffffff 1 8 8 Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample 5 Frequency ffffffffffffff 1 8 8 Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Pr > ChiSquare DF Pr > ChiSquare DF Pr > ChiSquare DF	<pre>fffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 41.18 are Test Proportions fffffffffff 6.1176 0.0469 Size = 17 Percent ffffffffffff 5.88 47.06 47.06 are Test Proportions fffffffffff 5.7647 0.0560 Size = 17 Percent fffffffffff 5.88 47.06 are Test Proportions fffffffffff 5.7647 5.7647 5.7647 5.7647 2</pre>	Frequency ffffffffffff 1 10 17 Very State Frequency ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 58.82 100.00 Cumulative Percent fffffffffff 5.88 52.94 100.00 Cumulative Percent ffffffffffff 5.88 52.94
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	fffffffffffff 9 7 Chi-Squar for Equal 1 ffffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample 5 Frequency ffffffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample 5 Frequency ffffffffffffff 1 8 8 Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample 5 Frequency ffffffffffffff 1 8 8 Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Pr > ChiSquare DF Pr > ChiSquare DF Pr > ChiSquare DF	<pre>fffffffffffff 5.88 52.94 41.18 are Test Proportions ffffffffffff 6.1176 2 0.0469 Size = 17 Percent ffffffffffff 5.88 47.06 47.06 are Test Proportions ffffffffffff 5.88 47.06 Size = 17 Percent ffffffffffff 5.88 47.06 are Test Proportions ffffffffffff 5.88 47.06 are Test Proportions ffffffffffff 5.88 47.06 are Test Proportions ffffffffffff 5.7647 2 0.05560</pre>	Frequency ffffffffffff 1 10 17 Very State Frequency ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 58.82 100.00 Cumulative Percent fffffffffff 5.88 52.94 100.00 Cumulative Percent ffffffffffff 5.88 52.94

Disagree Agree Completely agree	1 7 9	5.88 41.18 52.94	1 8 17	5.88 47.06 100.00
	for Equal I	are Test Proportions fffffffff 6.1176 2		
	Pr ≻ ChiSq			
Q14 F ffffffffffffffffffffffffff Agree Completely agree	Frequency ffffffffffff 8 9	Percent fffffffffff 47.06 52.94	Cumulative Frequency fffffffffff 8 17	Cumulative Percent ffffffffff 47.06 100.00
	for Equal I	are Test Proportions fffffffff 0.0588 1		
	Pr > ChiSq Sample S	0.8084 Size = 17		
	- requency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff Undecided Agree	1 5	5.88 29.41	1 1 6	5.88 35.29
Completely agree	11	64.71	17	100.00
	for Equal I	are Test Proportions ffffffffff 8.9412 2		
	Pr ≻ ChiSq			
Q16 F	requency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff Undecided	<i>fffffffffff</i> 1	5.88	1	5.88
Agree Completely agree	6 10	35.29 58.82	7 17	41.18 100.00
	for Equal I	are Test Proportions fffffffff 7.1765 2		
	Pr ≻ ChiSq			
Q17 F	requency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff Agree	fffffffffff 7	ffffffffffff 41.18	ffffffffffffff 7	<i>ffffffffff</i> 41.18
Completely agree	10 Chi-Squa	58.82 are Test	17	100.00
	for Equal H	Proportions ffffffffff		
	Pr > ChiSq Sample S	0.4669 Size = 17		
Q18 F fffffffffffffffffffffffff Agree Completely agree	Frequency Ffffffffffff 7 10	Percent fffffffffff 41.18 58.82	Cumulative Frequency ffffffffffff 7 17	Cumulative Percent ffffffffff 41.18 100.00
	for Equal I ffffffffff Chi-Square	are Test Proportions ffffffffff 0.5294		
	DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S	1 0.4669 Size = 17		
Q19 F	requency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	<i>fffffffffff</i> 1	ffffffffffff 5.88	<i>fffffffffffff</i> 1	ffffffffff 5.88
Disagree Undecided	1 2 7	5.88 11.76 41 18	2 4 11	11.76 23.53 64 71
Agree Completely agree	6	41.18 35.29	11 17	64.71 100.00
	for Equal I	are Test Proportions ffffffffff 9.7647 4		
WARNING: The t than	Pr ≻ ChiSq able cells H	nave expecte	d counts less e a valid test	·.

	Sample S	ize = 17		
Q20 F ffffffffffffffffffffffffff Agree Completely agree	Frequency 6 6 11	Percent F	mulative requency fffffffffff 6 17	Cumulative Percent ffffffffff 35.29 100.00
	Chi-Squad for Equal Pr ffffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S:	roportions ffffffff 1.4706 1 0.2253		
Q21 F ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff Agree Completely agree	requency	Cu Percent F	mulative requency ffffffffff 8 17	Cumulative Percent ffffffffff 47.06 100.00
	Chi-Squar for Equal Pr fffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq	roportions fffffffff 0.0588 1 0.8084		
	Sample S:			6 J.:
Q22 F ffffffffffffffffffffffffffff Agree Completely agree	requency ffffffffffff 6 11	Percent F	mulative requency ffffffffff 6 17	Cumulative Percent ffffffffff 35.29 100.00
completely up ee	Chi-Squar for Equal Pr ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	re Test roportions fffffffff	17	100.00
	DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S:	1 0.2253 ize = 17		
	requency	Percent F	mulative requency	Cumulative Percent
fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff Disagree Agree	-+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	17.65 29.41	111111111111 3 8	<i>1111111111111111111111111111111111111</i>
Completely agree	9	52.94	17	100.00
	Chi-Squar for Equal Pr ffffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq	roportions fffffffff 3.2941 2 0.1926		
	Sample S:			
Q24 F fffffffffffffffffffffffff		Cu	mulative	Cumulative
Undecided	1	Percent F fffffffffffff 5.88	1	5.88
Undecided Agree Completely agree		Percent F ffffffffffffff	requency	Percent ffffffffff
Agree	<i>ffffffffffffff</i> 1 10	Percent F ffffffffffff 5.88 58.82 35.29 re Test roportions	requency ffffffffff 1 11	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 64.71
Agree	fffffffffffff 1 10 6 Chi-Squar for Equal Pr ffffffffffff Chi-Square	Percent F ffffffffffffffff 5.88 58.82 35.29 re Test roportions fffffffff 7.1765 2 0.0276	requency ffffffffff 1 11	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 64.71
Agree Completely agree	ffffffffffff 1 10 6 Chi-Squal for Equal Pi ffffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S:	Percent F fffffffffffffff 5.88 58.82 35.29 re Test roportions fffffffff 7.1765 2 0.0276 ize = 17 Cu	requency fffffffffff 1 11 17 17 mulative	Percent fffffffff 5.88 64.71 100.00 Cumulative
Agree Completely agree Q25 F	fffffffffff 1 10 6 Chi-Squar for Equal P fffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S: Frequency ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	Percent F fffffffffffffff 5.88 58.82 35.29 re Test roportions ffffffffff 7.1765 2 0.0276 ize = 17 Cu Percent F ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	requency fffffffffff 1 11 17 mulative requency ffffffffffff	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 64.71 100.00 Cumulative Percent ffffffffffff
Agree Completely agree Q25 F ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	ffffffffffff 1 10 6 Chi-Squal for Equal Pi ffffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S: Frequency	Percent F ffffffffffffff 5.88 58.82 35.29 re Test roportions fffffffff 7.1765 2 0.0276 ize = 17 Cu Percent F	requency ffffffffff 1 11 17 mulative requency	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 64.71 100.00 Cumulative Percent
Agree Completely agree Q25 F ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	ffffffffffff 1 10 6 Chi-Squal Pi ffffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S: frequency fffffffffffff 2 7 8 Chi-Square for Equal Pi ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	Percent F ffffffffffffff 5.88 58.82 35.29 re Test roportions fffffffff 7.1765 2 0.0276 ize = 17 Cu Percent F ffffffffffffffff 11.76 41.18 47.06 re Test roportions fffffffffff 3.6471	requency fffffffffff 1 11 17 mulative requency fffffffffff 2 9	Percent <i>ffffffffff</i> 5.88 64.71 100.00 Cumulative Percent <i>fffffffffff</i> 11.76 52.94
Agree Completely agree Q25 F ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	<pre>ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff</pre>	Percent F ffffffffffffff 5.88 58.82 35.29 re Test roportions fffffffff 7.1765 2 0.0276 ize = 17 Cu Percent F fffffffffffffff 11.76 41.18 47.06 re Test roportions fffffffffff 3.6471 2 0.1615	requency fffffffffff 1 11 17 mulative requency fffffffffff 2 9	Percent <i>ffffffffff</i> 5.88 64.71 100.00 Cumulative Percent <i>fffffffffff</i> 11.76 52.94
Agree Completely agree Q25 F fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff Undecided Agree Completely agree	fffffffffffff 1 10 6 Chi-Square pr Equal Pr fffffffffffff Pr > Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S: requency ffffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq R 8 Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S: Sample S: requency	Percent F ffffffffffffff 5.88 58.82 35.29 re Test roportions fffffffff 7.1765 2 0.0276 ize = 17 Cu Percent F ffffffffffffffff 11.76 41.18 47.06 re Test roportions fffffffffff 3.6471 2 0.1615 ize = 17 Cu Percent F	requency fffffffffff 1 11 17 mulative requency fffffffffff 2 9 17 mulative requency	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 64.71 100.00 Cumulative Percent ffffffffff 11.76 52.94 100.00 Cumulative Percent
Agree Completely agree Q25 F ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	<pre>fffffffffffff 1 10 6 Chi-Square for Equal Pr ffffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S: fffffffffffff Chi-Square DF Fr > ChiSq Sample S: fffffffffffff Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq Sample S: ffffffffffffff Sample S: fffffffffffffff Sample S: Sample Sample Sample Sample Sample Sample Sample Sampl</pre>	Percent F fffffffffffff 5.88 58.82 35.29 re Test roportions fffffffff 7.1765 2 0.0276 ize = 17 Cu Percent F ffffffffffffffff 11.76 41.18 47.06 re Test roportions ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	requency fffffffffff 1 11 17 mulative requency ffffffffff 2 9 17 mulative requency fffffffffffff 7	Percent ffffffffff 5.88 64.71 100.00 Cumulative Percent ffffffffff 11.76 52.94 100.00 Cumulative Percent ffffffffff 41.18

Sample Size = 17

Annexure B :

			Simple Stati	stics			
Variable	N	Mean	Std Dev	Sum	Minimum	Maximum	Label
Q01	17	4.52941	0.51450	77.00000	4.00000	5.00000	Q01
Q02	17	4.64706	0.49259	79.00000	4.00000	5.00000	Q02
Q03	17	4.41176	0.79521	75.00000	2.00000	5.00000	Q03
Q04	17	2.11765	1.61564	36.00000	1.00000	5.00000	Q04
Q05	17	4.05882	1.08804	69.00000	1.00000	5.00000	Q05
Q06	17	4.23529	1.14725	72.00000	1.00000	5.00000	Q06
Q07	17	4.58824	0.61835	78.00000	3.00000	5.00000	Q07
Q08	17	4.23529	0.83137	72.00000	2.00000	5.00000	Q08
Q09	17	4.23529	0.66421	72.00000	3.00000	5.00000	Q09
Q10	17	4.23529	0.97014	72.00000	1.00000	5.00000	Q10
Q11	17	4.41176	0.61835	75.00000	3.00000	5.00000	Q11
Q12	17	4.41176	0.61835	75.00000	3.00000	5.00000	Q12
Q13	17	4.41176	0.79521	75.00000	2.00000	5.00000	Q13
Q14	17	4.52941	0.51450	77.00000	4.00000	5.00000	Q14
Q15	17	4.58824	0.61835	78.00000	3.00000	5.00000	Q15
Q16	17	4.52941	0.62426	77.00000	3.00000	5.00000	Q16
Q17	17	4.58824	0.50730	78.00000	4.00000	5.00000	Q17
Q18	17	4.58824	0.50730	78.00000	4.00000	5.00000	Q18
Q19	17	3.94118	1.14404	67.00000	1.00000	5.00000	Q19
Q20	17	4.64706	0.49259	79.00000	4.00000	5.00000	Q20
Q21	17	4.52941	0.51450	77.00000	4.00000	5.00000	Q21
Q22	17	4.64706	0.49259	79.00000	4.00000	5.00000	Q22
Q23	17	4.17647	1.13111	71.00000	2.00000	5.00000	Q23
Q24	17	4.29412	0.58787	73.00000	3.00000	5.00000	Q24
Q25	17	4.35294	0.70189	74.00000	3.00000	5.00000	Q25
Q26	17	4.58824	0.50730	78.00000	4.00000	5.00000	Q26

	Cronbach Coe	fficient Alpha	with Deleted Vari	able	
	Raw Vari	ables	Standardized	Variables	
Deleted	Correlation		Correlation		
Variable	with Total	Alpha	with Total	Alpha	Label
fffffffff	fffffffffffffffffffff	ffffffffffffffff	ffffffffffffffffff	ffffffffffffff	ffffff
Q01	0.485768	0.884874	0.498176	0.931526	Q01
Q02	0.806795	0.880217	0.787649	0.927436	Q02
Q03	0.403365	0.885902	0.477176	0.931817	Q03
Q04	173360	0.918386	172114	0.940448	Q04
Q05	0.398126	0.887399	0.390907	0.933004	Q05
Q06	0.582546	0.881539	0.606407	0.930014	Q06
Q07	0.782535	0.878770	0.759327	0.927843	Q07
Q08	0.696085	0.878583	0.723388	0.928357	Q08
Q09	0.830152	0.877189	0.829845	0.926828	Q09
Q10	0.528869	0.882845	0.538937	0.930959	Q10
Q11	0.569479	0.882806	0.625910	0.929739	Q11
Q12	0.579791	0.882613	0.581845	0.930359	Q12
Q13	0.507873	0.883414	0.548240	0.930829	Q13
Q14	0.497912	0.884687	0.523043	0.931180	Q14
Q15	0.615069	0.881951	0.626561	0.929730	Q15
Q16	0.400591	0.885927	0.450258	0.932189	Q16
Q17	0.711454	0.881462	0.739507	0.928127	Q17
Q18	0.799864	0.880088	0.780650	0.927537	Q18
Q19	033397	0.901719	024891	0.938552	Q19
Q20	0.612972	0.883125	0.656816	0.929303	Q20
Q21	0.607873	0.882987	0.613937	0.929908	Q21
Q22	0.806795	0.880217	0.834949	0.926754	Q22
Q23	0.402803	0.887589	0.403810	0.932828	Q23
Q24	0.562634	0.883136	0.552523	0.930769	Q24
Q25	0.796356	0.877413	0.777028	0.927589	Q25
Q26	0.787187	0.880286	0.775831	0.927606	Q26

Annexure C :

		001 (001)	
N	Variable 17	• (• /	17
Mean	4.52941176	Sum Observations	77
Std Deviation Skewness	0.51449576 -0.1295767		0.26470588 -2.2666667
Uncorrected SS	353	Corrected SS	4.23529412
Coeff Variation	11.3589972	Std Error Mean	0.12478355
	Basic Stat	istical Measures	
Location Mean 4.5	29412 St	Variability d Deviation	0.51450
		riance	0.26471
Mode 5.0		nge terquartile Range	1.00000 1.00000
	Variable	e: Q02 (Q02)	
N	17		17 79
Mean Std Deviation	4.64705882 0.49259218		0.24264706
Skewness	-0.6766923		-1.7662338
Uncorrected SS Coeff Variation	371 10.600085		3.88235294 0.11947115
	Pacic Stat	istical Measures	
Location		Variability	
		d Deviation riance	0.49259 0.24265
		inge	1.00000
	Ir	terquartile Range	1.00000
N	Variable 17		17
Mean	4.41176471	. Sum Observations	75
Std Deviation	0.79520623	Variance	0.63235294
Skewness Uncorrected SS	-1.7868701 341		4.33964305 10.1176471
Coeff Variation	18.0246744	Std Error Mean	0.19286584
	Basic Stat	istical Measures	
Location		Variability	0.70521
		d Deviation riance	0.79521 0.63235
Mode 5.0		inge	3.00000
	Ir	terquartile Range	1.00000
	Variable	e: Q04 (Q04)	
Ν	17		17
Mean	2.11764706		36
Std Deviation Skewness	1.61564047 1.09562795		2.61029412 -0.5050118
Uncorrected SS Coeff Variation	118 76.2941331		41.7647059 0.39185037
COEFF Variation	/0.2941551	. Stu Error Mean	0.39183037
Location		istical Measures: Variability	
Mean 2.1	17647 St	d Deviation	1.61564
		nriance Inge	2.61029 4.00000
1000 1.0		iterquartile Range	2.00000
	Variable		
N Mean	17 4.05882353		17 69
Std Deviation	1.08803655	Variance	1.18382353
Skewness Uncorrected SS	-1.778804 299		3.50525277 18.9411765
Coeff Variation	26.8066976		0.26388762
	Basic Stat	istical Measures	
Location		Variability	
		d Deviation riance	1.08804 1.18382
		inge	4.00000
	Ir	terquartile Range	1.00000
N	Variable 17		17
Mean	4.23529412	Sum Observations	72
Std Deviation Skewness	1.14724734 -1.9273727		1.31617647 3.57792293
Uncorrected SS	-1.92/3/2/ 326		21.0588235
Coeff Variation	27.0877845	Std Error Mean	0.27824835
		istical Measures	
Location Mean 4.2		Variability d Deviation	1.14725
		riance	1.31618
Mode 5.0		inge Honguantilo Bango	4.00000
	Ir	terquartile Range	1.00000

Variable: Q07 (Q87) T Sum Weights T Mean 4.5882329 Sum Observations 78 Std Deviation 6.688464 Variance 0.3823524 Duncorrected SS 1.2751119 Kurtosis 0.47654445 Uncorrected SS 1.2751119 Kurtosis 0.14997116 Dust Dust 0.117647023 Std Deviation 0.12355 Mean 4.582235 Std Deviation 0.61235 Mean 0.61235 Mean 4.5822412 Sum Observations 17 Mean 0.61215 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 17 150 0.6117647 Std Deviation 0.83137 Meights 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.2352944 Std Deviation 0.83137 0.60000 Noce 4.2352944 Std Deviation 0.63118 0.60000 Node 4.2352944 Std Deviation 0.61184 0.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>					
Mean 4.5822529 Sum Observations 78 Std Deviation 0.61336404 Variance 0.3823524 Skewness -1.2751119 Kurtosis 0.87658495 Coeff Variation 13.4767923 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures 0.61835 Median 5.00000 National Statistical Measures Median 5.000000 Range 1.00000 National Statistical Measures 1.00000 N Variable: Q08 (Q00) National Statistical Measures 0.61117647 Statistical Measures 0.61117647 Statistical Measures 0.40117647 Statistical Measures 0.60117647 Statistical Measures 0.60117647 Uncorrected SS -1.2561834 Kurtosis 0.60117647 Statistical Measures 0.60117647 Uncorrected SS -1.2561834 Kurtosis 0.60117647 Statistical Measures 0.60117647 Statistical Measures Uncorrected SS 10.08000 National 0.2016369 Mean 4.2352942 Statistical Measures 0.601217647		Vari			47
Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.87558495 Uncorrected SS 364 Corrected SS 6.11764766 Coeff Variation 13.4767923 Std Error Mean 0.882355 Mean 4.588235 Std Deviation 0.61835 Media 5.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 5.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 5.000000 Variance 0.631764 Mode 5.000000 Variance 0.6017647 Std Deviation 0.6317024 Variance 0.6017647 Std Deviation 0.631764 Variance 0.6017647 Std Deviation 0.83137 Media 1.0808235 Coeff Variation 13.629575 Std Error Mean 0.281369 Media 4.080000 Variance 0.4117647 Std Deviation 0.662116 Variance 0.4117647 Std Deviation 0.6622116 Variance 0.4117647 Std Deviation 0.6642116 Variance 0.4117647 Std Deviation 0.6642116 Variance 0.4117647		4 600			
Skewness -1.2751119 Kurtosis 0.7756495 Coeff Variation 13.4767923 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures 0.61835 Median 5.000000 Median 5.000000 Variance 0.38235 Median 5.000000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.2322412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.81317024 Variance 0.611767 Skemess -1.250134 Variance 0.2011264 Coeff Variation 0.823137024 Variance 0.83137 Median 4.235243 Std Deviation 0.83137 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.4117647 Skewness -0.201071 Kurtosis -0.70188 Uncorrected SS 312 Corrected SS -0.8000 N 17 Sum Meights 17 Median 4.032529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation					
Uncorrected SS 364 Corrected SS 6.11764786 Coeff Variation 13.4767923 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Mean 4.588235 Std Deviation 0.61835 Media 5.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 5.000000 Variance 1.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.63137024 Variance 0.69117647 Std Deviation 0.83137024 Variance 0.69117647 Std Deviation 0.83137 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.83137 Media 4.000000 Variance 0.69118 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.69118 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.69118 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.44117647 Std Deviation 0.6642116 Variance 0.44117647 Std Deviation 15.6827636 Std Error Mean 0.16109487 Ducorrected SS 312 Corrected SS 7.05582333 Coeff Variation 15.6827636 Std Error Mean 0.16109487 Dasic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 15.6827636 Std Error Mean 0.16109487 Dasic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.23529412 Sum Moleghts 17 Mean 4.23529412 Std Ervor Mean 0.23129412 Uncorrected SS 1.34868235 Coeff Variation 2.9961424 Std Ervor Mean 0.2329412 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.41765 Std Deviation 0.43235294 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.43235 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.43235 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.43235 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.43235 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.0					
Coeff Variation 13.4767923 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures 0.61835 0.61835 Median 5.080000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 5.080000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 5.080000 Interquartile Range 1.08000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.83137024 Variance 0.201766202 Uncorrected SS 316 Corrected SS 11.0588235 Coeff Variation 0.421525 Std Deviation 0.83137 Median 4.23520412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.63118 3.00000 17 Mean 4.23520412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.63116 Nariable: 0.4117647 Std Deviation 0.66421 Sum Observations 72 Median 4.23520412 Sum Observations 72 Mean 4.2					
Basic Statistical Measures Mean 4.58235 Std Deviation 0.61835 Mode 5.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 5.000000 Interguartile Range 1.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.69117647 Stemmess 1.0508235 Coeff Variation 19.629575 Std Error Mean 0.201639 Basic Statistical Measures Uncorrected SS 11.0588235 1.06000 Nean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Media 4.00000 Variable: Q09 (Q09) 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.43117647 Std Deviation 0.6462116 Variable: Variable					
Mean 4.58235 Std Deviation 0.61325 Mode 5.000000 Variance 0.33235 Mode 5.000000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Variable: (Q08) 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.63137024 Variance 0.69117647 Std Deviation 0.63137024 Variance 0.69117647 Std Deviation 0.63137024 Variance 0.69118 Uncorrected SS 316 Corrected SS 11.0588235 Coeff Variation 19.629575 Std Evror Mean 0.2016369 N 17 Sum Meights 17 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.4117647 Std Deviation 0.652116 Variance 0.44117647 Std Deviation 15.6827636 Std Evror Mean 0.16199487 Diccation Variable: Q10 Q10 Nation 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 15.682736 Std Evror Mean 0.16199487 <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>					
Mode 5.000000 Variance 0.3235 Mode 5.000000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.83137024 Variance 0.6111647 Skewness -1.2361034 Kurtosis 2.0076620 Uncorrected SS 11.6582235 Coeff Variation 0.83137024 Variability Mean 4.235224 Std Deviation 0.83137 Mean 0.2016369 Node 4.000000 Variability Measures 0.2016369 0.2016369 Node 4.000000 Variability Measures 17 Num Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.66421 Mode 4.000000 Variability Measures 17 Measures 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 1.6582235 Coeff Variation 1.662763 Std De		Basic	Statis	tical Measures	
Mode 5.000000 Range Interquartile Range 2.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.6317024 Variance 0.69117647 Stemenss -1.2361834 Kurtosis 2.00706282 Uncorrected SS 316 Corrected SS 11.0588235 Coeff Variation 19.629575 Std Error Mean 0.2016369 Wariable: Q09 (Q09) N 17 Sum Observations 72 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 532 542 9.00900 N 17 Sum Observations 72 542 9.00900 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 542 9.4117647 Std Deviation 0.6642116 Variable: 0.4117647 542 9.664213 Uncorrected S5 312 Corrected S5 7.05582333 542 9.664213 Uncorrected S5 323 Corrected S5	Mean		Std	Deviation	0.61835
Interquartile Range 1.0000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Std Deviation 0.83137024 Variance 0.69117647 Std Deviation 0.83137024 Variance 0.69117647 Std Deviation 0.83137024 Variance 0.69117647 Std Deviation 19.629575 Std Error Mean 0.2016369 Location Variable: Quego 0.65118 Media 4.000000 Variance 0.65118 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.44117647 Std Deviation 0.6542116 Variance 0.44117647 Std Deviation 0.6642116 Variable: QUegov 72 Std Deviation 15.6827636 Std Error Mean 0.1619487 Uncorrected SS 1312 Corrected SS 70.0851429 Uncorrected SS 15.6827636 Std Error Mean 0.1619487 Mean 4.2352941 Std Deviation 0.66421 Mode 4.000000 Variable: 0.10101 N <					
Variable: Q08 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.83137024 Variance 0.60117647 Stemess -1.2361834 Kurtosis 2.00706202 Uncorrected SS 11.0588235 Corrected SS 11.0588235 Coeff Variation 19.629575 Std Error Mean 0.2016369 Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.63113 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.69118 Mode 4.000000 Range 1.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.6642116 Variance 0.44117647 Stemess -0.2310211 Kurtosis -0.5051429 Uncorrected SS 312 Corrected SS -0.56421 Median 4.080000 Variance 0.44117647 Mean 4.23529412 Std Deviation 0.66421 Median <td>Mode</td> <td>5.000000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Mode	5.000000			
N 17 Sum Weights 17 Std Deviation 0.83137024 Variance 0.69117647 Std Deviation 12.3561834 Kurtosis 2.00766202 Uncorrected SS 11.0588235 Coeff Variation 19.629375 Std Deviation 0.83137 Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.83137 Media 0.201536 Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.83137 Media 0.00000 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Weights 17 Name 0.69118 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.4117647 Std Deviation 0.58123 Coeff Variation 15.6827635 Std Error Mean 0.16109487 Basic Statistical Measures 0.0000 Variabel: 0.4118 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 15.6827635 Std Error Mean 0.16109487 Basic Statistical Measures 0.09701425 Variable: 0.17 Mean 4.2352942 Sum Observations 72			Inte	rquartile Range	1.00000
N 17 Sum Weights 17 Std Deviation 0.83137024 Variance 0.69117647 Std Deviation 12.3561834 Kurtosis 2.00766202 Uncorrected SS 11.0588235 Coeff Variation 19.629375 Std Deviation 0.83137 Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.83137 Media 0.201536 Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.83137 Media 0.00000 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Weights 17 Name 0.69118 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.4117647 Std Deviation 0.58123 Coeff Variation 15.6827635 Std Error Mean 0.16109487 Basic Statistical Measures 0.0000 Variabel: 0.4118 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 15.6827635 Std Error Mean 0.16109487 Basic Statistical Measures 0.09701425 Variable: 0.17 Mean 4.2352942 Sum Observations 72					
N 17 Sum Weights 17 Std Deviation 0.83137024 Variance 0.69117647 Std Deviation 12.3561834 Kurtosis 2.00766202 Uncorrected SS 11.0588235 Coeff Variation 19.629375 Std Deviation 0.83137 Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.83137 Media 0.201536 Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.83137 Media 0.00000 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Weights 17 Name 0.69118 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.4117647 Std Deviation 0.58123 Coeff Variation 15.6827635 Std Error Mean 0.16109487 Basic Statistical Measures 0.0000 Variabel: 0.4118 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 15.6827635 Std Error Mean 0.16109487 Basic Statistical Measures 0.09701425 Variable: 0.17 Mean 4.2352942 Sum Observations 72		Vari	iable:	008 (008)	
Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.83137024 Variance 0.6717647 Stewness -1.2361384 Kurtosis 2.00706202 Uncorrected SS 316 Corrected SS 11.0588235 Coeff Variation 19.629575 Std Error Mean 0.2016369 Discreted SS 316 Corrected SS 0.2016369 Mean 4.235241 Std Deviation 0.83137 Median 4.000000 Range 3.00000 Node 4.000000 Range 3.00000 Net 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.235241 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.664211 Variance 0.44117647 Skewness -0.2910721 Kurtosis -0.5951429 Uncorrected SS 312 Corrected SS -0.56421 Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.66421 Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.66421 Media 4.000000	N				17
Skewness -1.2361334 Kurtosis 2.00706202 Uncorrected SS 116.0588235 Std Error Mean 0.2016369 Basic Statistical Measures Variability Wean 0.2016369 Median 4.000000 Range 3.00000 Nedian 4.000000 Range 3.00000 Nedian 4.000000 Range 3.00000 Nedian 4.000000 Range 3.00000 Nedian 4.000000 Range 3.00000 Nean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.6642116 Variance 0.44117647 Skewness -0.2910721 Kurtosis -0.5951429 Uncorrected SS 312 Corrected SS -0.5821429 Uncorrected SS 312 Corrected SS -0.66421 Mean 4.2352945 Std Deviation 0.66421 Median 4.000000 Variabits 17 Std Deviation 0.9701425 Variabits 17 Std Deviation <	Mean	4.2352	29412		
Uncorrected SS 316 Corrected SS 11.0558235 Coeff Variation 19.629575 Std Error Mean 0.2016369 Basic Statistical Measures Variability Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.83137 Media 4.000000 Variance 0.69118 3.00000 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.411764 3.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 17 Nean 4.25529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.642116 Variance 0.4117647 Skewness -0.2910721 Kurtosis -0.5651429 Uncorrected SS 312 Corrected SS -0.56421 Media 4.000000 Variance 0.44118 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.000000 Node 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Std Deviation 0.5701425 Variance 0.94117647 Skewness -2.33334 Kurtosis 7.8558235 Coeff Variation <td< td=""><td>Std Deviation</td><td>0.831</td><td>37024</td><td>Variance</td><td>0.69117647</td></td<>	Std Deviation	0.831	37024	Variance	0.69117647
Coeff Variation 19.629575 Std Error Mean 0.2016369 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Median 4.000000 Variance 0.69113 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.69113 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.69113 Mode 4.000000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Std Deviation 0.66421116 Variance 0.44117647 Skewness -0.2910721 Kurtosis -0.5051429 Uncorrected SS 7.05882353 Coeff Variation 15.6827636 Std Devotation 0.66421 Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.66421 Mean 4.080000 Variance 0.44118 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.44118 17 Mean 4.2352942 Std Deviation 0.66421 Mode 4.000000 Variable: Q10 (Q10) 17 Sum Weights 17 Std Deviation 0.79714 Mean	Skewness	-1.236	51034	Kurtosis	2.00706202
Basic Statistical Measures Variability Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.83137 Media 4.000000 Wariance 0.63118 Mode 4.000000 Range 3.00000 Mode 4.000000 Range 3.00000 N 17 Sum Meights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.66421116 Variance 0.44117647 Skewness -0.2310721 Kurtosis -0.5951429 Uncorrected SS 312 Corrected SS 7.05882353 Coeff Variation 15.682763 Std Error Mean 0.66421 Media 4.000000 Variable: 0.0 0.0001 N <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Location Variability Mean 4.25224 Std Deviation 0.83137 Median 4.000000 Nange 3.00000 Mode 4.000000 Range 1.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.66421116 Variable: 0.4117647 Skewness -0.2910721 Kurtosis -0.5051429 Uncorrected SS -3.12 Corrected SS 7.65882353 Coeff Variation 15.682763 Std Error Mean 0.1619477 Mean 4.2352945 Std Deviation 0.66421 Median 4.000000 Variable: 0.0100 Mean 4.2352945 Std Deviation 0.66421 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 17 Std Deviation 0.970142 Variable: 0.65421 Median 4.000000 Range 4.00000 Incerqu	Coeff Variati	on 19.62	29575	Std Error Mean	0.2016369
Location Variability Mean 4.25224 Std Deviation 0.83137 Median 4.000000 Nange 3.00000 Mode 4.000000 Range 1.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.66421116 Variable: 0.4117647 Skewness -0.2910721 Kurtosis -0.5051429 Uncorrected SS -3.12 Corrected SS 7.65882353 Coeff Variation 15.682763 Std Error Mean 0.1619477 Mean 4.2352945 Std Deviation 0.66421 Median 4.000000 Variable: 0.0100 Mean 4.2352945 Std Deviation 0.66421 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 17 Std Deviation 0.970142 Variable: 0.65421 Median 4.000000 Range 4.00000 Incerqu		Pacie	Ctatio	tical Maacumac	
Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.83137 Media 4.00000 Variance 0.69118 Mode 4.00000 Range 3.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 N 17 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.66421116 Variance 0.44117647 Skewness -0.2910721 Kurtosis -0.5951429 Uncorrected SS 312 Corrected SS .0582235 Coeff Variation 15.682763 Std Deviation 0.66421 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.44118 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Node 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Node 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Node 4.03529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.23529412 <td>Loca</td> <td></td> <td>Statis</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Loca		Statis		
Median 4.000000 Variance 0.69118 Mode 4.000000 Range 3.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.66421116 Variance 0.4117647 Skewness -0.2910721 Kurtosis -0.5051429 Uncorrected SS 312 Corrected SS 7.05882353 Coeff Variation 15.682763 Std Error Mean 0.1619487 Wean 4.2352941 Std Deviation 0.66421 Mean 4.2352941 Stm Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.9701425 Variable: 0.4117647 Skewness -2.393334 Kurtosis 7.85585938 Uncorrected SS 320 Corrected SS 15.658235 Coeff Variation 0.9701425 Variable: 0.23529412 Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.97014 Mean 4.208000 Range			c+d		0 02127
Mode 4.000000 Range Interquartile Range 1.00000 Variable: Q09 (Q09) 17 Sum Weights 17 N 17 Sum Observations 72 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.66421116 Variance 0.44117647 Skewness -0.5051429 Uncorrected SS .312 Corrected SS 7.05882353 Coeff Variation 15.6827636 Std Deviation 0.15109487 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.66421 Media 4.000000 Variance 0.44118 1.00000 Media 4.000000 Variance 0.44118 1.00000 N 17 Sum Deservations 72 Std Deviation 0.9701425 Mean 4.2352941 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.37014 Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.97014 0.32529412 Basic Statistical Measures 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 <					
Variable: Q09 (Q09) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.66421116 Variasce 0.44117647 Skewness -0.2910721 Kurtosis -0.5051429 Uncorrected SS 312 Corrected SS 7.05882353 Coeff Variation 15.682763 Std Error Mean 0.1619487 Basic Statistical Measures 0.66421 0.66421 Location Variable: 0.0 0.66421 Median 4.2982040 Variable: 0.0 0.66421 Median 4.200000 Variable: 0.0 0.66421 Median 4.200000 Variable: 0.0 0.66421 Median 4.23529412 Sum Weights 17 Sum Keights 17 Skewness -2.39334 Kurtosis 7.8558538 Uncorrected SS 15.6588235 Coeff Variation 0.215244 Std Deviation 0.23529412 Std Error Mean 0.23529412 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
Variable: Q09 Q09 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Std Deviation 0.66421116 Variance 0.44117647 Skewness -0.2910721 Kurtosis -0.5051429 Uncorrected SS 312 Corrected SS 7.05882353 Coeff Variation 15.6827636 Std Error Mean 0.16109487 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variable: 0.44117 Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.66421 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.44118 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.94117647 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Variance 0.94117647 Std Deviation 0.970142 Variance 0.94117647 Std Deviation 0.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.23529412 Sum Observations 0.23529412 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variable: 0.423529412 Mean </td <td>noue</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td>	noue		-		
N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.66421116 Variance 0.44117647 Skewness -0.2910721 Kurtosis -0.5051429 Uncorrected SS 312 Corrected SS 7.05882353 Coeff Variation 15.6827636 Std Error Mean 0.16109487 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.66421 Median 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.9701425 Variance 0.94117647 Skewness -2.393334 Kurtosis 7.85585938 Uncorrected SS 320 Corrected SS 15.0588235 Coeff Variation 22.9061424 Std Error Mean 0.23529412 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.97014 Media 4.000000 Range 4.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Variable: Q11 (Q11) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.94118 Mode 4.000000 Range 4.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Variable: Q11 (Q11) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764703 Std Deviation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.618335 Media 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 TE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.618355 Media 4.000000 Range 2.000000 TE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Media 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Fi Hariation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.618355 Median					
N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.66421116 Variance 0.44117647 Skewness -0.2910721 Kurtosis -0.5051429 Uncorrected SS 312 Corrected SS 7.05882353 Coeff Variation 15.6827636 Std Error Mean 0.16109487 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.66421 Median 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.9701425 Variance 0.94117647 Skewness -2.393334 Kurtosis 7.85585938 Uncorrected SS 320 Corrected SS 15.0588235 Coeff Variation 22.9061424 Std Error Mean 0.23529412 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.97014 Media 4.000000 Range 4.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Variable: Q11 (Q11) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.94118 Mode 4.000000 Range 4.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Variable: Q11 (Q11) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764703 Std Deviation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.618335 Media 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 TE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.618355 Media 4.000000 Range 2.000000 TE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Media 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Fi Hariation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.618355 Median					
Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.66421116 Variance 0.44117647 Skewness -0.2916721 Kurtosis -0.5051429 Uncorrected SS 312 Corrected SS 7.05882353 Coeff Variation 15.682763 Std Error Mean 0.16109487 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability 0.664211 Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.664211 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.970142 Variability 0.94117647 Skewness -2.393334 Kurtosis 7.85585938 Uncorrected SS 320 Corrected SS 15.0588235 Coeff Variation 22.9961424 Std Error Mean 0.23529412 Basic Statistical Measures Location <td></td> <td>Vari</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		Vari			
Std Deviation 0.66421116 Variance 0.4117647 Skewness -0.2910721 Kurtosis -0.5051429 Uncorrected SS 312 Corrected SS .668213 Coeff Variation 15.6827636 Std Error Mean 0.16109487 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Media 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Nde 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Nde 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Std Deviation 0.9701425 Variance 0.94117647 Skewness -2.393334 Kurtosis 7.85585938 Uncorrected SS 320 Corrected SS 15.0888235 Coeff Variation 22.961424 Std Deviation 0.97014 Media 4.000000 Variance 0.94118 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.94118 Mode 4.000000 Range 4.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 1.00000 Variable: Q11 (Q11)					
Skewness -0.2910721 Kurtosis -0.5951429 Uncorrected SS 312 Corrected SS 7.05882353 Coeff Variation 15.6827635 Std Error Mean 0.16109487 Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.66421 Media 4.000000 Variance 0.44118 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Node 4.000000 Variance 0.44118 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Node 4.000000 Variance 0.94117647 Std Deviation 0.9701425 Variance 0.94117647 Skewness -2.393334 Kurtosis 7.85585938 Coeff Variation 22.9061424 Std Eror Mean 0.23529412 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean Media 4.000000 Variance 0.97014 Media 4.000000 Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 N					
Uncorrected SS 312 Corrected SS 7.65882353 Coeff Variation 15.6827636 Std Error Mean 0.16109487 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.66421 Media 4.000000 Variance 0.44118 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.9701425 Variance 0.94117647 Stewness -2.393334 Kurtosis 7.85585938 Uncorrected SS 320 Corrected SS 15.0588235 Coeff Variation 22.9061424 Std Error Mean 0.23529412 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.97014 Media 4.000000 Variance 0.94118 Media 4.000000 Range 4.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Variable: Q11 (Q11) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.97014 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Mode 4.000000 Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Fire mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Mode 4.000000 Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000					
Coeff Variation 15.6827636 Std Error Mean 0.16109487 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.66421 Median 4.000000 Variability 0.66421 Median 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Deservations 72 Std Deviation 0.9701425 Variance 0.94117647 Skewness -2.39334 Kurtosis 7.85585938 Uncorrected SS 15.0588235 Coeff Variation 2.2.9061424 Std Error Mean 0.23529412 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variable: 011 (011) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.97014 Mode 4.000000 Range 4.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Nocation 0.61834694 <					
Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Median 4.000000 Range 0.66421 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Node 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Name 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Name 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.9701425 Variance 0.94117647 Skewness -2.393334 Kurtosis 7.85585938 Uncorrected SS 320 Corrected SS 15.0588235 Coeff Variation 2.9061424 Std Error Mean 0.32329412 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variance 0.94118 Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS					
Location Variability Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.66421 Media 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.9701425 Variance 0.94117647 Skewness -2.393334 Kurtosis 7.85585938 Uncorrected SS 320 Corrected SS 15.0588235 Coeff Variation 22.9061424 Std Error Mean 0.23529412 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.97014 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.94118 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.94118 Mode 4.000000 Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Variable: Q11 (Q11) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 FE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61835(Med Variance 0.38235) Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.000000 FE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location 0.61835(Med Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.42426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location 0.61835(Med Variance 0.382359 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235	coett variati	.un 15.682	1036	Stu Error Mean	0.16109487
Location Variability Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.66421 Media 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.9701425 Variance 0.94117647 Skewness -2.393334 Kurtosis 7.85585938 Uncorrected SS 320 Corrected SS 15.0588235 Coeff Variation 22.9061424 Std Error Mean 0.23529412 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.97014 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.94118 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.94118 Mode 4.000000 Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Variable: Q11 (Q11) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 FE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61835(Med Variance 0.38235) Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.000000 FE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location 0.61835(Med Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.42426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location 0.61835(Med Variance 0.382359 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235		Bacic	Static	stical Measures	
Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.66421 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.44118 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.9701425 Variance 0.94117647 Skewness -2.39334 Kurtosis 7.8558938 Uncorrected SS 22.9061424 Std Error Mean 0.23529412 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variable: 011 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.94118 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.38235294 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235294 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235294 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Variance 0.4126036 Uncorrected SS	Loca		2		
Median 4.000000 Variance 0.44118 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.9701425 Variance 0.94117647 Skewness -2.393334 Kurtosis 7.85585938 Uncorrected SS 320 Corrected SS 15.0588235 Coeff Variation 22.9061424 Std Error Mean 0.23529412 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variance 0.94118 Mode 4.000000 Range 4.00000 Modian 4.000000 Range 4.00000 Mode 4.000000 Range 1.00000 Note -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864			Std		0.66421
Interquartile Range 1.00000 Variable: Q10 Q10 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.9701425 Variance 0.94117647 Skewness -2.393334 Kurtosis 7.85585938 Uncorrected SS 320 Corrected SS 15.0588235 Coeff Variation 22.9061424 Std Error Mean 0.23529412 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.000000 Meain 4.000000 Variance 0.94118 Mode 4.000000 Range 4.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Variable: Q11 Q11 N 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Weights 17 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Deviation 0.61835					
Variable: Q10 Q10 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.9701425 Variance 0.94117647 Skewness -2.393334 Kurtosis 7.85585938 Uncorrected SS 320 Corrected SS 15.0588235 Coeff Variation 22.9061424 Std Deviation 0.23529412 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variablity Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.97014 Median 4.000000 Variable: Q11 (Q11) 17 N N 17 Sum Weights 17 N Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.97014 Median 4.000000 Variable: Q11 (Q11) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variable: 0.11764706 Coeff Variation <	Mode	4.000000			2.00000
N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.9701425 Variance 0.94117647 Skewness -2.393334 Kurtosis 7.85585938 Uncorrected SS 320 Corrected SS 15.0588235 Coeff Variation 22.9061424 Std Error Mean 0.23529412 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variablity Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.97014 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.94118 Mode 4.00000 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.94118 Mode 17 Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Std Deviation 0.14997116 Decation Variable: Q11 (Q11) N 17 Sum Weights -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 <td></td> <td></td> <td>Inte</td> <td>rquartile Range</td> <td>1.00000</td>			Inte	rquartile Range	1.00000
N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.9701425 Variance 0.94117647 Skewness -2.393334 Kurtosis 7.85585938 Uncorrected SS 320 Corrected SS 15.0588235 Coeff Variation 22.9061424 Std Error Mean 0.23529412 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.97014 Median 4.000000 Range 4.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Variable: Q11 (Q11) 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Locat					
N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.9701425 Variance 0.94117647 Skewness -2.393334 Kurtosis 7.85585938 Uncorrected SS 320 Corrected SS 15.0588235 Coeff Variation 22.9061424 Std Error Mean 0.23529412 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.97014 Median 4.000000 Range 4.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Variable: Q11 (Q11) 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Locat			7	010 (010)	
Mean 4.23529412 Sum Observations 72 Std Deviation 0.9701425 Variance 0.94117647 Skewness -2.393334 Kurtosis 7.85585938 Uncorrected SS 320 Corrected SS 15.0588235 Coeff Variation 22.9061424 Std Error Mean 0.23529412 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.97014 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.94118 Mode 4.000000 Range 4.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Variable: Q11 (Q11) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 TE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.382352 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 TE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235294 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.38235	N	Vari			4 7
Std Deviation 0.9701425 Variance 0.94117647 Skewness -2.393334 Kurtosis 7.85585938 Uncorrected SS 320 Corrected SS 15.0588235 Coeff Variation 22.9061424 Std Error Mean 0.23529412 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.97014 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.94118 Mode 4.000000 Range 4.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.600000 Median 4.000000 Variability 6.11764706 Median 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range <td></td> <td>A 23E</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		A 23E			
Skewness -2.393334 Kurtosis 7.85585938 Uncorrected SS 320 Corrected SS 15.0588235 Coeff Variation 22.9061424 Std Error Mean 0.23529412 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.97014 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.94118 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.94118 Mode 4.000000 Range 4.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Variable: Q11 (Q11) 17 Mean 4.4176471 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variability 14.015864 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Uncorrected SS 320 Corrected SS 15.0588235 Coeff Variation 22.9061424 Std Error Mean 0.23529412 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.97014 Median 4.000000 Range 4.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Variable: Q11 (Q11) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Range 2.00000 TE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Std Deviation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Range 2.00000 TE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116					
Coeff Variation 22.9061424 Std Error Mean 0.23529412 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability 9.97014 Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.97014 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.94118 Mode 4.000000 Range 4.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Median 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Te: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 Q12 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.97014 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.94118 Mode 4.000000 Range 4.00000 Mode 4.000000 Range 4.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Variable: Q11 (Q11) 1 N 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variability Mean 4.0176471 Std Deviation 0.6183564 Variable					
Location Variability Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.97014 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.94118 Mode 4.000000 Range 4.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 TE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.618345 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235294 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Varianc					
Mean 4.235294 Std Deviation 0.97014 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.94118 Mode 4.000000 Range 4.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Variable: Q11 (Q11) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variable: Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Torrequartile Range 1.00000 TE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Statis</td><td></td><td></td></td<>			Statis		
Median 4.000000 Variance 0.94118 Mode 4.00000 Range 4.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Variable: Q11 (Q11) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variablity Mean 4.401765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.382352 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.38235234 Mode 4.000000 Therquartile Range 1.00000 Trequartile Range 1.00000 Treatible: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75					0.0755
Mode 4.000000 Range Interquartile Range 4.00000 Variable: Q11 (Q11) 1.00000 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 FE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 S					
Interquartile Range 1.00000 Variable: Q11 (Q11) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Media 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Trequartile Range 1.00000 FE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834594 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness					
Variable: Q11 Q011 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Therquartile Range 1.00000 Therquartile Range 1.00000 TE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 Q12 N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294	Mode	4.000000			
N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Tterquartile Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 TE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis<			TULE	inquaritie kange	1.00000
N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Tterquartile Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 TE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis<					
N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Treturntile Range 1.00000 TE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.6		Vari	iable:	Q11 (011)	
Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Tterquartile Range 1.00000 Terquartile Range 1.00000 Ter Med displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 33	N				17
Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Media 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Tterquartile Range 1.00000 Terror Mean 0.143970 TE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 8 7 Std Deviation 0.6183464 Yariance 0.38235294 Std Deviation 0.6183464 Yariance 0.38235294 Std Deviation 0.6183464 Yariance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.1499711	Mean	4.4117			
Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 TE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000					0.38235294
Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 TE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.00000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.00000 Range 2.00000	Skewness	-0.522	24849		-0.4426036
Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 TE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range					
Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 FE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000	Coeff Variati	on 14.01	L5864	Std Error Mean	0.14997116
Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 FE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000		- ·	c+ / ·		
Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 TE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Xange	1.0		Statis		
Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 TE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Nance			C+4		0 61835
Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 TE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000					
Interquartile Range 1.00000 TE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000					
TE: The mode displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8 Variable: Q12 (Q12) N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000	-				
N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Xerore	TE: The mode d	isplayed is			
N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Note					
N 17 Sum Weights 17 Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Xerore				012 (012)	
Mean 4.41176471 Sum Observations 75 Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.442603 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000	N	Vari			47
Std Deviation 0.61834694 Variance 0.38235294 Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.00000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.00000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Noter 0.0000		A A11-			
Skewness -0.5224849 Kurtosis -0.4426036 Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 Variance					
Uncorrected SS 337 Corrected SS 6.11764706 Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000					
Coeff Variation 14.015864 Std Error Mean 0.14997116 Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000					
Basic Statistical Measures Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000					
Location Variability Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000					
Mean 4.411765 Std Deviation 0.61835 Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000 1.00000		Basic	Statis	tical Measures	
Median 4.000000 Variance 0.38235 Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000					
Mode 4.000000 Range 2.00000 Interquartile Range 1.00000		4.411765			
Interquartile Range 1.00000	Mean		Vari	.ance	0.38235
	Mean Median				
ic. The move displayed is the smallest of 2 modes with a count of 8	Mean Median		Rang		
	Mean Median Mode	4.000000	Rang Inte	erquartile Range	1.00000
	Mean Median Mode	4.000000	Rang Inte	erquartile Range	1.00000
Variable: 013 (013)	Mean Median Mode	4.000000	Rang Inte	erquartile Range	1.00000
N 17 Sum Weights 17	Mean Median Mode	4.000000 Hisplayed is	Rang Inte the sm	erquartile Range	1.00000

	variabic.	(dr) (dr)	
N	17	Sum Weights	17
Mean 4	.41176471	Sum Observations	75

Skewness Uncorrected SS	0.79520623 -1.7868701 341 18.0246744	Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean	0.63235294 4.33964305 10.1176471 0.19286584
Location Mean 4.411 Median 5.000 Mode 5.000	765 Std D 000 Varia 000 Range		0.79521 0.63235 3.00000 1.00000
Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected SS	Variable: 17 4.52941176 0.51449576 -0.1295767 353 11.3589972	Q14 (Q14) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean	17 77 0.26470588 -2.2666667 4.23529412 0.12478355
Location Mean 4.529 Median 5.000 Mode 5.000	412 Std D 000 Varia 000 Range		0.51450 0.26471 1.00000 1.00000
Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected SS Coeff Variation Location		Q15 (Q15) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean tical Measures Variability	17 78 0.382352495 6.11764706 0.14997116
Mean 4.588 Median 5.000 Mode 5.000	000 Varia 000 Range		0.61835 0.38235 2.00000 1.00000
Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected SS Coeff Variation	Variable: 17 4.52941176 0.62426427 -0.9974005 355 13.782458 Basic Statist	Q16 (Q16) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean tical Measures	17 77 0.38970588 0.20057977 6.23529412 0.15140633
Location Mean 4.529 Median 5.000 Mode 5.000	412 Std D 000 Varia 000 Range	Variability Deviation ance	0.62426 0.38971 2.00000 1.00000
Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected SS	Variable: 17 4.58823529 0.50729966 -0.3942443 362 11.056531	Q17 (Q17) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean	17 78 0.25735294 -2.1093878 4.11764706 0.12303824
Location Mean 4.588 Median 5.000 Mode 5.000	235 Std D 000 Varia 000 Range		0.50730 0.25735 1.00000 1.00000
Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected SS Coeff Variation	Variable: 17 4.58823529 0.50729966 -0.3942443 362 11.056531	Q18 (Q18) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean	17 78 0.25735294 -2.1093878 4.11764706 0.12303824
Location Mean 4.588 Median 5.000 Mode 5.000	235 Std D 000 Varia 000 Range		0.50730 0.25735 1.00000 1.00000
Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected SS	Variable: 17 3.94117647 1.14403826 -1.2915023 285 29.0278363	Q19 (Q19) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean	17 67 1.30882353 1.56046675 20.9411765 0.27747003

	Basic	Statis	stical Measures	
	ation		Variability	
Mean Median	3.941176 4.000000		Deviation Lance	1.14404 1.30882
Mode	4.000000	Rang	ge	4.00000
		Inte	erquartile Range	1.00000
	Vari	able:	Q20 (Q20)	
N		17	Sum Weights	17
Mean	4.6470 n 0.4925		Sum Observations	
Std Deviatior Skewness	-0.676		Variance Kurtosis	0.24264706 -1.7662338
Uncorrected S	SS	371	Corrected SS	3.88235294
Coeff Variati	ion 10.60	0085	Std Error Mean	0.11947115
		Statis	tical Measures	
Loca Mean	ation 4.647059	C+4	Variability Deviation	0.49259
Median	5.000000		lance	0.24265
Mode	5.000000	Rang		1.00000
		Inte	erquartile Range	1.00000
	Vari	able:	Q21 (Q21)	
N		17	Sum Weights	17
Mean Std Deviatior	4.5294 1 0.5144		Sum Observations Variance	77 0.26470588
Skewness	-0.129		Kurtosis	-2.26666667
Uncorrected S		353	Corrected SS	4.23529412
Coeff Variati	ion 11.358	9972	Std Error Mean	0.12478355
Loca	Basic ation	Statis	stical Measures Variability	
Mean	4.529412	Std	Deviation	0.51450
Median	5.000000		lance	0.26471
Mode	5.000000	Rang Inte	ge erquartile Range	1.00000 1.00000
			,	
	Vari	able:		
N Mean	4.6470	17	Sum Weights Sum Observations	17 79
Std Deviation			Variance	0.24264706
Skewness	-0.676	6923	Kurtosis	-1.7662338
Uncorrected S Coeff Variati		371	Corrected SS Std Error Mean	3.88235294 0.11947115
				0.1194/119
Loca	Basic ation	Statis	tical Measures Variability	
Mean	4.647059		Deviation	0.49259
Median Mode	5.000000 5.000000		lance	0.24265 1.00000
	3.000000	Rang	se	
		Inte	erquartile Range	1.00000
		Inte	erquartile Range	1.00000
	Vari	able:	Q23 (Q23)	
N		able: 17	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights	17
	4.1764	able: 17 17059	Q23 (Q23)	17
N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269	able: 17 7059 1085 92232	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis	17 71 1.27941176 0.31880414
N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness Uncorrected S	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 55	able: 17 7059 1085 92232 317	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS	17 71 1.27941176 0.31880414 20.4705882
N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 55 ion 27.082	able: 17 17059 1085 92232 317 29359	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean	17 71 1.27941176 0.31880414
N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Loca	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 55 10n 27.082 Basic ation	able: 17 17059 11085 92232 317 29359 Statis	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability	17 71 1.27941176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468
N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Loca Mean	4.1764 1 1.1311 -1.269 55 ion 27.082 Basic ation 4.176471	able: 17 17059 1085 92232 317 29359 Statis Stat	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation	17 77 1.27941176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468
N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Loca	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 55 10n 27.082 Basic ation	able: 17 17059 1085 92232 317 29359 Statis Stat	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean Stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance	17 71 1.27941176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468
N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Loca Mean Median	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 55 ion 27.082 Basic ation 4.176471 5.000000	able: 17 7059 1085 92232 317 29359 Statis Statis Rang	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean Stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance	17 71 1.2794176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468 1.13111 1.27941
N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Loca Mean Median	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 SS ion 27.082 Basic 4.176471 5.000000 5.000000	able: 17 17059 10085 92232 317 29359 Statis Statis Rang Inte	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge erquartile Range	17 71 1.2794176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468 1.13111 1.27941 3.00000
N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Loca Mean Median	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 SS ion 27.082 Basic 4.176471 5.000000 5.000000	able: 17 7059 1085 92232 317 29359 Statis Statis Rang	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge erquartile Range	17 71 1.2794176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468 1.13111 1.27941 3.00000
N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Loca Mean Median Mode N Mean	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 SS ion 27.082 Basic ation 4.176471 5.000000 5.000000 Vari 4.2941	able: 17 17059 1085 2232 317 29359 Statis Stat Rang Inte able: 17 1765	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean Stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge erquartile Range Q24 (Q24) Sum Weights Sum Observations	17 711 1.2794176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468 1.13111 1.27941 3.00000 1.00000
N Mean Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Loca Mean Median Mode N Mean Std Deviation	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 55 ion 27.082 Basic ation 4.176471 5.000000 5.000000 Vari 4.2941 n 0.5878	able: 17 17059 1085 92232 317 19359 Statis Statis Statis Additional Statis	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge erquartile Range Q24 (Q24) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance	17 71 1.27941176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468 1.13111 1.27941 3.00000 1.00000 1.00000
N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Loca Mean Median Mode N Mean	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 SS Basic tion 27.082 Basic 4.176471 5.000000 5.000000 Vari 4.2941 0.5878 -0.188	able: 17 17059 1085 92232 317 19359 Statis Statis Statis Additional Statis	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean Stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge erquartile Range Q24 (Q24) Sum Weights Sum Observations	17 71 1.2794176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468 1.13111 1.27941 3.00000 1.00000
N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Loca Mean Median Mode N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 SS ion 27.082 Basic 4.176471 5.000000 5.000000 Vari 4.2941 n 0.5878 -0.108 SS	Lable: 17 17059 1085 92232 317 29359 Statis Std Vari Rang Inte Lable: 17 1765 16753 15785 319	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge erquartile Range Q24 (Q24) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis	17 71 1.27941176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468 1.13111 1.27941 3.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000
N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Mean Median Mode N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 SS ion 27.082 Basic 4.176471 5.000000 5.000000 Vari 4.2941 0.5878 -0.108 SS ion 13.690 Basic	able: 17 17059 11085 12232 1317 19359 Statis	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean Stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge erquartile Range Q24 (Q24) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean Stical Measures	17 71 1.2794176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468 1.13111 1.27941 3.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000
N Mean Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Mean Median Mode N Mean Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 35 ion 27.082 Basic ation 4.176471 5.000000 Vari 4.2941 1.0.5878 -0.108 35 Ion 13.690 Basic ation	able: 17 17059 11085 12232 317 12359 Statis Std Vari Rang Inte 35785 17 17 1765 16753 319 90658 Statis	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge erquartile Range Q24 (Q24) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability	17 71 1.27941176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468 1.13111 1.27941 3.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000
N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Mean Median Mode N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 SS ion 27.082 Basic 4.176471 5.000000 5.000000 Vari 4.2941 0.5878 -0.108 SS ion 13.690 Basic	Lable: 17 17059 17085 12232 317 19359 Statis Std Vari Rang 17 17 17 16753 319 16753 319 16753 319 85785 857855 85785 85785 85785 85785 85785 857855 8	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean Stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge erquartile Range Q24 (Q24) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean Stical Measures	17 71 1.2794176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468 1.13111 1.27941 3.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000
N Mean Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Mean Median Mode N Mean Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 55 ion 27.082 Basic ation 4.176471 5.000000 5.000000 Vari 4.2941 n 0.5878 -0.108 55 ion 13.690 Basic ation 4.294118	Lable: 17 17059 17059 17085 12232 317 19359 Statis Std Vari 176 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1785 1775 1765 1775 1765 1775 1765 1775 1765 1775 17	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge Q24 (Q24) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge	17 71 1.27941176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468 1.13111 1.27941 3.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.34558824 -0.325422 5.52941176 0.14257882 0.58787 0.34559 2.00000
N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Median Mode N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Locca Mean Median	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 SS ion 27.082 Basic 4.176471 5.000000 5.000000 Vari 4.2941 0.5878 -0.108 SS ion 13.690 Basic 4.29418 4.29418 4.29418 4.29418	Lable: 17 17059 17059 17085 12232 317 19359 Statis Std Vari 176 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1785 1775 1765 1775 1765 1775 1765 1775 1765 1775 17	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge Q24 (Q24) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance	17 71 1.27941176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468 1.13111 1.27941 3.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.34558824 -0.325422 5.52941176 0.14257882 0.58787 0.34559
N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Mean Median Mode N Mean Std Deviatior Std Deviatior Std Deviatior Coeff Variati Loca Mean Median	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 SS asic ation 4.176471 5.000000 Vari 4.29411 1.0.5878 -0.108 SS ion 13.690 Basic ation 4.294118 4.000000 4.000000	Lable: 17 17059 17059 17085 12232 317 19359 Statis Std Vari 176 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1765 1785 1775 1765 1775 1765 1775 1765 1775 1765 1775 17	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge Q24 (Q24) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge	17 71 1.27941176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468 1.13111 1.27941 3.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.34558824 -0.325422 5.52941176 0.14257882 0.58787 0.34559 2.00000
N Mean Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Mean Median Mode N Mean Std Deviation Stewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Loca Mean Median Mode	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 Sion 27.082 Basic 4.176471 5.000000 Vari 4.29411 0.5878 -0.108 Sion 13.690 Basic Basic 4.294118 4.000000 4.000000 Vari	able: 17 17059 12322 317 19359 Statis Std Vari Rang Inte able: 17 1765 319 30658 Statis Statis Statis Statis Statis 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge Q24 (Q24) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge erquartile Range	17 71 1.27941176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468 1.13111 1.27941 3.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.3455824 0.3455824 0.58787 0.34559 2.00000 1.00000
N Mean Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Loca Mean Mode N Mean Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Loca Mean Median Mode N	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 SS asic ation 4.176471 5.000000 Vari 4.29411 1.0.5878 -0.108 SS ion 13.690 Basic ation 4.294118 4.000000 Vari 4.29418 4.000000 Vari 4.29418 4.000000	Lable: 17 17059 17059 129359 Statis Std Vari 175 175 175 175 175 319 10658 Statis Statis Statis 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge Q24 (Q24) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean Stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge erquartile Range Q25 (Q25) Sum Weights Sum Observations	17 71 1.27941176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468 1.13111 1.27941 3.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.34558824 -0.325422 5.52941176 0.14257882 0.58787 0.34559 2.00000 1.00000
N Mean Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Mean Median Mode N Mean Std Deviation Stewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Loca Mean Median Mode	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 SS asic ation 4.176471 5.000000 Vari 4.29411 1.0.5878 -0.108 SS ion 13.690 Basic ation 4.294118 4.000000 Vari 4.29418 4.000000 Vari 4.29418 4.000000	Lable: 17 17059 17085 12232 317 19359 Statis Std Vari Rang Rang 17 17 16753 319 10658 Statis Statis Statis Statis Statis Statis 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge Q24 (Q24) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge erquartile Range	17 71 1.27941176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468 1.13111 1.27941 3.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.34558824 -0.325422 5.52941176 0.14257882 0.58787 0.34559 2.00000 1.00000
N Mean Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Loca Mean Mode N Mean Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrect S Coeff Variati Loca Mean Mode N N Mean Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected S	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 SS asic ation 4.176471 5.000000 Vari 4.29411 1.0.5878 -0.108 SS bion 4.294118 4.00000 4.000000 Vari 4.294118 4.000000 Vari 4.294118 4.000000 Vari 4.3529 0.7018 -0.633 SS	Lable: 17 17059 17059 17085 12232 317 19359 Statis Std Vari 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 175	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge Q24 (Q24) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge erquartile Range Q25 (Q25) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS	17 71 1.27941176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468 1.13111 1.27941 3.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.34558824 -0.325422 5.52941176 0.14257882 0.58787 0.34559 2.00000 1.00000 1.00000
N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Median Mode N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Locca Mean Median Mode N N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 SS asic ation 4.176471 5.000000 Vari 4.29411 1.0.5878 -0.108 SS ion 13.690 Basic ation 4.294118 4.000000 Vari 4.29418 4.000000 Vari 4.3529 0.7018 -0.633 SS	Lable: 17 17059 17059 17085 12232 317 19359 Statis Std Vari 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 175	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge Q24 (Q24) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge erquartile Range Q25 (Q25) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis	17 71 1.2794176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468 1.13111 1.27941 3.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.34558824 -0.325422 5.52941176 0.14257882 0.58787 0.34559 2.00000 1.00000 1.00000
N Mean Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Loca Mean Mode N Mean Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Loca Median Mode N Mean Std Deviation Stewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 SS asic ation 4.176471 5.000000 Vari 4.29411 1.0.5878 -0.108 SS ion 13.690 Basic ation 4.294118 4.000000 Vari 4.3529 n 0.7018 -0.633 SS ion 16.124 Basic	Lable: 17 17059 17085 12232 317 19359 Statis Std Vari Rang 17 1765 319 16753 35785 319 10658 Statis Statis Std Vari 17 1765 319 10658 Statis Statis Statis 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge erquartile Range Q24 (Q24) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge erquartile Range Q25 (Q25) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean Stical Measures Variance Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean Stical Measures	17 71 1.27941176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468 1.13111 1.27941 3.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.34558824 -0.325422 5.52941176 0.14257882 0.58787 0.34559 2.00000 1.00000 1.00000
N Mean Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Loca Mean Mode N N Mean Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Loca N Mean Median Mode	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 Sion 27.082 Basic ation 4.176471 5.000000 Vari 4.2941 n 0.5878 -0.108 S Basic ation 4.294118 4.00000 4.00000 Vari 4.3529 n 0.7018 -0.633 S ion 16.124 Basic ation	Lable: 17 17059 17085 12232 317 19359 Statis Std Vari 17 17 17 17 17 17 16753 319 10658 Statis Sta	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge CQ24 (Q24) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean Stical Measures Variability	17 7.7 1.27941176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468 1.13111 1.27941 3.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.34558824 -0.325422 5.52941176 0.14257882 0.14257882 0.58787 0.34559 2.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000
N Mean Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Loca Mean Mode N Mean Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Loca Median Mode N Mean Std Deviation Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 SS assic ation 4.176471 5.000000 Vari 4.29411 1.0.5878 -0.108 SS ion 13.690 Basic ation 4.294118 4.000000 Vari 4.352911 4.352941 4.3556 5.3566 5.35666 5.356666666666666666	Lable: 17 17059 17085 12232 317 19359 Statis Std Vari Rang 17 17653 319 10658 Statis Std Vari 17 17653 319 10658 Statis Std Vari 17 17653 319 10658 Statis Std Vari 17 17653 319 10658 Statis Std Vari Statis Std Vari Statis Std Statis Statis Std Statis	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge erquartile Range Q24 (Q24) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge erquartile Range Q25 (Q25) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean Stical Measures Variance Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean Stical Measures	17 71 1.27941176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468 1.13111 1.27941 3.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.34558824 -0.325422 5.5294176 0.14257882 0.58787 0.34559 2.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.17 74 0.49264706 -0.5756293 7.88235294 0.1702329 0.70189 0.49265
N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Mean Median Mode N Mean Std Deviatior Skewness Uncorrected S Coeff Variati Loca Mean Median Mode N N Mean Std Deviatior Std Deviatior	4.1764 1.1311 -1.269 55 ion 27.082 Basic ation 4.176471 5.000000 Vari 4.2941 1.0.5878 -0.108 55 ion 13.690 Basic ation 4.294118 4.000000 Vari 4.3529 1.6.124 Basic ation 4.352941	Lable: 17 17059 17085 12232 317 19359 Statis Std Vari Rang 17 17653 319 10658 Statis Std Vari 17 17653 319 10658 Statis Std Vari 17 17653 319 10658 Statis Std Vari 17 17653 319 10658 Statis Std Vari Statis Std Vari Statis Std Statis Statis Std Statis	Q23 (Q23) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance erquartile Range Q24 (Q24) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance ge erquartile Range Q25 (Q25) Sum Weights Sum Observations Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean stical Measures Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean Stical Measures Variance Kurtosis Corrected SS Std Error Mean Stical Measures Variability Deviation Lance	17 71 1.27941176 0.31880414 20.4705882 0.27433468 1.13111 1.27941 3.00000 1.000000 1.0000000 1.00000000

- 99 -

	Int	erquartile Range	1.00000
	Variable:	t (t)	
N	17	Sum Weights	17
Mean	4.58823529	Sum Observations	78
Std Deviation	0.50729966	Variance	0.25735294
Skewness	-0.3942443	Kurtosis	-2.1093878
Uncorrected SS	362	Corrected SS	4.11764706
Coeff Variation	11.056531	Std Error Mean	0.12303824
	Basic Stati	stical Measures	
Location	1	Variability	
Mean 4.5	88235 Std	Deviation	0.50730
Median 5.0	00000 Var	iance	0.25735
Mode 5.0	00000 Ran	ge	1.00000
		erquartile Range	1.00000

ANNEXURE D - DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR CATEGORICAL VARIABLES

Va	riables	Categories	Frequency	Percentage		
				out of total		
He	alth & Safety			1		
1.	ALS provides the staff with the	Completely agree	9	52.9%		
	appropriate safety clothing wear.	Agree	8	47.1%		
		Undecided	0	0.0%		
		Disagree	0	0.0%		
		Completely	0	0.0%		
		disagree				
2.	I am aware of the safety rules &	Completely agree	11	64.7%		
	regulations of ALS.	Agree	6	35.3%		
		Undecided	0	0.0%		
		Disagree	0	0.0%		
		Completely	0	0.0%		
		disagree				
3.	ALS does everything possible to	Completely agree	9	52.9%		
	prevent injuries.	Agree	7	41.2%		
		Undecided	0	0.0%		
		Disagree	1	5.9%		
		Completely	0	0.0%		
		disagree				
4.	The factory is an unsafe environment	Completely agree	3	17.6%		
	to work in.	Agree	1	5.9%		
		Undecided	1	5.9%		
		Disagree	2	11.8%		
		Completely	10	58.8%		
		disagree				
Ma	nagement			·		
5.	My manager clearly defines my job	Completely agree	6	35.3%		
	duties and responsibilities.	Agree	9	52.9%		
		Undecided	0	0.0%		
		Disagree	1	5.9%		

Var	iables	Categories	Frequency	Percentage		
				out of total		
		Completely	1	5.9%		
		disagree				
6.	The organisation respects its	Completely agree	9	52.9%		
	employees.	Agree	6	35.3%		
		Undecided	0	0.0%		
		Disagree	1	5.9%		
		Completely	1	5.9%		
		disagree				
7.	My manager listens to what I'm	Completely agree	11	64.7%		
	saying.	Agree	5	29.4%		
		Undecided	1	5.9%		
		Disagree	0	0.0%		
		Completely	0	0.0%		
		disagree				
8.	My manager/supervisor clearly	Completely agree	7	41.2%		
	communicates what is expected of me.	Agree	8	47.1%		
		Undecided	1	5.9%		
		Disagree	1	5.9%		
		Completely	0	0.0%		
		disagree				
9.	Senior management communicates	Completely agree	6	35.3%		
	well with the rest of the organisation.	Agree	9	52.9%		
		Undecided	2	11.8%		
		Disagree	0	0.0%		
		Completely	0	0.0%		
		disagree				
10.	My manager/supervisor acts in a	Completely agree	7	41.2%		
	professional manner.	Agree	9	52.9%		
		Undecided	0	0.0%		
		Disagree	0	0.0%		
		Completely	1	5.8%		
		disagree				
11.	I feel that I always get support from	Completely agree	8	47.1%		
	my manager or supervisor.	Agree	8	47.1%		

Variables	Categories	Frequency	Percentage		
			out of total		
	Undecided	1	5.8%		
	Disagree	0	0.0%		
	Completely	0	0.0%		
	disagree				
12. Management has created an open and	Completely agree	8	47.1%		
comfortable working environment.	Agree	8	47.1%		
	Undecided	1	5.8%		
	Disagree	0	0.0%		
	Completely	0	0.0%		
	disagree				
13. I have received the training I need to	Completely agree	9	52.8%		
do my job efficiently and effectively.	Agree	7	41.2%		
	Undecided	0	0.0%		
	Disagree	1	5.9%		
	Completely	0	0.0%		
	disagree				
14. I respect my manager as a competent	Completely agree	9	52.9%		
professional.	Agree	8	47.1%		
	Undecided	0	0.0%		
	Disagree	0	0.0%		
	Completely	0	0.0%		
	disagree				
15. My manager/supervisor gives me	Completely agree	11	64.7%		
praise and recognition when I do a	Agree	5	29.4%		
good job.	Undecided	1	5.9%		
	Disagree	0	0.0%		
	Completely	0	0.0%		
	disagree				
16. I am treated with respect by	Completely agree	10	58.8%		
management.	Agree	6	35.3%		
	Undecided	1	5.9%		
	Disagree	0	0.0%		
	Completely	0	0.0%		
	disagree				

Var	iables	Categories	Frequency	Percentage out of total		
Gen	eral	1		<u> </u>		
17.	I am very satisfied with my job at	Completely agree	10	58.8%		
	ALS.	Agree	7	41.2%		
		Undecided	0	0.0%		
		Disagree	0	0.0%		
		Completely disagree	0	0.0%		
18.	People are held accountable for the	Completely agree	10	58.8%		
	quality of work they produce.	Agree	7	41.2%		
		Undecided	0	0.0%		
		Disagree	0	0.0%		
		Completely disagree	0	0.0%		
19.	There is a strong feeling of teamwork	Completely agree	6	35.3%		
	and cooperation in this organisation.	Agree	7	41.2%		
		Undecided	2	11.8%		
		Disagree	1	5.9%		
		Completely disagree	1	5.9%		
20.	The quality of our products and	Completely agree	11	64.7%		
	services are very important to this	Agree	6	35.3%		
	organisation.	Undecided	0	0.0%		
		Disagree	0	0.0%		
		Completely disagree	0	0.0%		
21.	In this organisation we maintain very	Completely agree	9	52.9%		
	high standards of quality.	Agree	8	47.1%		
		Undecided	0	0.0%		
		Disagree	0	0.0%		
		Completely	0	0.0%		
		disagree				
22.	Customer needs are the top priority in	Completely agree	11	64.7%		
	this organisation.	Agree	6	35.3%		
		Undecided	0	0.0%		

Var	iables	Categories	Frequency	Percentage		
				out of total		
		Disagree	0	0.0%		
		Completely	0	0.0%		
		disagree				
23.	My salary is competitive with similar	Completely agree	9	52.9%		
	jobs I might find elsewhere.0.8876	Agree	5	29.4%		
		Undecided	0	0.0%		
		Disagree	3	17.6%		
		Completely	0	0.0%		
		disagree				
24.	My ideas and opinions count at work.	Completely agree	6	35.3%		
		Agree	10	58.8%		
		Undecided	1	5.9%		
		Disagree	0	0.0%		
		Completely	0	0.0%		
		disagree				
25.	Communication is encouraged in this	Completely agree	8	47.1%		
	organisation.	Agree	7	41.2%		
		Undecided	2	11.8%		
		Disagree	0	0.0%		
		Completely	0	0.0%		
		disagree				
26.	The amount of work I am asked to do	Completely agree	10	58.8%		
	is reasonable.	Agree	7	41.2%		
		Undecided	0	0.0%		
		Disagree	0	0.0%		
		Completely	0	0.0%		
		disagree				

ANNEXURE E – EMPLOYEE SATISFACTION SURVEY

The **objective** of this survey is to determine if the company's employee's are truly happy about various issues relating to the employee satisfaction.

The free and frank expression of your own opinion will be most helpful.

There are no right or wrong answers to any items in this questionnaire, it is **your opinion** regarding each of the statements that matters.

This survey contains a number of statements about your experiences with Anchor Lining Systems. You are requested to respond to each of the statements by filling in the number in the answer block that most accurately fits the **extent to which you agree** with **the statement description**. (See the example below).

If you **agree** with this statement you would fill in the number 5 in the **answer column** of the appropriate statement. If, on the other hand, you **disagree** with the statement you would fill in the number 1 in the **answer column** and so on.

After you have read each statement, please decide the degree to which the statement accurately describes your own situation and your own feelings, using the following scale.

				Блатри			
Question		Completely disagree	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Completely agree	Answer
1	The management at ALS is fair.	1	2	3	4	5	4

Example

When you have completed all the items, ensure that the questionnaire is handed back to the researcher.

Completely disagree	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Completely agree	Answer
1	2	3	4	5	

	Health & Safety						
1.	ALS provides the staff with the appropriate safety clothing to wear.	1	2	3	4	5	
2.	I am aware of the safety rules & regulations of ALS.	1	2	3	4	5	
3.	ALS does everything possible to prevent injuries.	1	2	3	4	5	
4.	The factory is an unsafe environment to work in.	1	2	3	4	5	
	Management						
5.	My manager clearly defines my job duties and responsibilities.	1	2	3	4	5	
6.	This organization respects its employees.	1	2	3	4	5	
7.	My manager listens to what I'm saying.	1	2	3	4	5	
8.	My manager/supervisor clearly communicates what is expected of me.	1	2	3	4	5	
9.	Senior management communicates well with the rest of the organization.	1	2	3	4	5	
10.	My manager/supervisor acts in a professional manner.	1	2	3	4	5	
11.	I feel that I always get support from my manager or supervisor.	1	2	3	4	5	
12.	Management has created an open and comfortable working environment.	1	2	3	4	5	
13.	I have received the training I need to do my job efficiently and effectively.	1	2	3	4	5	
14.	I respect my manager as a competent professional.	1	2	3	4	5	
15.	My manager/supervisor gives me praise and recognition when I do a good job.	1	2	3	4	5	

16.	I am treated with respect by management.	1	2	3	4	5	I	
	General							
17.	I am very satisfied with my job at ALS.	1	2	3	4	5	I	
18.	People are held accountable for the quality of work they produce.	1	2	3	4	5		
19.	There is a strong feeling of teamwork and cooperation in this organization.	1	2	3	4	5		
20.	The quality of our products and services are very important to this organization.	1	2	3	4	5		
21.	In this organization we maintain very high standards of quality.	1	2	3	4	5		
22.	Customer needs are the top priority in this organization.	1	2	3	4	5		
23.	My salary is competitive with similar jobs I might find elsewhere.	1	2	3	4	5		
24.	My ideas and opinions count at work.	1	2	3	4	5		
25.	Communication is encouraged in this organization.	1	2	3	4	5		
26.	The amount of work I am asked to do is reasonable.	1	2	3	4	5		

The researcher distributed the questionnaires via direct distribution, and followed up with the respondents after one day to collect the questionnaires. The researcher provided the respondents with an overview of the dissertation objectives and emphasized the confidentiality of the information provided.