## Cape Peninsula University of Technology Digital Knowledge

**CPUT Theses & Dissertations** 

Theses & Dissertations

1-1-2008

# Investigation of the mechanical properties and behaviour of hybrid polymer composites embedded with shape memory alloys

Olukayode Lawrence Ayodele Cape Peninsula University of Technology

#### **Recommended** Citation

Ayodele, Olukayode Lawrence, "Investigation of the mechanical properties and behaviour of hybrid polymer composites embedded with shape memory alloys" (2008). *CPUT Theses & Dissertations*. Paper 37. http://dk.cput.ac.za/td\_cput/37

This Text is brought to you for free and open access by the Theses & Dissertations at Digital Knowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in CPUT Theses & Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Digital Knowledge. For more information, please contact barendsc@cput.ac.za.



## INVESTIGATION OF THE MECHANICAL PROPERTIES AND BEHAVIOUR OF HYBRID POLYMER COMPOSITES EMBEDDED WITH SHAPE MEMORY ALLOYS

by

## OLUKAYODE LAWRENCE AYODELE

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

Magister Technologiae: Mechanical Engineering

in the Faculty of Engineering

at the Cape Peninsula University of Technology

Supervisor: Dr. Oscar Philander Co-supervisor: Dr. Salie Mahoi

Bellville June 2008 I, Olukayode Lawrence Ayodele, declare that the contents of this thesis represent my own unaided work, and that the thesis has not previously been submitted for academic examination towards any qualification. Furthermore, it represents my own opinions and not necessarily those of the Cape Peninsula University of Technology.

Signed

June, 2008

#### ABSTRACT

The increasing requirement for light weight constructions and the unsatisfactory performances of traditional metals and conventional engineering materials, especially in their failure to positively respond to environmental stimuli, in a demanding environment have made the search for the development of alternative materials inevitable. Such alternative materials being sought, which are the so-called adaptive, multifunctional, smart or intelligent composites would facilitate the realization of some engineering applications that are simply difficult to achieve with the existing conventional materials.

Composite materials have found increasing applications in construction, aerospace and automotive industries due to their good characteristics of light weight, improved strength, corrosion resistance, controlled anisotropic properties, and reduced manufacturing and maintenance costs. However, there is a growing demand to improve on composite materials to have "smart" capabilities so as to be able to sense, actuate and respond to the surrounding environment.

Shape memory alloys (SMAs) are metallic alloys that can undergo martensitic phase transformations as a result of applied thermomechanical loads and are capable of recovering permanent strains when heated above a certain transformation temperature. SMAs possess sensing and actuating functions and have the potential to control the mechanical properties and responses of their hosts due to their inherent unique characteristics: shape memory effect (SME) and pseudoelasticity. When integrated into structural components, they perform sensing, diagnosing, actuating and repair or healing functions, thereby enhancing improved performance characteristics of their hosts. Amongst the commercially available SMAs, NiTi (Nickel-Titanium) alloys in forms of wires, ribbons, bars, particles and porous bulks are the most widely used because of their excellent mechanical properties and superior material characteristics. Embedding SMAs into composite materials can create smart or intelligent hybridized composites.

This thesis details an investigation of the mechanical properties and behaviour of the hybridized composites formed by embedding NiTi SMA wires into 60D polyurethane. The composites were produced by the vacuum process of manufacturing. The properties of the implanted SMA wires were enhanced by ageing and pre-straining. Uniaxial tensile and four point bending tests were conducted to ascertain the significance of embedding SMA wires into the polyurethane host

iii

matrix. It was found that the embedded SMA results in an increasing in elastic modulus, tensile strength and bending stiffness. It was found that these improvements in the properties can not be sustained at high temperature owing to degradation of interfacial strength between the SMA and polyurethane as a result of the high recovery stress generated by the SMA upon activation. Some measures that can ameliorate the interfacial breakdown were suggested.

The time spent completing this research has been an enriching, exciting and exhaustive part of my life and one that I will never forget. I would therefore like to appreciate the Almighty God for his blessings and faithfulness without which the objective of this research would not have been accomplished.

My profound gratitude goes to my supervisor, Dr. Oscar Philander for his guidance, thorough supervision, and unflinching support to creating conducive environment during the course of this research. Your motivation at all times cannot be quantified.

I would like to specially thank Prof. G. Oliver and Prof. J. Grizagoridis. Their decisive and constructive review of my research proposal contributed in no small measure to the realization of the objective of this research.

I also acknowledge with thanks Mr. Brent Deez of the Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Stellenbosch, Stellenbosch. He was very helpful during the process of manufacturing my specimens. My sincere gratitude also goes to Mr Walter Kolhlofer for the assistance he offered regarding the experimental works.

My appreciation also goes to Mr. Z. Ngewana for the privilege he afforded me to teach while simultaneously pursuing my studies. I also would like to express my sincere appreciation to Ms Felicity Harris for making out time to proof-read this thesis despite her busy schedule.

I would also like to thank my research colleague, Mr. W. Mwita for his words of encouragement at all times. My gratitude also goes to everyone, and in particular Mr. A. K. Raji who has contributed one way or the other towards the success of this research. I also acknowledge with thanks the funding received from the Cape Peninsula University of Technology, Bellville.

I must not fail to acknowledge the endurance and good understanding shown by my wife and my children that I have left at home in Nigeria, for upward of two years while selfishly improving myself academically in far away South Africa. My sincere gratitude also goes to my entire family, Gbadebo Aderinto and Engineer Segun Ogunsnaya for the moral and financial support given to my wife and children.

## DEDICATION

.

To my darling wife, Adesola and lovely children, Olasunkanmi, Olalekan, and Olanrewaju

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	ii
Abstract	iii
Acknowledgements	v
Dedication	vi
List of figures	Х
List of tables	xii
Glossary	xiii

#### **CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION**

1.1	Motivation	1
1.2	Objectives of the thesis	4
1.3	Structure of the thesis	5

## CHAPTER TWO: COMPOSITES, POLYURETHANES AND SHAPE MEMORY MATERIALS

2.1	Background on composites	6
2.2	Matrix material	9
2.2.1	Polymer matrix	10
2.2.2	Metal matrix	10
2.2.3	Ceramic matrix	10
2.3	Background on polyurethanes	11
2.4	Reinforcements	11
2.4.1	Fibre reinforcements	12
2.4.2	Fibreglass	12
2.4.3	Carbon fibre	13
2.4.4	Aramid fibre	13
2.4.5	Other fibre reinforcements	13
2.5	Background on shape memory materials	13

## CHAPTER THREE: LITERATURE REVIEW

3.1	History of shape memory alloys	15
3.2	General properties of shape memory alloys (SMAs)	15
3.3	Characteristics of the martensitic transformation in SMAs	17
3.4	Shape memory effect	19
3.4.1	One-way shape memory effect	20
3.4.2	Two-way shape memory effect	21
3.4.3	Comparison of one-way and two-way shape memory effects	22
3.5	Pseudoelasticity	22
3.6	Damping properties of shape memory alloys	24
3.7	Interfacial properties of SMA based composites	25
3.8	Improvement of the properties of NiTi shape memory alloys	27

3.9	Shape memory alloy based composites	29
3.10	Applications of NiTi SMAS embedded in polymeric composites	30
3.10.1	Vibration and dynamic response control	32
3.10.2	Stiffness and impact damage control	36
3.10.3	Shape and position control	40
3.10.4	Other Applications of NiTi shape memory alloys	40
3.11	Factors affecting the effectiveness of embedded SMA in SMA based composites	41

## CHAPTER FOUR: THERMODYNAMICS OF MARTENSITIC TRANSFORMATION

4.1	Introduction	44
4.2	Thermally induced martensitic transformation	44
4.3	Stress induced martensitic transformation	48

## CHAPTER FIVE: MODELLING OF SHAPE MEMORY ALLOY BASED COMPOSITES

5.1	Introduction	51
5.2	Governing equations for banding and deflection of beams	51
5.3	Constitutive relation of a uniform rectangular beam	55
5.4	Constitutive relation of shape memory alloys	57
5.5	Constitutive relation of SMA based composite beam	60

## CHAPTER SIX: EXPERIMENTAL WORK

6.1	Introduction	68
6.2	Vacuum casting process	68
6.3	Production of the master parts	68
6.4	Preparation of the mould	69
6.5	Casting of specimens	72
6.6	Ageing of NiTi shape memory alloy wires	74
6.7	Prestraining of NiTi shape memory alloy wires	76
6.8	Time response of NiTi shape memory alloy wire	78
6.9	Pullout test	79
6.9.1	Experimental procedure	79
6.10	Tensile test	80
6.10.1	Experimental procedure	82
6.11	Four point bending test	84
6.11.1	Experimental procedure	85

## CHAPTER SEVEN: DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

7.1	Introduction	87
7.2	Effects of ageing and prestraining on NiTi SMA wire	87
7.3	Effect of temperature on pullout load	88

7.4	Effect of temperature on NiTi SMA wire	91
7.5	Effect of embedding NiTi SMA wire into 60D polyurethane	91
7.6	Effect of volume fraction of NiTi SMA wire on SMA based composites	92
7.7	Effect of activation of NiTi SMA wire embedded into SMA based composites	93
7.8	Effects of NiTi SMA wire on deformation of SMA/polyurethane composite beams	95
7.9	Effects of NiTi SMA wire on deflection of SMA/polyurethane composite beams	96
7.10	Comparisons of bending stiffness of virgin polyurethane and SMA/polyurethane composite beams	98
СНАРТ	ER EIGHT: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
8.1	Conclusions	101
8.2	Recommendations	102
REFER	ENCES	105
APPENI	DICES	
Append	ix A: Crystal structures for the austenite phase and the martensite phase	111
Append	ix B: The pseudo elastic effect	112
Append	ix C: Effects of ageing and pre-straining on NiTi SMAs	113
Append	ix D: Load vs. Extension diagrams to determine pre-strain load	116
Append	ix E: Effect of temperature on pullout load	118
Append	ix F: Development of equation for deflection of beam	120

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1:	Schematic illustration of a smart structure	3
Figure 2.1:	Schematic illustration of a composite material	8
Figure 2.2:	Lamina composite structure	9
Figure 2.3:	Honey comb sandwich structure	9
Figure 3.1:	Illustration of martensitic reverse transformations	18
Figure 3.2:	Crystallographic illustration of the shape memory effect	20
Figure 3.3:	Schematic illustration of one-way shape memory effect	20
Figure 3.4:	Schematic illustration of two-way shape memory effect	21
Figure 3.5:	Schematic illustration of pseudoelastic effect	23
Figure 3.6:	Schematic representation of superelasticity	24
Figure 3.7:	Basic design concept for a smart composite material	30
Figure 3.8:	Effect of SMA layer thickness on beam response	34
Figure 3.9:	Effect of martensitic residual strain on beam response	34
Figure 3.10:	Effect of 20 % volume fraction and 3% pre-strain of NiTi SMAs on the	35
•	natural frequency of a clamped-clamped plate	
Figure 3.11:	Effect of 30 % volume fraction and 3% pre-strain of SMA on natural	35
U	frequency of a clamped-clamped plate	
Figure 3.12:	Effect of 30 % volume fraction and 5% pre-strain of SMA on natural	36
<b>J</b>	frequency of a clamped-clamped plate	
Figure 3.13:	NiTi reinforced composite beam	37
Figure 3.14:	Effect of number of wires on buckling control	37
Figure 3.15:	Effect of pre-strain on buckling control	38
Figure 4.1:	Gibbs free energies for the austenite and martensite phase at	46
- <b>3</b>	constant stress	
Figure 5.1:	Schematic representation of a rectangular beam	51
Figure 5.2:	Representative volume of SMA/polyurethane composite beam	61
Figure 5.3:	Cross-section of SMA/polyurethane composite beam	62
Figure 6.1:	Master part for dog bone tensile test specimen	69
Figure 6.2:	Master part for rectangular beam specimen	69
Figure 6.3:	Mould box	70
Figure 6.4:	Arrangement of mixing cups inside the vacuum chamber	73
Figure 6.5:	Experimental set up for pre-straining NiTi SMAs	75
Figure 6.6:	Stress versus strain at different ageing temperatures	76
Figure 6.7:	Load for 3% pre-strain	77
Figure 6.8:	Twisted NiTi SMA wire before activation	78
Figure 6.9:	Full shape recovery of the twisted NiTi SMA wire	78
Figure 6.10:	Experimental set up for pullout test	80
Figure 6.11:	Dog bone virgin polyurethane tensile test specimen	81
Figure 6 12:	Dog bone NiTi/polyurethane specimen (single wire)	81
Figure 6 13:	Dog bone NiTi/polyurethane specimen (double wire)	81
Figure 6 14:	Experimental set up for tensile testing of inactivated SMA/	82
rigule 0.14.	nolvurethane composite	02
Figure 6 15:	Experimental set up for tensile testing of activated $SMA/$	83
rigule 0.10.	nolyurethane composite	00
Figure 6 16:	SMA/nolyurethane composite beams with fastened strain dauges	84
Figure 6.17:	Smarpolyurethane composite beams with lastened strain gauges	85
Figure 6 18	Schematic illustration of four point bending test	20
Figure 7 1.	Effects of agoing and prostrain on NiTi $SMAc$	00 97
Figure 7.1.	Effects of againg temperatures on NiTi SMAS	07 99
Figure 7.2.	Elicus of agency temperatures on NTT SWAS	00
Figure 7.3:	Fundul load vs. displacement at room and elevated temperatures	09

Figure 7.4:	Stress vs. displacement at room and elevated temperatures	90
Figure 7.5:	Effect of embedding NiTi SMA wire into 60D polyurethane	91
Figure 7.6:	Effect of NiTi SMA wire volume fraction on SMA/polyurethane composites	92
Figure 7.7:	Effect of temperature on SMA/polyurethane composite beams	94
Figure 7.8:	Effects of NiTi SMAs on deformation of SMA/polyurethane composite beams	95
Figure 7.9:	Effects of NiTi SMAs on deflection of SMA/polyurethane composite beams	97
Figure 7.10:	Idealization of four point bending test	98
Figure A1:	Crystal structure for the austenite phase (body centred cubic lattice)	111
Figure A2:	Crystal structure for the martensite phase (simple cubic lattice)	111
Figure B1:	Schematic illustration of the pseudo-elastic effect	112
Figure F1:	Loading diagram for a beam	120

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.1:	Comparison of major macroscopic modelling of SMA	67
Table 5.2:	Comparison of Young's modulus	67
Table 6.1:	Chemical composition of NiTi SMA	72
Table 6.2:	Technical data for 60D polyurethane casting resin	72
Table 7.1:	Properties of virgin 60D Polyurethane and SMA/polyurethane composites	94
Table 7.2:	Effects of NiTi SMA wire on deflection of SMA/polyurethane beams	96
Table 7.3:	Slope of the graph of bending load vs. deflection	99
Table 7.4:	Comparison of the bending stiffness	100

## GLOSSARY

Symbols/Acronyms	Meanings	
$A_{s}$	Austenite start temperature	
$A_{f}$	Austenite finish temperature	
$M_{s}$	Martensite start temperature	
$M_{f}$	Martensite finish temperature	
$T_{C}$	Equilibrium temperature	
T	Temperature	
U	Internal energy	
Q	Heat exchange	
W	Work done	
V	Volume	
р Н	Pressure Enthalov	
G	Gibbs free energy	
$G^{A}$	Gibbs free in austenite phase	
$G^{M}$	Gibbs free in martensite phase	
$T_0$	Initial temperature	
$H^{\scriptscriptstyle M}$	Enthalpy in martensite phase	
$H^{\scriptscriptstyle A}$	Enthalpy in austenite phase	
$S^{M}$	Entropy in martensite phase	
$S^{\scriptscriptstyle A}$	Entropy in austenite phase	
ξ	Martensite volume fraction	
$\sigma_{_{ij}}$	Applied stress	
${\cal E}_{ij}$	Strain	
$\sigma_{_x}$	Stress in x direction	
$\sigma_{_y}$	Stress in y direction	
$\sigma_{_z}$	Stress in z direction	
$\mathcal{E}_{x}$	Strain in x direction	
$\mathcal{E}_{y}$	Strain in y direction	
$\mathcal{E}_{z}$	Strain in z direction	
A	Cross sectional area, Austenite phase	
M	Moment, Martensite phase	
К Р	Radius of curvature Applied load	
Ē	Young's modulus	

Ι	Second moment of area
EI	Bending stiffness
$\delta_{_{ij}}$	Knonecker delta
Ω	Transformation tensor
Θ	Thermoelastic tensor
$\xi_s$	Stress induced martensite volume fraction
$\xi_{\scriptscriptstyle T}$	Temperature induced martensite volume fraction
$\mathcal{E}_{L}$	Maximum recovery strain
$V_{m}$	Volume fraction of matrix
V <sub>s</sub>	Volume fraction of SMA
$\sigma_r$	Recovery stress of SMA
	Young's modulus of SMA in austenite phase
	Young's modulus of SMA in martensite phase
-M	Young's modulus of SMA
	Young's modulus of matrix
	Thermal acofficient of expansion of matrix
$\alpha_{m}$	Thermal coefficient of expansion of matrix
L	Length of a beam
$\lambda, \mu$	Lame's constants
$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}_{S}$	Strain of the SMA
${\mathcal E}_m$	Strain of the matrix
$\mathcal{E}_{c}$	Strain of the composite
$F_{c}$	Axial force on the composite
$F_m$	Axial force on the matrix
$F_s$	Axial force on the SMA
APT	Active property tuning
ASC	Active shape control
ASET	Active energy strain tuning
CC	Clamped-Clamped boundary condition
Comp	Composite
	Elevated temperature
NIII Nitipal	Nickel_IIIanium
	NICKEI_IIIdillulli Subscript for initial value
0 PT	Room temperature
S	Entrony
SIM	Stress induced martensite
SMA	Shape memory alloy
SMAs	Shape memory alloys
SME	Shape memory effect
TIM	Temperature induced martensite
TWSME	Two-way shape memory effect
у	Deflection of a beam

# CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Motivation

The need to improve the performance characteristics of engineering materials in their various applications is engaging engineers and scientists in research activities. The increasing requirement for light weight constructions and the unsatisfactory performances of traditional metals and conventional engineering materials, especially in their failure to positively respond to environmental stimuli, in a demanding environment have made the search for the development of alternative materials inevitable. Such alternative materials being sought, which are the so-called adaptive, multifunctional, smart or intelligent would facilitate the realization of some engineering applications that are simply difficult to achieve with the existing conventional materials. A significant amount of research has been greatly geared into the development of smart composite materials in the last several years. The field of smart composite materials is a broad range of technologies that enable the realization of structural systems that are able to sense and to control their own behaviour, such that the range of operational performance may be extended over conventional materials.

Smart or intelligent materials are materials that have inherent sensing, actuating, and controlling or information processing capabilities in their microstructures. They have the ability to respond to changes in environmental stimuli such as temperature, electric field, or magnetic field owing to the inherent intelligence. Some materials that have primitive intelligence inherent in them are shape memory materials, piezoelectric materials, fibre-optics, magneto-(electro-)strictive materials, magneto-(electro-)rheological fluids and some functional polymers (Wei, Sandstrom & Miyakazi, 1998; Turner, 2001). All of these materials have great potential in a variety of applications, however shape memory materials (shape memory alloys, shape memory polymers, shape memory ceramics) are the major elements of smart composites because of their unusual properties of shape memory effect, pseudoelasticity, high damping capacity and adaptive properties which are due to the reversible phase transitions in the materials.

Smart composite materials and structures are formed by either embedding shape memory materials into or bonding shape memory materials to host matrices, which are either metallic or polymeric conventional engineering materials. Smart composite materials and structures respond to external commands or local change in environmental conditions, with control

1

achieved by shape memory materials that apply localised strains or stresses. The expected performances of engineering materials which are manifested through formidable characteristics and better properties could be achieved by the combined excellent features of shape memory materials and host matrices (Stalmans, 2006).

Amongst the commercially available shape memory materials, shape memory alloys (SMAs) appear to have significant advantages of excellent performance in the areas of structural response control, structural shape control, and damping enhancement. SMAs are functional materials with unique characteristics of shape memory effect and pseudoelasticity (Lau, Poon & Zhou, 2005). Their remarkable mechanical property features, such as high recovery force to weight ratio and large recoverable deformation have enabled them to be utilized for actuators and sensors in a diversity of fields.

Since their discovery, SMAs have been investigated for a variety of applications. Lau, Zhou and Tao (2002) reported that SMA is most suitable for active control of the development of smart composite materials and structures. SMA is able to generate a relatively large deformation which is recoverable upon heating. SMA actuators are plastically deformable while in the low temperature phase and such deformations could be in the form of bending, twisting, compressing and stretching. The plastically deformed actuators can revert to their original shape and size by undergoing an internal phase transformation process through the increase of temperature.

This shape reformation process generates a thermal-mechanical driving force if the shape recovery is constrained. In recent years, research into the utilization of SMA actuators for advanced composite structures for stiffness control, shape control and vibration abatement, among others to form adaptive composite structures, have been on the rapid increase. Shimamoto, Ohkawara & Nogata (2004) reported that when SMA fibres pre-strained in their low temperature state were embedded as reinforcing fibres into an epoxy matrix during manufacturing process, a large compressive stress was generated in the epoxy material during the transformation at the high temperature state. The transformation occurred when the temperature of the SMA fibres were increased by resistance heating upon application of an electric current. In this way the tensile yielding stress of the SMA/epoxy composite material was improved.

2

SMA is a new type of functional material that has been a subject of intensive research due to its unique properties of one way shape memory effect, two way shape memory effect, pseudoelasticity and high damping capacity. These properties which are made possible by solid state diffusionless martensitic and reverse transformations, in which the driving force is either the application of stress or changes in temperature, allow the SMA to have functional abilities such as the high strain and stress recoveries that no conventional materials can provide (Patoor, Lagoudas, Entchev, Brinson & Gao, 2006). Although there are several SMAs (copper-, iron-, silver-, and gold-based alloys), but since its discovery became very prominent in the early 1960's, Nickel-Titanium (NiTi) SMA is considered the SMA with the most engineering significance owing to its ductility at low temperature, high degree of shape recovery capability, large pseudoelastic hysteresis, corrosion and fatigue resistance, biomedical compatibility, and relatively high electrical resistance (Turner, 2001).

Since the discovery of SMAs, intensive investigations are being conducted experimentally and theoretically by researchers for possible practical applications of SMAs in a diversity of areas such as aerospace, automotive, medical, commercial appliances, sports, toys and apparels. Today, SMA has attracted much interest due to its ability to function simultaneously as sensors and actuators (Wei, Tang & Lee, 1997). These excellent properties lend the SMA to the application of the smart or intelligent structure. A smart structure, schematically illustrated in figure 1, combines actuators, sensors and a control mechanism that allow it to sense external stimuli and to respond in a predetermined manner.



Figure 1: Schematic illustration of a smart structure

SMA is a widely preferred smart material since through its property of shape memory effect it offers the advantage of high recovery stress and/or strain upon heating above a critical temperature. Generally, the high recovery strain provides shape change while the high recovery stress increases strain energy and thus improves structural behaviours of a structure. A high recovery strain of up to 10% and a recovery stress of up to 800 MPa can be obtained from NiTi

SMA (Thompson & Loughlan, 2001). By embedding SMA wires within composites, the striking behaviours of SMA can be applied:

- Restraining the SMA to recover its strain will allow the shape control of the host matrix.
- Constraining the strain recovery will induce internal stress that can strengthen structures while abating structural problems such as vibration, low velocity impact failures, fracture, and noise, buckling and post-buckling.

The working principle is that when an electric current was applied to the embedded actuators, resistance heat was generated in the actuators and a large additional internal force would then be induced accordingly into the structures (Lau et al, 2002).

SMA devices have been utilized in many applications, but in engineering they have been mainly employed as a force actuator. SMA has potential application in the area of vibration control because of the following important characteristics: an increase in the elastic modulus in the transition from the low temperature martensitic state to the high temperature austenitic phase, the creation of internal stresses and the dissipation of energy through inelastic hysteresis damping (Rustighi, Brennan & Mace, 2005). Baz, Imam & McJoy (1990) experimentally and theoretically demonstrated the feasibility of using SMA to control flexural vibration of a cantilever beam. The vibration of the beam was controlled by means of two SMA wires mounted on opposite sides of the beam as actuators to control the first bending mode of the beam only.

The key characteristic of all SMAs which makes their applications worth investigating is the occurrence of a martensitic phase transformation between the high temperature, high symmetry, parent austenitic phase and the different variants of the low temperature, less symmetry, product martensitic phase. The martensitic transformation is a shear-dominant diffusionless solid-state phase transformation occurring by nucleation and growth of the product martensitic phase from the parent austenitic phase (Qidwai & Lagoudas, 2000). The shape memory effect and pseudoelasticity properties of SMAs, which make SMAs remarkably different from other materials, make their usage inherently simple and compact, and can be safely utilized in spark-free and zero gravity conditions. However the main limitations of SMA are the slow response time (the bandwidth is limited due to heating and cooling restrictions) and the poor energy conversion when actuated with an electric signal (Rustighi et al, 2005).

#### 1.2 Objectives of the Thesis

The use of traditional metals and conventional engineering materials in engineering applications has come of age especially in the aeronautical and automotive industries. The search for their

replacement with advanced materials that could be more cost effective to manufacture and maintain, with improved performances and excellent mechanical properties is therefore on the increase. The possibility of integrating shape memory materials into matrix materials and the improved understanding of the performance characteristics and application potentials of composites in recent years, especially in terms of innovative and cost-effective manufacturing processes, provides an alternative to the traditional metals (Ye, Mai & Su, 2004).

It suffices to say that the understanding of the mechanical properties and behaviours of engineering materials to end users is crucial in material selection. Consequently, the investigation of the behaviour of engineering materials, with the view to ascertaining their suitability for various applications is imperative.

This research has the specific objective of investigating the mechanical properties and behaviour of composites formed by integrating NiTi SMAs with polyurethanes with the aim of using them as active and passive surfaces in various applications such as vibration control, composite structural stiffness and shape controls, and as actuators. Mechanical properties to be investigated include the Young's modulus, tensile strength, and bending stiffness. The debonding load and the effects of temperature on the debonding load of the NiTi SMA/polyurethane composite will also be investigated.

To accomplish the main objective of this thesis, the following sub-objectives must be realized:

- Ageing and prestraining of the NiTi SMA wire obtained from the manufacturer.
- Manufacturing of the virgin (i.e. without SMA implant) tensile test specimens and rectangular beam specimens for four point bending test from 60D polyurethane.
- Manufacturing of NiTi SMA/polyurethane tensile test specimens and rectangular beam specimens for the pull out and four point bending tests.
- Conducting uniaxial tensile tests.
- Conducting pull out tests.
- Conducting four point bending tests.

#### **1.3** Structure of the Thesis

The motivation for the thesis and its desired objective are presented in Chapter one. A background of the constituents of the composite material being investigated, 60D polyurethane

embedded with Nickel-Titanium (NiTi) shape memory alloy, is presented in Chapter 2. Composites and their constituents: matrix materials and reinforcements are also discussed.

A related literature review of composites and SMAs is presented in Chapter 3 where the attributes of NiTi SMAs are discussed and applications of shape memory alloy based composites are reviewed. The thermodynamics of martensitic transformation is presented in Chapter 4. Chapter 5 presents the modelling of the host matrix, SMAs and SMAs/polymeric composites. Experimental work where the experimental procedures and the vacuum casting process utilized to manufacture the specimens are discussed is presented in chapter 6. A discussion of results of the experimental investigation is presented in Chapter 7. Conclusions and recommendations are presented in Chapter 8.

## **CHAPTER TWO**

## COMPOSITES, POLYURETHANES AND SHAPE MEMORY MATERIALS

#### 2.1 Background on Composites

Research into composite materials in recent years has been on the increase. Composite materials have found increasingly wider application in construction, aerospace and automotive industries (Stalmans, 2006). A composite is a hybrid of two or more constituent materials with significantly different physical or chemical properties and which remain discrete on a macroscopic level within the finished structure. A composite is designed to display a combination of the best characteristics of each of the constituent materials (William, 2003). Consequently, a composite's performance is superior to those of its constituents acting independently. The properties of a composite material depends on the materials used as the constituents of the composite, the geometry of the constituents, the resulting structure of the composite system, and the manner in which the constituents interact with one another.

The aggregate properties of a composite are different from those of its constituents (Groover, 2002). The technological and commercial interest in composite materials is on the basis that their properties are not just different from their constituents but are often superior. Some of the salient properties of a composite material which make them to be sought after for automotive, aerospace and other engineering applications are as follows:

- Very strong and stiff, yet very light weight, giving them strength-to-weight and stiffnessto-weight ratios several times greater than conventional engineering materials (steel, aluminium, etc.).
- Better fatigue properties than conventional engineering metals.
- Greater toughness and corrosion resistant.
- Better appearance and possible control of surface smoothness.
- Allows for the combination of properties not achievable with other engineering materials.

Despite the good characteristics displayed by composites, there are some drawbacks associated with them. Many important composites are anisotropic and many polymer-based composites are susceptible to chemical or solvent attacks. Some of the manufacturing methods for shaping composite materials are slow and costly. Composite materials are generally expensive; however prices may become more efficient as volume increases. A composite material as shown in figure 2.1 consists of two constituents- a primary constituent which is known as a matrix material within which the secondary constituent known as reinforcement is embedded.



Figure 2.1: Schematic illustration of a composite material

The matrix materials are usually made of polymers, metals, and ceramics and the reinforcements are in the forms of fibres, particles, rods, wires, etc. made from any of the three basic materials (polymers, metals and ceramics). When the composite is loaded, the low-strength matrix deforms and distributes the induced stress to the high-strength reinforcements which then bear the load. The bonding strength between the matrix material and reinforcement interface is vital. For the composite to operate effectively, the cohesive forces must be very high so as to minimize reinforcement pull-out and to maximise the stress transfer from the weaker matrix material to the stronger reinforcement.

The model of the composite being considered in this thesis is the one in which the reinforcing phase is embedded in the matrix phase. However, there are other types of composites that do not conform to this representation but which are of considerable commercial and technological importance. These types of composites are broadly classified into two: laminar composite and sandwich structures. A laminar composite structure as shown in figure 2.2 consists of two or more layers bonded together to form an integral piece. The separate layers are usually thick and in some cases each layer may also be a composite material. The layers are often but not necessarily of the same material. A laminar composite gains the advantage of utilising the combined properties of different materials, when different materials are used for its layers. The honeycomb sandwich structure shown in figure 2.3 consists of a relatively thick core of low density material bonded on both faces to thin sheets of a different material. The merits of the sandwich structure are high strength-to-weight and stiffness-to-weight ratios.



Figure 2.2: Laminar Composite Structure



Figure 2.3: Honey Comb Sandwich Structure

## 2.2 Matrix material

The functions of a matrix material in a composite include the following:

- To bind the reinforcements together.
- To transfer and distribute stresses to the reinforcement.
- To protect the reinforcement against physical damage as a result of mechanical abrasion capable of forming cracks that may lead to failure at low tensile stress levels.
- To separate the reinforcement, and by virtue of its relative softness, prevent the propagation of brittle cracks from reinforcement-to-reinforcement which could result in catastrophic failures. In other words, the matrix material serves as a barrier to crack propagation.

#### 2.2.1 Polymer Matrix

Thermosetting resins which are high-molecular-weight plastics, such as unsaturated polyesters and epoxies are the most widely used polymer matrices. They are used in large quantities in a diversity of composite applications owing to the merits of low cost and good room-temperature properties. However, they have the limitation of not being able to withstand high temperature. Thermosetting resins determine the maximum service or operating temperature of the resulting composites. They normally soften or degrade at much lower temperatures than that of the reinforcements. The polymer matrix can also be thermoplastic resins, such as polyamides (nylons), polycarbonate, polystyrene, and polyvinylchloride (PVC). High temperature thermoplastic resins based composites are candidates for future aerospace and automotive applications.

#### 2.2.2 Metal Matrix

Metal matrices are usually ductile metal alloys of Aluminium, Magnesium, Titanium or Copper. Some of their relative advantages over polymer matrices include elevated operating temperatures, non-inflammable and greater resistance to degradation by organic fluids but they are much more expensive than the polymer matrices and possess high strength-to-weight ratio. Fibre materials are more commonly used as reinforcements. Metal-fibre composites have found applications in the construction, automotive and aerospace industries.

#### 2.2.3 Ceramic Matrix

Ceramics exhibit attractive properties of high stiffness, hardness, compressive strength, and relatively low density. However they are plagued with limitations of low toughness and bulk tensile strength, and a vulnerability to thermal cracking. Ceramic materials used as matrices include Alumina ( $AI_2O_3$ ), Boron Carbide ( $B_4C$ ), Boron Nitride (BN), Silicon Carbide (SiC), Silicon Nitride ( $Si_3N_4$ ), Titanium Carbide (TiC), and several types of glasses. Carbon fibres which can

Chapter 2

either be short or long are commonly used as reinforcements in ceramic composites. Current applications of ceramic matrix composites are in metal-cutting tools and chemically corrosive environments as well as areas in which operating conditions include elevated temperatures.

#### 2.3 Background on Polyurethanes

Polyurethanes belong to the group of thermosetting polymers, but through variations in chemistry, cross-linking, and processing, they also exist as elastomers and thermoplastic polymers (Groover, 2002). Thermosetting polyurethanes with minimal cross-linking are elastomers while those without cross linking are known as thermoplastic polyurethane. In the classification of engineering materials, polyurethanes are known as plastic polymers. As a result of many forms in which polyurethane may exist, it constitutes a unique material which possesses properties that are not found in other materials. Consequently, it lends itself to designs in which other materials are unsuitable.

Polyurethanes can easily be moulded into intricate geometries with usually no further processing required. They are used in composite materials in engineering applications owing to their high strength-to-weight ratio, cost effectiveness, low electrical and thermal conductivities, high abrasion, and flexibility and corrosion resistance. Also, their curing process has no negative impact on the properties of the reinforcing fibres. However, despite these attractive properties, they are plagued with limitations of low strength and stiffness, and inability to withstand elevated temperatures relative to metals. In addition, polyurethanes, unlike thermoplastic polymers, do not lend themselves to recycling.

Elastomeric polyurethanes, in form of flexible foams are widely used as cushion materials for furniture and automobile seats, and in mattresses. In rigid foams form, they are used for thermal insulation of refrigerators, freezers and buildings. Un-foamed polyurethanes are highly elastic materials. With cross-linking adjusted to achieve the desired properties for the appropriate application, they can be moulded into varieties of products such as automotive body panels, pallet truck tyres, escalator and heavy duty caster wheels, shoe soles, computer mouse pads, bearings, flexible couplings, and car bumpers.

#### 2.4 Reinforcements

Reinforcement is the stress bearing constituent of the composite and dictates the structural integrity of the composite. The properties and thus the performance of the composites depend on the quantity (concentration, volume fraction), size, shape, distribution and orientation of the reinforcement. The mechanical properties of fibre reinforced composites in tension mainly

Chapter 2

depend on the strengths of the reinforcing fibres, the fibre volume fraction, the matrix strength and the interfacial shear strength (Schulte & Fiedler, 1997). Reinforcements can be in the form of glass and metal fibres, and shape memory materials.

#### 2.4.1 Fibre Reinforcements

Fibre reinforcements are a class of materials that are either continuous filaments or discrete elongated pieces, similar to lengths of thread. Man-made fibres have diverse applications. They are used as a constituent of composite materials. They can be spun into filaments, thread, string or rope. They can also be matted into sheets to make products such as paper or felt. Fibres are often used in the manufacture of other materials. Glass and metal fibres are the commonest man-made fibres. Fibreglass is made from extremely fine fibres of glass and silica. Metallic fibres can be drawn from ductile metals such as copper, gold or silver and extruded or deposited from more brittle ones such as nickel, aluminium or iron. Other materials used as fibres are ceramics, polymers, carbon, and boron.

#### 2.4.2 Fibreglass

Fibreglass diameters are usually in the range between 3  $\mu$  m and 5  $\mu$  m and their merits include:

- Can easily be drawn into high-strength fibres from the molten state.
- Cheap and readily available.
- Relatively strong thereby ensuring a composite with improved strength.
- Possesses chemical inertness thus renders the resulting composite useful in a corrosive environment.

Fibreglass based composites have found applications in automotive industries to reduce vehicle weight and boost fuel efficiency. However, despite their high strengths they are flexible and therefore not useful for structural applications (especially in bridges) where rigidity is a necessity. Their applications are also limited to operating temperatures between 200° C to 300°C.

#### 2.4.3 Carbon Fibre

The diameters of carbon fibres range between  $3 \mu$  m and  $5 \mu$  m. They are available both in continuous and discrete forms. Carbon fibres are generally a combination of graphite and amorphous carbon. They are usually coated with a protective epoxy to enhance adhesion with the matrix material. Carbon fibres features include:

- High strength-to-weight and stiffness-to-weight.
- Retain their high strength-to-weight and stiffness-to-weight at elevated temperatures.

- Resistance to moisture and wide range of solvents, acids and bases at room temperatures,
- Exhibit a diversity of physical and mechanical characteristics, thus allowing carbonbased composites to have specific engineered properties.

Carbon based composites have found applications in aerospace industries as aircraft structural components.

## 2.4.4 Aramid Fibre

Aramid fibres are most frequently used with polymer matrices. The trade names for two of the most common aramid fibres are Kevlar and Nomex. Some of the characteristics of aramid fibres are as follows:

- Outstanding strength-to-weight ratios which are superior to metals.
- Good resistance to combustion.
- Stable up to relatively high temperature.
- Resistant to combustion as well as to creep and fatigue failures.
- Exceptional toughness and impact resistant.

Despite the remarkable features displayed by aramid fibres, they are susceptible to degradation by strong acids and bases. Aramid based composites fibres have found applications in ballistic products, pressure vessels and in automotive brake and clutch linings.

## 2.4.5 Other Fibre Reinforcements

**Boron**: Boron has a very high elastic modulus but its application to aerospace components in which its property would have been an advantage, are limited by high cost.

**Ceramics**: Silicon carbide and aluminium oxide are the main fibre materials among ceramics. They have high elastic moduli and can be used to strengthen low density and low modulus metals such as aluminium and magnesium.

Steel: Steel filaments, both continuous and discrete, are used as reinforcing fibres in plastics

## 2.5 Background on Shape Memory Materials

Shape memory materials are constituents of smart or intelligent structures. They possess interesting and unusual properties which facilitate their capability to return mechanically induced strains upon heating or application of stress or electric field. They have the unique capability of changing their physical properties such as stiffness, damping ratio, and viscosity, in response to

Chapter 2

the external stimuli (Lee & Lee, 2000). When integrated into structural components, they perform sensing, diagnosing, actuating and repair functions thereby preserving structural integrity as well as improved structural performance (Zhang, Ni, Natsuki & Iwamoto, 2007). A shape memory material itself is not smart but can be used as a structural component to accomplish a desired design function. Smartness refers to the exploitation of material properties to better serve a design function than would be possible through conventional structural design (Srinivason & McFarland, 2001; Hurlebaus & Gaul, 2006).

Smart structures respond to environmental changes at the most optimum conditions and manifest their own functions according to the changes. They respond in a pre-determined manner and extent in an appropriate time to an environmental stimulus such as temperature variation or application of stress, and then revert to their original states as soon as the stimulus is removed. Spillman, Sirkis & Gardiner (1996) defined a smart structure as a non-biological structure that has the following attributes:

- A definite purpose.
- A means and imperative of achieving that purpose.
- A biological pattern of functioning.

The major advantage of SMAs over other shape memory materials is their high power to volume ratio and large maximum strain recovery. SMAs are characterized by two unusual phenomena of pseudoelastic effect and the shape memory effect (Yi & Gao, 2003). SMAs are candidate materials for the actuators of smart structures since they have the ability to change properties of their host matrices and are able to function in a controlled response to a change in environment or operating conditions. However, despite their high potential for applications in diverse fields, the need to heat up the SMA for activation, and the relatively slow response (since heat transfer controls the kinetics) and low working efficiency, constitute drawbacks (Balta, Bosia Michaud, Dunkel, Botsis & Manson, 2005). A detailed discussion of SMAs is presented in Chapters 3 and 4.

# CHAPTER THREE LITERATURE REVIEW

#### 3.1 History of Shape Memory Alloys

The understanding of SMAs started relatively late as compared to traditional engineering materials such as metals and concrete. The first reported SMA behaviour is the pseudoelastic behaviour of the Gold-Cadmium (AuCd) SMA that was observed in 1932 by Chang and Read (Song, Ma & Li, 2006). These unusual properties exhibited by SMAs were also observed by Arne Olander in 1938 (www.cs.uaberta.ca, March, 2006) but it was in the 1960's that serious research into SMAs advanced (Srinivasan & McFarland, 2002). The SMA behaviour was later found in many other alloys such as Cu-Zn, Cu-Zn-Al, Cu-Zn-Sn, Ni-Al and Fe-Pt. Beuchler and co-researchers at Naval Ordinance Laboratory, USA, also discovered these unusual properties in nickel-titanium in 1963 (Song et al, 2006) and since then the understanding and the use of the SMA has been flourishing. The acronym Nitinol for nickel-titanium SMA was derived from <u>Ni</u>ckel, <u>Ti</u>tanium, and <u>N</u>aval <u>O</u>rdnance <u>L</u>aboratory. Since the discovery of SMAs, both in-depth research and practical applications of SMAs have emerged and a great deal of effort is being expended to characterize the property of the SMAs for the purposes of exploiting them.

## 3.2 General Properties of Shape Memory Alloys (SMAs)

SMAs have been defined by several authors and researchers but all the various definitions point to the unique properties associated with SMAs. Yang (2000) defined SMA as a metal alloy which can remember and revert to a specific shape after considerable deformation. Patoor et al, (2006) defined SMAs as metallic alloys that can undergo martensitic phase transformations as a result of applied thermomechanical loads and are capable of recovering permanent strains when heated above a certain transformation temperature. SMAs are defined by their remarkable ability to sustain and then recover large pseudoelastic strains by stress and temperature dependent crystallographic transformations (Armstrong & Lilholt, 2000). SMAs possess sensing and actuating functions and have the potential to control the mechanical properties and responses of their hosts due to their inherent unique characteristics of SME and pseudoelasticity (Zhang et al, 2007). When integrated into structural components, SMAs perform sensing, diagnosing, actuating and repair or healing functions, thereby enhancing improved performance characteristics of their hosts (Ni, Zhang, Natsuki & Iwamoto, 2007).

Chapter 3

#### Literature Review

SMAs form a group of metals that have interesting shape-recovery characteristics when heated. The SMA response is largely dependent on temperature owing to generation of latent heat. The faster the rate of loading the less the time for the heat dissipation causing higher transformation stress levels (Qidwai & Lagoudas, 2000). During the course of the shape recovery, the SMAs produce a combination of both force and displacement. The amount of force and displacement is dependent on the exact geometry of the SMA and the amount of heating (Gabriel, Trimmer & Walker, 1988). To effectively use the recovery force generated by SMA wires, the amount of current supplied to heat up the SMA wires. Umezaki (2006) experimentally and numerically studied the temperature distributions of NiTi SMA wires embedded in epoxy resin plates and heated by supplying electric current. He reported that the temperature of the SMA wire surface embedded in the plates depends on the length between SMA wires and the plate thickness, and was less than that in the air.

The two unique properties of SMAs resulting from reversible phase transformations are the thermal induced SME and the stress induced pseudoelasticity. Generally, SMAs exists in two crystal phases and due to their different crystallographic structures, the two phases have different elastic modulus. The stronger austenite or parent phase which is stable at high temperature is named after an English metallurgist, William Chandler Austen and the softer martensite or product phase which is stable at low temperature is named after the German metallographer, Adolf Martens (Srinivisan & McFarland, 2002; Song et al, 2006). However, in equiatomic NiTi SMAs there is a transition phase known as R-phase which is a function of heat treatment temperature, thermal and mechanical cycles (Turner, 2001; Uchil, Kumara & Mahesh, 2002). The SMA in its martensitic phase can be easily deformed owing to its softness (Song et al, 2006). Martensite and austenite solid phases differ in microstructures, Young modulus, thermal expansion coefficient, and electrical conductivity (Azar, Sutapun & Huff, 1999). The austenite phase has a well ordered body-centred-cubic (bcc) structure (appendix A) that presents only one variant. Martensite phase has a lower symmetry which is simple cubic structure (appendix A) and may exist in a multiple of variants depending on the type of phase transformation. Therefore, while there are several ways by which martensite can be formed out of austenite, there is only one route by which the martensite formed, will revert to austenite (Lexcellent, Leclercq, Gabry & Bourbon, 2000).

NiTi SMAs exhibit a recoverable, repeatable, and rapid change in crystalline structure with temperature cycling between the martensite and austenite phases. They are readily available in various forms such wires or fibres, ribbons, bars, particles and porous bulks (Wei et al, 1998).

NiTi SMAs in their lower temperature crystalline form can absorb some plastic deformation that is recoverable in the higher temperature and the force required to deform it is much less than the force that it can exert when heated (Pemble & Towe, 1999). NiTi SMAs have gained popularity in medical devices because of their biocompatibility, fatigue resistance, and body-temperature super-elasticity. The effectiveness of the SMAs depends on their configuration among other parameters.

Qidwai and DeGiorgi (2004) investigated the phase transformation behaviours in hybrid SMA composites under moderate compressive dynamic loads. Based on the parametric study carried out on the volume fraction of pores and epoxy material for both hybrid epoxy-SMA composite and plain porous SMA, they found that porous SMA and hybrid epoxy-SMA composites have different behaviours. They reported that for porous SMA, the effective critical stresses decrease and exhibit softened behaviour with increasing pore volume fraction owing to smaller effective elastic modulus and cross-sectional area while for hybrid epoxy-SMA composite, increasing in epoxy volume fraction and hence larger effective elastic modulus results in a considerable increase in the hardening behaviour and critical stresses.

#### 3.3 Characteristics of the Martensitic Transformation in SMAs

The behaviour of SMAs is more complex than that of common materials, but this complexity forms the basis for their use in numerous applications. Different effects are observed according to the thermomechanical loading path and the loading history of the material. However, the two distinctive effects in the SMAs associated with the martensitic transformations are SME and pseudoelasticity.

In SMA materials, the martensitic phase transformation is a reversible, crystallographic reorientation and rate independent process between the two stable austenite and martensite phases. The forward martensitic transformation (austenite-to-martensite) occurs when the free energy of martensite becomes less than the free energy of austenite at temperature below a critical temperature  $T_c$  at which the free energies of the two phases are the same. Nevertheless, the transformation does not exactly begin at  $T_c$  but, in the absence of stress, the transformation occurs at a temperature  $M_s$  which is less than  $T_c$  (Patoor et al, 2006). The transformation continues to evolve as the temperature is lowered until a temperature  $M_f$  is attained. In the absence of stress, the reverse transformation (martensite-to-austenite) begins when the SMA is heated from the martensitic phase at a temperature  $A_s$ , higher than  $T_c$ .

17





The transformation continues until a temperature  $A_f$  is attained and the SMA material is in the austenite phase. The equilibrium temperature  $T_c$  is approximately given by: (Tong & Wayman, 1974)

$$T_C = \frac{M_s + A_f}{2} \tag{3.1}$$

The martensitic transformation is schematically illustrated in figure 3.1. In general, there are four important characteristic transformation temperatures for an SMA (Turner, 2001; Song et al, 2006; Patoor et al, 2006; Thompson and Loughlan, 2001). These critical temperatures at which transformations take place are identified as  $M_s, M_f, A_s$  and  $A_f$ , which represent the temperatures at the start of martensite, finish of martensite, start of austenite and finish of austenite transformations. In addition to these four critical temperatures, the differences  $M_s - M_f$  and  $A_f - A_s$  are important factors in the characterization of shape memory behaviour (Patoor et al, 2006).

Efficient utilization of the recovery phenomenon requires the knowledge of the aforementioned temperatures. However, for activation purposes, the most important of the transformation temperatures is the austenite finish temperature  $A_f$ . Thompson and Loughlan (2001) confirmed

that transformation temperatures are highly dependent on alloy composition and level of imposed stresses. For instance, a slight increase in the Ni content (0.2%) of NiTi SMA will considerably lower the characteristic phase transformation temperatures ( $A_f$  for NiTi SMA with a nominal composition of 49.8-50.0 % Ni is 67°C whereas for a composition of 50.0-50.2 % Ni  $A_f$  is 29°C). Besides the alloy composition, micro-structural defects, degree of order in the parent phase, and the grain size of the austenite phase can also alter the transformation temperatures by several degrees.

During the phase transformation processes, SMA exists in one or a combination of the followings: the austenite phase, R-phase, self-accommodating (twinned) martensite phase and the oriented (detwinned) martensite phase. The martensite phase may have two possible origins: the self accommodating phase, from the transformation of austenite due to temperature changes (in which there is no macroscopic shape change or phase transition strain), and the oriented phase, accompanied with macroscopic shape change, resulting from application of stress (mechanical loading) to the austenite phase and or the self-accommodating phase. The martensitic variants are all crystallographically and energetically equivalent, they differ only in orientation (Loughlan, Thompson & Smith, 2002).

Turner (2001) reported that SMA shows no macroscopic deformation during the martensitic transformation and that because the product martensitic phase is of a lower symmetry than the parent austenic phase, the crystalline structure change in the forward transformation produces several martensite variants that are self accommodating (twinned martensite) so that no macroscopic deformation results. When stress is applied to the twinned martensite, the variant in the direction of the applied stress grows at the expense of other variant. In other words, a detwinned martensite is formed upon application of stress. The accompanying shape change from detwinned martensite to twinned martensite is discussed in section 3.4.1. The crystalline change to austenite is however unique. Inducing the crystallographically unique reverse transformation causes a strain recovery and the material reverts to its initial configuration. This sequence forms the basis for the SME.

#### 3.4 Shape Memory Effect

SME (one-way or two-way) is a phenomenon in which the SMAs having being considerably deformed revert to their original configuration after being heated above a certain transition temperature. SME, illustrated in figure 3.2 is based on the reversible martensitic transformation

19

of the SMA between the highly symmetric austenite and the less symmetric martensite (Appendix A) due to the application of heat or stress (Tsoi, Stalmans & Schrooten, 2002).



Figure 3.2: Schematic illustration of the shape memory effect (Adapted from Duerig and Wayman, 1990)

## 3.4.1 One-Way Shape Memory Effect

One-way SME which is also known as pseudoplasticity, is a phenomenon in which the SMA undergoes plastic deformation and then reverts to its un-deformed shape when heated above the transition temperature.



Figure 3.3: Schematic illustration of one-way shape memory effect

Schematically shown in figure 3.3, the one-way SME begins from the high symmetry austenitic phase. In the stress-free state, the SMA in its austenite phase is cooled below  $M_f$  to form twinned martensite. Upon the application of stress, detwinned martensite is formed. When the

detwinned martensite is heated above  $A_f$ , it returns to the austenite phase and its original shape. In NiTi SMAs, a strain of up to 9% can be completely recovered (free recovery) and a recovery stress between 500 MPa and 900 MPa can be generated (constrained recovery) during this process (Cho & Kim, 2005). There is however a critical stress, above which permanent damage is caused to SME. This critical stress which is lower than the true yield stress of the SMA defines the upper limit to which the SMA can be safely loaded without inducing damage to the SME.

One-way SME is a once-off phenomenon, hence proper thermo-mechanical treatment is required to obtain a complete cycle and care needs to be taken neither to overload nor overheat the SMA in order to prevent degradation in the SME.

#### 3.4.2 Two-Way Shape memory Effect

The two-way shape memory effect (TWSME) associated with forward and reverse martensitic transformations is a phenomenon in which a reversible and spontaneous shape change during heating and cooling occurs without external stress. TWSME is schematically illustrated in figure 3.4. In this case the SMA in its original shape is severely deformed at a temperature below  $M_f$  and when heated to above  $A_f$ , the SMA reverts to its original shape and upon subsequent cooling to below  $M_f$  it returns to the deformed shape.



Figure 3.4: Schematic illustration of the two-way shape memory effect

TWSME can be produced by several training methods which include over-deformation, shape memory (SM) training, superelastic training, thermomechanical training and ageing under external stress. Training is a thermomechanical treatment in which the SMAs are conditioned to remember a predetermined geometrical shape at high temperature greater than  $A_f$  and another
shape at low temperature lower than  $M_f$  (Lexcellent et al, 2000). TWSME by shape memory training involves carrying out shape memory cycles repeatedly until the two-way behaviour begins. The first shape memory cycle is the cooling of the SMA to below  $M_f$  and followed by deformation. Upon heating, the SMA reverts to the undeformed shape. When this process is repeated for between 10-15 times, the SMA will begin to change shape upon cooling, moving in the direction in which it was consistently deformed during the training cycles.

TWSME produced by over-deformation is considered as a preferential creation of certain variants of martensite that are energetically more stable. In this case, the SMA in its martensitic phase is considerably deformed by mechanical loading. This deformation induces the creation of defects and dislocations that stabilize the SMA in this configuration. When heated to the austenite phase, the SMA transforms but as a result of the excessive deformation the dislocations and defects still remain. In other words, the SMA will not completely recover its deformed shape. When the SMA is subsequently cooled to the martensite state, the SMA will revert to the over-deformed state in order that the internal stresses due to the dislocations are accommodated.

Wang, Omori, Sutou, Kainuma and Ishida, (2005) reported that tensile, compressive and coldrolling deformations can be utilized to induce the TWSME. Lexcellent et al (2000) reported that although thermomechanical cycling is quite essential for the completion of the training of the SMA, but this process produces undesirable effects such as elevation of the transformation temperatures, widening of the hysteresis loop, and an increase in the levels of residual strains.

# 3.4.3 Comparison of One-Way and Two-Way Shape Memory Effects

As the name implies, only one shape (in the austenite phase) is memorised in the one-way SME whereas, two-way SME refers to the memorisation of two shapes (one shape in the austenite phase and the other in the martensite phase). In one-way SME, the SMA must be re-deformed to repeat the SME after the completion of individual cycles of deformation and heating whereas in TWSME only temperature is required to be varied to effect the change in shape. Stalmans (2006) reported that the two-way SME has a smaller strain range than the one-way SME and is in general limited to below 3%.

# 3.5 Pseudoelasticity

Pseudoelasticity which is also known as superelasticity is the ability of the NiTi SMA to return to its original shape upon unloading after substantial deformation (Nasser & Guo, 2006). This

functional property of NiTi SMAs takes place at almost a constant temperature as shown in figure 3.5. The phase(s) at which the SMA exist(s) during the loading process (path PQR) and the unloading process (path LMN) are found in appendix B.



Figure 3.5: Schematic illustration of the pseudo-elastic effect



Strain

Figure 3.6: Schematic representation of superelasticity



Under most general conditions, pseudoelastic thermomechanical loading paths start at zero stress in the austenitic region, then move to the detwinned martensite region and then unload

again to the starting point. The pseudoelasticity refers to the observed non-linear unloading characteristics while superelasticity is associated with the stress plateau and an inflection point upon unloading shown in figure 3.6. Unlike SME in which martensite is formed when the SMA is cooled to below  $M_s$ , pseudoelasticity occurs when the SMA is completely composed of the austenitic phase. Under the application of stress, the austenite is transformed to martensite and upon the removal of the stress the martensite reverts to austenite. The martensite formed via this transformation process is known as the stress induced martensite (SIM). It suffices to say that during the phase transformation, the NiTi SMA exist as a mixture of austenite and martensite (Appendix B).

# 3.6 Damping Properties of Shape Memory Alloys

The damping capacity which depicts the dissipation of mechanical energy into heat is not a characteristic behaviour that is specific to SMAs only. All materials exhibit this property. However, SMAs have been found to exhibit a damping capacity that is far greater than that of other materials (Patoor et al, 2006). SMAs excellent damping properties result from the existence of numerous interfaces related to the martensitic transformation. These interfaces are those between austenite and martensite, those between the different variants of martensite, and the twin boundaries inside the martensite itself. With material initially in the austenitic phase, there are three damping regimes that are distinguishable in SMAs, these are:

- For operating temperatures higher than  $M_s$  and weak excitation, the SMA remains in the austenitic phase. The damping capacity for this regime is small.
- For operating temperatures below  $M_f$ , the damping capacity increases owing to the large number of interfaces present in the material in the martensitic phase.
- For operating temperatures above  $A_s$  and stress levels high enough to induce stress induced martensite, the damping capacity reaches its maximum value. During the loading cycles, creation and displacement of austenite-martensite interfaces are accompanied by a strong level of defects and large thermomechanical coupling.

The use of SMAs for passive control of structures relies on their damping capacity, which represents their ability to dissipate the vibration energy of structures subject to dynamic loading. This damping capacity comes from two mechanisms: martensite variation's reorientation which exhibits SME and stress-induced martensitic transformation of the austenite phase which exhibits the superelasticity. Amongst all SMAs, Cu-Zn-Al SMA has the best damping properties due to the martensite interface movements. Piedboeuf, Gauvin and Thomas (1998) investigated

the passive damping characteristics of SMA reinforced polymer matrix composites and reported that the influence of ambient temperature on the damping capacity of the superelastic NiTi wires can be negligible. They also established that an increased superelastic effect can be achieved by 0.2% chrome-doping of the NiTi wires.

Liu, Xie and Humbeeck (1999) investigated the damping characteristics of NiTi based SMAs with respect to the effect of strain amplitude and strain rate. They reported that the damping capacity of a deformed martensite NiTi SMA bar under tension–compression cycles increases with increasing strain amplitude and strain rate, but decreases with loading cycles and then reaches a stable minimum value. They also confirmed that when the NiTi SMA was cyclically loaded, the maximum stresses increased with increasing cycles but with further cycling, the rate of increase slowed down. Dolce and Cardone (2001) investigated the superelastic NiTi SMA wires subjected to tension loading. They reported that the damping capacity depends on temperature, loading frequency and the number of loading cycles. They found that the mechanical behaviour of the wires is stable within a useful range for seismic applications. Also, they suggested that the austenite wires should be prestrained for increased effectiveness of energy dissipation.

Gandhi and Wolons (1999) utilized a complex modulus approach to characterize the damping capacity of superelastic SMA wires for convenient integration with structure dynamics. Their study showed that a superelastic SMA wire demonstrates the damping capacity not only under tension loading, but also under cyclic bending. As large cross-section-area SMAs become available, studies on the properties of SMA bars or rods have attracted more attention.

Dolce and Cardone (2001) compared the martensite damping and austenite damping of NiTi SMA bars subjected to torsion. They found that the damping capacity of the martensite NiTi bar is quite a bit better than that of the austenite NiTi bar, although the prior cannot remain at its highest value as the residual strain accumulates. They also noticed that the martensite bar's mechanical behaviour is independent of loading frequency and that of the austenite bar slightly dependent on the frequency. This implies that both martensite and austenite NiTi SMA bars can work in a wide frequency range and have a good potential for seismic protection (Song et al, 2006).

# 3.7 Interfacial Properties of SMA based Composites

The stress transfer between the matrix material and the reinforcement occurs primarily through interfacial shear, and it occurs around the periphery of the reinforcement. Thus, the strength and hence the performance of reinforced composites depends on the interfacial bond strength

#### Literature Review

between the matrix phase and the reinforcement phase. Consequently, the importance of the understanding of the interfacial bonding behaviour and stress transfer properties between the embedded SMA wires and polymeric hosts cannot be overemphasized (Hu and Wang, 2005).

The interfacial properties are particularly significant for applications in which the recovery stress generated by the SMA is an important factor, since the recovery stress is directly related to how much stress that can be transferred from the SMA wires to the composite without debonding. When the embedded pre-strained SMA wires are activated, large interfacial shear stresses will be generated at the SMA/matrix interface. The stronger the interface, the greater is the transfer of induced stress. The shear strength of this interface must therefore be stronger than the recovery stresses of the SMA associated with the activation. If otherwise, the interface will fail and the objective of integrating the SMA will be defeated.

It therefore suffices to mention that before embedding SMA wires into polymeric hosts, it is essential that the interfacial properties between the two constituents be investigated in order to obtain the strongest bond possible. With suitable surface preparation of the SMAs, the interfacial bond strength will be increased, therefore, the occurrence of interfacial de-bond may be substantially reduced. Thompson and Loughlan (2001) reported that although abrasive action degrades the recovery force due to the formation of lattice defects, such as dislocations but however, sandblasting the SMA to providing an abraded surface enhances the mechanical interaction between the SMA and the matrix material and, therefore, hinders interfacial de-bond upon activation.

Paine and Rogers (1993) investigated the effect of surface treatment on SMA wires before embedment into a polymer matrix. They reported that SMA wires treated by sandblasting produced a better bond than untreated ones. The bond strength of the treated wire is up to 217% greater than the untreated wires.

Among the various mechanical interface testing techniques, the reinforcement pull-out test, is the easiest, most popular and satisfactory test method. Lau et al (2005) experimentally studied the wire pull-out properties of prestrained SMA wires embedded in polymer composites when the resulting hybrid matrices were subjected to different temperatures. They reported that an increase in the externally applied current which changes the phase condition in the wires, leads to a corresponding increase in the debonding stress, which initiates complete debonding at the bond interface between the SMA wires and polymeric hosts.

## 3.8 Improvement of the Properties of NiTi Shape Memory Alloys

The ability of actuating materials to operate within the host matrix is, amongst others, dependent on the integrity of the actuating materials. It is therefore essential that prior to integrating SMA actuators with the host matrices, the effect of SMA preparation and composite manufacturing, on the shape memory performance and how to improve on this performance must be ascertained. In order to determine the true usability of the actuators, the repeatable range of recovery stress that can be generated needs to be assessed. One of the ways in which this can be achieved is by cycling SMAs through their transformation temperature range and monitoring the associated recovery stress. Despite the excellent thermomechanical and thermoelectrical properties possessed by NiTi SMAs, research has been conducted on how to improve on their performance characteristics. The superelastic behaviour of SMAs can be improved by increasing the austenitic strength, decreasing the stress rate, decreasing the hysteresis and eliminating the R-phase transition (Thompson & Loughlan 2001). This could be achieved by cold working, ageing, and varying the composition of the alloys.

Duerig, Melton, Stockel and Wayman (1990) reported that by decreasing the annealing temperature, the amount of cold work in the SMAs is increased, leading to an increase in the austenitic strength. This process also resulted in a decreased stress rate, decreased permanent residual strain and an increase in stiffness. The  $M_s$  is decreased and the superelasticity temperature window shifts to lower temperatures. However, the shortcoming of this process is that the ductility of the SMAs decreases. This limitation can be overcome when the annealing is done at a reduced duration and at an elevated temperature. Short annealing times at high temperature produce high strength superelastic alloys without shifting the  $M_s$  and get rid of the R-phase transformation, thereby improving the superelasticity.

Cui, Li and Zheng (2006) studied the martensitic transformation characteristics and the associated thermal expansion behaviours of NiTi SMAs after severe cold deformation. They reported that cold deformations higher than the recoverable limit results in massive dislocations and complex martensite morphologies in the NiTi alloy. They found that the density of dislocations can be managed by controlling the ratio of the cold deformation. Consequently, the thermal-mechanical behaviours of the NiTi alloy can be tailored due to the interactions between the martensite variants and dislocation texture. By proper design of the surface curve, domains with different dislocation density can be introduced into the bulk body of the alloy, and a repeatable strong contraction overlaps the behaviours of the normal cold rolled sheets. They established that due to the interactions between the deformation structure and martensite

#### Literature Review

variants, the reverse transformation temperature range was significantly enlarged. They reported that one of the possible applications of their findings is the so called temperature memory effect in which SMAs will not necessarily be embedded into composites but rather a curved surface NiTi alloy would be cold rolled to above its recoverable limit.

Lu, Zhao and Cai (2005) investigated the damping behaviour of NiTi based alloys using dynamic mechanical analyser instruments. They confirmed that appropriate cold-rolling deformation on the martensite of equiatomic NiTi SMA enhances its damping capacity due to the dislocations introduced by the cold-rolling deformation. They also confirmed that ageing treatment for a near equiatomic NiTi alloy (50.8% Ti) can increase the damping capacity during phase transformation. Mukhawana and Philander (2006) reported that thermomechanical cycling and ageing erases the non-homogeneous transformation and inconsistent yield strength of NiTi SMAs.

Uchil et al (2002) established that heat treatment of NiTi SMAs at high temperature stabilises R –phase transformation and that the number of critical cycles needed for the stabilisation increases with increasing heat treatment temperature which tends to be constant above 520 °C. Vokoun et al (2003) studied the recovery stresses generated by NiTi shape memory wires under different constraint conditions. They reported that training stabilizes the behaviour of shape memory wires and that for a given strain; the recovery stresses increases with increases in temperature while cooling results in decreasing recovery stresses. They also reported that the rate of change of stress with respect to temperature generally increases substantially with increasing pre-strain.

Bhaumik, Ramaiah and Saikrishna (2006) investigated the effects of thermomechanical cycling on the strain response of NiTiCu SMA wire actuator. They established that the strain response of SMA actuators can be stabilized through thermomechanical cycling at a higher stress than the application stress and that pre-straining the SMAs increased the transformation temperatures. They also established that due to the decrease in the mass fraction of the material involved in the transformation and the increase in non-chemical opposing energy, thermomechanical cycling reduced the transformation temperature and widened the temperature range over which the transformation took place. They reported that the decrease in the transformation mass fraction and the increase in non-chemical opposing energy are ascribed to the stabilization of martensite/austenite phase.

### 3.9 Shape Memory Alloys based Composites

Composite materials are increasingly being used for primary load bearing components in aerospace applications and there are strong demands for materials that have high mechanical properties of stiffness, yield stress, fracture toughness, etc especially in the high temperature region. Integration of shape memory materials into conventional composites will yield intelligent materials that would exhibit features that satisfy these demands as well as creating safer environment (Shimamoto et al 2004). In the last decade, the development of smart composite materials and structures has become an attractive research topic in an area of materials science and engineering.

The high specific stiffness and strength characteristic advantages of composites over other engineering materials have increased their applications in various engineering structures (Lee & Lee, 2000). These characteristic advantages of composites can be improved upon by the integration of SMAs which provides functions such as sensing, actuation and information processing essential for monitoring, self-adapting and healing of structures. The resulting SMA-based composites exhibit unique properties or functions such as self-strengthening, active modal modification, high damping, damage resistance and shape control that make them to be useful in many engineering applications (Zhang et al, 2007).

Bruck and Moore (2006) investigated the relationship between the mechanical response of SMA wire-reinforced fibreglass composites and the composition of SMA wire reinforcement. Based on the tensile tests performed on dog-bone fibreglass composite specimens, they reported that SMA wire-reinforced fibreglass composites exhibit greater ductility than either of the constituents of the composites and that an increase in the volume fraction of the SMA wire reinforcement increases the strength and ductility of the SMA wire-reinforced fibreglass composites. Davoodi, Noori, Hou and Marioni (1997) studied the effect of temperature on SME and damping of NiTi SMAs. They established that NiTi SMAs could be used to shift the natural frequency of a structure away from its exciting frequency and as such control the amplitude of the structure's motion during vibration. Aboudi (1997) implemented a micro structural model that couples the local (micro) and global (macro) effects to analyze the behaviour of metal matrix and resin matrix composites materials embedded with SMA fibres. His results, although restricted to the normal stress-strain behaviour, showed that the presence of SMA fibres modify the response of the system.

Yang (2000) established that despite the good mechanical properties of SMAs, their application is limited by low speed response and hence a long cycle lifetime. However, by coupling NiTi

SMAs with ferroelectric ceramics, which are very sensitive to applied stresses through the piezoelectric effect and have fast response times but with small displacements due to small strain magnitude, composite materials can sense and actuate to dampen structural vibration without the use of an external control. Lau (2002) investigated the dynamic behaviour of clamped composite beams embedded with shape memory alloy wires. He established that the natural frequency and damping properties of composite structures could be actively controlled by embedding prestrained shape memory alloy wires.

The actual design for enhancing the mechanical properties of the SMA base composites is schematically depicted in figure 3.7.



Figure 3.7: Basic design concept for a smart composite material

# 3.10 Application of NiTi SMAs embedded in Polymeric Composites

Amongst the commercially available SMAs, NiTi alloys are the most widely used because of their good mechanical properties and material characteristics of shape memory performance attributable to large recovery of strain, good processibility, fatigue resistance, wear resistance, good corrosion resistance, cyclic stability, high power density, solid state actuation capability, durability, high damping capacity, and biocompatibility which enable them to be used in the biomedical field (Wei et al, 1998; Qidwai & Lagoudas, 2000). NiTi SMAs have found many applications in different fields of engineering and science as a result of their good thermomechanical and thermoelectrical characteristics. The extensive use of NiTi SMAs as active or smart element in various composites is due to the ease of fabricating them into various forms such as wires, ribbons, bars, particles and porous bulks. Considerable amount of work has been done in the area of embedding SMAs into composite structures. The main objective behind these works have been to obtain a better understanding of the effects that embedded SMAs have on the material properties and behaviour of composite structures.

Research has been carried out into combining SMA fibres with composites to produce reinforced composite matrices. These hybridized composite materials have the ability to alter their stiffness properties among others when the SMAs are activated. The hybridized composites can also be utilized for shape control as well as for various vibration controls due to the excellent damping properties of the SMAs. Song et al (2006) reviewed the basic properties of NiTi SMAs and their applications in passive, semi-active and active control of civil structures. They reported that strong hysteretic effects in the stress-strain curves for loading and unloading cycles and hence the energy being dissipated during these cycles, for both martensite and superelastic SMAs provide the basis for developing passive structural damping devices using both martensite and super elastic SMAs. They also reported that the SME enables martensite NiTi to be used as actuators and also enables their applications in active and semi-active controls of civil structures.

Liang and Rogers (1989) explored two different techniques by which SMAs embedded into composite structures can be utilized. The two techniques are active property tuning (APT) and active strain energy tuning (ASET). APT refers to the increase of the Young's modulus, yield strength and other properties of the SMA during the transformation of martensite phase to austenite phase. These changes in material properties especially the Young's modulus were used to modify the dynamic characteristics of the composite plate in which the SMA wires had been embedded. On the other hand, ASET involves the embedment of the pre-strained SMA wires in martensitic phase into a composite material. Upon the application of heat, the SMA wires are constrained from returning to their memorized length and thus creating the recovery force. This recovery force is used to increase the strain energy and the stiffness of the structure and thus improving structural problems such as shifting natural frequency, suppress vibration,

increase critical and thermal buckling loads, control post-buckling and thermal post-buckling deflections and prevent cracks and fatigues.

If the SMA wires are located eccentrically with respect to the neutral axis, the recovery force generated by the SMA wires will produce a bending moment that can change the shapes or positions of SMA/composite structures. This type of ASET is called the active shape control (ASC). It should be noted that for each occurrence of the ASC and ASET the APT is always there. It was found that the effect of APT is much smaller as compared to the effect of the other two (Rogers, Liang & Jia, 1991). Research on the SMA structures especially beams are rather extensive. Although the objective of all the researchers was to investigate the effect of SMA in improving structural behaviour, but their experimental investigations differ in terms of structural configuration, form of SMA, number of SMA training cycles conducted and the method of analysis.

## 3.10.1 Vibration and Dynamic Response Control

Ni, Zhang, Masuda, Yamamura and Iwamoto (2006) investigated the vibration characteristics of laminated composite plates with embedded shape memory alloys. The vibration mode of unidirectional SMA wires clamped cantilevered composite plate was measured to investigate the influence of both SMA arrangements and temperatures on vibration characteristic. The stiffness tuning capability of the woven NiTi laminated plate was evaluated by impact vibration tests. They found that the natural frequency of the SMA-based composite plate is a function of the volume fraction of the SMA. At a temperature of about 100 °C when the SMA is in the austenite phase, the natural frequency of the SMA-based composite plate is almost twice that of the laminated plate without SMA implant. In the case of the woven SMA-based laminated plates, they reported that the natural frequency increased at about 1.8 times when compared to laminated plate without SMA implant. They established that the use of SMA wires and woven SMAs mesh is an effective way of damping control in structures.

Kim, Park and Moon (2004) studied the vibration of thermally post-buckled composite plates embedded with NiTi SMAs. They found that natural frequencies, thermal deflections and critical temperatures are function of the volume fraction initial strain of the SMA fibres. They reported that an increase in the volume fraction and initial strain of the NiTi SMA fibres results in a stiffer plate and hence increases natural frequencies, lowers thermal deflections and raises critical temperatures. They also reported that in the pre-buckled region, the presence of SMA in the composite plate increases the natural frequency due to the recovery stress of the SMA. However, in the post-buckled region, the natural frequencies of the SMA-embedded plates are

lower than those without SMA. This is due to the increase in weight of the plate and a decrease of the thermal deflection owing to the use of the SMA fibres. But nevertheless, they established that SMA fibres can be used to control the vibration behaviour of composite structures due to controllability of the natural frequencies, critical temperatures and thermal deflections.

Lau et al (2002) analytically and experimentally studied the natural frequencies of smart composite beam structures clamped at both ends. Neglecting the effect of the curing temperature of the matrix and the SMA's temperature on the surrounding, the differential equation obtained by combining the stress recovery equation of Brinson's model (1993) and lateral vibration equation of the beam was solved analytically to obtain the roots of the natural frequency of the beams. The experimental results were in close agreement with the analytical results. They found that at low volume fraction of SMA, the natural frequencies decreased because of the thermal compressive stress that existed. However, as the volume fraction increased, tension recovery stress surpassed the compressive stress and hence raised the natural frequencies.

Turner (2000) investigated glass/epoxy composite laminates embedded with 5% prestrain NiTi SMA actuators under thermal and acoustic loads for dynamic response abatement applications. Also investigated were conventional composite laminates with and without additional composite layers subjected to thermal and acoustic loads. He found that the optimal operating temperature for dynamic response performance of hybrid composite laminates embedded with SMA actuators depends on the matrix material, the SMA's composition, volume fraction of the SMA and level of pre-strain. He reported that as little as 13% volume fraction of SMA will completely nullify thermal post buckling over a small temperature range and that embedding SMA actuators into hybrid composite laminates is more effective in increasing the fundamental frequencies and reducing the amplitude of dynamic response, when compared to stiffening the hybrid composite laminates by adding additional composite layers. He established that a reduction in dynamic response could be achieved by embedding SMA actuators into composite laminates.

Baz et al (1990) studied the feasibility of utilizing NiTi actuators in controlling the flexural vibrations of a cantilevered beam. They confirmed that at the time of activation the actuator shrinks and exerts a force which provides the restoring control movement. They established that NiTi actuators are feasible for vibration control applications and can be extended to control higher mode shapes via implementing sophisticated control strategies such as time-sharing strategy.

Gordaninejad, Ghazavi, Tabandeh and Ghomshei (2005) investigated the transient response of a thick polycarbonate composite beam which had SMA reinforcements embedded along its neutral axis. Under the influence of a harmonic force excitation exerted as a point load at its free end, they reported that the vibration amplitude of the composite beam depends on temperature, activation voltage, SMA layer thickness, beam length and the martensitic residual strain.



Figure 3.8: Effect of SMA layer thickness on beam response

(Adapted from Gordaninejad et al, 2005)



Figure 3.9: Effect of martensitic residual strain on beam response (Adapted from Gordaninejad et al, 2005)

They established that the thicker the SMA layers, the greater the reduction of vibration amplitude and that a fractional increase in martensitic residual strain in the SMA results in a significant reduction in the amplitude of vibration. Their results illustrated in figures 3.8 and 3.9, confirmed that embedding thin SMA layers in the beam could be an effective way to controlling the beam vibration.

Mei, Duan, Tawfik, Goek and Ro (2007) studied the vibration of rectangular graphite-epoxy laminated composite plates with and without embedded NiTi SMA fibres at elevated temperatures. They found that an increase in the volume fraction and pre-strain of the NiTi SMA results in a stiffer plate.



Figure 3.11: Effect of 30% volume fraction and 3% prestrain of NiTi SMAs on natural frequency of a clamped-clamped plate (Adapted from Mei et al, 2007)

They also found that at a temperature less than the critical buckling temperature, the recovery stress generated by the SMA overwhelms the stress due to thermal expansion. They reported that the large recovery stress generated by the NiTi SMA increases the stiffness, the critical buckling temperature and the natural frequency of the SMA embedded composite plate.

From figures 3.10 and 3.11, it can be seen that for the same level of pre-strain (3%) an increase in the volume fraction of the SMA from 20% to 30% leads to an increase in the natural frequency in the three vibration modes. And from figures 3.11 and 3.12 it can be seen that with the same volume fraction of SMA (30%) an increase in the pre-strain from 3% to 5% increases the natural frequency of the plate in the three vibration modes. From the foregoing, they established the effectiveness of embedding SMA for frequency tuning and vibration control.





(Adapted from Mei et al, 2007)

### 3.10.2 Stiffness and Impact Damage Control

Tsai and Chen (2002) studied the dynamic stability of NiTi SMA fibres reinforced composite beam shown in figure 3.13, subjected to an axial periodic dynamic force. They confirmed that the dynamic stability is a function of temperature, the volume fraction of the NiTi SMA fibres and the pre-straining of the wires. They found that the natural frequencies of the composite beam increase with increase in temperature. In other words the composite beam is more stable at high temperature. They reported that an increase in the number of the NiTi SMA fibres as illustrated

in figure 3.14 and increasing pre-strain as shown in figure 3.15, increases the stiffness of the composite beam and hence an increase in the buckling strength. They established that the presence of the NiTi SMA fibres increases the stiffness of the composite beam and hence a more dynamically stable composite beam is obtained.







(Adapted from Tsai and Chen, 2002)



(Adapted from Tsai and Chen, 2002)

Meo, Antonucci, Duclaux and Giordano (2005) investigated the impact damage behaviour of carbon fibre/epoxy composite plates embedded with NiTi SMAs. They found that owing to the superelastic and hysteresis behaviours of SMA wires, their use improved the strain energy absorption capability of the composite plate, by increasing its overall bending and shear elasticity, thus reducing ply failures. They also found that the closer the SMA wires are to the impact location, the higher the effect of the SMA wires on the absorption of impact energy, particularly on the contact deformation, the global bending deformation and the transverse shear deformation. They confirmed that increasing the density of SMA wires significantly, reduces ply failures of the composite plate. They established that for low velocity impact, embedding SMA wires can be an effective way to increase the impact damage resistance of hybrid composite structures when compared to conventional composite structures.

Armstrong and Lilholt (2000) investigated the time dependent, super-viscoelastic behaviour of low density polyethylene matrix material embedded with NiTi fibre reinforcements when subjected to an isothermal tensile cycle composed of a constant strain rate tensile loading followed by a constant stress rate tensile unloading. They reported that the qualitative behaviour of the SMA fiber reinforced composite was primarily dependent on the fibre mechanical response. This is as a result of the SMA fibres possessing higher strength and stiffness than the polymeric host. They established that NiTi fibre actuated viscoelastic low density polyethylene

matrix composite exhibited a large increase in strength, stiffness, and shape recovery energy in comparison to the homogeneous polymer matrix.

Zhao and Zhang (2007) investigated the thermomechanical properties of a composite asymmetrically embedded with a SMA layer under thermal and mechanical loadings. They found that the beam deflection depends on the thickness of the SMA layer and the moment generated by the SMA. They also found that whereas asymmetric embedding of a SMA layer results in moment generation of the beam, the SMA embedded symmetrically produces no moment. They confirmed that the more asymmetric the SMA layer, the more the bending. They established that the thicker the SMA layer, the stiffer the composite beam and hence the smaller the composite beam deflection.

Lau, Ling and Zhou (2004) theoretically and experimentally investigated the damage resistance properties of SMA stitched glass/epoxy composites after low velocity impact. They reported that the tensile modulus of the SMA stitched composite plates was higher than the unstitched composite plates. They also reported that the number of translaminar cracks and delamination energy were greater in the unstitched composite plates compared with the SMA stitched composite plates. It was also reported that the SMA stitched composite plates have increased damping ratio and rebounding energy compared to the unstitched composite plates. They established that it is possible to use SMA wires to stitch and reinforce composite structures to reduce damage due to low velocity impact.

Kim and Roh (2003) studied the adaptability of hybrid smart composite plate under low velocity impact. The SMA fibres were embedded within the graphite/epoxy composite plate and piezoelectric sensors were mounted on the opposite side of the impact. They found that the deflection of the composite plate depends on temperature, volume fraction of the SMA fibres and the orientation of the SMA fibres. They reported that at an elevated temperature, the elastic modulus of the SMAs increases in its austenite phase and results in the stiffness increase of the composite which thus reduces the deflection. They also reported that an increase in the SMA fibre volume fraction decreases the dynamic impact induced deflection. They ascertained that SMA fibres embedded within the layers of a composite beam can significantly enhance the global resistance to low velocity impact of the composite beam.

Lee and Lee (2000) analysed the buckling and postbuckling behaviour of laminated composite shells with embedded SMA wire actuators. They reported that under simply supported boundary conditions, activated SMA wires embedded in the direction of buckling offered buckling resistance to composite shells subjected to external load while activated SMA wires embedded in the opposite direction of buckling enhanced buckling. However, under clamped-clamped (CC) boundary conditions activated SMA wires have no influence on buckling irrespective of the direction of buckling at which they are embedded. Although SMA wires have no influence on the buckling under CC boundary conditions, they however reduced the amplification of lateral deflections. Regarding thermal load, they reported that the larger the number of embedded SMAs the higher the critical buckling temperature and the smaller the postbuckling deformations. They established that the integration of SMA wires reduced deflection and offer resistance to buckling.

## 3.10.3 Shape and Position Control

Lee and Choi (1998) studied the shape control of a composite beam with embedded SMA wire actuators. The SMA wire actuators were directly and eccentrically attached to the composite. The flexible composite beam was investigated under the action of axial compressive loadings. They found that the shape control of composite beams does not depend on thermal moment but is dependent on initial geometric imperfection. They also found that the activation force generated by the activated SMA wire actuators results in either an increased buckling load or 'snap-through' of the composite beam. They reported that the dominant and decisive factor which influences the shape control of the composite beam is the reactive moment generated by the SMA wire actuators recovery force. They established the shape control capability of SMA wire actuators when embedded in real composite structures which function under an unanticipated environment.

Gangbing, Brian and Brij (2000) investigated the active position control of a SMA wire embedded into one side of a honeycomb structure composite beam. The cantilevered beam was activated by supplying appropriate current ranging from 1.5 to 3.0 A to the SMA wires. They reported that the displacement of the tip of the cantilevered beam measured showed that the tip moved to the desired position. Their results demonstrated that the tip position of a composite beam can be precisely controlled by SMA actuators.

# 3.10.4 Other Applications of NiTi Shape Memory Alloys

Owing to their good thermomechanical and thermoelectrical properties, NiTi SMAs have found applications in other areas apart from either embedding them into or bonding them to composites or metallic structures. They have been widely used as couplings and fasteners, actuators, ornaments and in biomedical and aerospace applications. SMAs have been investigated as transducing materials for the development of actuators for robotic end-effecters

(Salsedo, Dario & Bergamasco, 1990). SMAs are becoming more widely used in several industrial applications such as actuators, connectors and damping devices (Trochu & Qian, 1997).

Owing to the good bio-compatibility possessed by NiTi SMAs, their applications in the biomedical field have become very successful. Superelastic NiTi in particular has been investigated and used in applications such as stents, for use in bracing tubular passages or lumens and in particular to open blood vessels and support grafts (Morgan, 2004). A tube of NiTi can be laser etched into the form of a stent which can be inserted into blood vessel or heart valve using a catheter. When the stent has contact with the internal part of the body, it warms up and expands to 3 to 8 times the diameter of the catheter, thus opening the blood vessel. Superelastic SMAs are ideal for biomedical applications because the elasticity they exhibit make them easy to employ, leading to less invasive procedures and they are more compliant compared to other more commonly used materials such as stainless steel. Superelastic NiTi is 10 to 20 times more flexible than steel (Duerig, Pelton and Stockel, 1999). Thus, they are able to conform and contour themselves to the surrounding vessels. Superelastic SMAs also have similar stress-strain characteristics to other biological materials such as bone or tendons. This means that they can easily react to the pressure exerted by the surrounding environment when in place.

The smart alignment systems research group (SASRG) of the department of Mechanical Engineering at the Cape Peninsula University of Technology, Bellville campus under the leadership of Dr. Oscar Philander is currently intensifying research activities towards the development of unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) in which the properties of advanced intelligent composite materials will be utilized.

#### 3.11 Factors affecting the effectiveness of embedded SMA in SMA based composites

The manufacturing process of SMA hybrid epoxy composites generally involves prestraining in order to instil recoverable strain (Moore and Bruck, 2002; Zheng et al, 2005). Various researchers (Su et al, 1999; Umezaki, 2000; Turner, 2001; Umezaki, 2006; Ni et al, 2006; Ni et al, 2007) have prestrained SMA wires implanted into polymeric matrices with diverse levels of pre-strain ranging from 3% to 6%. However, Zheng et al (2005) specifically examined the effects of the prestrain level of the SMA wires on the recovery stress. They reported that despite the enhancement of the tensile strength of epoxy matrix embedded with SMA with high levels of pre-strain (about 6%); there is a demerit of excessive shear stress at the interface between the SMA wires and the matrix, thus making the interface more susceptible to debonding and a

consequent low actuation ability of the composites. They confirmed that the maximum recovery stress decreases almost linearly with increasing prestrain and established that a small pre-strain level is necessary to produce a reliable SMA composite. Consequently, they recommended that if the recovery stress of SMA based composite is to be tailored, the volume fraction of the SMA components must be modified rather than altering the pre-strain level. Their further investigations on the effects of additional reinforcing fibres on SMA based composites and the maximum working temperature of SMA based composites were based on 3% pre-strain.

Yi & Gao (2003) reported that transformation temperatures and other properties of SMA vary significantly by relatively small changes in alloy composition or themomechanical heat treatment conditions. They confirmed that identical heat treatment of the SMA wires to be embedded in the polymeric host is necessary to facilitate uniform response. Besides, the SMA wires must be taken from the same product batch. Besseghini, Villa & Tuissi (1999) established that aging lowers the transformation temperatures of NiTiHf SMAs. Ageing also erases the inconsistent yield point associated with the untreated SMA wire (Mukhawana & Philander, 2006).

The effects of volume fractions of SMA elements embedded into the polymeric matrix have been investigated by many researchers. Ni et al (2006) reported that natural frequency and thus the stiffness of laminated polymeric beam increases with increasing volume fraction of implanted SMA wires. They established that the natural frequency in the SMA laminated beam with only about 5% volume fraction of SMAs is almost twice larger than that in the epoxy resin at the austenite phase transformation temperature  $A_f$ . Thus, the contribution of the SMA to the enhancement of the natural frequency of the SMA laminated beam was confirmed.

Parthenios, Psarras and Galiotis (2001) reported that an increase of 3.5% volume fraction of the implanted SMA wires which were prestrained by 3% results to increasing recovery stress. Zhang et al (2007) confirmed that increasing the volume fraction of the SMA fibres increases the modulus of the SMA based composite and thus decreases the deflection of the composite as well as enhances its dynamic property. Zhang et al (2007) established that the Young's modulus of SMA based composite increased and the fracture deflection decreased with increase in the volume fraction of SMA embedded into epoxy resin. They also established that the SMA based composite is brittle in nature for high SMA fibre content. Mei et al (2007) reported that an increase in the volume fraction of the NiTi SMA results in a stiffer plate. They reported that an increase in the volume fraction and initial strain of the NiTi SMA fibres results to a stiffer plate and hence increased natural frequencies, lower thermal deflections and higher critical

temperatures. Tsai and Chen (2002) reported that an increase in the number of the NiTi SMA fibres increases the stiffness of SMA based composite beam.

Embedded SMA can be activated by both direct current heating and indirectly by the isothermal surface (Shimamoto et al 2004), however they reported that SMA based composite, with 3% level of prestrained SMA wire, that was directly activated by a current of 3 A for a period of 30 s generates more recovery stress than the composite which was activated by exposure to environmental temperature (isothermal surface). They also confirmed that the direct method of heating is more efficient than the indirect method of heating. In the latter, the host matrix prevents heat transfer to NiTi fibre from the external energy source. Umezaki (2000) reported that heat generation by the SMA upon activation affects the interfacial bond strength between the SMA wire and the host matrix, and as such, he recommended intermittent heating of the SMA wire via direct current supply to prevent interfacial breakdown.

Tsoi, Schrooten & Stalmans (2004) investigated the thermomechanical characteristics of shape memory alloys. They reported that at the SMA in its low temperature martensitic phase is soft and easy to deform whereas at high temperature austenitic phase, the SMA is stiffer and more difficult to deform. Their results showed that the Young's modulus of the NiTi SMA in the austenite phase is almost twice that of the martensite phase. Rustighi, Brennam and Mace (2005) also confirmed the temperature dependence of mechanical properties of SMAs. They reported that the Young's modulus of SMA in austenite phase is higher than that of martensite phase. Shimamoto et al 2004 also reported that the stiffness of the SMAs is 2-3 times higher in the austenite phase than the martensite phase.

# **CHAPTER FOUR**

# THERMODYNAMICS OF MARTENSITIC TRANSFORMATION

#### 4.1 Introduction

Martensitic transformation can either be stress or thermally induced. In the thermal driven martensitic transformation, specific temperatures characterize the phase transformation and there is heat interaction between the SMA and the surroundings. A thermodynamic analysis provides an insight into the unique characteristics of the SMAs such as pseudoelasticity, one-way SME, two-way SME and the hysteresis associated with the SME. From elementary science, it is known that upon the application of a suitable pressure, gas is liquefied. In a similar fashion, a phase transformation from the austenitic parent phase to the martensitic parent phase can be induced by the application of stress. Thermodynamic analysis explains the effects of this phase transformation. It also explains the reversibility of the phase transformation.

Gibbs theory of thermodynamic stability is employed to describe the phase transformation. This theory is based on the assumption that the SMA system is in a state of equilibrium. Evidently this assumption placed a limitation on the Gibbs theory which therefore renders it unsuitable for non-equilibrium systems for which inherent fluctuations are amplified to generate new structures. The martensitic transformation is solid state diffussionless transformation and it is considered to be a succession of several equilibrium states. A thermodynamic analysis based on internal variables such as enthalpy, latent heat of phase transformation and entropy for a single crystal of SMA is presented. The choice of a single crystal of SMA is to enhance the investigation of the SMA specimen as a thermodynamic system with only one component.

### 4.2 Thermally Induced Martensitic Transformation

The crystal of the SMA specimen being considered has energy interactions in the form of heat and work with its surroundings. These interactions can bring about an increase or decrease in the internal energy. This thermodynamic statement can be expressed mathematically as (Rogers & Mayhew, 1980):

$$dU = dQ + dW \tag{4.1}$$

where

dU is the change in internal energy

dQ is the heat exchange between the SMA and its surroundings

dW is boundary work

Assuming the phase transformation is a reversible heat transfer process, therefore the second law of thermodynamic is valid. Thus,

$$dQ = TdS \tag{4.2}$$

where

*T* is the temperature and *dS* is the change in entropy

The boundary work done by the SMA can be expressed as:

$$dW = -pdV \tag{4.3}$$

where

p is the pressure and

dV is the change in volume

It is noteworthy to say that in accordance with the thermodynamic convention, the work done by a system and energy transferred into a system are positive definite.

From equations 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3, we have

$$dU = TdS - pdV \tag{4.4}$$

Due to the difficulties of controlling the internal energy during experimentation, it is worth while to re-write equation 4.4 in term of more controllable variables. Recalling the formulae for enthalpy (H) and Gibbs free energy (G) from basic thermodynamics, we have:

$$H = U + pV \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$G = H - TS \tag{4.6}$$

Differentiating equation 4.5 and substituting equation 4.4, we have

$$dH = TdS + Vdp \tag{4.7}$$

Differentiating equation 4.6 and substituting equation 4.7, we have

$$dG = Vdp - SdT \tag{4.8}$$

The entropy S, pressure p and temperature T that appear in equations 4.7 and 4.8 are natural variables that are easier to control during experimentation and thus very useful in the thermodynamic analysis of the martensitic phase transformation. Following from equations 4.7 and 4.8, it suffices to say that at constant pressure, dH corresponds to the amount of heat transferred between the SMA and the surroundings. And following from equation 4.8, thermodynamic equilibrium is achieved when dG is equal to zero.

At constant pressure equation 4.8 reduces to:

$$dG = -SdT \tag{4.9}$$

For the sake of discussion, equation 4.9 can be re-written as:



Figure 4.1: Gibbs free energies for the austenite and martensite phase at constant stress

At constant pressure, a plot of *G* against *T* will result in figure 4.1 where *A* represents the austenitic parent phase and *M* denotes the martensitic product phase. The slope of  $G^A vsT$  graph represents the entropy of the austenite phase while the slope of  $G^M vsT$  represents the entropy of the martensite phase.

From figure 4.1 the following deductions can be made:

- At  $T < T_0$  martensite is more stable
- At  $T > T_0$  austenite is more stable
- At  $T = T_0$  the reversible phase transformation between the austenite and martensite is possible without any hindrance.

The temperature  $T_0$  is known as the transformation temperature and can be obtained from the Gibbs free energy of the two phases. Recalling equation 4.6 and considering  $G^A$  and  $G^M$  to be constants for small intervals of temperature T, we have:

$$G^A = H^A - TS^A \tag{4.11}$$

and

$$G^M = H^M - TS^M \tag{4.12}$$

During phase transformation,  $G^{A} = G^{M}$  and  $T = T_{0}$ , therefore from equations 4.11 and 4.12, we have

$$T_O = \frac{H^A - H^M}{S^A - S^M} = \frac{\Delta H}{\Delta S}$$
(4.13)

Taking into account interaction between the austenite and martensite phase and introducing the fraction of the martensite that is transformed into austenite, which is known as martensitic fraction  $\xi$ , the entropy *S* is given by (Trochu, 1996)

$$S = (1 - \xi)S^{A} + \xi S^{M}$$
(4.14)

Multiplying equation 4.14 by equation 4.13 and differentiating the result with respect to S, we have

$$T_O dS = T_O (S^M - S^A) d\xi = dQ$$
(4.15)

The quantity dQ is known as the latent heat of the phase transformation.

## 4.3 Stress Induced Martensitic Transformation

The response of SMA in its austenitic phase upon the application of stress can be mathematically represented as (Pilkey and Wunderlich, 1994):

$$dW = V_o \sigma_{ij} d\varepsilon_{ij} \tag{4.16}$$

where

 $V_o$  is the volume of the SMA  $\sigma_{ij}$  is the applied stress dW is the mechanical work done due to the applied stress  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  is the macroscopic strain

Substituting equation 4.16 into equations.4.1 and 4.7 and employing the thermodynamic sign convention, we can write

$$dU = TdS + V_o \sigma_{ij} d\varepsilon_{ij} \tag{4.17}$$

and

$$dH = TdS + V_o \sigma_{ij} d\varepsilon_{ij} \tag{4.18}$$

For one-dimensional case, equations 4.17 and 4.18 can be respectively simplified and rewritten as:

$$dU = TdS + V_o \sigma d\varepsilon \tag{4.19}$$

$$dH = TdS + V_{\rho}\sigma d\varepsilon \tag{4.20}$$

For martensitic transformation, the change in volume is negligible and the pressure p is atmospheric and hence a constant.

Similarly, an expression for dG can be written in the form:

$$dG = -SdT + V_{o}\sigma d\varepsilon \tag{4.21}$$

Owing to the fact that it is more difficult to control deformation than stress, it is therefore necessary to introduce a generalized form of Gibbs free energy  $G^*$  which enables equation 4.21 to be rewritten as:

$$G^* = G - V_o \sigma d\varepsilon \tag{4.22}$$

Upon differentiating equation 4.22 with respect to G, we have:

$$dG^* = dG - V_o \sigma d\varepsilon - V_o d\sigma \varepsilon \tag{4.23}$$

Substituting equation 4.21 into equation 4.23 and rearranging, we have:

$$dG^* = -SdT - V_o\sigma d\varepsilon \tag{4.24}$$

At constant temperature *T* and stress  $\sigma$ , thermodynamic equilibrium is characterized by  $dG^* = 0$ .

For a single crystal SMA specimen subjected to a uniaxial stress, the expressions for the Gibbs free energy for the parent austenite and the product martensitic phases can respectively be written as:

$$(G^*)^A = -S^A T - V_o \varepsilon^A \sigma \tag{4.25}$$

$$(G^*)^M = -S^M T - V_o \varepsilon^M \sigma \tag{4.26}$$

Chapter 4

For both phases, the stress and temperature imposed on the SMA are assumed to be equal but the thermodynamic potentials are different owing to the phase transformation. An expression for a finite change in the Gibbs free energy can therefore be written as:

$$\Delta G^* = -T\Delta S - V_o \sigma \Delta \varepsilon \tag{4.27}$$

During the phase transformation,  $\Delta G^* = 0$  is attained and equation 4.27 becomes:

$$0 = -T\Delta S - V_{\rho}\sigma\Delta\varepsilon \tag{4.28}$$

Rearranging terms in equation 4.28, we have:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dT} = \frac{\Delta S}{V_o \Delta \varepsilon} = \frac{\Delta H}{V_o T_o \Delta \varepsilon}$$
(4.29)

where

- $\Delta H$  is the transformation enthalpy
- $\Delta \varepsilon$  is the transformation strain
- $T_o$  is the temperature at which the austenite and martensite phases are in equilibrium at zero stress

Equation 4.29, which is known as the Clausius-Clayperon equation, gives a classical relation between stress and temperature as a result of the phase transformation and it is very important in thermodynamic analysis. The Clausius-Clayperon equation indicates a linear relationship between stress and temperature when  $\Delta S$  is independent of temperature. In other words, the stress increases linearly with increasing temperature.

# **CHAPTER FIVE**

# MODELLING OF SHAPE MEMORY ALLOY BASED COMPOSITE

#### 5.1 Introduction

Modelling is an essential tool for the understanding of the behaviour of engineering materials. This chapter deals with the derivation of the governing equations for bending of beams and the constitutive equations for a rectangular beam. Also presented in this chapter are the review of the SMA constitutive models and the development of the constitutive relation for the SMA based composite.

## 5.2 Governing Equations for Bending and Deflection of Beams

The derivations of the governing equations for bending and deflection of a beam with rectangular cross-section shown in figure 5.1 are based on the following assumptions:

- Constant cross-section
- Longitudinal axis lies along the x-axis
- The beam is initially perfectly straight
- The y-axis passing through the cross-section is an axis of symmetry (i.e. x-y plane is a plane of symmetry)
- All applied loads lie in the x-y plane and all applied couples act about the neutral or zaxis (i.e. twisting effect is negligible)



#### Figure 5.1: Schematic representation of a rectangular beam

- Deformation is such that cross-sections remain plane and remain perpendicular to the deformed longitudinal axis (i.e. shear effect is negligible)
- The beam is isotropic

Based on the above assumptions, the kinematics' equation obtained from geometric relation is as follows (Benham, Crawford & Armstrong, 1996):

$$\varepsilon_x = \frac{y}{R} \tag{5.1}$$

where y is the distance from the surface of the beam to the centroidal axis and R is the radius of curvature.

Equation 5.1 holds for a beam made from any material since no material properties were considered in its derivation. Imposing an assumption that the beam is made from a linear elastic material, since the majority of design and analysis problems encountered in engineering deal with elastic materials and most materials that are of engineering importance fall into this category, we have the following constitutive relations (Gere & Timoshenko, 1999):

$$\varepsilon_x = \frac{1}{E} \left[ \sigma_x - \nu \left( \sigma_y + \sigma_z \right) \right]$$
(5.2)

$$\varepsilon_{y} = \frac{1}{E} \left[ \sigma_{y} - \nu (\sigma_{z} + \sigma_{z}) \right]$$
(5.3)

$$\varepsilon_z = \frac{1}{E} \left[ \sigma_z - \nu \left( \sigma_x + \sigma_y \right) \right]$$
(5.4)

where  $\varepsilon$  represents normal strain,  $\sigma$  represents normal stress,  $\nu$  is the Poisson's ratio and E is the elastic modulus.

For long beams, the distance between the lower and upper surface, and between the lateral side surfaces are relatively very small, it is therefore assumed that  $\sigma_y$  and  $\sigma_z$  are negligible when compared with  $\sigma_x$ , i.e.  $\sigma_y = \sigma_z = 0$  at all points through the beam.

Therefore, equations 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4 become:

$$\varepsilon_x = \frac{1}{E}\sigma_x \tag{5.5}$$

$$\varepsilon_{y} = -\frac{1}{E}\sigma_{x} \tag{5.6}$$

$$\varepsilon_z = -\frac{1}{E}\sigma_x \tag{5.7}$$

Equations 5.1 and 5.5 yield:

$$\sigma_x = \frac{Ey}{R}$$
(5.8)

where  $\sigma_{r}$  is known as the bending or flexural stress.

Recalling from strength of materials theory, the moment, M about the neutral axis is given by:

$$M = \iint_{A} y \sigma_x dA \tag{5.9}$$

Substituting equation 5.8 into equation 5.9, we have:

$$M = \iint_{A} y \left(\frac{Ey}{R}\right) dA = \frac{E}{R} \iint_{A} y^{2} dA$$
(5.10)

where  $I = \iint_{A} y^2 dA$  is known as the second moment of area about the neutral axis

Therefore, equation 5.10 becomes:

$$M = \frac{EI}{R}$$
(5.11)

Equation 5.11 is known as the Euler-Bernoulli relation for linear elastic isotropic beam and the quantity EI is known as the bending stiffness or the flexural rigidity.

Combining equations 5.8 and 5.11, we have:

$$\sigma_x(y) = \frac{My}{I} \tag{5.12}$$

Equations 5.11 and 5.12 are the basic relations that govern the flexure of linear elastic isotropic beams under pure bending, as imposed by the assumptions made in their derivations.

However in reality, beam deformation is not limited to pure bending (constant bending moment, zero shear forces) but also due to combination of pure bending, arbitrary transverse loads

----

(M = M(x)) and shear forces varying along the length of the beam). To avoid this limitation, Navier established a hypothesis that accounts for applied transverse loads acting in the plane of symmetry. Based on experimental observations, some warping of the cross-section does take place but such warping is extremely small and therefore negligible (Gere & Timoshenko, 1999). The Navier's hypothesis is based on the premise that under arbitrary transverse loading, warping of the cross-section is negligible and as such, plane sections still remain plain after deformation and that they remain perpendicular to the deformed longitudinal axis.

Therefore, invoking Navier's hypothesis, equations 5.11 and 5.12 can be rewritten as:

$$M(x) = \frac{EI}{R(x)}$$
(5.13)

$$\sigma_x(x,y) = \frac{M(x)y}{I}$$
(5.14)

Equations 5.13 and 5.14 are often referred to as engineering beam formulae. Although the equations do not give exact solutions (due to the assumptions made), they are merely very good approximations that yields errors of a very small percentage. Consequently, they are widely used in engineering analyses of beams since they provide very accurate solutions to a wide variety of engineering problems (Gere & Timoshenko, 1999):

For a beam with uniform cross-section, it can be shown that (Gere & Timoshenko, 1999):

$$\frac{d^2 M(x)}{dx^2} = -q(x)$$
(5.15)

$$EI(x)\frac{d^2v(x)}{dx^2} = -M(x)$$
(5.16)

Differentiating equation 5.16 twice with respect to x and substituting equation 5.15 we have:

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left[ EI(x) \frac{d^2 v(x)}{dx^2} \right] = q(x)$$
(5.17)

With *EI* being a constant, equation 5.17 becomes:

$$EI\frac{d^{4}v(x)}{dx^{4}} = q(x)$$
(5.18)

Chapter 5

where v(x) is the lateral deflection of the beam, q(x) is the transverse load, M(x) is the bending moment and *EI* is the flexural rigidity or bending stiffness.

The fourth-order differential equation 5.18 is the governing equation for beam deflection. This equation is limited to beams having relatively large flexural rigidity (i.e. relatively stiff beams) such that their maximum deflection is very small compared to the span of the beam.

#### 5.3 Constitutive Relation of a Uniform Rectangular Beam

Recalling equations 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6 and 5.7 in section 5.2 for linear elastic isotropic material,

$$\varepsilon_x = \frac{1}{E} \left[ \sigma_x - \nu \left( \sigma_y + \sigma_z \right) \right]$$
(5.19)

$$\varepsilon_{y} = \frac{1}{E} \left[ \sigma_{y} - \nu (\sigma_{z} + \sigma_{z}) \right]$$
(5.20)

$$\varepsilon_{z} = \frac{1}{E} \left[ \sigma_{z} - \nu \left( \sigma_{x} + \sigma_{y} \right) \right]$$
(5.21)

$$\varepsilon_x = \frac{1}{E}\sigma_x \tag{5.22}$$

$$\varepsilon_{y} = -\frac{1}{E}\sigma_{x}$$
(5.23)

$$\varepsilon_z = -\frac{1}{E}\sigma_x \tag{5.24}$$

Equations 5.19, 5.20, and 5.21 above can be placed together in matrix notation as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_x \\ \varepsilon_y \\ \varepsilon_z \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{E} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\nu & -\nu \\ -\nu & 1 & -\nu \\ -\nu & -\nu & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x \\ \sigma_y \\ \sigma_z \end{bmatrix}$$
(5.25)

And using index notation, we have:

$$\varepsilon_{ij} = \frac{1+\nu}{E} \sigma_{ij} - \frac{\nu}{E} \delta_{ij} \sigma_{kk}$$
(5.26)

with

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ i = j \\ 0 & if \ i \neq j \end{cases}$$
 ( $\delta_{ij}$  is known as kronecker delta)

and

$$\sigma_{kk} = \sigma_{xx} + \sigma_{yy} + \sigma_{zz} \tag{5.27}$$

From equation 5.25, the stress components are obtained in matrix form as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x \\ \sigma_y \\ \sigma_z \end{bmatrix} = \frac{E}{(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)} \begin{bmatrix} 1-\nu & \nu & \nu \\ \nu & 1-\nu & \nu \\ \nu & \nu & 1-\nu \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_x \\ \varepsilon_y \\ \varepsilon_z \end{bmatrix}$$
(5.28)

And in index notation form, equation 5.28 becomes:

$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{E\nu}{(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)} \delta_{ij} \varepsilon_{kk} + \frac{E\nu}{(1+\nu)} \varepsilon_{ij}$$
(5.29)

or

$$\sigma_{ij} = \lambda \delta_{ij} \varepsilon_{kk} + 2\mu \varepsilon_{ij} \tag{5.30}$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{Ev}{(1+v)(1-2v)}$$
 and  $\mu = \frac{E}{2(1+v)}$  are the Lame's constants

In a more compact form, equation 5.30 takes the form:

$$\sigma_{ij} = E_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{kl} \tag{5.31}$$

where

$$\varepsilon_{kk} = \varepsilon_{xx} + \varepsilon_{yy} + \varepsilon_{zz} \tag{5.32}$$

In symbolic form, equation 5.31 takes the form:

$$\sigma = E \varepsilon \tag{5.33}$$

#### Chapter 5

#### 5.4 Constitutive relations of Shape Memory Alloys

The objective of the constitutive model is to mathematically formulate the unified behaviour of SMAs, such as shape memory effect, quasiplasticity and pseudoelasticity. Several constitutive models have been and are still being proposed to predict the thermomechanical response of the SMA. One of the earliest models is the one dimensional Tanaka's model (Tanaka et al, 1986). It is a macroscopic model that is derived from phenomenological thermodynamic concepts and through experimental observations. The SMA behaviour in this model is constituted into two equations: the mechanical constitutive equation and kinetic equation for the martensitic transformation. The constitutive equation is obtained by the minimization of free energy using the energy equation and the Clausius-Duhem inequality. The martensitic transformation is considered a progressive process which can be explained through an internal variable called the martensite fraction  $\xi$ . The kinetic equation is determined by considering a transformation micromechanism and it is expressed using an exponential function in the form of  $\xi = \xi(\sigma, T)$ .

The constitutive equation by Tanaka (1986) is of the form:

$$\dot{\sigma} = \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} + \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \xi} \dot{\xi} + \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial T} \dot{T}$$
(5.34)

where the two primary variables  $\varepsilon$ , T stand for the strain and the temperature respectively.  $\sigma$  is the stress and the internal variable  $\xi$  represents the volume fraction of martensite. The superimposed dot denotes the material derivates

The kinetic equations for the forward and reverse transformations are respectively of the form:

$$\xi_{A \to M} = 1 - \exp[b_m C_m (M_s - T) + b_m \sigma]$$
(5.35a)

$$\xi_{M \to A} = \exp[b_a C_a (A_s - T) + b_a \sigma]$$
(5.35b)

where the material parameters  $b_m$ ,  $C_m$ ,  $b_a$  and  $C_a$  based on transformation temperatures are assumed to be constant.

Tanaka's model was found to be able to characterize most of the behaviour of SMAs; however it has a limitation of not being suitable for engineering applications since it only describes the behaviour of SMAs qualitatively.
Liang and Rogers (1990) improved on the Tanaka's model by modifying the exponential form of the transformation kinetics equation. They developed empirical equations in simple cosine form, which agrees with experimental findings, to represent the martensite fraction as a function of stress and temperature. The constitutive equation remains the same while parameters of the equations can be determined through experiment.

Equation 5.34 can be written as:

$$d\sigma = \frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial\varepsilon}d\varepsilon + \frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial\xi}d\xi + \frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial T}dT$$
(5.36)

Equation 5.36 can be written as:

$$d\sigma = E(\varepsilon,\xi,T)d\varepsilon + \Omega(\varepsilon,\xi,T)d\xi + \Theta(\varepsilon,\xi,T)dT$$
(5.37)

Where the material functions  $E(\varepsilon, \xi, T)$ ,  $\Omega(\varepsilon, \xi, T)$  and  $\Theta(\varepsilon, \xi, T)$  are defined as:

$$E(\varepsilon,\xi,T) = \frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial\varepsilon}; \qquad \Omega(\varepsilon,\xi,T) = \frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial\xi}; \qquad \Theta(\varepsilon,\xi,T) = \frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial T}$$
(5.38)

where  $E(\varepsilon, \xi, T)$ ,  $\Omega(\varepsilon, \xi, T)$  and  $\Theta(\varepsilon, \xi, T)$  is the Young's modulus, the transformation tensor, and the thermoelastic tensor, respectively.

Integrating equation 5.37 and with the assumption that the material functions are constant, the Liang and Rogers constitutive model for SMAs which is based on a cosine function to represent the martensite fraction takes the form:

$$\sigma - \sigma_0 = E(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0) + \Omega(\xi - \xi_0) + \Theta(T - T_0)$$
(5.39)

where  $\sigma_0, \varepsilon_0, \xi_0, T_0$  represent the initial state or initial condition of the SMA.

During the forward transformation, the Austenite (A)  $\rightarrow$  Martensite (M), the martensite fraction  $\xi$  is given by:

$$\xi = \frac{1 - \xi_0}{2} \left\{ \cos[a_m (T - M_f) + b_m \sigma] + \frac{1 + \xi_0}{2} \right\}$$
(5.40)

$$a_m = \frac{\pi}{M_s - M_f} \quad ; \qquad b_m = -\frac{a_m}{C_m} \quad ; \qquad C_m = \left(\frac{dM_s}{d\sigma}\right)^{-1} \tag{5.41}$$

And during the reverse transformation, the Martensite (M)  $\rightarrow$  Austenite (A), the martensite fraction  $\xi$  is given by:

$$\xi = \frac{\xi_0}{2} \left\{ \cos[a_a(T - A_s) + b_a\sigma] + 1 \right\}$$
(5.42)

where

$$a_a = \frac{\pi}{A_f - A_s} \qquad ; \qquad b_a = -\frac{a_a}{C_a} \qquad ; \qquad C_a = \left(\frac{dA_s}{d\sigma}\right)^{-1} \tag{5.43}$$

in which the constant  $\xi_0$  is the martensite fraction before phase transformation begins and  $C_a$  and  $C_m$  are material properties that describes the relationship of temperature and the stress to induce phase transformation. It is often assumed that  $C_a$  and  $C_m$  are equal and have a constant value over all temperature ranges.

Although the Liang and Rogers constitutive equation for SMAs as given by equation 5.39 contains only measurable engineering variables and therefore can be practically implemented, but it cannot properly represent the behaviour of SMAs at any temperature below  $M_s$  nor at higher temperatures when any temperature-induced martensite is present. To overcome these limitations, a major improvement on the Liang and Rogers's model was made by Brinson.

Brinson (1993) recognized that it is not all martensite that is converted to austenite that will produce the recovery stress but only the stress induced martensite is responsible for the SME. In improving the Liang and Rogers's model, Brinson firstly separated the martensite fraction  $\xi$  into the stress induced and the temperature induced martensite fractions as follows:

$$\xi = \xi_S + \xi_T \tag{5.44}$$

where  $\xi_s$  is the stress induced (detwinned) martensite fraction with a single martensitic variant, and  $\xi_T$  is the temperature induced (twinned) martensite fraction with multiple martensitic variants. It suffices to say that the separation of the martensite fraction  $\xi$  into two distinct components accurately represents the microscopic behaviour of the SMA.

Brinson further improved on the Liang and Rogers's model by considering the dependence of the Young's modulus *E* and transformation tensor  $\Omega$  on the martensite fraction  $\xi$  has confirmed by experimental investigation. Introducing the non-constant material functions, facilitate the prediction of the SME due to change in material properties, for example, to account for the changes in the Young's modulus with increasing temperature.

Therefore, with the decomposition of the martensite fraction and the dependence of the Young's modulus and transformation tensor on the martensite fraction being taken into consideration, Brinson's model (1993) which can be utilized over a wide range of temperature is given by:

$$\sigma - \sigma_0 = E(\xi)\varepsilon - E(\xi_0)\varepsilon_0 + \Omega(\xi)\xi_s - \Omega(\xi_0)\xi_{s_0} + \Theta(T - T_0)$$
(5.45)

where the subscript 'o' indicates the initial values and

$$E(\xi) = E_a + \xi(E_m - E_a)$$
  

$$\Omega(\xi) = -\varepsilon_L E(\xi)$$
(5.46)

where  $E_m$  is the modulus value for the SMA when it is 100% martensite,  $E_a$  is the modulus value for the SMA when it is 100% austenite, and  $\varepsilon_L$  is the maximum recoverable martensitic strain which may be constant for a wide temperature range.

#### 5.5 Constitutive Relation of SMA based Composite Beam

A mechanics of materials approach will be applied to derive the thermoelastic constitutive relations governing the SMA/polyurethane composite beam. When SMA wires are embedded in a matrix material, a composite is formed. If the SMA wires are activated, recovery forces, which depend on the constraint provided by the surrounding matrix, will be induced. Recalling from section 5.3, the constitutive equation for a beam is given by:

$$\sigma_x = E\varepsilon_x \tag{5.47}$$

Equation (5.47) can be written as:

$$E = \frac{\sigma_x}{\varepsilon_x}$$
(5.48)

Recalling from section 5.4, the constitutive equation for SMA is given by:

$$\sigma - \sigma_0 = E(\xi)(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0) + \Omega(\xi)(\xi_S - \xi_{S0}) + \Theta(\xi)(T - T_0)$$
(5.49)

In determining the effective properties of the SMA/polyurethane composite beam, the volumetric representation of the composite, which is shown in figure 5.2, was considered with the following assumptions:

- there is perfect bonding between the SMA wire and the matrix material, thus the SMA wire and the surrounding matrix material experience the same strain
- the SMA wire exhibit a TWSME
- the SMA wire was heated above A<sub>f</sub>
- the linear elastic limit of the SMA wire was not exceeded (Hooke's law is obeyed)
- transformation strains are considered in only one direction (x-axis)



Figure 5.2: Representative volume of SMA/polyurethane composite beam

For a perfect adherence between the SMA wire and the surrounding matrix, kinematics requires that the strain in the SMA, the matrix, and the resulting composite must be equal. Thus,

$$\varepsilon_s = \varepsilon_m = \varepsilon_c \tag{5.50}$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is the strain, and the subscripts s, m and c is refer to the SMA, matrix and composite.

Upon activating the SMA, the surrounding matrix will prevent the SMA from recovering the initial strain (constrained recovery) resulting in the generation of recovery stress and hence recovery force. Considering the resultant force acting on the SMA/polyurethane composite in the x-direction, as shown in figure 5.2, is shared between the SMA and the polyurethane matrix material and can be written as:

$$F_c = F_m + F_s = \sigma_m A_m + \sigma_s A_s \tag{5.51}$$

where  $F_c$ ,  $F_m$ ,  $F_s$  is the axial force on the entire composite, matrix, and SMA respectively. But,

$$\sigma_c = \frac{F_c}{A_c} \tag{5.52}$$

Therefore,

$$\sigma_c = \frac{\sigma_m A_m + \sigma_s A_s}{A_c} \tag{5.53}$$

$$\sigma_c = V_m \sigma_m + V_s \sigma_s \tag{5.54}$$

where  $V_m = \frac{A_m}{A_c}$  and  $V_s = \frac{A_s}{A_c}$  are the volume fractions of the matrix and the SMA respectively

and  $A_c$ ,  $A_m$ ,  $A_s$  are the cross-sectional area of the entire composite, matrix, and SMA respectively and  $\sigma_c$ ,  $\sigma_m$ ,  $\sigma_s$  are the stress of the entire composite, matrix, and SMA respectively.



Figure 5.3: Cross-section of SMA/polyurethane composite beam

Utilizing figure 5.3, the volume fractions of SMA ( $V_s$ ) and polyurethane matrix ( $V_m$ ) can be obtained as follows:

$$A_c = ab \tag{5.55}$$

$$A_s = \frac{n\pi d^2}{4} \tag{5.56}$$

where n is the number of SMA wires and d is the diameter of the SMA wire embedded in the polyurethane matrix. Therefore,

$$V_s = \frac{n \pi d^2}{4A_c} \tag{5.57}$$

But

$$V_m + V_s = 1 \tag{5.58}$$

Hence,

$$V_m = 1 - V_s \tag{5.59}$$

At a temperature  $T \ge A_s$ , the SMA effect is activated and the respective stress  $\sigma_s$  and  $\sigma_m$  in the SMA and matrix material becomes:

$$\sigma_s = E_s \varepsilon + \sigma_r \tag{5.60}$$

$$\sigma_m = E_m (\varepsilon - \alpha_m \Delta T) \tag{5.61}$$

where  $E_s$  and  $E_m$  are the Young's modulus of the SMA and polyurethane matrix respectively.  $\alpha_m$  is the thermal coefficient of expansion of the polyurethane matrix,  $A_s$  is the austenite start temperature,  $\varepsilon$  is the strain,  $\Delta T$  is the change in temperature, and  $\sigma_r$  is the recovery stress generated by the SMA. It suffices to mention that  $E_s$  and  $\sigma_r$  is temperature dependent. The recovery stress  $\sigma_r$  which is obtainable from Brinson's model also depends on prestrain.

Chapter 5

Substituting equations 5.60 and 5.61 into equation 5.54, the one-dimensional stress-strain relation in the x-direction for the SMA/polyurethane composite can be expressed as:

$$\sigma_c = V_m E_m (\varepsilon - \alpha_m \Delta T) + V_s (E_s \varepsilon + \sigma_r)$$
(5.62)

Expanding equation 5.62 we have:

$$\sigma_{c} = V_{m}E_{m}\varepsilon + V_{s}E_{s}\varepsilon + V_{s}\sigma_{r} - V_{m}E_{m}\alpha_{m}\Delta T$$
  
=  $E\varepsilon + V_{s}\sigma_{r} - V_{m}E_{m}\alpha_{m}\Delta T$  (5.63)

where

$$E = V_m E_m + V_s E_s \tag{5.64}$$

Substituting equation 5.59 into equation 5.64, we have:

$$E = (1 - V_s)E_m + V_s E_s$$
(5.65)

Equation 5.65 is referred to as the law of mixtures for the modulus of elasticity in the longitudinal direction. The variation of the moduli  $E_m$  and  $E_s$  is linear, and the volume fractions  $V_m$  and  $V_s$  take values that vary from 0 to 1.

When the SMA effect is inactivated at a temperature  $T < A_s$ , equation 5.60 becomes:

$$\sigma_s = E_s(\varepsilon - \alpha_s \Delta T) \tag{5.66}$$

where  $\alpha_s$  is the thermal coefficient of expansion of the SMA.

Substituting equations 5.64 and 5.66 into equation 5.63, the one-dimensional stress-strain relation in the x-direction for the SMA/Polyurethane composite becomes:

$$\sigma_c = V_m E_m (\varepsilon - \alpha_m \Delta T) + V_s E_s (\varepsilon - \alpha_s \Delta T)$$
(5.67)

Expanding equation 5.67 and simplifying, we have:

$$\sigma_c = (V_m E_m + V_s E_s)\varepsilon - (V_m E_m \alpha_m + V_s E_s \alpha_s)\Delta T$$
(5.68)

In a compact form, equation 5.68 can be written as:

$$\sigma_c = E(\varepsilon - \alpha \Delta T) \tag{5.69}$$

where E is as given by equation 5.65 and

$$\alpha = \frac{(V_m E_m \alpha_m + V_s E_s \alpha_s)}{E}$$
(5.70)

By definition, the Poisson's ratio v is the ratio of the transverse strain  $\varepsilon_t$  to the longitudinal strain  $\varepsilon_t$ .

If w represents the width of the composite and L represents its length, therefore

$$v = \frac{-\Delta w}{\Delta L/L}$$
(5.71)

or

$$\frac{\Delta w}{w} = -v \left(\frac{\Delta L}{L}\right) \tag{5.72}$$

But

$$w = w_m + w_s \tag{5.73}$$

and

 $\Delta w = \Delta w_m + \Delta w_s \tag{5.74}$ 

Based on the assumption of perfect bonding between the SMA and the surrounding polyurethane matrix, we have:

$$\Delta L_m = \Delta L_s \tag{5.75}$$

Recalling equation 5.72 we can write as follows:

$$\frac{\Delta w_m}{w_m} = -v_m \left(\frac{\Delta L}{L}\right) \tag{5.76}$$

$$\frac{\Delta w_s}{w_s} = -v_s \left(\frac{\Delta L}{L}\right) \tag{5.77}$$

#### Equations 5.76 and 5.77 can be re-written respectively as:

$$w_m = -v_m w_m \left(\frac{\Delta L}{L}\right) \tag{5.78}$$

$$w_s = -v_s w_s \left(\frac{\Delta L}{L}\right) \tag{5.79}$$

Substituting equations 5.78 and 5.79 into equation 5.74, and factorizing, we have:

$$\Delta w = -\left(v_m w_m + v_s w_s\right) \frac{\Delta L}{L} \tag{5.80}$$

Dividing both sides of equation 5.80 by w, we have:

$$\frac{\Delta w}{w} = -\left(v_m \frac{w_m}{w} + v_s \frac{w_s}{w}\right) \frac{\Delta L}{L}$$
(5.81)

$$= -\left(v_m V_m + v_s V_s\right) \frac{\Delta L}{L}$$
(5.82)

 $V_m$  and  $V_s$  in equation 5.82 are the respective volume fraction of the surrounding matrix and SMA. Comparing equations 5.72 and 5.82, it could be established that:

$$v = v_m V_m + v_s V_s \tag{5.83}$$

Equations 5.65 and 5.83 are the effective modulus and the Poisson's ratio of the SMA/Polyurethane composite beam respectively.

Model	Constitutive Equation	Kinetic Equation for Transformation
Tanaka		
(1986)	$\dot{\sigma} = \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} + \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \xi} \dot{\xi} + \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial T} \dot{T}$	Exponential Form
Liang and		
Rogers	$\sigma_{-} - \sigma_{0} = E(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{0}) + \Omega(\xi - \xi_{0}) + \Theta(T - T_{0})$	Cosine Function
(1990)		
Brinson	$\sigma - \sigma_0 = E(\xi)\varepsilon - E(\xi_0)\varepsilon_0) + \Omega(\xi)\xi_s - \Omega(\xi_0)\xi_{s_0}) + \Theta(T - T_0)$	Cosine Function
(1993)		

#### Table 5.2: Comparison of Young's modulus

Materials	Constitutive Equation	Young's Modulus ( $E$ )	
Polyurethane Beam	$\sigma = E\varepsilon$	E	
NiTi SMA (Brinson's Model)	$\sigma - \sigma_0 = E(\xi)\varepsilon - E(\xi_0)\varepsilon_0) + \Omega(\xi)\xi_s - \Omega(\xi_0)\xi_{s_0}) + \Theta(T - T_0)$	$E(\xi) = E_A + \xi (E_M - E_A)$	
SMA/Polyurethane Composite (activated SMA)	$\sigma = E\varepsilon + V_s\sigma_r - V_m E_m\alpha_m\Delta T$	$E = V_m E_m + V_s E_M$	
SMA/Polyurethane Composite (inactivated SMA)	$\sigma_c = E\varepsilon - (V_m E_m \alpha_m + V_s E_s \alpha_s) \Delta T$	$E = V_m E_m + V_s E_A$	

Where:

- $\xi_{-}$  is the volume fraction of martensite
- *E* is the Young's modulus for the "virgin" polyurethane
- $E_{\scriptscriptstyle A}$  is the Young's modulus for the SMA in the austenite phase
- $E_M$  is the Young's modulus for the SMA in the martensite phase
- $V_s$  is the volume fraction of the SMA
- $V_m$  is the volume fraction of the polyurethane matrix

# CHAPTER SIX EXPERIMENTAL WORK

#### 6.1 Introduction

The understanding of the mechanical properties and behaviour of engineering materials to end users is very crucial in material selection. In this work, experiments were conducted on the virgin polyurethane and NiTi SMA/polyurethane composites so as to obtain their respective Young's modulus, tensile strength, fracture load, elongation at break and bending stiffness for the purpose of comparisons. The pullout test which is also known as the debonding test was carried out on NiTi SMA/polyurethane composites so as to ascertain the load that causes debonding. The effect of temperature on the debonding load and the effect of temperature on deformation were also investigated. The specimens utilized for these experiments were produced using the vacuum casting manufacturing process. The facilities in the department of Industrial Engineering at The University of Stellenbosch, Stellenbosch were utilized for casting the specimens. The mechanical tests were conducted in the Strength of Materials Laboratory at the department of Mechanical Engineering, Cape Peninsula University of Technology, Bellville Campus.

### 6.2 Vacuum Casting Process

The vacuum casting process of manufacturing which is most widely used for manufacturing polymer based matrix composites was utilized for the production of the specimens. The merits of the vacuum casting over alternative manufacturing processes such as injection moulding and extrusion include the following: the mould is simpler and cost effective, cast material is relatively free of residual stress and viscoelastic memory, and it is best suited for low production quantities (Groover, 2002). The vacuum casting process permits a high degree of flatness and desirable optical qualities. Such flatness and clarity are difficult if not impossible to be achieved with other processes. But despite these merits, the casting process has an undesirable effect of shrinkage of the cast part during curing (solidification). However, this shortcoming can be minimized by customising the mould and adhering strictly to the manufacturing procedure of the casting equipment manufacturers and the technical instructions of the polyurethane resins. This process consists of three major steps: production of the master part, preparation of the mould and casting of the specimens.

#### 6.3 The Production of the Master Parts

The master part for the tensile test specimens, figure 6.1 and the master part for the rectangular cross-sectioned beams, figure 6.2, were produced via rapid prototyping at the Centre for

Research in Applied Technology (CRATECH) unit in the department of Mechanical Engineering at Cape Peninsula University of Technology, Bellville Campus. Rapid prototyping was chosen regarding its relative ability to create almost any shape with a good surface finish.



Figure 6.1: Master part for dog bone tensile test specimen



Figure 6.2: Master part for rectangular beam specimen

### 6.4 **Preparation of the Mould**

The preparation of the mould boxes involves making of mould box, figure 6.3 and the preparation of the silicone. The boxes are made from melamine face board. The choice of this type of face board is due to its excellent smooth surface which detaches relatively easily from the glue and the silicone from which the mould is made from. Using the joining process of gluing and taking into consideration the dimensions for the dog bone tensile test specimens in accordance with ASTM D638 code and  $150 \times 25 \times 10 \text{ mm}^3$  rectangular beam for the bending and debonding test specimens, the mould boxes were constructed. The boxes were made such that their inner dimensions were about 12 mm around the outer outline of the specimen mould to be formed. To account for the silicone level rising during degassing operation, the height of the box was made to be about 15 mm more than the depth of the specimen. Taken the dimensions of the specimens and the required allowances as mentioned above into consideration, the four sides of the box were cut into appropriate sizes that overlap. The base of the box is such that it is wider than the highest dimension of the specimen's length. With the aid of a glue gun, the four sides of the box were secured to the base of the mould box.

The four sides of the masterpart were taped with coloured packaging tape. The tape facilitates the parting of the mould into two parts which are not necessarily required to be equal. Four small sized brazing rods that would raise the masterpart above the base of the box were glued



Figure 6.3: Mould box

to the base of the masterpart. A strip of the face board, having almost the same length as the base was cut and a 2 mm diameter hole was drilled through it. A glue stick was pushed through the hole created in the strip. One end of the glue stick was glued to the top part of the masterpart. The diameter of the stick is such that it is snugly fitted to the strip and its height is such that it protrudes above the strip by at least 20 mm. The significance of the glue stick is that it facilitates the positioning of the master part in the box as well as creating an inlet through which the resins from which the specimens are produced can be poured.

To cast the mould, the vacuum chamber of the MCP 4/04 vacuum casting machine is required to be air - free so as to obtain moulds with minimum defects. To achieve this, the machine was started and the vacuum chamber was evacuated for about twenty-five (25) minutes. While the vacuum chamber was being evacuated, a known quantity by mass of silicone, Wacker Silicone grade Elastosil (EL) M 4644 A and hardener, Wacker Silicone grade Elastosil (EL) M 4644 B, to

be poured into the box were obtained. Disregarding the excess height of 15 mm, the volume of the constructed box was determined and multiplied by the density of the silicone to obtain the actual mass of the silicone required. In order to make allowance for the silicone that will be left in the bucket after pouring, 50 grams was added to the calculated mass of the silicone. The empty bucket required for the degassing of the silicone was thoroughly cleaned and placed on the weighing scale. To forestall the empty bucket from contributing to the effective mass of the silicone, the scale was zeroed and afterwards, the required amount of silicone was poured into the bucket. The weighing scale was again reset to zero, and thereafter, hardener, which is one-tenth by part of the silicone, was poured into the bucket. The resulting content of the bucket was vigorously blended for about fifteen (15) minutes with the mixing paddle which is operated by electrically powered drilling machine. The objective of this exercise was to significantly reduce the amount of trapped air inside the silicone in order to have a high quality mould.

It suffices to say that the blending of the silicone mixed with the hardener was not sufficient to remove the trapped air. Consequently, the bucket containing the silicone which had been previously made almost air-free was placed inside the vacuum chamber and the latter was degassed for further twenty-five (25) minutes so as to get rid of or at least, to considerably reduce the amount of the trapped air in the silicone. Initially, it was observed that the silicone level rose rapidly as if it was boiling. This was due to the presence of the trapped air. Intermittent but momentary pressure-shooting ensured the degassing of the silicone.

Meanwhile, during the process of degassing, the box constructed from face board was thoroughly cleaned, taped (so as to preclude leakage of the silicone) and placed on a flat surface where the pouring of the degassed silicone would be done. The masterpart was then placed inside the box with the aid of the brazing rods which served as supports and the strip which rested on top of the box and glued to it. After the degassing, the silicone was carefully poured into the box which now contained the masterpart. The pouring process was achieved within ten (10) minutes and the box was immediately returned to the vacuum chamber and the latter was degassed for about thirty (30) minutes. Thereafter, the mould box was taken out of the vacuum chamber and left overnight for the silicone to cure at room temperature. The silicone mould was detached from the box with the aid of the packaging tape which served as the parting plane, the mould was cut into two parts which are not necessarily equal, and the glue stick was also removed from the silicone mould accordingly.

71

### 6.5 Casting of the Specimens

The chemical composition of the NiTi SMA as obtained from Johnson Matthey, San Jose, CA, USA and the technical data for the semi rigid polyurethane resin, a Smooth-On product, that were utilized to produce the specimens are as given in tables 6.1 and 6.2 respectively. The choice of this resin was based on merits of low viscosity and relative ease of usage. Besides, cured castings are semi rigid, impact resistant, corrosion resistant and negligible shrinkage. In addition to these, the curing process of the thermosetting matrix will not destroy the actuating capability of the embedded NiTi wires (Paine and Rogers, 1991).

The ability of the shape memory materials to efficiently operate within the host matrices is, amongst others, dependent on their integrity (Loughlan and Thompson, 2001). Therefore prior to integrating SMA wires into the polyurethane host matrices, ageing and prestraining treatments of the virgin (as obtained from the manufacturers) NiTi SMAs were performed for the enhancement of their inherent performance characteristic of stable strain recovery action. A detail discussion of ageing and prestrain are discussed in sections 6.6 and 6.7 respectively.

 Table 6.1: Chemical composition of NiTi SMA wire

Diameter	Ni	Ti	С	0	Others	Temper	Surface	Active
(mm)	%	%	%	%	%			A <sub>f</sub> (⁰C))
1	55.32	44.67	≤ 0.05	≤ 0.05	0.20	Straight Annealed	Oxide	60.7

Others: Al, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo, Nb, Si, W

	Table 6.2:	Technical	data for	60D pol	yurethane	resin
--	------------	-----------	----------	---------	-----------	-------

Mix Ratio (pbv)	Colour	Pot Life	De- mould Time	Mixed Viscosity	Density	Ultimate Tensile Strength	Elongation at break	Shrink
1A:1B	Brown	5 min	20 min	1500 cps	1.05, g/cm <sup>3</sup>	2200 psi	30%	0.15 in/in

Grooves that would accommodate the NiTi SMA wires were created to the two segments of the silicone mould. It should be noted that there was no groove created in the mould during the manufacturing of the virgin polyurethane specimens. The inner portions of the two halves of the silicone mould were thoroughly cleaned with the use of soft cloth and methylated spirit and dried accordingly, and were sparingly sprayed with silicone demould spray so as to ensure that the specimen detaches from the silicone mould with ease after curing. The treated NiTi SMA wire was properly located in the groove created in one half of the mould. The two mould halves were coupled together to form the cavity and the split line was sealed by running packaging tape

around it. The two mould halves were thereafter strongly coupled together with staple pins. The top part of the mould which accommodates the inlet vent was taped with packaging tape that protrudes by about 10 mm above the mould while ensuring that the vent holes and the inlet gate to the mould's cavity are left open. The relevance of the protrusion of packaging tape is to prevent spillage of the resin into the vacuum chamber while pouring the resin.

Having prepared the mould, it was placed on the mould tray inside the vacuum chamber and a funnel was inserted into the mould's inlet gate. Taking the weight of the masterpart and the envisaged resin wastage into consideration and using a weighing scale, appropriate quantities of part B (the resin with greater viscosity) and part A (the resin with the less viscosity) were measured and poured into cups B and A respectively. The mix ratio was A:B was 1:1. The two cups were placed in a heating chamber which was maintained at approximately 40°C, for about 15 minutes. The objective of this step is to reduce the viscosity of the resins.



Figure 6.4: Arrangement of mixing cups inside the vacuum chamber

Thereafter, the two cups were placed in their respective appropriate positions inside the vacuum chamber as shown in figure 6.4. The mixing blade was fitted into its fixture, the vacuum chamber

door was closed, and the pump was switched on to facilitate the degassing of the vacuum chamber. The degassing was carried out for about twenty (20) minutes. While the degassing continued, the mixer was simultaneously operated to stir the resin in cup B. The significance of the stirring was to accelerate the degassing process. Immediately after degassing and just before casting, the resin in cup A was poured into cup B and further stirring was done for additional two (2) minutes. While stirring continued, the chamber was given pressure shots intermittently to burst air bubbles thereby making the resin surface bubble-free. Thereafter, cup A was swivelled back to its position and the content of cup B was carefully poured into the mould's cavity via the funnel until resin was pouring out of the vents. The pouring exercise was accomplished within a period of three (3) minutes.

As soon as the resin was pouring out from the vent, cup B was swivelled back to its initial position and the mould remained in the chamber for another two (2) minutes with the vacuum being slowly released to avoid splashing of resin. The mould with its content was thereafter removed from the vacuum chamber and placed on a flat surface and the resin was allowed to solidify at room temperature for about two (2) hours. Although a de-moulding time of twenty (20) minutes was recommended by the resin suppliers, the experience gathered during the manufacturing exercise showed that this duration was grossly insufficient, except if the curing is done at an elevated temperature.

Based on the objective of this research, enhanced curing in a furnace would be inappropriate for the SMA based composites, since the embedded SMA wire is temperature sensitive. It suffices to say that the temperature inside the vacuum chamber was not sufficient to alter the property of the embedded SMA. After solidification, the staple pins and packaging tape were removed from the mould. The two mould halves were pulled apart and the specimen which was stuck into one of the mould's halves was carefully removed and the vent pieces still attached to the specimen were broken off. It should be noted that the same casting process was used for both virgin and SMA/polyurethane composite specimens.

#### 6.6 Ageing of NiTi Shape Memory Alloy wires

SMA wires in untreated form have no significant value since applications depend largely on recovery of deformation and perfect bonding to the host matrices. The prime significance of ageing is to among other reasons ensure homogeneous behaviour of the SMA wires (Yi & Gao, 2003) and to obtain a zero prestrain state (i.e. residual stress-free). Ageing also erases the inconsistent yield point associated with the untreated SMA wire (Mukhawana & Philander, 2006). One (1) mm diameter NiTi SMA wire obtained from Johnson Matthey, San Jose, CA, USA were

74

cut into the required lengths using a guillotine cutting machine. They were put inside the furnace which had been preset to the required ageing temperatures. All the specimens were cut from the same reel to ensure that their properties are uniform. Four (4) ageing temperatures of 250°C, 300°C, 350°C and 400°C were considered. The SMA wires were left in the furnace for about ten (10) hours and subsequently taken out and allowed to cool down to room temperature.



Figure 6.5: Experimental set up for pre-straining NiTi SMAs

The treated and untreated wires in their martensitic phase were pulled to fracture by a Hounsfield Tensile Testing Machine. The cross-head displacement speed for all the tests was 5 mm/min with a load range of 0 -1000 N. An insight to the choice of the displacement speed was given by the work of Philander (2004). The ambient temperature for the entire test was approximately 24°C and the gauge length of the wire was 150 mm. The experimental set up is shown in figure 6.5 and a detailed discussion of the tensile test that was used to pull the wires to destruction is presented in section 6.9. The behaviour of the wires under different ageing temperatures is found in appendix C. Figure 6.6 illustrates the combined stress-strain relationship of the four ageing temperatures. The respective Young's modulus for the virgin and the aged wires were obtained from the initial linear elastic portion of the stress-strain curves.



Figure 6.6: Stress vs. Strain at different ageing temperatures

Tensile tests of NiTi wire were also conducted when the NiTi wire was activated (i.e. at an elevated temperature) to obtain its Young's modulus at the high temperature phase and to also characterise its behaviour. The testing procedure was similar to the procedure described previously. However, an electric current of 3 amps was supplied by a DC source to activate the NiTi SMA wire. Insight to the current required was from the work of Shimamoto et al, 2004. The temperature of the wire was directly measured by a sensitive thermocouple. To ensure that the property of the wire was not destroyed, the austenite finish temperature was not exceeded and heating was not continuous as recommended by Umezaki, 2000. The current flow was being intermittently disturbed so as not to generate unnecessary heat in the wires once the austenite finish temperature is attained. It could be observed that the NiTi SMA exhibits the same trend which confirms consistency in its characteristics features when treated, the details of the experimental results are presented and discussed in Chapter 7.

# 6.7 Prestraining of NiTi Shape Memory Alloy wires

It has been reported in the literature that the good characteristics that are inherent in the NiTi SMAs can only be utilized if some deformation can be induced to the NiTi SMA wire (Bruck and Moore, 2002; Zheng et al, 2005). The amount of prestrain determines the recovery stress that can be generated by the NiTi SMAs upon activation. The higher the level of prestrain, the higher the recovery stress but there is a limit to the amount of prestraining (Zheng et al, 2005). Therefore, the objective of prestraining was to induce recoverable deformation to the NiTi SMA wires that would be embedded into the polymeric matrices. For this research activity, a prestrain of 3%, which is representative of the prestrain level in some published works, was considered. In

order to induce a 3% prestrain, a wire with gauge length of 150 mm must be deformed by 4.5 mm. One of the 1 mm diameter NiTi SMA wires that were aged at a temperature of 250°C was utilized for determining the prestrain load. The gauge length of the wire was 150 mm, the cross head displacement speed was 5 mm/min and the maximum load was set at 400 N to forestall fracture of the specimen. The experiment was conducted at an ambient temperature of 24°C.

The experimental set up is shown in figure 6.5 and a detailed discussion of the tensile test that was used to deform the wire is discussed in section 6.9. The loads and the corresponding extensions were stored by the PC-based data acquisition and control system. The load-extension curves are found in appendix D. In order to obtain the load that will produce an extension of 4.5 mm which translates to 3% prestrain to the 150 mm wire, a straight line was drawn along the initial elastic portion of the load-extension curve. Another straight line, parallel to the previous one, was projected from a point 4.5 mm on the displacement axis to the load-displacement curve as shown in figure 6.7.



Figure 6.7: Load for 3% pre-strain

# 6.8 Time response of the NiTi SMA wire

The efficiency of any shape memory actuator is very critical to the design of the adaptive structure. This efficiency is a function of the time the austenite start temperature,  $A_s$  and the time the austenite finish temperature,  $A_f$  are attained. A more scientific way to establish the transformation parameters would have been the utilization of a differential scanning calorimeter (DSC), but due to the constraint of its non-availability as at the time of conducting this research, an approximate estimation of the activation time and the temperature at which this occurred was conducted on the SMA wires.



Figure 6.8: Twisted NiTi SMA wire before activation

Three SMA wires twisted in various complex degrees of twist as shown in figures 6.8 were tested independently. A current of 3 amps supplied by a DC source was used to activate the wires. It was observed that the activation began 8 seconds and at a temperature of approximately  $32^{\circ}$ C after the supply of current. Full shape recovery of the wires, as shown in figure 6.9, occurred at a temperature of approximately  $45^{\circ}$ C and 60 seconds from the time the current was applied to the SMA wires. This test was conducted at an ambient temperature of  $25^{\circ}$ C. It was assumed that the heat loss from the SMA wire was negligible since the duration was very small. Consequently, the established  $A_s$  and  $A_f$  for this research activity is respectively taken as  $32^{\circ}$ C and  $45^{\circ}$ C.



Figure 6.9: Full shape recovery of the twisted NiTi SMA wire

#### 6.9 Pullout Test

The actuation forces that are induced by the embedded SMA wire in a composite depend on the constraint provided by the surrounding matrix (Bruck et al, 2002). Interfacial bond strength of the SMA wire- host matrix interface determines the limit of the amount of actuation force that can be exerted by the wire on the matrix, and the load at which the SMA wire separates from the polymeric host dictates the maximum recovery force that the implanted SMAs can generate. If debonding occurs, the embedded SMA wire would have no influence on the polymeric host material. The importance of investigating the interfacial bond strength between the SMA wire and the polymeric host cannot therefore be overemphasized.

Amongst the various mechanical interface testing methods designed to determine the interfacial bond strength, the single SMA pullout test is the most widely used (Umezaki, 2000). Loughlan and Thompson (2001) reported that the embedded length of the SMA in the host matrix does not significantly affect the actual interfacial shear strength, however a longer length will require a higher pullout load because failure, in the form of a circumferential crack, must travel along the length of the SMA wire before the interface becomes short enough to completely fail. The objective of this test was to determine the load at which debonding occurred. The specimens utilized for this test were produced by the vacuum casting procedure discussed in section 6.5.

### 6.9.1 Experimental Procedure

A Hounsfield tensile testing machine was utilized for this test. The pullout test was performed by pulling the NiTi SMA wire until it began to separate from the polyurethane matrix. The specimens utilized are rectangular cross-sectioned beams with SMAs implant. They are manufactured via the vacuum casting process described in section 6.5. The experimental set up is shown in figure 6.10. One end of the polymeric host was fixed onto the lower grip assembly. Although the diameter of the SMA is relatively smaller than the thickness of the polymeric host, however, the choice of the jaw was such that undue compressive stress which might affect the experimental result was forestalled from being induced to the SMA wire. Care was taken in fixing the specimen into the lower jaw. The cross head which has the load cell lowered and the protruded SMA wire in the other end of the specimen was secured into the upper jaw.

With this arrangement the test for the specimen with inactivated SMA commenced. As the upper cross head moved vertically upward, it induced a steadily increasing load to the specimen causing the "pulling out" of the SMA wire. As the test progressed, the load versus wire displacement plot was observed. As soon as the wire completely detached from the polymeric host, the test was terminated. The load and displacement data were stored by the PC based

data acquisition and control system. The pullout load-displacement graphs are found in appendix E. Regarding the test with activated SMA wire, the experimental set-up and procedure were similar to the one for inactivated SMA but the NiTi wire was activated by direct heating with a current of 3 amps from a DC source.



Figure 6.10: Experimental set up for pullout test

The results of the wire pull out tests indicated that, for the same embedded length, the debonding load at room temperature is higher than that of an elevated temperature (i.e. when the SMA wire was activated) however the complete separation of the wire from the matrix occurred over a longer displacement. What accounted for the difference between the two loads is discussed in Chapter 7.

# 6.10 Tensile Test

The resistance to load by an engineering material, the load required to fracture it, and the amount it extends before it is fractured are important properties for the purpose of design. For most materials, the initial resistance to load or the elastic modulus, and the point of permanent deformation, are obtained from plots of stress against strain. Analysis of load-elongation or

stress-strain curves can convey much information about the material being tested, and it can help in predicting its behaviour. The factors involved in the tensile test are the specimen shape and dimensions, the choice of grips and the displacement rate among others. When performed properly, the tensile test can be an invaluable tool for material characterization. The objective of this test was to determine the fundamental mechanical properties of both virgin and NiTi SMA/polyurethane composites which are required for design purposes. The results obtained were analysed to ascertain the significance of embedding SMAs in polyurethane. This test was accomplished by gripping opposite ends of the specimens and pulling them apart by subjecting them to a gradually increasing uniaxial load until fracture occurred.



Figure 6.11: Dog bone virgin polyurethane tensile test specimen



Figure 6.12: Dog bone NiTi SMA/polyurethane specimen (single wire)



Figure 6.13: Dog bone NiTi SMA/polyurethane specimen (double wire)

The dog bone specimens for the virgin polyurethane figure 6.11 and NiTi SMA/polyurethane composites figures 6.12 and 6.13 for this test were manufactured via the vacuum casting

process described in section 6.5. The essence of the wider ends is to facilitate firm gripping of the specimen while the narrower middle allows for the concentration of stress in the test area where fracture and most of the strain occur. The gauge length and the original cross sectional area of the specimens were 60 mm and 6 x 12.5 mm<sup>2</sup>. The tensile test was conducted on four specimens per each of the virgin polyurethane and the NiTi SMA/polyurethane composite respectively.

# 6.10.1 Experimental Procedure

A Hounsfield Tensile Testing Machine was utilized for this test. The set up for the experiment conducted without activating the embedded SMA wire is shown in figure 6.14 and figure 6.15 shows the experimental set up for the elevated temperature test. To ensure effective gripping, split-grip devices that forestall slippage were employed. Tests were performed at an ambient temperature of  $25^{\circ}$ C that was maintained to within  $\pm 1^{\circ}$ C during any single test. The loading rates utilized in the experiments were chosen to be sufficiently low so as to suppress significant temperature variations during testing. Hence, all experiments were deemed to have taken place under essentially isothermal conditions.



Figure 6.14: Experimental set up for tensile testing of inactivated SMA/composite

#### Chapter 6

#### Experimental Work

One end of the specimen was inserted into the fixed lower grip assembly mounted to the base of the tensile machine and the other end was located into the movable upper grip assembly attached to the cross head which bears the load cell. Care was taken while tightening to ensure that the specimen did not bend. Also, the vertical alignment of the grips and the specimen were taken into consideration. Offsets in alignment will create side loading or bending stresses and will result to lower tensile stress readings. Misalignment may even cause the specimen to fracture outside the gauge length. The auxiliary PC based data acquisition and control system was switched on to open the test window and to set the test parameters. The crosshead displacement speed of 5 mm/min and the load range of 0–5000 N were set and the experiment was initialized.



Figure 6.15: Experimental set up for tensile testing of activated SMA/composite

With one end of the specimen rigidly fixed to the lower part of the machine, a steadily increasing load was applied to the specimen causing its elongation as the upper cross head moved vertically upwards. The load cell measured the resultant force that built up in the material as its length was increasing. As the pulling proceeded, the elongation of the specimen and the corresponding load were acquired and stored by the integrated PC based data acquisition and control system. As soon as fracture of the specimen occurred, the test was terminated. The

above steps were repeated for all the virgin polyurethane specimens and SMA/polyurethane composites in which the embedded SMAs were not activated.

Regarding the tests at elevated temperature, the NiTi wires were activated by direct heating with a current of 3 amps from a DC source. The choice of the direct method of heating over indirect method of exposing the SMA/polyurethane composite to external temperature (a controlled heated environment) is due to the merit of efficient heat transfer. In the case of indirect heating, the polymeric host constitutes an impediment to heat transfer to the NiTi wire from an external temperature source.

Utilizing the specimen's parameters, stress and strain values were respectively obtained from the experimental data of load and elongation acquired by the integrated PC. Stress-strain curves were constructed for the virgin polyurethane and SMA/polyurethane composites. The fracture loads, elongations at break, the tensile strength and Young's modulus of elasticity obtained are presented and discussed in chapter 7.

# 6.11 Four Point Bending Test

The four point bending test is a very useful test for determining the bending stiffness of engineering materials. The bending test was conducted on rectangular beam specimens that were produced by the vacuum casting process discussed in section 6.5. However, a strain gauge was fastened by means of glue to the mid-point of the longitudinal axis of each of the composite beams at the lower portion that contains the NiTi wire as shown in figure 6.16. Power cables were connected to the two terminals of each of the strain gauges by soldering. These cables, which were connected to a Wheatstone bridge, facilitated the measurement of the strain when the beam was loaded.



Figure 6.16: SMA/polyurethane composite beams with fastened strain gauges

The dimensions of the beams were approximately 150 mm x 25 mm x 10 mm. In each of the SMA/polyurethane composites, each of the NiTi SMA wires was located inside the beams about 0.7 mm from the neutral axis so that the effect of embedding the SMA wire, which is the generation of reactive moment as reported by Lee and Choi (1998) can be manifested upon activating the embedded NiTi SMA.

# 6.11.1 Experimental Procedure

The experiment was performed with a Hounsfield Tensile Testing Machine with an integrated jig to accommodate the specimen. The experimental set up is shown in figure 6.17 and schematically illustrated in figure 6.18. The upper part of the jig was attached to the load cell of the testing machine and its lower part was fixed to the base of the tensile testing machine. Each of the beams was inserted into the lower portion of the jig such that distance between the two supports was 90 mm and with an overhang of 30 mm over each of the supports. Each of the two loading points was at 30 mm from the respective supports such that the distance between the two loading points was 30 mm. To ensure that the strain gauge was not detached from the beam, the fixing of the composite beams was carefully done.



Figure 6.17: Experimental set up for four point bending test

The cross-head displacement speed was 1 mm/min and the maximum deflection was limited to 5 mm. The choice of the speed and the limitation placed on the deflection was to ensure accuracy of results. The room temperature for all experiments was approximately  $25^{\circ}$ C. Before the commencement of each experiment, the Wheatstone bridge was zeroed after the correct gauge factor,  $2.085 \pm 0.5\%$  had been set. Following the procedure for the tensile test as described in section 6.10.1, the bending test was conducted. The direct heating method was also employed to activate the SMA wire for the elevated temperature test.



Figure 6.18: A schematic illustration of four point bending test

Strain measurement readings from the Wheatstone bridge were recorded against the applied load at an interval of 5 N. Simultaneously, the bending load and the deflection data were acquired and stored by the PC data acquisition and control system. Graphs of bending load against strain and bending load against deflection were plotted. The trends observed in the graphs confirm the effectiveness of the embedded SMA wires to improving the mechanical property of the SMA based composite. A detail discussion of the results is presented in Chapter 7.

# CHAPTER SEVEN DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

# 7.1 Introduction

The effects that ageing and prestraining have on the properties and behaviour of NiTi SMAs are discussed. Since the integrity and hence the performance of a fibre reinforced composite depends on the interfacial bond strength between the reinforcing fibre and the polymeric host, the outcomes of the experiments conducted to determine the load at which debonding occurs at both room and elevated temperatures are presented. The effectiveness of embedding SMAs into the polyurethane beam and the influence of temperature and the time response of the SMA wire on the behaviour of the NiTi SMA/polyurethane composite are also presented.

# 7.2 Effects of Ageing and Pre-straining on NiTi SMA wire

The stress-strain curves of the uniaxial tensile tests conducted on untreated (as obtained from the manufacturer) 1 mm diameter NiTi SMA wires and the treated (aged and prestrained) ones are illustrated in figure 7.1 while the combined stress-strain curves obtained at different ageing temperatures of 250°C, 300°C, 350°C and 400°C are presented in figure 7.2. The stress-strain curves for a 1mm diameter NiTi SMA wires prestrained by 3% and at different ageing temperatures of 250°C, 300°C, 350°C and 400°C are found in appendix C.



Figure 7.1: Effects of ageing and prestrain on NiTi SMAs

From figure 7.1, it could be seen that the quasi-plastic characteristic associated with NiTi SMAs was less pronounced in the untreated SMA wire. Ageing and prestrain improved the mechanical properties of the treated NiTi SMA wire. This is evident from the stress-strain curves. Whereas the ultimate tensile strength, which is also the fracture strength in this case, for untreated SMA wire is 903 MPa the ultimate tensile strength of treated SMA wire is 1054 MPa.



Figure 7.2: Effect of ageing temperatures on NiTi SMAs

From figure 7.2, the nature of the curves shows that ageing and prestraining resulted in a consistent elastic strain and ultimate tensile strength as well as homogenous behaviour of the NiTi SMAs. Ageing also reduces the transformation temperature. This is evident from the experiment performed on the aged SMA in which the austenite finish temperature is  $45^{\circ}$ C as against 60.7 °C for the un-aged SMA.

### 7.3 Effect of temperature on pullout load

Figures 7.3 and 7.4 illustrate the stress versus displacement and pullout load versus displacement curves for the tests conducted at both room and elevated temperatures. It suffices to mention that elevated temperature refers to the activation of the NiTi SMAs while room temperature refers to inactivation of NiTi SMAs. Debonding is characterized by the sudden drop in the steadily increasing tensile load that was pulling the embedded SMA wire. The load which initiated the separation of the wire from the polymeric host is known as the pullout or debond load. The loads at which the debonding occurred at room and elevated temperatures were 128.5

N and 70 N respectively. The average shear stress  $\tau$ , that caused debonding is defined as the ratio of the debond load *P*, to the cross-sectional area *A* of the embedded SMA wire. That is:

$$\tau = \frac{F}{A}$$

Therefore for 1 mm diameter inactivated SMA wire, the average shear stress that would initiate interfacial failure between it and its polymeric host is:

$$\tau = \frac{128.5 \times 4}{\pi (0.001)^2}$$
 = 163.6 MPa

And for 1 mm diameter activated SMA, the average shear stress that would initiate interfacial failure between it and its polymeric host is:

$$\tau = \frac{70 \times 4}{\pi (0.001)^2}$$
 = 89.13 MPa



Figure 7.3: Pull out load vs. displacement at room and elevated temperatures



Figure 7.4: Stress vs. displacement at room and elevated temperatures

From the load displacement graph, it is evident that the separation of the wire from the polymeric host did not occur at once but rather in stages. In the case of the room temperature, initiation of the separation of the SMA wire from its host was accompanied by the sudden drop in the load from 128.5 N (point A) to 117 N. However, the subsequent increase of the pulling load above 117 N was due to the constraint offered by the matrix to the remaining portion of the NiTi SMA wire. As the pulling of the wire continued, the shear stress increased to reduce the interfacial bond strength, and this led to the eventual separation of the wire from host matrix (point B). This final separation was accompanied by an abrupt drop in the pulling load.

And for the elevated temperature, the debonding process was gradual however, the initial debonding occurred at a comparatively smaller load (70 N) and the final separation of the SMA wire from the polyurethane occurred over a relatively prolonged displacement as shown in the encircled region in Figure 7.4. This result was anticipated due to the SME of the activated SMA. Upon activating the embedded wire, a relatively larger interfacial shear stress will be generated at the SMA/polyurethane interface due to the SME. The generated interfacial shear stress resulted to interfacial failure between the SMA wire and the host matrix. Owing to initiation of debonding, only frictional resistance between the SMA and the composite will hinder shape memory recovery. This development led to the reduction of the pulling load. Increasing pulling

load led to increase in the shear stress at the SMA/polyurethane interface and eventual separation of the SMA wire from the polyurethane matrix. A complete breakdown of the interface led to cessation of shape memory adaptation.

# 7.4 Effect of temperature on NiTi SMA wire

From the initial elastic portion of the stress-strain curves obtained from the tensile tests conducted on the treated 1 mm diameter NiTi SMA wires, the Young's modulus for the low temperature phase was 18.88 GPa while the austenite temperature phase has a Young's modulus of 33 GPa. The result confirmed that the SMA exhibits a higher stiffness in the high temperature phase than in the low temperature phase. This result is in agreement with what were reported in the literature, that the temperature of the high temperature phase is about twice that of the low temperature phase (Tsoi et al, 2004; Shimamoto et al, 2004 and Rustighi et al, 2005)

### 7.5 Effect of embedding NiTi SMA wire into 60D Polyurethane

The stress-strain curves obtained from the tensile tests conducted on virgin polyurethane and the NiTi SMA/polyurethane composite without activating the SMA wire are illustrated in figure 7.5.



Figure 7.5: Effect of embedding NiTi SMA wire into 60D Polyurethane

It is evident that the failure of the specimens was brittle in nature as there is no considerable plastic deformation before fracture occurred. This suggests that the presence of the NiTi SMA wire which although possesses high ductility, did not affect the brittle nature of the thermosetting plastic polyurethane (Zhang et al 2007). However, it is obvious from the curves that the NiTi SMA/polyurethane composite offers more resistance to load than virgin polyurethane. A large residual compressive stress remained in the SMA/polyurethane matrix due to the 3% pre-strain level of the embedded SMA wire. This residual compressive stress, on one hand, is helpful for improving the tensile strength of the composite. But on the other hand, it will exert a large shear stress at the interface between the SMA wires and the matrix, thus making the interface more susceptible to debonding. The elastic modulus for the virgin polyurethane was 10 MPa and for the SMA composite in which the embedded SMA wire was not activated, the elastic modulus was 30 MPa. This result shows the contribution of NiTi SMA implant to increasing the stiffness of the beam. This result indicates the effectiveness of SMA for passive applications and it conforms to what has been reported in the literature.

# 7.6 Effect of volume fraction NiTi SMA wire on SMA based Composites

Figure 7.6 illustrates the effect of volume fraction of the SMA in the SMA based composites. The non-smoothness of the curve for composite with double implanted NiTi SMA wires is attributable





to the different interfacial strengths that existed between the two SMA wires and the host matrix which might be due to manufacturing imperfections. This might also be responsible for the apparent lower level of stress values of the composite with double wire as compared with the composite with a single wire at the initial stage of loading. However, as the load increased, it could be seen that increasing the number of the SMA wires, increased the resistance to load. The Young's modulus for the composite with one wire was 30 MPa while the Young's modulus for the composite with one wire was 30 MPa while the Young's modulus for the composite with a the interfacient of Young's modulus of SMA based composite by increasing the volume fraction of the implanted SMA as reported in the literature (Tsai and Chen, 2002; Mei et al, 2007) is thus confirmed.

# 7.7 Effect of Activation of NiTi SMA wire Embedded into SMA based Composites

Figure 7.7 illustrates the effect of temperature on the NiTi SMA/Polyurethane composite. According to the literature (Tsoi et al, 2004; Shimamoto et al, 2004 and Rustighi et al, 2005), it was expected that an activated SMA wire will utilize its characteristic advantage of high stiffness in the high temperature phase to increase the deformation resistance and hence overall improved mechanical property of the SMA based composite, but the result of this experimental investigation revealed that this was not entirely true.

It could be seen from the curves that the relative advantage of activating the SMA was only manifested at the initial stage of loading, but as the loading progressed, the resistance of the SMA/polyurethane which had its implanted SMA wire activated reduced. Upon activating the SMA wire, the constraint provided by the host matrix will prevent the SMA from reverting to its un-deformed length. This hindrance to recovery of the recoverable deformation led to the generation of recovery force which produces shear stress at the SMA wire- polyurethane interface. The generated shear stress reduced the interfacial cohesion and eventually resulted in debonding.

Table 7.1 gives a comparison of the properties of virgin polyurethane and SMA/polyurethane composites with inactivated SMA wires for different volume fractions, and SMA/polyurethane composite with activated SMA wire. The average values of the stress and strain data obtained from the tensile tests were plotted with Microsoft Excel. A best line was fitted into the linear portion of the stress versus strain graph, and the slope of the graph was obtained as the Young's modulus. This procedure was utilized to obtain the respective Young's modulus for the virgin polyurethane and the SMA/polyurethane composites. The relatively low mechanical

93
properties of the SMA composite at an elevated temperature were as stated before due to debonding and temperature sensitivity of the polymeric host.



Figure 7.7: Effect of temperature on SMA/polyurethane composites

Table 7.1:	Properties of	f virgin 60D	Polyurethane	and SMA/polyurethane	composites
------------	---------------	--------------	--------------	----------------------	------------

	Fracture Load (N)	Elongation at Break (mm)	Young's Modulus (MPa)	Ultimate Tensile Strength (MPa)
Virgin Polyurethane	488.5	65.1	20	6.51
SMA/Polyurethane (single wire, without activation)	800	42.38	30	10.67
SMA/Polyurethane (double wire, without activation)	1078,8	42.8	40	14.38
SMA/Polyurethane (single wire, with activation)	236.5	26.6	20	3.15

#### 7.8 Effects of NiTi SMA wire on deformation of SMA/polyurethane Composite Beams

Figure 7.8 shows the curves of bending load versus strain for the virgin polyurethane beam, SMA/polyurethane beam with inactivated NiTi SMA wire and SMA/polyurethane beam with activated NiTi SMA wire. It is evident from the curves that implanting NiTi SMA wire into the polyurethane beam results in overall improved stiffness when the SMA is not activated. This result conforms to the result that was obtained from the tensile test. Also, it could be seen that the SMA/polyurethane beam with activated SMA wire offered more resistance to the bending load than the SMA/polyurethane beam without activation as well as virgin polyurethane beam but this trend was for a limited time frame (i.e. at the initial stage of loading). However, as the loading continued, the resistance to load decreased owing to the temperature sensitivity of the polyurethane host which resulted in the separation of the SMA wire from the matrix.

The negative strain recorded at the initial stage of loading the SMA/polyurethane beam which had its SMA wire activated was due to the manifestation of the SME. Upon activation, the SMA contracted so as to revert to its initial un-deformed length. This brought about the generation of recovery stress owing to the constraint provided by the matrix and hence negative strain. This result demonstrates the ability of the SMA to gain control of composite structure behaviour when utilized for ASET (active strain energy tuning).





As the loading continued, the merit of activating the embedded SMA was short-lived by debonding. This led to a drastic reduction in the resistance offered to the bending load. Figure 7.8 also shows the effectiveness of the SMA within a limited low range of bending load and before debonding occurred. Again, it is evident that as the test progressed, the property of the composite beam which had its SMA wire activated worsens drastically due to debonding.

#### 7.9 Effects of NiTi SMA wire on deflection of SMA/polyurethane Composite Beams

The graph of bending load versus deflection of the virgin polyurethane and SMA based composite beams is illustrated in figure 7.9. Table 7.2 shows the respective deflections, at a specific point along the length of the beam and under various loads, for the virgin polyurethane and SMA based composite beams. The point of interest considered was located at a distance of 30 mm from the left hand support (figure 7.10).

Type of beam	Deflection (mm) under a bending load of 5 N	Deflection (mm) under a bending load of 10 N	Deflection (mm) under a bending load of 15 N	Deflection (mm) under a bending load of 20 N	Deflection (mm) under a bending load of 25 N
Virgin Polyurethane	1.03	1.91	2.97	3.95	4.89
SMA/Polyurethane (single wire, without activation)	0.81	1.13	1.50	1.75	2.05
SMA/Polyurethane (single wire, with activation)	0.39	0.9	1.49	2.20	3.57

The result shows that for a particular load, the deflection of the virgin beam is the highest while the composite beam which had its SMA wire activated has the least deflection before debonding occurred. From figure 7.9, it is evident that the activation of the embedded NiTi SMA wire considerably increased the stiffness of the composite beam at the initial stage of loading. This result conforms to what the literature reported. That is, the SMA wire in its high temperature phase enhanced the resistance to the deflection of the SMA based composite material. This trend continued until a load of approximately 15 N when it becomes less stiff than the composite with inactivated SMA wire but still stiffer than the beam without SMA wire implant. Also from figure 7.9, it could be seen that the deflection of the virgin beam varies linearly with the bending load.



Figure 7.9: Effects of NiTi SMAs on deflection of SMA/polyurethane composite beams

Regarding the SMA/polyurethane beams, their deflection deviated from linearity owing to the non-linearity in the behaviour of SMA wires, due to pseudoelasticity and SME. In the case of the beam which had its wire activated, the sudden drop in the bending load was due to the reduction of the interfacial strength between the SMA and the polymeric host.

The reduction in the interfacial bond initiated debonding. However, despite the adverse effects of debonding and the temperature sensitivity of the polymeric host, the activated SMA based composite still offered more resistance to the bending load than the virgin polyurethane beam.





Figure 7.10: Idealization of the four point bending test

The deflection y, of the beam shown in figure 7.10 at specific points  $0 \le x \le a$  from the left hand support A is given by:

$$y = \frac{-\Pr(3a^2 - 3La + x^2)}{6EI} \qquad \qquad 0 \le x \le a$$
(7.1)

The derivation of equation 7.1 is in appendix F. The length of the beam under consideration is 3a in which a is 30 mm, therefore the deflection of the beam at a point D which is at distance a from the left hand support yields:

$$y = \frac{5a^3 \mathrm{P}}{6EI} \tag{7.2}$$

Rearranging equation 7.2, we have

$$\mathbf{P} = \left(\frac{6EI}{5a^3}\right) \mathbf{y} \tag{7.3}$$

where

P is the bending load

y is the deflection of the beam at a distance a from the left hand support

*EI* is the bending stiffness of the beam

Defining 
$$k = \frac{6EI}{5a^3}$$
, equation 7.3 becomes

 $\mathbf{P} = ky$ 

The slope of the graph of bending load against the deflection will give k. The respective value of k obtained for the three beams under consideration is given in table 7.3

Table 7.3: Slope of the graph of bending load vs. deflection

Type of beam	k
Virgin Polyurethane	4995
SMA composite beam with inactivated SMA	6666
SMA composite beam with activated SMA	10262

Utilizing the values of k in table 7.3, the respective bending stiffness can be obtained as follows:

For virgin polyurethane beam:

$$\frac{6EI}{5a^3} = 4995$$
(7.5)

But a = 0.03m, therefore from equation 7.5, we have

$$EI = (0.03)^3 \times 4995 \times \frac{5}{6} = 0.1124 \text{ Nm}^2$$
 (7.6)

Following from above, for SMA/polyurethane composite beam with inactivated wire, we have

$$EI = (0.03)^3 \times 6666 \times \frac{5}{6} = 0.1500 \text{ Nm}^2$$
(7.7)

And for SMA/polyurethane composite beam with activated wire, we have

$$EI = (0.03)^3 \times 10262 \times \frac{5}{6} = 0.2309 \text{ Nm}^2$$
 (7.8)

The respective bending stiffness is presented in Table 7.4.

#### Table 7.4: Comparison of the bending stiffness

Type of beam	Bending Stiffness (EI) Nm <sup>2</sup>
Virgin Polyurethane beam	0.1224
SMA composite beam with inactivated SMA	0.1500
SMA composite beam with activated SMA	0.2309

The results presented in table 7.4 confirm the significant improvement in the stiffness of the SMA based composite beams over the virgin polyurethane beam.

# CHAPTER EIGHT CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 8.1 Conclusions

The improvement in the mechanical property and the behaviour of 60D polyurethane upon integration NiTi SMA wires were investigated at room and elevated temperatures. It was found that heat treatment by ageing results to improvement in mechanical properties and homogenous behaviour of the SMA wires. Therefore, prior to embedding the NiTi SMA wires into the polyurethane host, they were aged at 250°C. The unusual properties and behaviours of the NiTi SMAs, when embedded into or bonded onto host matrix, can only be exhibited if they are made to suffer permissible recoverable deformation before they are embedded or bonded into the polymeric host. Thus, all the implanted SMA wires were successfully pre-strained by 3%.

It was found that the vacuum casting process of manufacturing utilized for casting the composites and virgin components was suitable owing to its merit highlighted in section 6.2. The choice of the thermosetting polyurethane matrix was that its curing does not have a negative impact on the actuating potential of the NiTi wires.

Polyurethane being a thermosetting plastic is brittle in nature and this was confirmed by the tensile test. Nevertheless, the integration of the SMA wire into it ought to have theoretically increased the ductility of the resulting composite; however the experimental result was contrary. Interestingly, the elongation at break of the SMA/polyurethane composite was less than that of the virgin polyurethane. The possible reason would be low volume fraction of the SMA wire and perhaps insufficient interfacial strength. Upon activating the SMA wire, owing to the generation of recovery force and the accompanied shear stress at the SMA-polyurethane interface, the debonding load at elevated temperature was comparatively low. However, integration of the NiTi SMA wire into the polyurethane results to an increase in the elastic modulus, ultimate tensile strength and bending stiffness. An increase in the volume fraction of the pre-strained NiTi SMA in its martensitic phase significantly improves the mechanical property of the SMA/polyurethane composites at both room and elevated temperatures. But nevertheless, the improvement in properties of the composites which have the embedded SMA wires activated is limited by degradation of the interfacial bond between the SMA and the polyurethane matrix as the temperature increases.

101

It was established that embedding SMA wire into polymeric host significantly improves the stiffness of the resulting SMA/polyurethane composites at both room and elevated temperatures. It was found that increasing the volume fraction of the SMA wires results to increase in stiffness. Since the stiffness of the composite is directly related to the resistance it can offer to applied load amongst others, it can therefore be concluded that the integration of the SMA wire into the polymeric composites is effective in the reduction of the deflection of a loaded SMA based composite beam. The four point bending test results showed that the embedded SMA wires gain structural control over the polymeric composite upon activation. Therefore, embedding SMA into polymeric composite is an effective way to actively control the position and shape of the SMA based composite.

In summary, it is concluded that:

- The manufacturing of the virgin specimens from 60D polyurethane and the SMA/polyurethane composites by vacuum casting process was successful
- Ageing and prestraining enhanced the properties of the embedded NiTi SMAs and lowered the transformation temperatures
- The elastic modulus of the NiTi SMA in the high temperature austenite phase is higher than the elastic modulus of the NiTi SMA in the low temperature martensite phase
- The pull out (debonding) load vis-à-vis the stress when the SMA wire is inactivated is higher than when the SMA is activated
- Embedded SMA increases the elastic modulus, ultimate tensile strength and bending stiffness of the SMA/polyurethane composite
- High temperature degrades the interfacial adhesion between the SMA and the polyurethane host matrix
- Increase in the volume fraction of the SMA leads to an increase in the mechanical properties of the SMA/polyurethane composite
- Embedded SMA wires upon activation gain structural control of the composite beam

#### 8.2 Recommendations

The embedded SMA wires have the propensity to contract several percent in strain upon heating, SMA based composites are therefore more susceptible to interfacial debonding than any other composite. A requirement for adaptation is that the SMA/host interface must be of sufficient high quality to sustain both an elevated temperature and applied SMA constrained recovery force. Since the integrity and performance of the fibre reinforced composites is a function of cohesive adherence between the fibre reinforcements and the host matrix, surface treatment (e.g. by sandblasting) of the NiTi wires will be necessary to facilitate improved interfacial bond strength between the NiTi wires and their host matrices. Investigation of the pullout load with spiral or twisted SMA wires is recommended to ascertain its improvement or otherwise over the linear (or straight) SMA wires.

Indubitably, the pre-strain level of the embedded SMA wires significantly affects the behaviour of SMA composite. The maximum contracting strain that can be generated by the SMA based composite during heating before failure of the interface, decreases with increasing pre-strain. It is widely accepted that a higher pre-strain can provide a higher ultimate recovery stress however, a high pre-strain reduces the reliability of the interface significantly due to generation of excessive shear stress at the interface. Therefore, a small pre-strain level is necessary to produce a reliable SMA composite. This implies that a compromise is necessary between mechanical properties and reliability of SMA composite when selecting the pre-strain level of the embedded SMA wires. Consequently, if the recovery stress of a composite needs to be tailored, the best way should be to increase the volume fraction of the SMA rather than increasing the pre-strain of the SMA.

Increasing the volume fraction of the SMA wires can be achieved by either increasing the number of the SMA wires or their thicknesses. 1mm diameter SMA wires were utilized for this research activity, therefore in order to avoid the complexities of activation of the SMA wires; it is recommended that bigger diameter from 2 mm upwards can be utilized. The direct heating method for tests at elevated temperatures was based on the assumption that heat diffusion between the SMA wire surface and the ambient temperature was negligible but in reality, heat lost to the surroundings can not be completely averted. Therefore, since temperature is a necessary concern, a better method to minimise heat dispersion on the wire surface should be investigated.

The functional properties of SMA-composites are directly related to the constraints provided by the composite matrix. The higher the elastic modulus of the composite matrix, the larger the amount of constraints. The elastic modulus of the polymer utilized for this research is comparatively low, thus its practical applications are limited. It is recommended that, the influence of SMA on high elastic modulus materials be investigated. The influence of additional reinforcing fibres: widely used glass fibre/ epoxy composites will be more suitable than just pure epoxy. Since most of the composite materials being envisaged for practical applications are

more likely to be utilized in high temperature environment, the working temperature of the SMA based composite should be well below the glass transition temperature of the polymeric host in order to avoid the latter's softening.

## REFERENCES

Aboudi, J. 1997. The response of shape memory alloy composites. *Smart Mater. Struct.*, 6:1 - 9.

Armstrong, W.D. & Lilholt, H. 2000. The time dependant, super-viscoelastic behaviour of NiTi shape memory alloy fiber reinforced polymer matrix composites. *Materials Science and Engineering*, B68:149-155.

Azar, M.T., Sutapun, B. & Huff, M. 1999. Applications of TiNi thin film shape memory alloys in micro-epto-electro-mechanical systems. *Sensors and Actuators*, 77:34-38.

Balta, J.A., Bosia, F., Michaud, V., Dunkel, G., Botsis, J. & Manson, J.A. 2005. Smart composites with embedded shape memory alloy actuators and fibre bragg grating sensors: activation and control. *Smart Mater. Struct.*, 14:457-465.

Baz A., Imam K. & McJoy, K. 1990. Active vibration control of flexible beams using shape memory actuators. *J. Sound Vib.*, 140:437-456.

Benham, R.J., Crawford, R.J. & Armstrong, C.G. 1996. *Mechanics of engineering materials*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. London: Pentice Hall.

Besseghini, S., Villa, E. & Tuissi, A. 1999. Ni – Ti – Hf shape memory alloy: effect of aging and thermal cycling. *Materials Science and Engineering*, A 273- 275:390-394.

Bhaumik, S.K., Ramaiah, V. & Saikrishna, C.N. 2006. Effects of thermo-mechanical cycling on the strain response of Ni-Ti-Cu shape memory alloy wire actuator. *Materials Science and Engineering*, A 428:217-224.

Brinson, L.C. 1993. One-dimensional constitutive behaviour of shape memory alloys: Thermomechanical derivation with non-constant material functions and redefined martensite internal variable. *J. Intell. Mater. Syst. Struct.*, 4:229-242.

Bruck, H.A. & Moore, C.L. 2002. A fundamental investigation into large strain recovery of oneway shape memory alloy wires embedded in flexible polyurethanes. *Smart Mater. Struct.*, 11:130-139.

Cho, M. & Kim, S. 2005. Structural morphing using two-way shape memory effect of SMA. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 42: 1759-1776.

Cui, L., Li, J. & Zheng, Y. 2006. Martensitic transformations and thermal expansion behaviours of structural heterogeneous NiTi alloys. *Materials Science and Engineering,* A 438-440: 567-570.

Davoodi, H., Noori, M.N., Hou Z. & Marioni, A. 1997. Application of shape memory alloys in vibration control. Proc. 16<sup>th</sup> Can. Congr. Applied Mechanics, CANCAM (Quebec), 1997.

Dolci, M. & Cardone, D. 2001. Mechanical behaviour of shape memory alloys for seismic

applications 2: Austenitic NiTi wires subjected to tension. *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, 43:2656-2677.

Duerig, T.W. & Zadno, R. 1990. *An engineer's perspective of pseudoelasticity in engineering aspects of shape memory alloys*. Editors: Deurig, T.W., Melton, K.N., Stockel, D. and Wayman, C.M. Butterworth-Heinemann.

Duerig, T.W., Melton., K.N., Stockel, D. & Wayman, C.M. 1990. *Engineering aspects of shape memory alloys*. Boston:Butterworth-Heinemann.

Duerig, T.W., Pelton, A. & Stockel, D. 1999. An overview of Nitinol medical applications. *Materials Science and Engineering*, A 273-275:149-160.

Gabriel, K.J., Trimmer, W.S.N. & Walker, J. A. 1988. A micro rotary actuator using shape memory alloys. *Sensors and Actuators*, 15:95-102.

Gandhi, F. & Wolons, D. 1999. Characterization of the pseudoelastic damping behaviour of shape memory alloy wires using complex modulus. *Smart Materials and Structures*, 8:49-56.

Gangbing, S., Brian, K. & Brij, N.A. 2000. Active position control of a shape memory alloy wire actuated composite beam. *Smart Mater. Struct.*, 9:711-716.

Gere, J.M. & Timoshenko, S.P. 1999. *Mechanics of materials*. 4<sup>th</sup> ed. London: Stanley Thornes.

Gordaninejad, F., Ghazavi, A., Tabandeh, N. & Ghomshei, M.M. 2005. Nonlinear transient response of a thick composite beam with shape memory alloy layers. *Composites: Part B*, 36:9-24.

Groover, M.P. 2002. *Fundamentals of modern manufacturing-materials, processes, and systems*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. USA: John Willey & Sons.

Heyliger, P.R. & Reddy, J.N. 1988. A higher order beam finite element for bending and vibration problems. *J Sound Vib.*, 126(2):309-326

Hurlebans, S. & Gaul, L. 2006. Smart structure dynamics. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 20:225-281.

Kim, J.H & Roh, J.H.2003. Adaptability of hybrid smart composite plate under low velocity impact. *Composites: Part B*, 34:117-125.

Kim, J.H., Park, J.S. & Moon, S.H. 2004. Vibration of thermally post-buckled composite plates embedded with shape memory alloy fibres. *Composite Structures*, 63:179-188.

Lau, K., Poon, C., & Zhou, L. 2005. Design of pull-out stresses for prestrained SMA wire/polymer hybrid composites. *Composites Part B.*, 36: 25-31.

Lau, K.T., Ling, H.Y. & Zhou L.M. 2004. Low Velocity impact on shape memory alloy stitched composite plates. *Smart Mater. Struct.*, 13:364-370.

Lau, K.T., Zhou, L.M. & Tao, X.M. 2002. Control of natural frequencies of a clamped-clamped composite beam with embedded shape memory alloy wires. *Composite structures*, 58:39-47.

Lee, J.J. & Choi, S. 1998. The shape control of a composite beam with embedded shape memory alloy wire actuators. *Smart Mater. Struct.*, 7:759-770.

Lee, J.J. & Lee, H.J. 2000. A numerical analysis of the buckling and postbuckling behaviour of laminated composite shells with embedded shape memory alloy wire actuators. *Smart Mater. Struct.*, 9:780-787.

Lexcellent, C., Leclercq, B., Gabry, B. & Bourbon, G. 2000. The two-way shape memory effect of shape memory alloys: an experimental study and a phenomenological mode. *International Journal of Plasticity*, 16:1155-1168.

Liang, C. & Rogers, C. A. 1990. One-dimensional thermomechanical constitutive relations for shape memory materials. *J. Intell. Mater. Syst. Struct.*, 1:207-234.

Liang, C. & Rogers, C.A. 1989. Behaviour of shape memory alloy reinforced composite plates apart I: model formulations and control concepts. *Am. Inst. Aeronaut, Astronaut,* 89-1389-CP: 2011-2017.

Liu, Y., Xie, Z. & Humbeeck, J.V. 1999. Cyclic deformation of NiTi shape memory alloys. *Materials Science and Engineering*, A 273-275; 673-678.

Loughlan J., Thompson S.P. & Smith H., 2002. Buckling control using embedded shape memory actuators and the utilization of smart technology in future aerospace platforms. *Composite Structures*, 58:319-347.

Lu, X.L., Zhao, L.C. & Cai, W. 2005. Damping behaviour of TiNi- based shape memory alloys. *Materials Science and Engineering*, A 394:78-82.

Luo, Y., Okuyama, T., Takagi, T., Kamiyana, T., Nishi, K. & Yambe, T. 2005. Thermal control of shape memory alloy artificial anal sphincters for complete implantation. *Smart mater. Struct.*, 14:29-35.

Mei, C., Duan, B., Tawfik, M., Goek, S.N. & Ro, J.J. Vibration of laminated composite plates embedded with shape memory alloy at elevated temperatures. <u>cme@odu.edu</u> [4<sup>th</sup> May, 2007].

Meo, M., Antonucci, E., Duclaux, P. & Giordano, M. 2005. Finite element simulation of low velocity impact on shape memory alloy composite plates. *Composite Structures*, 71:337-342.

Morgan, N.B. 2004. Medical shape memory alloy applications-the market and its products. *Materials Science and Engineering*, A 378:16-23.

Mukhawana, D. & Philander, O. 2006. *Effects of thermo-mechanical cycling and aging on quasi-plastic material response exhibited by NiTi shape memory alloys.* SACAM06, 16-18 January, 2006.

Nasser, S.N. & Guo, W.G. 2006. Superelastic and Cyclic Response of NiTi SMA at Various Strain Rates and Temperatures. *Mechanics of materials*, 38:463-474.

Ni, Q.Q., Zhang, R.X., Masuda, A., Yamamura, T. & Iwamoto, M. 2006. Vibration characteristics of laminated composite plates with embedded shape memory alloys. *Composite Structures*, 74:389-398.

Ni, Q.Q., Zhang, R.X., Natsuki, T. & Iwamoto, M. 2007. Stiffness and vibration of SMA/ER 3 composites with shape memory alloy short fibres. *Composite Structures*, 79:501-507.

Paine, J.S.N. & Rogers, C.A., 1993. Characterization of interfacial shear strength between shape memory alloy actuators and host composite material in adaptive composite material systems, *ASME*, AD-35:63-70.

Parthenios, J., Psarras, G. C., & Galiotis, C. 2001. Adaptive composites incorporating shape memory alloy wires. Part 2: development of internal recovery stresses as a function of activation temperature, *Composites: Part A*, 32:1735-1747.

Su, Z., Mai, H., Lu, M. & Ye, L. 1999. Thermo-mechanical behaviour of shape memory alloy reinforced composite laminate (Ni-Ti/glass-fibre/epoxy). *Composite Structures*, 47:705-710

Patoor, E., Lagoudas, D.C., Entchev, P.B., Brinson, L.C. & Gao, X. 2006. Shape memory alloys, Part I: general properties and modelling single crystals. *Mechanics of Materials*, 38:391-429.

Pemble, M. & Towe, B.C. 1999. A miniature shape memory pinch valve. *Sensors and Actuators*. 77:145-148.

Philander, O. 2004. The development of a computational tool for use in the design of actuator systems consisting of NiTi shape memory alloys harnessing the shape memory effect. Unpublished PhD thesis, Cape Peninsula University of Technology, Cape Town.

Piedboeuf, M.C., Gauvin, R. & Thomas, M. 1998. Damping behaviour of shape memory alloys: strain amplitude, frequency and temperature effect. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 214(5):885-901.

Pilkey, W. D. & Wunderlich, W. Mechanics of structures: variational and computational methods. Florida: CRS Press

Qidwai M.A. & DeGiorgi, V.G. 2004. Numerical assessment of the dynamic behaviour of hybrid shape memory alloy composite. *Smart Mater. Struct.*, 13:134-145.

Qidwai, M.A. & Lagoudas D.C. 2000. On thermomechanics and transformation surfaces of polycrystalline shape memory alloy materials. *International Journal of Plasticity*, 16:1309-1343.

Rogers, C.A, Liang, C. & Jia, J. 1991. Structural modification of simply supported laminated plates using embedded shape memory alloy fibres. *Computer and Structures*. 38 (5-6):569-580.

Rogers, G. F. C., & Mayhew, Y. R. 1988. *Engineering thermodynamics*. ELBS. Longman: Hong Kong.

Rstighi, E., Brennan, M.J. & Mace, B.R. 2005. A shape memory alloy adaptive tuned vibration absorber: design and implementation. *Smart Mater. Struct.*, 14:19-28.

Salsedo, F., Dario, P. & Bergamasco, M. 1990. Shape memory alloy microactuators. *Sensors and Actuators*, A21-A23:253-257.

Schulte, K. & Fiedler, B. 1997. Photo-elastic analysis of fibre-reinforced model composite materials. *Composites Science and Technology*, 57:859-867.

Shimamoto, A., Ohkawara, H. & Nogata, F., 2004. Enhancement of mechanical strength by shape memory effect in TiNi fiber-reinforced composites. *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 71:737-746.

Song, G., Ma, N. & Li, H.N. 2006. Applications of shape memory alloys in civil structures. *Engineering Structures*, 28:1266-1274.

Spillman (Jr.), W.B., Sirkis, J.S. & Gardiner, P.T.1996 .Smart materials and structures: what are they [*sic*]? *Smart mater. Struct.*, 8:247-254.

Srinivasan, A.V. & McFarland, D.M. 2001. *Smart structures-analysis and* design. United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.

Stalmans, R, 2006. Adaptive hybrid composites with focus on the integration of shape memory elements. *Ecole Polytechnique*.

Tanaka, K., Kobayashi, S. & Sato, Y. 1986. Thermomechanics of transformation pseudoelasticity and shape memory effect in alloys. *Int. J. Plast*, vol. 2:59-72.

Tang, C.Y., Wei, Z.G. & Lee, W.B. 1997. Design and fabrication of intelligent composites based on shape memory alloys. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 69:68-74.

Thompson S. P. & Loughlan J., 2001. Enhancing the post-buckling response of a composite panel structure utilising shape memory alloy actuators – a smart structural concept. *Composite Structures*, 51:21-36.

Tong, H. & Wayman, C. 1974. Chracteristics temperatures and other properties of thermoelastic martensites. *Acta Metall.*, 22:887-896.

Trochu, F & Qian, Y.Y. 1997. Nonlinear finite element simulation of superelastic shape memory alloy parts. *Computers and Structures*: 62(5) 799-810.

Tsai, X.Y. & Chen, L.W. 2002. Dynamic stability of a shape memory alloy wire reinforced composite beam. *Composite Structures*, vol. 56:235-241.

Tsoi, K.A., Stalmans, R. & Schrooten, J. 2002. Transformational behaviour of constrained shape memory alloys. *Acta Materiala*, 50: 3535-3544.

Tsoi, K.A., Schrooten, J. & Stalmans, R. 2004. Thermomechanical characteristics of shape memory alloys. *Materials Science and Engineering*, A 368: 286-298.

Turner, T.L, 2000. Proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> international conference on recent advances in structural dynamics. The Institute of Sound and Vibration Research, University of Southampton England.

Turner, T.L. 2001. Thermomechanical response of shape memory alloy hybrid composites. *National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Langley research centre, Hampton, Virginia*, NASA/TM-2001-210656.

Uchil, J., Kumara, K.G. & Mahesh, K.K. 2002. Effect of thermal cycling on R-phase stability in a NiTi shape memory alloy' *Materials Science and Engineering*, A332:25-28.

Umezaki, E. 2000. Improvement in separation of SMA from matrix in SMA embedded smart structures. *Materials Science and Engineering*, A332:363-369.

Umezaki, E. 2006. Temperature distributions of SMA wires embedded in epoxy resin plates and heated by supplying electric current. *Journal of Intelligent material Systems and Structures*, 17:1115-1120.

Vokoun, D., Kafka, V. & Hu, C.T. 2003. Recovery stresses generated by NiTi shape memory wires under different constraint conditions. *Smart Mater Struct.*, 12:680-685.

Wang, J.J., Omori, T., Sutou, Y., Kainuma, R. & Ishida, K. 2005. Two-way shape memory effect induced by cold-rolling in Ti-Ni and Ti-Ni-Fe alloys. *Scripta Materialia*, 52:311-316.

Wei, Z.G., Sandstrom, R. & Miyazaki, S. 1998. Review: shape-memory materials and hybrid composites for smart systems. *Journal of Materials Science*, 33:3743-3762.

Wei, Z.G., Tang, C.Y. & Lee, W.B. 1997. Design and fabrication of intelligent composites based on shape memory alloys. *J. of Matls. Proc. Tech.*, 69:68-74.

Williams (Jr), DC, 2003. *Materials science and engineering: an introduction*. London: John Willey & Sons.

Yang D. 2000. Shape memory alloy and smart hybrid composites- advanced materials for the 21<sup>st</sup> century. *Materials and Design*, 21:503- 505.

Ye, L., Mai, Y.W & Su, Z. 2004. Composite technology for 2020. Proceedings of the 4th Asian-Australian Conference on Composite materials, ACCM4.

Yi, S. & Gao, S. 2003. Experimental study on the anisotropic behaviour of textured NiTi pseudoelastic shape memory alloys. *Materilas Science and Engineering*, A362:107-111.

Yoshida, I., Ono, T. & Asai, M. 2000. Internal friction of Ti-Ni alloys. *J. Alloy and Compound*, 310:339-343.

Zhang, R.X., Ni, Q.Q., Natsuki, T. & Iwamoto, M. 2007. Mechanical properties of composites filled with SMA particles and short fibres. *Composite Structures*, *79:90-96*.

Zhao, Y.P. & Zhang, Y. 2007. A study of composite beam with shape memory alloy arbitrarily embedded under thermal and mechanical loadings. *Materials and Design*, 28:1096-1115.

Zheng, Y. J., Cui, L. S. & Schrooten, J. 2005. Basic design guidelines for SMA/epoxy smart composites. *Materials Science and Engineering*, A 390: 139-143.

#### APPENDIX A: CRYSTAL STRUCTURES FOR THE AUSTENITE PHASE AND THE MARTENSITE PHASE



Figure A1: Crystal Structure for the Austenite Phase (body centred cubic lattice)



Figure A2: Crystal Structure for the Martensite Phase (simple cubic lattice)

#### APPENDIX B: THE PSEUDO ELASTIC EFFECT



Figure B1: Schematic illustration of the pseudo-elastic effect

PQR represents the loading path while the unloading path is represented by LMN.

Regarding the loading of the SMA, we have that:

At  $T > M_s$  the SMA is in 100% austenite phase

At  $M_f < T < M_s$  the SMA exists in a combination of austenite phase and martensite phase

At  $T \le M_f$  the SMA is in 100% martensite phase

Regarding the unloading of the SMA, we have that:

At  $T < A_s$  the SMA is in 100% martensite phase

At  $A_s < T < A_f$  the SMA exists in a combination of austenite phase and martensite phase

At  $T \ge A_f$  the SMA is in 100% austenite phase

From the foregoing it is evident that a change of temperature within the range  $M_s < T < A_s$  induces no phase changes and that both austenite and martensite can coexist within  $M_f < T < A_f$ .

#### APPENDIX C: EFFECTS OF AGEING AND PRESTRAINING ON NITI SMAs













# APPENDIX D: LOAD vs. EXTENSION GRAPHS FOR DETERMINING PRE-STRAIN LOAD





116



Load for 3% prestrain

### APPENDIX E: EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE ON PULLOUT LOAD









#### APPENDIX F: DEVELOPMENT OF EQUATION FOR DEFLECTION OF BEAM



Figure F1: Loading diagram for a beam

The bending moment, M(x) at any point along the beam is given by:

$$EI\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = -M(x) \tag{F1}$$

Considering the equilibrium of the beam, we have:

$$R_A + R_B = 2P \tag{F2}$$

Taking moment of all the forces about the right hand support B , we have:

$$R_{A}L = P(L-a) + Pa \tag{F3}$$

Simplifying equation F3, we have:

$$R_{A} = P \tag{F4}$$

Due to symmetry of loading, only the left half portion of the beam will be considered. The moment M(x) at any point  $0 \le x \le a$  along the beam becomes:

$$M(x) = R_A x = P x \tag{F5}$$

Also, the moment M(x) at any point  $a \le x \le \frac{L}{2}$  along the beam is given by:

$$M(x) = -px + p(x - a) \tag{F6}$$

Utilizing equations F5 and F6 the moment M(x) at any point  $0 \le x \le \frac{L}{2}$  along the beam can be written as:

$$M(x) = -px + p[x - a] \tag{F7}$$

where for x < a , [x - a] = 0

Therefore, substituting equation F7 into equation F1, we have:

$$EI\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = Px - P[x - a]$$
(F8)

Integrating equation F8, we have:

$$EI\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{P}{2}x^2 - \frac{P}{2}[x-a]^2 + C_1$$
(F9)

Integrating equation F9, we have:

$$EIy = \frac{P}{6}x^{3} - \frac{P}{6}[x-a]^{3} + C_{1}x + C_{2}$$
(F10)

When x = 0, y = 0 and [x - a] = 0, therefore,  $C_2 = 0$  in equation F10, thus

$$EIy = \frac{P}{6}x^{3} - \frac{P}{6}[x-a]^{3} + C_{1}x$$
(F11)

Due to symmetry of loading, the slope of the deflection at the midpoint of the beam will be zero, L = dy

i.e. at 
$$x = \frac{L}{2}$$
,  $\frac{dy}{dx} = 0$ . Utilizing this condition in equation F9, we have:

$$0 = \frac{P}{2} \left\{ \frac{L}{2} \right\}^2 - \frac{P}{2} \left\{ \left( \frac{L}{2} \right) - \frac{a}{1} \right\}^2 + C_1$$
 (F12)

Solving equation F12 for  $C_{\rm l}$  , we have:

$$C_{1} = \frac{P}{2}(a^{2} - La)$$
(F13)

Substituting equation F13 into equation F11, we have:

$$EIy = \frac{P}{6}x^{3} - \frac{P}{6}[x-a]^{3} + \frac{P}{2}x(a^{2} - La)$$
(F14)

For x < a,  $[x - a]^3 = 0$ , equation F14 becomes:

$$EIy = \frac{P}{6}x^{3} + \frac{P}{2}x(a^{2} - La)$$
(F15)

Simplifying equation F15 and solving for the deflection y, we have:

$$y = \frac{Px(3a^2 - 3La + x^2)}{6EI}$$
 (F16)

June 2008