

Potato and Bambara groundnut ammonium transporter (AMT1) structure and variation in expression level in potato leaf tissue in response to nitrogen form and availability

by

ADEWOLE TOMIWA ADETUNJI

213107651

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

Master of Technology: Agriculture

in the Faculty of Applied Sciences

at the

Cape Peninsula University of Technology

Supervisor: Prof. F.B. Lewu

Co-supervisor: Dr. R. Mundembe

Cape Town

December 2014

CPUT copyright information

The thesis may not be published either in part (in scholarly, scientific or technical journals), or as a whole (as a monograph), unless permission has been obtained from the university

DECLARATION

I, **Adewole Tomiwa Adetunji**, declare that the contents of this thesis represent my own unaided work, and that the thesis has not previously been submitted for academic examination towards any qualification. Furthermore, it represents my own opinions and not necessarily those of the Cape Peninsula University of Technology.

Signed

Date: December 2014

ABSTRACT

Plants require nitrogen (N) to support desired production levels. Nitrogen fertilization strategy is a major consideration in field management with regard to achieving both economic and environmental objectives. For instance, in potato, insufficient N supply reduces tuber size and overall yield while excessive N supply can reduce tuber quality and increase environmental risk through nitrate (NO₃) leaching and nitrous oxide emission. Selection of an adequate N fertilizer application rate for crops is difficult, due to marked variations in soil N supply and crop N demand in both the field and over time. This research was conducted to characterise the ammonium transporter gene (AMT1) of Bambara groundnut and potato using molecular biology and bioinformatics methods. Nucleotide database sequences were used to design AMT1-specific primers which were used to amplify and sequence the coreregion of the gene from Bambara groundnut and potato. Bioinformatics techniques were used to predict the structure and infer properties of the proteins. Nucleotide sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis indicate that BgAMT1 and PoAMT1 are indeed from the AMT1 family, due to the clade and high similarity they respectively shared with other plant AMT1 genes. Amino acid sequence alignment showed that BgAMT1 is 92%, 89% and 87% similar to PvAMT1.1, GlycineAMT1 and LjAMT1.1 respectively, while PoAMT1 is 92%, 83% and 76% similar to LeAMT1.1, LjAMT1.1 and LeAMT1.2 respectively. BgAMT1 and PoAMT1 fragments were shown to correspond to the 5th - 10th transmembrane spanning-domains. Mutation of Bg W1A-L and S28A (for BgAMT1) and Po S70A (for PoAMT1) is predicted to enhance ammonium (NH₄⁺) transport activity. Residues Bg D23 (for BgAMT1) and Po D16 (for PoAMT1) must be preserved otherwise NH4⁺ transport activity is inhibited. In all, BgAMT1 and PoAMT1 play a role in N uptake from the root while BgAMT1 may contribute more in different steps of rhizobia interaction.

In an investigation of the correlation between AMT1 gene expression levels and leaf chlorophyll content index (CCI) with plant N status, potato plants were grown in a hydroponic greenhouse with 0.75 or 7.5 mM NO₃⁻ and 0.75 or 7.5 mM NH₄⁺ as forms of N supply in a completely randomized design. Leaf CCI as measured by chlorophyll content meter, showed that an increase in N supply results in increased leaf CCI in response to both forms of N. Total RNA was isolated from leaf sampled at 28 days after treatment and expression level of the AMT1 gene was determined by reverse transcription-qPCR using a second set of primers designed for qPCR. The results showed that expression levels of AMT1 increased from 8.731 ± 2.606 when NO₃⁻ supply was high to 24.655 ± 2.93 when NO₃⁻ supply was low. However, there was no significant response in AMT1 expression levels to changes in NH₄⁺.

This result suggested that AMT1 transports NO_3^- less efficiently than NH_4^+ , and thus more transport channels are required in the cell membrane when NO_3^- levels are low. Such variation in AMT1 expression levels are not necessary for NH_4^+ transport since the transport mechanism for NH_4^+ is efficient even at low NH_4^+ levels.

I wish to thank:

- God, for divine strength, courage and grace that sustained me throughout my research work.
- My family, for their support and prayers. Thank you for believing in me.
- My supervisors, Prof. F.B. Lewu and Dr. R. Mundembe for their fatherly care, guidance and technical input in the course of the project.
- Biotechnology laboratory staff members, Agrifoods Technology station staff members, Bioresource Engineering Research Group coordinator and Tissue culture laboratory manager for allowing me to use their resources. I am grateful to Ms B. Mosime for her assistance on this project.
- Dr. O.S. Olatunji, Dr. A. Ayeleso and Ms N.S. Nwabueze for their encouragement and direction from the start to the end.
- CPUT University Research Found and Faculty of Applied Sciences for financial support.

I dedicate this work to God Almighty the source of my strength.

RESEARCH OUTPUTS

The following research outputs represent contributions by the candidate to scientific knowledge and development during his master's candidacy (2013-2014):

> Publication

Adetunji, A.T., Lewu, F.B. & Mundembe, R. *Vigna subterranea* ammonium transporter gene: some bioinformatics insights. Submitted to *Biotechnology Reports* (2015)

> Presentations

Adetunji, A.T., Lewu, F.B. & Mundembe, R., 24th The South African Society of Biochemistry and molecular biology conference 6 – 9 July 2014, Rawsonville, South Africa, Paper: Development of a reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction protocol for the expression profiling of the ammonium transporter gene.

Adetunji, A.T., Lewu, F.B. & Mundembe, R., 2nd U6 Consortium international conference 8-10 September 2014, Cape Town, South Africa, Paper: Expression profiling of the ammonium transporter gene using a reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction.

LAYOUT OF THESIS

The overall aim of this study is to amplify and sequence the core-region of AMT1 gene from potato and Bambara groundnut, and quantitatively examine the expression of potato AMT1 gene in response to nitrogen rate and form. The references are listed at the end as a separate chapter in accordance with the Harvard method of referencing.

The thesis is subdivided into the following chapters:

- **Chapter 1**, the introduction, provides the background information on nitrogen, ammonium transporter and reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction. It also presents the problem statement, hypothesis, research objectives and the significance of the study.
- **Chapter 2**, the literature review, provides information on nitrogen, nitrogen fertilizer and nitrogen assimilation from root. It includes information about ammonium transporter gene and there homologs in various plant species. This section reports information on the use of reverse transcription-quantitative PCR for mRNA quantification.
- **Chapter 3**, the research methodology. It summarises the materials and methods used in this study to design ammonium transporter1-specific primers, amplify and sequence Bambara groundnut and potato ammonium transporter1 genes. It involves growing potatoes hydroponically, isolate total RNA and measure potato ammonium transporter1 expression levels.
- Chapter 4, entails the results and discussion chapter.
- **Chapter 5**, overall conclusion and recommendations, presents the answers to the research questions in chapter 1 while also listing recommendations for future research.

DECLARATIONii			
ABSTRACTiii			
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS			
DEDICATIONvi			
RESEARCH OUTPUTS			
LAYOUT OF THESISviii			
TABLE OF CONTENTSix			
LIST OF FIGURESxiii			
LIST OF TABLESxv			
GLOSSARYxvi			
CHAPTER ONE			
INTRODUCTION1			
1.1 Background1			
1.2 Statement of research problem			
1.3 Hypothesis			
1.4 Objectives of the research			
1.5 Significance of the research4			
CHAPTER TWO5			
LITERATURE REVIEW			
2.1 Bambara groundnut5			

2.2	2	Potato5	
2.3	2.3 Nitrogen		
:	2.3.1	Nitrogen fertilizer	7
:	2.3.2	2 Correlation of soil N supply to crop N demand	8
:	2.3.3	8 Methods of estimating crop N status	8
	2.3.4	Nitrogen assimilation	. 10
2.4	4	Ammonium transporter gene	. 13
:	2.4.1	Isolation and characterisation of plant AMTs	. 15
2.5	5 I	Expression profiling	. 26
	2.5.1	PCR-based approach	. 27
СНА	PTE	R THREE	. 33
MAT	ERIA	ALS AND METHODS	. 33
3.1	1 1	Materials and methods	. 33
3.2	3.2 Bioinformatics		. 33
;	3.2.1	Primer design	. 33
3.3	3	Sequence analyses	. 36
;	3.3.1	DNA extraction	. 36
	3.3.2	2 PCR assay	. 37
:	3.3.3	B DNA Sequencing	. 37
3.4	4 I	Experimental conditions and treatments	. 39
;	3.4.1	Location	. 39
;	3.4.2	2 Hardening process	. 40

3.4.3	3 Hydroponic set up4	10
3.4.4	4 Experimental setup	41
3.4.	5 Plant selection and pre-treatment4	41
3.4.0	6 Treatments	41
3.4.	7 Sampling and analysis	43
3.5	Quantification of gene expression	43
3.5.	1 Primer design	43
3.5.2	2 RNA extraction	14
3.5.3	3 Preliminary experiment	45
3.5.4	4 Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR assay	45
3.6	Statistical Analysis	46
CHAPTE	ER FOUR4	47
RESULT	S AND DISCUSSION	47
4.1	Bioinformatics; primer design, evaluation and optimization	47
4.1.	1 Primer design	47
4.1.2	2 Primer evaluation and optimization5	52
4.2	Isolation and sequencing of Bambara groundnut and Potato AMT15	53
4.2.	1 DNA extraction and amplification of AMT1 gene5	53
4.2.2	2 Bambara groundnut and potato AMT1 sequence identification	55
4.3	Effect of N rate and N form on leaf chlorophyll content index6	32
4.4	Gene expression analysis of AMT1 in potato leaf6	35
4.4.	1 Primer design6	35
4.4.2		66 xi

4.4	.3 Preliminary: Response of AMT1 expression level to N rate and form	37
4.5	Response of AMT1 expression level to N rate and form	39
CHAPT	ER FIVE	73
CONCL	USION AND RECOMMENDATION	73
5.1	Conclusion	73
5.2	Recommendation	73
REFER	ENCES	74
APPEN	DICES	94

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2. 1: Assimilation of NH_4^+ into glutamine
Figure 2. 2: The structure of AmtB ammonia channel of <i>Escherichia coli</i> with 11 transmembrane helices (M1-M11) adopted from Khademi <i>et al.</i> (2004)
Figure 2. 3: Structural model of AtAMT1.1 with 11 transmembrane-spanning domains adopted from Von Wiren <i>et al.</i> (2000a)
Figure 4. 1: Sequence alignment of several AMT1 plant species; the highlighted nucleotides with the region of similarities are used to design the 976 bp AMT1 large enough for bioinformatics analysis
Figure 4. 2: Photograph of a SYBR Green stained agarose gel showing the results of a (MgCl ₂) optimization reaction. The lanes represents: 1- Molecular weight marker, 2- No Template Control and 3-10- Varied MgCl ₂ treatments: 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.25, 1.5, and 2.5 mM

Figure 4. 6: An alignment of the amino acid sequence of Bambara groundnut BgAMT1 with *Phaseolous vulgaris* PvAMT1.1, *Lotus japonicus* LjAMT1.1 and *Glycine max* GlycineAMT1. The accession numbers are listed in Table 3.2. The amino acid residues conserved in all sequences are designated by *stars*, and conservative substitutions are shown by *dots*. Predicted transmembrane-spanning domains are marked above the alignment (TMD 5-10).

Figure 4. 10: Photograph of a SYBR Green stained agarose gel showing RNA quality of
varying concentration. The lanes are: M (molecular weight marker), 1-2 (N0.75), 3-4 (N7.5),
5-6 (A0.75), 7-8 (A7.5), 9-10 (A0.75N0.75), 11-12 (A7.5N7.5), 13-14 (A0.75N7.5) and 15-16
(A7.5N0.75) respectively
Figure 4. 11: Photograph of SYBR Green stained agarose gel showing ampicons of β -tubulin
(101 bp) and AMT1 (160 bp) for each treatment
Figure 4. 12: Standard curve for potato AMT1 concentration70
Figure 4. 13: RT-qPCR assay showing the effect of N form and N rate on expression of
potato AMT1

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3. 1: Names and accession numbers of aligned AMT1 genes that were
used to design primers
Table 3. 2: Accession numbers of AMT1 genes that were used for multiple
sequence alignment and phylogenetic analyses
Table 3. 3: The nutrient solution treatments
Table 3. 4: Concentration of salts used to supply macronutrients in the eight
treatment nutrient solutions
Table 3. 5: Salts and micronutrients supplied to the eight treatment nutrient
solution
Table 4. 1: Primer sequence for one reference gene and two AMT1-specific
genes, the amplification length, nucleotide length and the melting temperature of the amplified product

GLOSSARY

Abbreviation	Description
АМТ	Ammonium transporter
Bg	Bambara groundnut
BLAST	Basic local alignment search tool
bp	Base pair
CCI	Chlorophyll content index
cDNA	Complementary deoxyribonucleic acid
GS	Glutamine synthase
GS/GOAT	Glutamate synthase/Glutamine oxoglutarate aminotransferase
НАТ	High affinity transporter
HGT	Horizontal gene transfer
K _m	Michaelis constant
LAT	Low affinity transporter
MEP	Methyl ammonium permease
MPSS	Massive parallel signature sequencing
mRNA	Messenger ribonucleic acid
Ν	Nitrogen
NCBI	National center for biotechnology information
NH ₃	Ammonia
NH_4^+	Ammonium
NO ₃ ⁻	Nitrate
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
Rh	Mammalian rherus
RT-qPCR	Reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction
SAGE	Serial analysis of gene expression
UTD	Up to date

Clarification of Terms

Ammonium transporter: Proteins which transport ammonium ions across the cell membrane.

Polymerase chain reaction: A sensitive technique used to amplify DNA.

Reverse transcription-qPCR: A sensitive technique used to quantitatively detect RNA expression levels.

1.1 Background

Nitrogen (N) is an essential macronutrient required by all plants to thrive. It is the mineral nutrient needed in the highest amount and its availability is a major factor restricting plant growth in natural (Epstein and Bloom, 2005) and agricultural (Galloway and Cowling, 2002) environments. The N composition of plant tissues also has important nutritional effects, since plants constitute a significant source of protein in the diet of human and animals. Although plants can absorb small amount of N from the atmosphere through their foliage, a greater part of N is acquired in specific forms in the soil (Below, 2001). Plant roots mostly obtain N from the rhizosphere as inorganic ammonium (NH_4^+) or nitrate (NO_3^-), and later absorb intracellular NH_4^+ into amino acids (Lea and Azevedo, 2007). Roots sense and respond to changes in internal and external N status, which includes the regulation of gene expression, metabolism, and further N uptake and assimilation (Glass *et al.*, 2002). Most soils however do not have sufficient N in the available form to support desired production levels. Therefore, addition of N from fertilizer is typically needed to maximize crop yield and quality.

Management of nitrogen fertilizer is important in attaining both economic and environmental objectives in crop production. It was reported in potato that deficient supply of N leads to economic losses owing to decrease in tuber size and overall yield (Millard and Marshall, 1986, Zebarth and Rosen, 2007). Excessive supply of N can reduce tuber quality through decreased tuber specific gravity (Long *et al.*, 2004), increased tuber nitrate concentration (Zebarth *et al.*, 2004) and increased accumulation of asparagine which can contribute to acrylamide formation during cooking (Lea *et al.*, 2007). However, corresponding effects of excessive N supply have not been studied in Bambara groundnut. Increased N fertilizer rate above the optimum rate may lead to rapid increase in N loss from the environment through nitrate leaching (Van Es *et al.*, 2002) and nitrous oxide emissions (Zebarth *et al.*, 2008).

Correlating the supply of N to the crop N demand is one of the most efficient approaches to meet both economic and environmental objectives (Zebarth *et al.*, 2009). Although the idea is simple, selection of an adequate fertilizer N rate is difficult owing to marked variation in both soil N supply and crop N demand in both the field and over the time (Olfs *et al.*, 2005, Scharf *et al.*, 2005). As a result of this, efficient approaches have been put in place where the in-season management of N fertilizer is optimised by measuring crop N sufficiency (Goffart and Olivier, 2004, Goffart *et al.*, 2008).

Olfs *et al.* (2005) evaluated a few chemical and optical methods of estimating crop N sufficiency. The most frequently used chemical and optical measures of crop N sufficiency in potato production are petiole nitrate concentration (Westcott *et al.*, 1991) and relative leaf chlorophyll concentration as measured by light transmittance using a SPAD-502 meter (Goffart *et al.*, 2008, Minotti *et al.*, 1994). A recent study by Tremblay *et al.* (2007) has also reported the potential to identify plant N sufficiency using a Dualex instrument, which quantifies plant polyphenolics optically. Although, these methods are generally effective, yet they provide indirect measures of crop N sufficiency. Another drawback to using these approaches is that they may be influenced by the availability of water or other nutrients in soil, environmental conditions (Olfs *et al.*, 2005) and the stage of crop development (Millard and Mackerron, 1986).

Ammonium transporters (AMTs) of the Ammonium Transporter/Methylammonium Permease/mammalian Rhesus (AMT/MEP/Rh) protein family have been revealed in all domains of life, particularly plants, bacteria, archea, yeast, and animals (Ludewig et al., 2001). All plant ammonium transporter (AMT) proteins investigated so far are located in the plasma membrane, indicating that their role is in ammonium acquisition by plant cells (Loque et al., 2006, Ludewig et al., 2002, Ludewig et al., 2003, Simon-Rosin et al., 2003, Sohlenkamp et al., 2002, Yuan et al., 2007b). The expression levels of AMT1 mostly increase when N supply is low and decrease in response to high N supply, consistent with an optimized ammonium uptake function required in N-starvation conditions (Couturier et al., 2007, Gazzarini et al., 1999, Pearson and Stewart, 1993, Rawat et al., 1999, Von Wiren et al., 2000a). Recent evidence indicates that the expression of AMT1 in potato is less influenced by N form, developmental stage and environmental factors, than currently used chemical (petiole nitrate concentration) or optical (SPAD meter) measures of plant N status (Zebarth et al., 2012). Hence, quantification of AMT1 gene expression in leaf tissue of plants could be better than petiole nitrate concentration or SPAD-502 meter readings as measures of accessing plant N status (Zebarth et al., 2012). However, while the method used for gene expression analysis by Zebarth et al. (2012) is ideal for research, it is too expensive for routine diagnosis; a simple reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RTqPCR) method may be more appropriate.

Gene expression profiling provides new insights into regulatory gene networks and offers more in-depth understanding of various biological processes (Wise *et al.*, 2007). Reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) is a technique widely used among other robust methods to study changes in gene expression (Cruz *et al.*, 2009). Messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA)

quantification method avoids the need to use suitable antibodies and has the ability to detect very small amounts of target molecule, depending on the technique used (Thellin *et al.*, 2009). In order to measure messenger RNA (mRNA), reverse transcriptase is used to convert mRNA into complementary DNA (cDNA), which will then be amplified by PCR (Bustin, 2005). Thus, RT-PCR may be used for qualitative, semi-quantitative or quantitative detection. Real time-PCR is one of the most powerful techniques for analysing mRNA expression because of its sensitivity, specificity, wide dynamic range and low template concentration requirements (Shi and Chiang, 2005). Apart from giving quantitative results, real-time PCR is also easy and convenient to use (Radonic *et al.*, 2004).

This work proposes to amplify and sequence AMT1 gene fragments from potato and Bambara groundnut, and quantitatively examine the expression of potato AMT1 gene in response to nitrogen (ammonium and nitrate) supply.

1.2 Statement of research problem

Nitrogen is available to plants in NH₄⁺ or NO₃⁻ form (Barker and Mills, 1980). Most soils however do not have sufficient N in the available form to support desired production levels. Therefore, addition of N from fertilizer is typically needed to maximize crop yield and quality. Selection of the adequate N fertilizer application rate for crops is difficult, due to marked variation in both soil N supply and crop N demand in the field and over time (Zebarth *et al.*, 2009). There is need for greater understanding of AMT and N transport mechanism. Thus, it is proposed that the in-season management of N fertilizer is improved by measuring crop N sufficiency through determination of ammonium transporter expression levels in crop species.

1.3 Hypothesis

It is hypothesized that the similarity between Bambara, potato and other plant AMT genes is sufficient to enable design of primers that amplify a significant portion of the gene. In addition, the amplified gene should allow assignment of function to some sections of the amplified gene. It is further hypothesized that the primers similarly designed may be used in real-time RT-PCR to determine levels of AMT1 expression, which in turn, may be used to give an insight into the nitrogen status of the plant.

1.4 Objectives of the research

The objectives of the research are to:

- I. Amplify and sequence the core-regions of the AMT1 gene from potato UTD and Bambara groundnut.
- II. Examine the expression of AMT1 in relation to nitrogen supplied.
- III. Examine the response of leaf chlorophyll content index to nitrogen supplied.

1.5 Significance of the research

This research guides fertilizer management on potato cultivars in South Africa. This study will contribute to the following:

- I. Contribute to better understanding of the structure and function of AMT1 gene.
- II. Assist farmers in applying the optimal N fertilizer for maximum crop yield and quality.
- III. Minimise nitrogen fertilizer wastage.
- IV. Minimise environmental pollution.

CHAPTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Bambara groundnut

Bambara groundnut (Vigna subterranean) is a member of the family Fabaceae. Bambara groundnut is indigenous to Africa and it is the third most important grain legume after groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) and cowpea (Vigna unguiculata) (Baryeh, 2001). It adapts well to hot, dry temperature, yet it also tolerates high rainfall (Dakora and Muofhe, 1996). Furthermore, Bambara grows in soils 5.0 - 6.5 pH with 600 - 1200 mm annual rainfall (Baryeh, 2001). It is easy to cultivate and makes very little or no demand on the soil (Swanevelder, 1998). Bambara groundnut matures in 4 - 5 months with average yield of 650 - 850 kg/ha (Baryeh, 2001). This legume crop is largely produced and consumed in semiregions of Africa where rainfall is erratic and low, and losses to run-off, drainage and evaporation may leave only a small portion of precipitation available for crop growth (Mwale et al., 2007). Hence, it is an important crop owing to the fact that it is drought resistant and has the ability to produce reasonable yield in poor soils (Mwale et al., 2007, Swanevelder, 1998). In fact, studies have shown that it performs more than groundnut in dry environments (Collinson et al., 1996, Mwale et al., 2007). It serves as a key source of protein in the diets of a large percentage of the population in Africa, especially to poorer people who cannot afford expensive animal protein (Baryeh, 2001). Indeed, the protein content of Bambara is comparable or superior to other legumes (Linnemann and Azam Ali, 1993), making it a good complement for cereal-based diets (Mwale et al., 2007). Bambara groundnut seed consist of 49%-63.5% carbohydrate, 15% - 25% protein, 4.5% - 7.4% fat, 5.2% - 6.4% fibre, 3.2% -4.4% ash, 0.098% calcium, 0.007% iron, 1.2% potassium, 0.003% sodium and 2% mineral (Baryeh, 2001, Mwale et al., 2007). It has a crucial impact in sustainable agriculture due to its ability to fix atmospheric N₂. Despite the fact that Bambara groundnut has high nutritional values, functional properties, antioxidant potential, and a drought resistant ability, it remains one of the most neglected crops by science. Hence, the under-utilised crop with great potential is not well funded.

2.2 Potato

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is a member of the family *Solanaceae*. It is one of the world's major staple food crops that was first cultivated 8000 years ago in the Andean highlands of southern Peru (Lutaladio and Castaldi, 2009), which later spread to Europe and other parts of the world from the beginning of 16th century (Bradeen and Haynes, 2011).

There are about 5000 varieties of potato (Burlingame *et al.*, 2009, Lutaladio and Castaldi, 2009) and its global production has been estimated at 368 million tonnes in 2013 (http://faostat3.fao.org/). Potato is the fourth most essential food crop in the world, behind rice, wheat and maize. It is however, the only major food crop that is a tuber (Ezekiel *et al.*, 2013, Spooner and Bamberg, 1994). With respect to fresh matter, potato is the third highest yielding crop following sugarcane and sugar beet (Abdel-Aal *et al.*, 1977, Ayyub *et al.*, 2011). Potatoes are herbaceous perennial plants usually about 60cm height depending on variety. A large number of potato cultivars are autotetraploid (2n=4x=48 chromosomes), highly heterozygous, suffer acute inbreeding depression and, although it produces true botanical seed, is usually propagated asexually (Bradeen and Haynes, 2011, Consortium, 2011).

In 1845, a large number of peasant populations in Ireland and across Europe were so dependent on potato as a primary food that at least one million people died through famine when the potato farm was infested by late-blight pathogen (phytophthora infestans) (Bradeen and Haynes, 2011, Lutaladio and Castaldi, 2009). Since potatoes are cheaply grown and reach maturity within a short period of time, it relieved the entire population from hunger, nourished the emerging urban working class and released rural people for work in 19th-century factories (Lutaladio and Castaldi, 2009). Potato is increasingly cultivated because it produces more dry matter, protein and minerals (potassium) per hectare than cereal crops. In addition, it is a major food source of inexpensive energy, iron, vitamin B (B1, B3, B6) and C as well as carbohydrate (Buono et al., 2009, Burton, 1989, Ezekiel et al., 2013). Potatoes produce 130 kcal of energy per person per day in the developed countries where it is known as a staple food, against 41 kcal in the developing countries where it is still considered as a vegetable (Burlingame et al., 2009, Ezekiel et al., 2013, Friedman, 1997). The potato tuber is free from fat and cholesterol and relatively low in calories consequently promoting healthy living (Buono et al., 2009). Apart from its rich nutritional content, potato contains good quantity of antioxidants like ascorbic acid (8 - 54 mg/100g), polyphenols (123 -441 mg/100g), carotenoids (up to 0.4 mg/100g) and tocopherols (up to 0.3 mg/100g) in the human diet, whose beneficial effects on health has also been reported (Burlingame et al., 2009, Delaplace et al., 2008, Leo et al., 2008). Potato tuber can be boiled for consumption or processed into products including chips, French fries, starch, alcohol production as well as a wide range of frozen and chilled products (Abdel-Aal et al., 1977, Buono et al., 2009, Mcgregor, 2011). However, proper management of nutrient input especially nitrogen is crucial for maximizing potato tuber quality and yield (Guler, 2009, Rowe, 1993).

2.3 Nitrogen

Nitrogen is a key macronutrient and primary mineral constituent limiting agricultural productivity (Galloway and Cowling, 2002). It is required by all organisms for the basic processes of life to make nucleic acids, proteins, chlorophyll molecules, which are crucial for photosynthesis and further growth, and to reproduce (Mcallister *et al.*, 2012, Smil, 2002). In the biosphere, N is available for plants in molecular nitrogen (N₂), volatile ammonia or nitrogen oxides, mineral N (NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺) and organic N forms such as amino acids and peptides (Von Wiren *et al.*, 1997). Nitrogen application is necessary to maintain high crop yields. Most soils however do not have sufficient N in the available form to support desired production levels.

2.3.1 Nitrogen fertilizer

The addition of N from fertilizer has become one of the main yield-enhancing techniques in modern crop production (Scheromm *et al.*, 1992). In fact, adequate N fertilization is crucial for optimizing potato yield and quality (Lauer, 1986, Westermann and Kleinkopf, 1985). As a result of this, about 85 - 90 million metric tonnes of nitrogenous fertilizers are added to the soil worldwide annually (Good *et al.*, 2004). The application of N fertilizer is mostly the single highest input cost for many crops and, since its production is energy intensive, this cost is dependent on the price of energy (Rothstein, 2007). Much of the N from fertilizer added to the soil is lost to the environment, with an average of only 30% - 50% being taken up by the plant depending on the species and cultivar (Garnett *et al.*, 2009). However, the predominant part is usually lost to surface run-off, leaching of nitrates, ammonia volatilization or bacterial competition (Garnett *et al.*, 2009).

Proper management of nitrogen fertilizer plays a crucial role in achieving both economic and environmental objectives in crop production. For instance, in potato, inadequate N supply leads to economic losses owing to reduction in tuber yield and quality (Millard and Mackerron, 1986, Rowe, 1993, Zebarth and Rosen, 2007). These may be as a result of reduced growth (Harris, 1992), reduced light interception (Chase *et al.*, 1990), delayed tuber set (Harris, 1992), reduced dry matter content (Love *et al.*, 2005, Westermann *et al.*, 1994) and an increase in disease which include early die, late blight, and verticillium wilt (Davis *et al.*, 1990, Rowe, 1993). On the other hand, excessive N supply can reduce tuber quality through decreased tuber specific gravity (Long *et al.*, 2004), increased tuber nitrate concentration (Zebarth *et al.*, 2004) and increased accumulation of asparagine that can

contribute to acrylamide formation during cooking (Lea *et al.*, 2007). In addition, excess N may result in increased vegetative growth rather than in tuber production and delayed maturity (Ojala *et al.*, 1990). It may also result in a humid environment that promotes diseases associated with moisture such as aerial stem rot, *Sclerotinia* stem rot, pink rot, and other foliar as well as tuber diseases (Rowe, 1993), and an influence on storability (Long *et al.*, 2004). Environmental losses of N through nitrate leaching to ground water (Houles *et al.*, 2004, Van Es *et al.*, 2002) and nitrous oxide emissions (Chantigny *et al.*, 1998, Zebarth *et al.*, 2008) increase rapidly as fertilizer N rate is increased above the optimum rate. The continuous leaching of nitrate into drainage water as well as the release of nitrous oxide and reactive N gases into the troposphere accelerate the eutrophication of waterways and acidify soils (Guo *et al.*, 2010, Robertson and Vitousek, 2009). Consequently, the risk of environmental pollution is increased.

2.3.2 Correlation of soil N supply to crop N demand

Since N fertilization strategy is a major consideration in field management, synchronization between N availability in the soil and N uptake by plant roots has been reported as a means to meet both economic and environmental objectives (Ladha *et al.*, 2005, Zebarth *et al.*, 2009). Indeed, Zebarth and Rosen (2007) stated that, "One of the most effective means of improving the efficiency of N use in agricultural crop production is to match the supply of N to the crop N demand in both space and time". This can be attained by targeting the rate and form of fertilizer N that meet but do not exceed crop N requirements (Hong *et al.*, 2007). Although the idea is simple, selection of an adequate fertilizer N rate is difficult owing to marked variation in both soil N supply and crop N demand in the field and over time (Olfs *et al.*, 2005, Scharf *et al.*, 2005, Tremblay *et al.*, 2007, Zebarth *et al.*, 2009).

2.3.3 Methods of estimating crop N status

Studies have been done to assess a number of chemical and optical methods of estimating crop N sufficiency (Olfs *et al.*, 2005, Wu *et al.*, 2007). The most frequently used chemical and optical measures of crop N sufficiency in potato production are petiole nitrate concentration (Westcott *et al.*, 1991) and relative leaf chlorophyll concentration that is estimated by light transmittance using a SPAD-502 meter (Goffart *et al.*, 2008, Minotti *et al.*, 1994). Tremblay *et al.* (2007) also reported the potential to identify plant N sufficiency using a Dualex instrument, which quantifies plant polyphenolics optically.

2.3.3.1 Chemical tests of crop N sufficiency

Measurement of petiole nitrate concentration is an efficient approach to assessing plant N status (Alva, 2007, Westcott *et al.*, 1993). In potatoes, there exists a quantitative research technique for petiole nitrate concentration for several production regions (Porter and Sisson, 1991, Westcott *et al.*, 1991). Indeed, it is considered to be an effective means of measuring current crop N status owing to a strong correlation between petiole nitrate, N availability in the plant and N uptake (Alva, 2007, Errebhi *et al.*, 1998b, Westcott *et al.*, 1993, Westcott *et al.*, 1991). This method has also been used to assess the N status for other crop species such as sugar beet (Sexton and Carroll, 2002), broccoli (Villeneuve *et al.*, 2002), grapes (Nagarajah, 1999) and tomatoes (Rhodes *et al.*, 1996). Petiole nitrate concentration may be measured on either a dry plant tissue basis, or on freshly expressed petiole sap (Errebhi *et al.*, 1998a). However, a major problem with this kind of approach is that, critical petiole nitrate values decrease over time as the crop develops and the season progresses. Furthermore, petiole nitrate value varies with potato cultivar (Porter and Sisson, 1991) not to mention the sampling, preservation, extraction, dilution and measurement effort required (Tremblay *et al.*, 2011).

2.3.3.2 Optical tests of crop N sufficiency

There is a close correlation between leaf chlorophyll concentration and N status of a plant (Mackerron, 2000, Piekkielek and Fox, 1992). This has further been reported in wheat (Singh et al., 2002, Vidal et al., 1999, Vouillot et al., 1998), rice (Esfahani et al., 2008, Turner and Jund, 1991), Maize (Blackmer and Schepers, 1995, Ercoli et al., 1993, Piekielek et al., 1995, Varvel et al., 1997, Ziadi et al., 2008) and potato (Gianquinto et al., 2004, Majic et al., 2008, Vos and Bom, 1993, Zebarth et al., 2012). Thus, optical tests are effective in estimating leaf chlorophyll concentration for the purpose of measuring plant N status (Botha et al., 2006). Furthermore, Guler (2009) reported significant correlation between leaf chlorophyll content and total yield in potato plants. The SPAD-502 chlorophyll metre is the most commonly used optical method of assessing plant N sufficiency using light reflectance or transmittance (Goffart et al., 2008, Minotti et al., 1994). It is a small, light-weight, handheld device that measures light transmittance in the red (650 nm, chlorophyll) and nearinfrared (960 nm) spectrum without damaging the leaf (Yadawa, 1986, Zebarth et al., 2009). In potato, the chlorophyll content index is usually estimated in the terminal leaflet of the fourth completely expanded leaf on the stalk because the upper leaves that are more exposed to solar radiation tend to have higher N content than those that are below (Giletto et *al.*, 2010, Westermann, 2005). Indeed, SPAD meter readings have been used to guide inseason fertilizer N management with the aim of reducing N losses without loss of potato yield. However, there are a number of factors that influences leaf chlorophyll content index such as; varieties (Hoel, 2002, Minotti *et al.*, 1994), growth stage (Ramesh *et al.*, 2002), leaf position (Chapman and Barreto, 1997, Hoel, 1998), nutritional deficiencies apart from nitrogen (sulphur, magnesium or iron) (Turner and Jund, 1991), soil type, radiation, foliar diseases (Giletto *et al.*, 2010) and environmental conditions (Schepers *et al.*, 1992).

2.3.3.3 Dualex

The dualex is a portable leaf-clip instrument that can be used to monitor plant N status. It functions by in situ measurement of the polyphenolic content of leaves in the field (Cartelat *et al.*, 2005, Goulas *et al.*, 2004). Polyphenolic are carbon-based compounds playing multiple roles in plants, such as chemical defence against herbivores as well as protection from ultraviolet radiation, free radicals, stress, and pathogens (Cartelat *et al.*, 2005). Estiarte *et al.* (1994) reported a significant reverse relationship between the leaf polyphenol concentration and the level of N fertilization in pepper. Cartelat *et al.* (2005) further revealed that with increasing N fertilization in wheat, leaf chlorophyll content increased and the leaf polyphenolic concentrations denotes N deficiency in a crop.

2.3.4 Nitrogen assimilation

In spite of the fact that plants can absorb small amount of N from the atmosphere through their foliage, the greater part of N is by far acquired in specific forms of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ in the soil (Below, 2001). The first step that occurs in nitrogen assimilation is the uptake of nitrate (NO_3^-) and ammonium (NH_4^+) into root cells from the soil solution (Bu *et al.*, 2011). Roots sense and respond to changes in internal and external N status, which includes the regulation of gene expression, metabolism and further N uptake and assimilation (Glass *et al.*, 2002).

In plants, the nitrate that is taken up by the root can be transported in the root cell vacuoles or through the xylem to the shoot, where it is first reduced to nitrite by nitrate reductase, then enter the plastid and reduced to ammonia ion by nitrite reductase. It is then rapidly assimilated into amide residue of glutamine by the coupled reactions catalysed by glutamine synthetase (GS) and glutamate synthase (GOGAT) (Bu *et al.*, 2011, Lam *et al.*, 2003) (Fig

2.1). Ammonium, on the other hand, is essential from the external environment, as well as both the rhizosphere and atmosphere through NH₄⁺ transporters in the plasma membrane of the root cells and leaf cells (Bu *et al.*, 2011). Assimilation of NH₄⁺ into the cell either takes place in the cytoplasm via glutamine synthetase or in the plastids and possibly mitochondria following transport into these organelles, and NH₄⁺ may as well move into the vacuole where it is briefly stored (Bu *et al.*, 2011). In addition, NH₄⁺ can be produced from N₂ by root nodule cells. The majority of this NH₄⁺ is transferred to the plant cytoplasm where it is assimilated into glutamine by GS/glutamine-2-oxoglutarate aminotransferase (GS/GOGAT) cycle for plant growth (Bu *et al.*, 2011, Xu *et al.*, 2012). Hence, N is transported to other tissues for utilization in the form of glutamate, aspartate, glutamine, and asparagine through amino acid permeases (Masclaux-Daubresse *et al.*, 2010).



Figure 2. 1: Assimilation of NH₄⁺ into glutamine

2.3.4.1 Ammonium; a preferential form of nitrogen uptake

Studies have shown that the average NH_4^+ concentrations of soils are usually 10 to 1000 times lower than those of NO_3^- (Marschner, 1995). However, the difference in concentration of this N nutrients in the soil do not necessarily determine the uptake ratio of each N source (Von Wiren *et al.*, 2000a). The role of NH_4^+ in plant nutrition cannot be over emphasised. Although NH_4^+ concentration is lower than NO_3^- in the soil, most plants preferentially take up NH_4^+ when both forms are present. In addition, NH_4^+ uptake and assimilation requires less energy than that of nitrate probably because NO_3^- has to be reduced before assimilation (Bloom *et al.*, 1992). Hence, NH_4^+ is the preferential form of nitrogen uptake when plants are subjected to nitrogen deficiency (Bu *et al.*, 2011, Gazzarini *et al.*, 1993). However, crop yield is usually maximized when N is supplied in both forms (Bloom *et al.*, 1993).

The difference between the associated inward and outward movement of NH_4^+ in root systems explains the net uptake of NH_4^+ by plant roots (Morgan and Jackson, 1988). Short-term labelling (with the aid of either ¹³ NH_4^+ or ¹⁵ NH_4^+) can be used to estimate the inflow of NH_4^+ in view of the fact that outflow of the tracer increases with time of exposure. Furthermore, NH_4^+ transport into plant roots shows biphasic kinetics that can be separated into not less than two different components. The inflow of external NH_4^+ approaches Michalis-Menten kinetics when the concentration is less than 1mM, although uptake rates seem to increase linearly at higher concentrations (Kronzucker *et al.*, 1996, Ullrich *et al.*, 1984, Wang *et al.*, 1993b).

Several studies have revealed that plants possess two distinct NH_4^+ transport systems in roots. The first type is a low affinity channel-like transporter (Wang *et al.*, 1994, Wang *et al.*, 1993a, Wang *et al.*, 1993b) which some have suggested not to be an exclusive NH_4^+ transporter but more likely a shared transporter, for example a potassium (K⁺) channel (Marini *et al.*, 1997). The second type is the high-affinity transporters (Wang *et al.*, 1994, Wang *et al.*, 1993a, Wang *et al.*, 1993b), which may be primarily responsible for the transport of NH_4^+ for N assimilation (Marini *et al.*, 1997). High-affinity and low-affinity transport systems are differentiated by their obvious Michalis-Menten constant (K_m) values (Wang *et al.*, 1993b), with the substrate affinity transporters are known for drawing out nutrients at low external concentrations, yet low affinity usually corresponds with high capacity, which is a key parameter for the maintenance of large inflows at high external availability (Von Wiren *et al.*, 2000a). In fact, the high affinity system is saturable and energy-

dependent with a K_m in the submillimolar concentration range while the low affinity system is non-saturable with a K_m in the millimolar concentration range (D'apuzzo *et al.*, 2004). Therefore, a difference between high affinity/ low capacity and low affinity/high-capacity NH_4^+ transport systems reflects their physiological role more precisely than does a distinction based on affinity alone (Von Wiren *et al.*, 2000a).

It has been documented that plants starved of N for a few days results in an increased capacity for NH_4^+ uptake, which correlates to a specific stimulation of inflow (Gazzarini *et al.*, 1999, Glass and Siddiqi, 1995, Morgan and Jackson, 1988). Also, the high-affinity ammonium uptake capacity of *Arabidopsis* increased under N limitation, and rapidly declined when external N sources were resupplied to the root (Gazzarini *et al.*, 1999, Lanquar *et al.*, 2009, Rawat *et al.*, 1999, Yuan *et al.*, 2007b). This was explained by the concomitant changes in cytoplasmic glutamine levels that are thought to regulate *Arabidopsis* ammonium transporter (AtAMT) gene expression by a negative feedback mechanism (Gazzarini *et al.*, 1999, Rawat *et al.*, 1999). In a similar way, Wang *et al.* (1993b) reported a decrease in the K_m and an increase in the maximum inflow (V_{max}) of the high-affinity transport system in rice during pre-culture stage, when it was subjected to a decrease in external NH₄⁺ from 1 mM to 2 µM. This may be a way by which the uptake system adaptively responds to the N demand of the plant, and shows the involvement of feedback regulation that entails changes in both the affinity and capacity of the uptake system (Von Wiren *et al.*, 2000a).

It is a known fact that NH_4^+ reduces growth when it is solely supplied as the N source for plant nutrition, yet the NH_4^+ ion is the preferred source of N over NO_3^- when NH_4NO_3 is available, (Gazzarini *et al.*, 1999, Gessler *et al.*, 1998, Gojon *et al.*, 1986). Furthermore, NH_4^+ is the principal form of N obtained from symbiotic N₂-fixing bacteria (Udvardi and Day, 1997). Hence, NH_4^+ is a crucial component of N metabolism which accumulates in millimolar (mM) concentrations in the cytosol, the vacuole, and even in the apoplasm (Nielsen and Schjoerring, 1998, Wells and Miller, 2000). Therefore, plant cells need transport systems at the plasma membrane that bring about both the retrieval of NH_3/NH_4^+ and the initial uptake of external NH_4^+ (Von Wiren *et al.*, 2000a).

2.4 Ammonium transporter gene

Ammonium is transported across cellular membranes by a family of integral membrane proteins that is conserved in all domains of life. The family consist of the Ammonium Transporter/Methylammonium Permease/mammalian Rhesus protein (AMT/MEP/Rh)

(Winkler, 2006, Wiren and Merrick, 2004b). AMT/MEP/Rh have been reported to possess a number of features in common which include, high affinity (K_m in the micromolar range) and high selectivity for ammonium and saturation at ammonium concentrations less than or equal to 1 mM (Boeckstaens *et al.*, 2008, Ortiz-Ramirez *et al.*, 2011, Pantoja, 2012).

The evolutionary history of AMT/MEP/Rh genes are complex and are tagged by replication and larger gene family expansions (Couturier *et al.*, 2007), loss and horizontal gene transfer (HGT) (Mcdonald *et al.*, 2010). For instance, the genome of higher plants not only consist of AMT1 family which was identified in 1994 but now includes AMT2, a second plant family of AMTs that has been shown to be more closely related to bacteria than to other plant AMTs (Sohlenkamp *et al.*, 2002). Fungal AMTs are also more closely related to prokaryotic AMTs than to most other eukaryotic AMTs (Monahan *et al.*, 2002). However, a number of eukaryotes including apicocomplexans, microsporidia, and diplomonads such as *Giardia* have no AMTs at all in their genomes. In all, a large sampling of diverse groups of organisms is crucial to reconstruct the evolutionary history of the AMT/MEP/Rh family (Mcdonald *et al.*, 2012).

The AMT proteins have been characterized genetically and biochemically from a variety of organisms with the most detailed information coming from studies of the *Escherichia coli* ammonia channel, AmtB (Fig. 1) (Conroy *et al.*, 2007, Khademi *et al.*, 2004, Zheng *et al.*, 2004). These studies have shown that the ammonium transporters are conformed as homotrimers, with a substrate conduction channel within each monomer (Conroy *et al.*, 2007, Zheng *et al.*, 2004). Despite their original designation, studies in bacteria suggest that they function as channels through which ammonia (NH₃) is transported (Javelle *et al.*, 2007). However, studies of plant AMTs have argued that they transport charged (NH₄⁺) or co-transport NH₃ with a proton (Ludewig *et al.*, 2002).



Figure 2. 2: The structure of AmtB ammonia channel of *Escherichia coli* with 11 transmembrane helices (M1-M11) adopted from Khademi *et al.* (2004)

In microorganisms and plants, the expression of AMT proteins is subject to nitrogen repression, in such a way that expression is essentially only instigated at low external ammonium concentration (Wiren and Merrick, 2004a). Under this trophic condition, the high NH₄⁺ affinity of AMTs may be important for efficient substrate capture. Furthermore, the specific features of the binding site may play a role in discriminating against other cations (Luzhkov *et al.*, 2006, Winkler, 2006). Analysis of the predicted amino acid sequences of AMT family members combined with X-ray structures of *E. coli* AmtB, *Archaeoglobus fulgidus* Amt1 and *Nitrosomonas europaea* Rh50 suggest that all ammonia channel proteins are likely to have either 11 or 12 transmembrane helices (Andrade *et al.*, 2005, Loque *et al.*, 2007, Zheng *et al.*, 2004). All three structures elucidated to date have 11 transmembrane helices with an N-out, C-in topology. Analyses of the human rhesus proteins (the animal homologues of the AMT proteins) however suggest that in some cases, there may be a 12th N-terminal helix giving an N-in, C-in topology.

2.4.1 Isolation and characterisation of plant AMTs

Ammonium uptake from the soil solution is mainly mediated by ammonium transport systems at the root plasma membrane. AMTs encode a high-affinity transport system (HATS) and a low affinity transport system (LATS) and constitute a multi-gene family (Bu *et al.*, 2011, Wang *et al.*, 1993a, Wang *et al.*, 1993b). They have been isolated in several plant species including *Arabidopsis thaliana* (AtAMT1.1 - AtAMT1.5, AtAMT2) (Gazzarini *et al.*, 1999, Kaiser *et al.*, 2002, Ninnemann *et al.*, 1994, Sohlenkamp *et al.*, 2002), *Oryza sativa*

(OsAMT1.1 - OsAMT1.4, OsAMT2.1 - OsAMT2.3, OsAMT3.1 - OsAMT3.3, OsAMT4 and OsAMT5) (Deng *et al.*, 2007, Li *et al.*, 2009, Sonoda *et al.*, 2003a, Suenaga *et al.*, 2003), *Solanum lycopersicum* (LeAMT1.1 - LeAMT1.3, LeAMT2) (Lauter *et al.*, 1996, Von Wiren *et al.*, 2000b), *Triticum aestivum* (TaAMT1.1 - TaAMT1.3, TaAMT2.1) (Jahn *et al.*, 2004), *Lotus japonicus* (LjAMT1.1 - 1.3, LjAMT2.1) (D'apuzzo *et al.*, 2004, Salvemini *et al.*, 2001, Simon-Rosin *et al.*, 2003), *Brassica napus* (BnAMT1.2) (Pearson *et al.*, 2002), *Phaseolus vulgaris* (PvAMT1.1) (Ortiz-Ramirez *et al.*, 2011), *Zea mays* (ZmAMT1.1a, ZmAMT1.3) (Gu *et al.*, 2013), *Populus trichocarpa* (Ptr1.1 - Ptr1.6, Ptr2.1 - Ptr2.2, Ptr3.1, Ptr4.1 - Ptr4.5) (Couturier *et al.*, 2007), *Citrus sinensis x Poncirus trifoliate* (CitAMT1) (Camanes *et al.*, 2009), *Chlamydomonas* (Gonzalez-Ballester *et al.*, 2004), *Pyrus betulifolia* (PbAMT1.2) (Cong *et al.*, 2011), *Puccinellia tenuiflora* (PutAMT1.1) (Bu *et al.*, 2013) and *Solanum tuberosome* AT1 (Zebarth *et al.*, 2012). Furthermore, putative *Cucumis sativus*, *Malus hupehensis var. mengshanensis, Citrus trifoliata, Pyrus ussuriensis, Camellia sinensis*, and *Medicago truncatulas*' AMT genes have also been identified (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

All plant's AMT proteins investigated so far are located in the plasma membrane suggesting that their role is in ammonium acquisition by plant cells (Loque *et al.*, 2006, Ludewig *et al.*, 2002, Ludewig *et al.*, 2003, Simon-Rosin *et al.*, 2003, Sohlenkamp *et al.*, 2002, Yuan *et al.*, 2007b). Moreover, the diverse nature of AMT homologs probably allows a greater regulatory flexibility and organelle, cell, tissue, or organ specialization, in addition to enabling plant cells to take up NH_4^+ over a wide range of concentrations (Sonoda *et al.*, 2003a). Ammonium transporters are expressed with a different pattern of regulation in several plant organs such as roots, leaves, stems and buds (Gazzarini *et al.*, 1999, Ninnemann *et al.*, 1994, Von Wiren *et al.*, 2000a), although preferentially expressed in the root tissue. On the other hand, the biochemical characterization in yeast of three *A. thaliana* AMT1 genes revealed different substrate affinities for both NH_4^+ and methylammonium (MA) used as an NH_4^+ analog (Gazzarini *et al.*, 1999).

The higher plants have two families of ammonium transporters (AMT1 and AMT2) in their genome (Couturier *et al.*, 2007, Simon-Rosin *et al.*, 2003). These two transporters both show a high affinity for NH_4^+ , yet those from the AMT2 family do not transport the ammonium analog, methylammonium; has lower transport capacity. Furthermore, ammonium transport by AtAMT2 has been shown to be electro-neutral, suggesting that the transported species corresponds to the uncharged NH_3 and not NH_4^+ (Neuhauser *et al.*, 2009, Simon-Rosin *et al.*, 2003, Sohlenkamp *et al.*, 2002).

The activity of plant AMT1 family members is pH-independent (Ludewig *et al.*, 2002, Ludewig *et al.*, 2003, Wood *et al.*, 2006) with the exception of *Phaseolus vulgaris* PvAMT1.1 and *Triticum aestivum* TaAMT1.1 (Ortiz-Ramirez *et al.*, 2011, Sogaard *et al.*, 2009). AMT1 transcript levels mostly increase during N deprivation and decrease rapidly in response to high N supply, consistent with an optimized ammonium uptake function required in N-starvation conditions (Couturier *et al.*, 2007, Gazzarini *et al.*, 1999, Pearson and Stewart, 1993, Rawat *et al.*, 1999, Von Wiren *et al.*, 2000a).

2.4.1.1 Characterization of Arabidopsis AtAMT

Ninnemann *et al.* (1994) isolated the first plant NH_4^+ transporter (AtAMT1.1) through heterologous complementation of the double mutant yeast strain 26972c (mep1-1; mep2-1) with cDNAs from an *Arabidopsis thaliana* library. Other members of the AMT1 gene family in *A. thaliana*, including AtAMT1.2, AtAMT1.3, AtAMT1.4, AtAMT1.5 and AtAMT2.1 were further isolated by screening of DNA libraries and PCR-based approaches (Gazzarini *et al.*, 1999, Sohlenkamp *et al.*, 2000, Von Wiren *et al.*, 2000b).

The AtAMTs have an open reading frame of 500-530 amino acids and encode highly hydrophobic proteins with 11 putative membrane-spanning regions (Von Wiren *et al.*, 2000a) as shown in Fig. 2. The K_m of the transporters for NH_4^+ was reported to be less than 0.5-40µM (Gazzarini *et al.*, 1999, Ninnemann *et al.*, 1994). Amino acid sequence shows that AtAMT1.3 and AtAMT1.5 are the most closely related with greater than 90% similarity, yet, AtAMT1.1 is 80% similar to AtAMT1.3 and AtAMT1.5. AtAMT1.2 and AtAMT1.4 are more distantly related with 70% similarity to the other AtAMTS (Von Wiren *et al.*, 2000a).



Figure 2. 3: Structural model of AtAMT1.1 with 11 transmembrane-spanning domains adopted from Von Wiren *et al.* (2000a)

Transcriptome and RNA gel blot analyses have shown that four of the six AMT/MEP homologs in *Arabidopsis* are expressed in roots and up-regulated under nitrogen deficiency (Birnbaum *et al.*, 2003, Gazzarini *et al.*, 1999, Schmid *et al.*, 2005, Sohlenkamp *et al.*, 2002). The AtAMT1.1, AtAMT1.2, AtAMT1.5 and AtAMT2.1 are mainly expressed in roots and shoots, AtAMT1.3 specifically in roots and AtAMT1.4 in pollen (Ludewig *et al.*, 2007, Sohlenkamp *et al.*, 2000, Yuan *et al.*, 2009). Their expression in shoots may play roles in ammonium recycling, especially after photorespiration (Sohlenkamp *et al.*, 2000).

In roots, the point of location of AtAMT1.1 is the plasma membrane (rhizodermis, cortex, and pericycle) (Mayer and Ludewig, 2006), which is responsible for about 30% of the NH₄⁺ uptake in *Arabidopsis* roots (Kaiser *et al.*, 2002). AtAMT1.3 partially shares expression site with AtAMT1.1 (rhizodermis and cortex) and contributes another 30% of NH₄⁺ acquisition in roots (Loque *et al.*, 2006). AtAMT1.2 may consequently transport the remaining 40% NH₄⁺ inflow in roots (Neuhauser *et al.*, 2007, Sohlenkamp *et al.*, 2000). Location of AtAMT1.2 in the plant root endodermis and cortex, facilitates the uptake of NH₄⁺ from external environments as well as the translocation of NH₄⁺ from roots to shoots (Li *et al.*, 2009). Owing to the fact that the additive role of AtAMT1.1 and AtAMT1.3 in taking up NH₄⁺ in nitrogen-deficient roots accounts for 65 to 70% of the total uptake capacity (Loque *et al.*,

2006), AtAMT1.1, AtAMT1.2, and AtAMT1.3 might jointly explain 90% of the overall ammonium uptake capacity in *Arabidopsis* roots.

AtAMT1.5, a second, saturable transport system from nitrogen-deficient quadruple insertion line (qko) plants has a low K_m (4.5 µM) and a very low capacity that tallied only from 5 to 10% of the wild-type transport capacity (Yuan *et al.*, 2007a). Engineer and Kranz (2007) as well as Yuan *et al.* (2007a) in their studies, agreed that only AtAMT1.5 could potentially represent this second saturable transport system, among the *Arabidopsis* AMT proteins. This is because AtAMT1.5 transcripts were accumulated under nitrogen deficiency, yet nitrogen deficiency did not have any effect on AtAMT1.4 expression and was at least a thousand-fold lower under either nitrogen-deficient or-sufficient condition. In addition, AtAMT1.5 may be localized at the plasma membrane in *Arabidopsis* due to the fact that it encodes a functional ammonium transporter (Yuan *et al.*, 2007a). It has also been reported that AtAMT1.5 may play a role in accessing external ammonium by uptake across the plasma membrane of rhizodermis cells (Yuan *et al.*, 2007a).

High-affinity NH_4^+ inflow is strongly correlated with AMT1 transcript abundance, which appears to be regulated by root glutamine levels (but not NH_4^+) concentration (Gansel *et al.*, 2001, Gazzarini *et al.*, 1999, Rawat *et al.*, 1999). Moreover, the transcript levels of AtAMTs are regulated by the available N supply, photosynthetic products and diurnal change (Gazzarini *et al.*, 1999).

Ammonium can be directly taken up from the soil solution by AtAMT1.1, AtAMT1.3 and AtAMT1.5 at the surface and root hairs of the rhizodermis (Yuan *et al.*, 2007a). AtAMT1.2 faces higher ammonium concentrations than the AtAMT1.1 and AtAMT1.3 rhizodermis-located proteins. The lower K_m of AtAMT1.2 is hence suited to this environment, enabling efficient substrate transport close to saturation of the transporter (Yuan *et al.*, 2007a). This shows that the biochemical transport properties and specific location of AtAMT1.2 represent an adaptation to retrieval of ammonium that is released from the cortex and that enters the root tissue via the apoplastic transport route (Yuan *et al.*, 2007a).

The substrate affinities of *Arabidopsis* ammonium transporter proteins have been calculated to be 50.0, 233.9, 60.5 and 4.5 μ M for AtAMT1.1, AtAMT1.2, AtAMT1.3 and AtAMT1.5, respectively (Yuan *et al.*, 2007a). AtAMT1.2 expressed in yeast mutant displays biphasic kinetics (K_m values of 36 μ M and 3.0 mM) for methylammonium uptake (Shelden *et al.*, 2001). AtAMT1.1 and AtAMT1.3 are involved in the high affinity loading of NH₄⁺ at the

rhizodermis (Loque *et al.*, 2006, Mayer and Ludewig, 2006, Wood *et al.*, 2006), yet AtAMT1.2 has a lower affinity to NH_4^+ and is preferentially localized in the root endodermis. The significantly lower substrate affinity shown by AtAMT1.2, suggests adaptation to higher substrate concentrations (Yuan *et al.*, 2007a). From a biological perspective, differing substrate affinities of individual transporters might then represent one factor for the existence of multiple AMT1 homologues (Gazzarini *et al.*, 1999).

In wild-type plants, the order in which the AMT genes were expressed in roots after N starvation from most to least abundant was AtAMT1.2 > AtAMT1.1 > AtAMT1.3 > AtAMT2.1 (Kaiser *et al.*, 2002) . Yuan *et al.* (2007a) in the same way, reported the capacities of the four ammonium transporters in *Arabidopsis* roots in their decreasing order: AtAMT1.1= AtAMT1.3 > AtAMT1.2 > AtAMT1.5. Nitrogen deficiency led to increase in ammonium transport capacities of all the four AtAMT1 proteins, although individual ammonium transport capacities (more importantly those of AtAMT1.1 and AtAMT1.3) might depend on the presence of other transporters (Loque *et al.*, 2006, Yuan *et al.*, 2007a). Moreover, the steep increase in AtAMT1.1 mRNA suggested that the gene product makes a major contribution to the overall ammonium uptake capacity in the roots (Loque and Von Wiren, 2004). To meet the plant's nitrogen demand, its nutritional status determines the overall ammonium transport capacities (Yuan *et al.*, 2007b).

Isolation and partial characterization of the ammonium transporter AtAMT2 from *Arabidopsis* was later reported. The study shows that AtAMT2 is only distantly related to plant AMT1 proteins (Howitt and Udvardi, 2000, Sohlenkamp *et al.*, 2000). When sequenced, AtAMT2 was more closely related to the ammonium transporters Mep1, 2 and 3 from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and with AmtB from *E.coli*, forming, together with other prokaryotic homologues, the MEP subfamily (Ludewig *et al.*, 2001). Hence, plant AMT2 family is more closely related to ammonium transporters than it is to plant AMT1 transporters (Sohlenkamp *et al.*, 2002). As a result of this, AtAMT2.1 is the only member of the MEP subfamily, while the five homologs, AtAMT1.1 to AtAMT1.5, constitute the AMT clade (Ludewig *et al.*, 2001).
2.4.1.2 Characterisation of AMT1 in legume crops

2.4.1.2.1 Lotus japonicus LjAMT1

Three homologs of ammonium transporter gene (LjAMT1.1, LjAMT1.2 and LjAMT1.3) were cloned and characterized from *Lotus japonicus* which is a legume plant (D'apuzzo *et al.*, 2004, Salvemini *et al.*, 2001). They were identified through the functional complementation of the yeast triple mutant strain 31019b and homology to other members of the AMT1 family (D'apuzzo *et al.*, 2004, Salvemini *et al.*, 2001).

They have high affinity for ammonium with transport affinity of 1.7, 3 and 15 µM for LjAMT1.1, LjAMT1.2 and LjAMT1.3 respectively (D'apuzzo et al., 2004, Salvemini et al., 2001). Amino acid sequence comparison shows that LjAMT1.1 and LjAMT1.2 are more closely related with 76% similarity while LjAMT1.3 is more distantly related with 73% and 71% similarity to LjAMT1.2 and LjAMT1.2 respectively (D'apuzzo et al., 2004). The LjAMT1 homologs are expressed in the roots, leaves, nodule and flowers of Lotus japonicus (D'apuzzo et al., 2004, Salvemini et al., 2001). They are highly expressed in leaves than in roots and nodule with LjAMT1.1 having the highest expression level followed by LjAMT1.2 then LjAMT1.3. The expression level of LjAMT1.3 was reported to be higher in flower than in leaves while LjAMT1.1 showed the least expression in flower (D'apuzzo et al., 2004, Rogato et al., 2010, Salvemini et al., 2001). In roots, the expression of LjAMT1.1 and LjAMT1.2 was induced when subjected to N deficiency while the expression of LjAMT1.3 remained low regardless N supply (D'apuzzo et al., 2004, Rogato et al., 2010). However, there was high expression level of LjAMT1 homologs in leaves when Lotus japonicus was grown in hydroponic condition with both high and low N supply (D'apuzzo et al., 2004). This strange pattern of expression was also reported for the LeAMT1.3 and AtAMT2 in non-legume plants (Sohlenkamp et al., 2002, Von Wiren et al., 2000b). The localization of LjAMT1 homologs in different tissues as well as their varying expression pattern indicates differential role in both the N metabolism of the organs and the NH_4^+ translocation in sink and source tissues (D'apuzzo et al., 2004). LjAMT1.1 is the first ammonium transporter gene to be reported to have an intron (1009 base pairs) and no open reading frames of significant length have been found in this unusually long intron sequence (Salvemini et al., 2001). Yet, LjAMT1.2 and LjAMT1.3 has complete open reading frames of 519 and 507 respectively (D'apuzzo et al., 2004).

The principal form of N transported from symbiotic N₂-fixing bacteria to their host plant is likely NH_4^+ (Howitt and Udvardi, 2000, Tate *et al.*, 1999, Tyerman *et al.*, 1995, Von Wiren *et*

al., 2000a). It is crucial to isolate and study the ammonium transporters from leguminous plants since these genes may play a strategic role in different steps of the *Rhizobium* infection, colonization N-fixation and N export (Salvemini *et al.*, 2001). LjAMT1.1 and LjAMT1.2 could be involved in recovering NH_4^+ lost from nodule cells in the different tissues during normal metabolism (D'apuzzo *et al.*, 2004, Salvemini *et al.*, 2001).

2.4.1.2.2 Phaseolus vulgaris PvAMT1

The ammonium transporter of *Phaseolus vulgaris* (PvAMT1.1) which is a legume and common bean was characterized in a study by Ortiz-Ramirez *et al.* (2011). PvAMT1.1 mediates the high affinity (in micromolar range) and electrogenic transport of NH_4^+ (Ortiz-Ramirez *et al.*, 2011). PvAMT1.1 encodes for a highly selective ammonium transporter and its activity relies on pH. PvAMT1.1 was subjected to different external pH values in which the analysis showed that NH_4^+ transport increased as the medium became more acidic at an optimum pH close to 5.5 involving the transport of H⁺. This indicate that PvAMT1.1 functions as an H⁺/NH₄⁺ (1:1 ratio) symporter (Ortiz-Ramirez *et al.*, 2011).

2.4.1.3 Characterisation of AMT1 in non-legume crops 2.4.1.3.1 Solanum lycopersicum LeAMT1

Three *Solanum lycopersicum* (tomato) AMT1 homologs (LeAMT1.1-1.3) were isolated by screening a cDNA library of different tissues, and of the tomato genome using a PCR-based approach (Lauter *et al.*, 1996, Von Wiren *et al.*, 2000b) and a single putative orthologue of AtAMT2 and LeAMT2.

Transcript of LeAMT1.1 and LeAMT1.2 were detected both in roots and shoots, yet LeAMT1.1 and LeAMT1.2 were preferentially expressed in root hairs of tomato roots, indicating that they act by acquiring NH_4^+ from the rhizosphere (Von Wiren *et al.*, 2000a). On the other hand, LeAMT1.3 is mainly expressed in shoots (Lauter *et al.*, 1996) which makes it the only member of the plant AMT1 family that is not preferentially expressed in roots (Von Wiren *et al.*, 2000b). The expression patterns of LeAMT1.2 and LeAMT1.3 varies in the sense that the highest LeAMT1.3 transcript levels occur during the dark period while the highest LeAMT1.2 plays a role in the uptake of xylem-derived NH_4^+ or in the retrieval of photorespiratory NH_3 . However, LeAMT1.3 may function by transporting or retrieving NH_4^+ during the dark period. NH_4^+ could be released from reactions involving other light-repressed

enzymes, such as asparagine synthetase and glutamate dehydrogenase (Lam *et al.*, 1995, Lam *et al.*, 1998). Deficient N in roots increases the expression level of LeAMT1.1 whereas the expression level of LeAMT1.2 is mainly induced by the supply of NH_4^+ or NO_3^- (Lauter *et al.*, 1996, Von Wiren *et al.*, 2000b). The contrary responses of LeAMT1.1 and LeAMT1.2 to N supply indicates that different NH_4^+ transporters are required to meet the plant's demand for N when growing in N-deficient and N-abundance soil (Von Wiren *et al.*, 2000b).

2.4.1.3.2 Brassica napus BnAMT1

Pearson *et al.* (2002) isolated two homologues of *Brassica napus* AMT (BnAMT1.1 and BnAMT1.2) from the shoot mRNA using a PCR based approach. The study revealed that both BnAMTs are high-affinity transport system in the Kinetic analysis of NH_4^+ entry into leaf protoplasts of *Brassica napus*.

The high expression of BnAMT1.2 under most conditions in the leaves has led to the suggestion that, the primary function of the leaf BnAMT1.2 protein is the transport of NH_4^+ from the apoplast and may play an important role in the recovery of photorespiratory NH_4^+ (Pearson *et al.*, 2002). A RT-PCR analysis indicates that the long-term exposure of BnAMT1.2 to 1.0 mM NH_4^+ results in an 80% decrease in mRNA expression (Pearson *et al.*, 2002).

2.4.1.3.3 Populus trichocarpa PtrAMT

The *Populus trichocarpa* genome contains 14 putative AMT genes (Ptr1.1-Ptr1.6, Ptr2.1-Ptr2.2, Ptr3.1, Ptr4.1-Ptr4.5), comprising of AMT1 subfamily (six genes) and AMT2 subfamily (eight genes) (Couturier *et al.*, 2007). Indeed, *Populus trichocarpa* possesses much more AMT2 homologs than *Arabidopsis* while it also possesses much more AMT1 homologs than rice (Couturier *et al.*, 2007).

The expression of PtrAMT1.2 is high and specific to roots. In a like manner, PtrAMT1.1, PtrAMT1.5, PtrAMT2.2 and PtrAMT4.5 are expressed in roots, an indication that they respond to N demand through uptake of soil NH₄⁺ in various N regime (Couturier *et al.*, 2007). On the other hand, their expression was also indicated in other poplar tissues including petiole (PtrAMT1.1 and PtrAMT2.2) or stamen (PtrAMT1.5). Consequently, their role may not be specific to root uptake (Couturier *et al.*, 2007). PtrAMT1.6, PtrAMT2.1 and PtrAMT3.1 are exclusively expressed in shoot tissues (Couturier *et al.*, 2007). The expression of PtrAMT1.5, PtrAMT1.6 and PtrAMT3.1 increased as the leaves matures 23

(Couturier *et al.*, 2007) but also highly corresponds with the expression of the marker gene, cysteine protease whose involvement in senescence has been reported (Bhalerao *et al.*, 2003). The expression of PtrAMT3.1 was however, only discovered in senescing leaves which is in contrast to PtrAMT1.5 and PtrAMT1.6 (Couturier *et al.*, 2007). However, PtrAMT1.5 and PtrAMT1.6 also differs in their expression patterns in which both were fully expressed in senescing leaves, yet PtrAMT1.5 expression in flowers was specific to stamens and that of PtrAMT1.6 specific to female flowers. PtrAMT1.5 has a similar high expression pattern in the stamen with its closest ortholog AtAMT1.4 (Zimmermann *et al.*, 2004). Indeed PtrAMT1.5 and PtrAMT1.6, might have important and specific roles in reproductive organ development in the dioecious plant, poplar (Couturier *et al.*, 2007).

2.4.1.3.4 Zea mays ZaAMT1

A recent publication by Gu *et al.* (2013) reported the isolation of two ammonium transporter genes (ZmAMT1.1a and ZmAMT1.3) from a maize root–specific cDNA library by functional complementation of an ammonium uptake-defective yeast mutant (Gu *et al.*, 2013). The ectopic expression of ZmAMT1.1a and ZmAMT1.3 in an ammonium uptake defective *Arabidopsis* mutant, supported ammonium transport by both genes (Gu *et al.*, 2013). Moreover, a short-term inflow study on ZmAMT1.1a and ZmAMT1.3 proteins revealed that they function as high-affinity ammonium transporters with substrate affinities close to AtAMT1.1 and AtAMT1.3 (Gu *et al.*, 2013, Yuan *et al.*, 2007a). The two proteins have K_m values of between 30 and 60 μ M (48 and 33 μ M for ZmAMT1.1a and ZmAMT1.3, respectively) and consequently appear highly adapted to the ammonium concentrations found in most soils (Barber, 1995, Marschner and Marschner, 2012, Miller *et al.*, 2007). Just like the regulation of OsAMT1.1 and OsAMT1.2, the up-regulation of the ZmAMT1.1a and ZmAMT1.3 is controlled specifically by a local NH₄⁺ signal (Gu *et al.*, 2013)

2.4.1.3.5 Oryza sativa OsAMT

Twelve AMT homologs have been reported so far in rice and allocated to five sub-families (OsAMT1.1-OsAMT1.4, OsAMT2.1-OsAMT2.3, OsAMT3.1-OsAMT3.3, OsAMT4 and OsAMT5.1- OsAMT5.2) (Bu *et al.*, 2011, Deng *et al.*, 2007, Li *et al.*, 2009, Sonoda *et al.*, 2003a, Suenaga *et al.*, 2003).

Reports from various studies showed that the expression levels of AMTs are generally regulated by N starvation, N form and N level. However, reports on AMTs in rice differ from different varieties, to growth conditions and experimental setups (Kumar et al., 2003, Sonoda et al., 2003b). OsAMT1:1 is constitutively expressed in shoots and stimulated by NH_4^+ in roots of rice (Bu et al., 2011, Li and Shi, 2006, Sonoda et al., 2003a). Although the expression of OsAMT1.2 and OsAMT1.3 are specific to root (Li et al., 2012, Sonoda et al., 2003a), they are as well expressed in shoots (Li and Shi, 2006, Zhao et al., 2008). In addition, OsAMT1.2 is NH₄⁺ inducible while OsAMT1.3 is NH₄⁺ depressible (Sonoda *et al.*, 2003a). Li and Shi (2006) indeed reported cortical cells and vascular cylinder of rice roots as the site where OsAMT1.2 mRNA expression was detected. This consequently showed that OsAMT1.2 may play a role in NH_4^+ uptake and retrieval in the vascular system in addition to NH₄⁺uptake from soil solution (Bu et al., 2011). The supply and resupply of NH₄⁺ upregulates the expression level of OsAMT1.1 (Li and Shi, 2006, Sonoda et al., 2003a), yet OsAMT1.3 was strongly up-regulated when NH_4^+ , NO_3^- or both were resupplied for 2 h and also showed faint expression under N deprivation (Li and Shi, 2006, Sun et al., 2006). However, several other studies pointed out that the expression pattern of OsAMT1.3 was repressed when N was supplied making it similar to AtAMT1.1 and LeAMT1.1 (Gazzarini et al., 1999, Rawat et al., 1999, Von Wiren et al., 2000b). In a like manner, Tabuchi et al. (2007) suggested that the role of OsAMT1.3 in rice may be to support OsAMT1.1 in taking up NH₄⁺ ion when soil NH₄⁺ is low. Either OsAMT1.1 or OsAMT1.2 demands lesser ammonium concentration compared to OsAMT2.1 which needs higher NH₄⁺ concentration in order to rescue the growth of a yeast amt deletion strain (5 mmol/L rather than 1 mmol/L) (Li *et al.*, 2009). This is an indication that OsAMT2.1 may have a low affinity for NH_4^+ under these circumstances (Li et al., 2009).

OsAMT1.1, OsAMT1.2 and OsAMT1.3 showed 74.2%, 73.7% and 70.4% sequence-identity to AtAMT1.1 (Ninnemann *et al.*, 1994) and 74.4%, 75.4% and 70.9% to LeAMT1.1 respectively (Lauter *et al.*, 1996, Sonoda *et al.*, 2003a). Sequence analysis of two expressed sequence tags and one DNA clone in a study by Sonoda *et al.* (2003a), showed that the open reading frames of OsAMT1.1, OsAMT1.2 and OsAMT1.3 encode 499, 497 and 498 amino acid residues, with a predicted molecular mass of 52.6, 52.2 and 53.1 kDa, respectively.

2.4.1.3.6 Triticum aestivum TaAMT1

Sogaard *et al.* (2009) cloned, sequenced and investigated TaAMT1.1 from wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) expressed in *Xenopus oocytes* by electrode voltage clamp and radio-labelled uptakes. The study reported increase in the NH_4^+ - induced currents when the external pH was decreased from 7.4 to 5.5. This showed that TaAMT1.1 is pH dependent, a characteristic similar to PvAMT1.1 (Ortiz-Ramirez *et al.*, 2011). Indeed, it is a strong indication of NH_4^+ or MeA⁺ transport. Hence, TaAMT1.1 expressed in *Xenopus oocytes* transport ammonia in the form of NH_4^+ (Sogaard *et al.*, 2009).

2.4.1.3.7 Puccinellia tenuiflora PutAMT1

Puccinellia tenuiflora is a monocotyledonous plant that thrives well under high pH (> 9) and extreme low N in the soil. PutAMT1.1, the first member of the AMT1 family was identified and characterized in *Puccinellia tenuiflora*. Its open reading frame encodes 499 amino acids with a predicted molecular mass of 55.47 kDa (Bu *et al.*, 2013).

A semi quantitative PCR carried out on various *P tenuiflora* tissues showed the expression of PutAMT1.1 in all the plant organs but the expression was higher in the panicle stem and the anther (Bu *et al.*, 2013). PutAMT1.1 is pH independent and it functionally complements a yeast mutant 31019b deficient in ammonium uptake. PutAMT1.1 protein is located in the plasma membrane and around the nuclear periphery in yeast cells and *P tenuiflora* suspension cells. Immunoelectron microscopy analysis further showed that PutAMT1.1 is located in the endomembrane (Bu *et al.*, 2013).

2.5 Expression profiling

Gene expression profiling provides new insights into regulatory gene networks and offers more in-depth understanding of various biological processes (Wise *et al.*, 2007). Two types of transcribed genes can be found in the genome. They can either be transcribed into messenger ribonucleic acids (mRNAs) that will be translated into proteins, or be transcribed into non-coding RNAs (Backofen *et al.*, 2007). Hence, mRNA gene expression profiling is crucial for investigating gene function in plant biology (Shi and Chiang, 2005).

Busch and Lohmann (2007) classified the different methods for gene expression profiling into three categories: (1) PCR-based methods, such as quantitative real-time reverse-transcription PCR; (2) sequencing based methods, such as serial analysis of gene

expression (SAGE), and massive parallel signature sequencing (MPSS); and (3) hybridization-based methods, such as microarrays and northern blotting. These state-of-the art technologies are more frequently applied on model plants such as *Arabidopsis* and high value commercial crops such as potato, rice and maize. However, it has not been used on African crops such as Bambara groundnut. There are advantages and disadvantages associated with each group of methods. The method recommended also depends on the objective of the investigation and budget. Hence, this literature will be focusing on the PCR-based methods.

2.5.1 PCR-based approach

Quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR is the best known technique for small and medium scale expression profiling (Heid *et al.*, 1996). It is one of the reliable technologies of the genomic age that is well adopted for the detection of mRNA (Bustin, 2000) and it also offers quantitative information about transcript levels (Bengtsson *et al.*, 2005). In fact, the simplest and impartial approach to analyse a RNA population is the sequencing of complementary DNA (cDNA) libraries and quantitative analysis of the resulting expressed sequence tags (Busch and Lohmann, 2007). mRNA quantification method avoids the need to use suitable antibodies and has the ability to detect very small amounts of target molecule, depending on the technique used (Thellin *et al.*, 2009).

2.5.1.1 Polymerase chain reaction

The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is a technology in molecular biology that enables exponential amplification of short DNA sequence within a longer double stranded DNA molecule, generating thousands to millions of copies of a particular DNA sequence (Hunt, 2010). The process is carried out by thermal cycling with cycles of repeated heating and cooling of the reaction for DNA melting and enzymatic replication of the DNA (Hunt, 2010, Kubista *et al.*, 2006). PCR depends on the use of a pair of primers (short DNA fragment) that are complementary to a target sequence on each of the two strands of the DNA (Dieffenbach *et al.*, 1993, Hunt, 2010). These primers are extended by a DNA polymerase to allow selective and repeated amplification (Hunt, 2010). During the course of the reaction, the DNA generated is itself used as a template for replication, putting in place a chain reaction in which the DNA template is exponentially amplified. A heat-stable DNA polymerase, such as Taq polymerase (an enzyme originally isolated from the bacterium *Thermus aquaticus* that grows in hot pools) is a key component in PCR since these

technology requires the application of a high temperature to separate the two strands of the double helical DNA in each round of the amplification processes (Hunt, 2010, Kebelmann-Betzing *et al.*, 1998).

The PCR temperature cycles consist of three steps; the denaturation, annealing and elongation step. At denaturation step, the reaction is heated as high as 94 – 98 °C for 20-30 seconds to separate the two strands of the DNA double helix. This process is called DNA melting where the hydrogen bonds of the DNA template is disrupted between complementary bases, yielding single stranded DNA molecule (Hunt, 2010). The temperature is then lowered to 50 - 60 °C for 20 - 40 seconds at the annealing step so as to enable specific primers anneal to the single stranded DNA (Hunt, 2010, Kubista et al., 2006). However, the choice of the annealing temperature depends on the primers. It is usually a few degrees lower than the melting temperature of the two primers so that they can form stable complexes with the targeted sequences but not with any other sequences (Kubista et al., 2006). The temperature at the elongation step is raised to 72 °C, which is optimum for Tag DNA polymerase that extends the primers by incorporating the deoxynucleoside triphosphates (dNTPs) (Hunt, 2010, Kubista et al., 2006, Lawyer et al., 1993). In the absence of any limitation as a result of limiting substrates or reagents, at each extension step, the amount of DNA target is doubled, leading to geometric amplification of the specific DNA fragment. The reaction usually comes to an end after 30 - 40 rounds of amplification, with the PCR product being analysed by agarose gel electrophoresis (Hunt, 2010).

The PCR process can be divided into three phases; the exponential, linear and plateau phase. At the exponential phase, PCR reaches its optimal reaction efficiency in which the PCR product doubles at every cycle. Exponential amplification occurs at this phase due to the fact that all the reagents are fresh, available and at their optimum capacity. The reaction is very sensitive and precise at this stage (Reece, 2011). During linear phase, some of the reagents (like dNTPs and primers) are being used-up due to amplification, then the reaction begins to slow down and the PCR product no longer doubles at each stage. At the plateau phase, no more products are being made and the reaction eventually stops.

Agarose gel electrophoresis separates and analyses the size of the PCR product to examine whether the PCR generated the anticipated DNA fragment (Kryndushkin *et al.*, 2003). An agarose gel is stained with ethidium bromide (a dye that binds to double stranded DNA) which illuminates under ultraviolet light and causes the intercalated stain to fluorescent. The

size of PCR product is determined by comparison with a molecular weight marker that contains DNA fragment of known size, run on the gel along the PCR product.

2.5.1.2 Reverse transcription

Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction is a qualitative or semi-quantitative method most commonly used to characterise or confirm gene expression as well as compare mRNA levels in different samples (Bustin et al., 2005, Freeman et al., 1999, Orlando et al., 1998). In order to estimate the expression of a gene, reverse transcriptase is used to convert mRNA into complementary DNA (cDNA), which will then be amplified by PCR (Bustin, 2000, Hunt, 2010). The two reverse transcriptase that are often used are avian myeloblastosis virus reverse transcriptase (AMV-RT) and moloney murine leukaemia virus reverse transcriptase (MMLV-RT) (Bustin, 2000). Complementary DNA reaction can be primed from the RNA template using random primers, oligo-dT and target gene-specific primers (Bustin et al., 2005, Bustin, 2005). Random primers yield the most cDNA, yet it initiates transcript from multiple origins along the RNA template and consequently produce more than one cDNA target per original mRNA target. Additionally, most of the cDNA synthesised from total RNA is ribosomal RNA (rRNA)-derived (Bustin et al., 2005). Oligo-dT is more specific than random priming. It works best when the aim of the assay is to get a faithful cDNA representation of the mRNA pool. Indeed, it is the most suitable method when the assay is directed at amplifying several targets mRNAs from a limited RNA sample. However, it does not work well with poor quality RNA from formalin-fixed archival material (Bustin, 2005). Target-specific priming is the most specific method of synthesizing cDNA and the best system of quantification, due to its incomparable sensitivity. However, it demands separate priming reactions for each target (Bustin, 2005, Lekanne Deprez et al., 2002).

2.5.1.3 Real time PCR

Real time PCR otherwise called quantitative PCR (Mackay, 2007), quantitative real-time PCR (Radonic *et al.*, 2004) and real time quantitative PCR (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001), proffers a simple and suitable method for determining the amount of a target sequence or gene that is present in a sample. It is one of the most powerful and widely used techniques for analysing mRNA expression because of its high sensitivity, sequence-specificity, wide dynamic range, low template concentration requirements and little to no post-amplification processing (Shi and Chiang, 2005, Wong and Medrano, 2005). Apart from giving quantitative results, real-time PCR is easy and convenient to use (Radonic *et al.*, 2004).

Indeed, real time PCR is a system that works by collecting data throughout the PCR process as it occurs, thereby combining amplification, detection and guantification into a single step (Higuchi et al., 1993, Wong and Medrano, 2005). This is attained by using a fluorescent reporter that binds to the amplicons and reports its presence by fluorescence. The quantity of PCR product formed is monitored as the reaction is proceeding, by noting the fluorescence of dyes or probes introduced into the reaction that is correlative to the amount of product formed, and the number of amplification cycles required to obtain a particular amount of DNA molecules is registered (Jungebloud et al., 2007, Kubista et al., 2006). Hence, the correlation between fluorescence and amount of amplicons allows precise quantification of target molecules over a wide and dynamic range in the presence of suitable standards (Bustin, 2008). The fluorescent DNA probes that is often used in real time RT-PCR are SYBR Green, Taqman, Molecular Beacons, and Scorpions (Holden and Wang, 2008). The SYBR Green dye produces its fluorescent signal by binding to the doublestranded DNA in solution. However, the Tagman, Molecular Beacons and Scorpions emit their fluorescent based on Forster Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) coupling of the dye molecule and a quencher molecy of the oligonucleotide substrates (Holden and Wang, 2008). However, SYBR Green is the easiest and cheapest probe to use (Schmittgen et al., 2000).

The amplification plot contains valuable information for the quantitative measurement of DNA or RNA. The threshold line is the point at which a reaction reaches a fluorescent intensity above background. The PCR cycle at which the target amplification is first detected in a reaction is called the threshold cycle (C_t). Indeed, threshold cycle is the number of PCR cycles at which fluorescence produced from the amplification product first exceeds a baseline level (Bustin, 2005, Heid *et al.*, 1996, Wong and Medrano, 2005).

However, the quality or accuracy of the results got in real-time quantitative PCR assay greatly depends on the integrity of the RNA template, the choice of cDNA priming strategy and reverse transcriptase, the features of the primers and the validity of the normalisation method (Bustin, 2008, Dheda *et al.*, 2005, Dheda *et al.*, 2004, Huggett *et al.*, 2005, Tricarico *et al.*, 2002).

2.5.1.3.1 One-step and Two-step reverse transcription-quantitative PCR

In an experiment to quantify mRNA, reverse transcription-qPCR can be performed as either a one-step reaction or a two-step reaction (Battaglia *et al.*, 1998, Vandesompele *et al.*, 2002). One-step reaction permits the use of a single buffer, where the entire reaction from cDNA synthesis to PCR amplification is performed in a single tube (Aatsinki *et al.*, 1994, Wong and Medrano, 2005). In contrast, the two-step reaction entails that the reverse transcription and PCR amplification be performed in separate tubes (Vandesompele *et al.*, 2002, Wong and Medrano, 2005). Experimental variation is reduced in one-step real-time PCR owing to the fact that both enzymatic reactions occur in single tube. Furthermore, it is more convenient and reduces the risk of cross-contamination (Aatsinki *et al.*, 1994, Mallet *et al.*, 1995). One-step reaction may however, not be suitable in circumstances where the same sample is analysed severally over a period of time, because it uses an RNA starting template which is susceptible to rapid degradation if not handled properly (Wong and Medrano, 2005). On the other hand, two-step real-time PCR is more reproducible and more sensitive while it is more prone to DNA contamination compared to one-step real-time PCR (Vandesompele *et al.*, 2002, Wong and Medrano, 2005).

2.5.1.4 Relative quantification

In relative quantification, expression level of mRNA is measured based on a reference sample or internal control (Fink *et al.*, 1998, Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). It involves the co-amplification of an internal control simultaneously with the mRNA of interest (Fink *et al.*, 1998, Minton, 1995). In this assay, there is a direct comparison between the target mRNA cycle threshold and the internal control cycle threshold values, and the results are expressed as ratios of target mRNA signal to internal control signal (Bustin, 2005). The values obtained here can then be used to compare between the samples in order to estimate the relative expression level of target mRNA (Bustin, 2005, Gause and Adamovicz, 1994). However, for relative quantification results to be accurate and relevant, it is important that the expression level of the internal control is constant across all samples and with the target mRNA. Moreover, amplification efficiency of a target gene and internal control must be similar due to the fact that they directly influence the precision of any calculated expression result (Liu and Saint, 2002, Pfaffl, 2001).

2.5.1.5 Absolute quantification

Absolute quantification entails the use of serially diluted standards with a known concentration of initial amount of total RNA or cDNA to generate a standard curve (Bustin, 2005, Wong and Medrano, 2005). The standard curve is generated by plotting the C_t values against the logarithm of the initial copy numbers there by enabling the calculation of the

concentration of unknown samples based on their C_t values (Heid *et al.*, 1996, Ke *et al.*, 2000). Absolute quantification presumes that all standards and samples have roughly equal amplification efficiency (Souaze *et al.*, 1996). The PCR standard curve can be constructed from fragments of double-stranded DNA, single-stranded DNA or in vitro T7-transcribed RNA (cRNA) bearing the target sequence (Fronhoffs *et al.*, 2002, Pfaffl and Hageleit, 2001). The standards used have to be a pure species. Furthermore, the accuracy of the standards is crucial for the accuracy of absolute quantification (Bustin, 2005, Wong and Medrano, 2005).

CHAPTER THREE

MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 Materials and methods

This chapter presents the description of the materials, experimental protocols and analytical procedures used in this study. The rationale for the use of particular materials and methods is also discussed in this chapter.

3.2 Bioinformatics

Several plant AMT genes have been studied and reported, yet the information is not available in a consolidated manner. In fact no report has been made on AMTs from indigenous African crops. Potato can be used as a model crop from which results can be extrapolated to African crops such as Bambara groundnut. But even for potato, standard tools such as universal AMT primers for PCR as well as qPCR protocols are not available. This then necessitated a change in focus of the project, to initiate work on developing tools for AMT molecular biology studies.

3.2.1 Primer design

3.2.1.1 Multiple sequence alignment

Nucleotide sequences of thirty AMTs from various plant species, ranging from AMT1.1-1.3 were aligned (using BLAST in the NCBI) in order to identify conserved regions. Table 3.1 shows their accession numbers.

3.2.1.2 Primer design and BLAST

For PCR assay, ammonium transporter (AMT1) specific primers were designed from region of similarities between the aligned sequences. The primers were then evaluated by BLASTing (Primer-BLAST) against *Solanum lycopersicum* and *Solanum tuberosum* genomic sequence present in the NCBI database, to ensure that the primers amplified the right cDNA segment.

3.2.1.3 Primer optimization and validation

The optimum annealing temperature for the primers was selected by reducing as well as varying the melting temperature (Tm) of the primers. Furthermore, to allow the primers bind at their optimum capacity, final concentration of MgCl₂ was varied (0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.25, 1.5, and 2.5 mM) for a 50 μ L reaction with the purpose of choosing the most suitable concentration. The primers were validated on genomic DNA isolated from both potato and Bambara groundnut.

Species	Homologs	Accession numbe
Arabidopsis thaliana	AtAMT1	X75879.1
Brassica napus	BnAMT1.1	AF188744.1
	BnAMT1.2	AF306518.1
Camellia sinensis	CsAMT1.1 gene	AB597261.1
	CsAMT1.1 RNA	AB117640.1
	CsAMT1.2	AB114913.1
Citrus sinensis x Poncirus trifoliate	CitAMT1	DQ887678.2
Citrus trifoliate	AMT1.1	JX049223.1
	AMT1.2	JX049224.1
Cucumis sativus	CusAMT1	AY642427.1
otus japonicas	LjAMT1.1	AF182188.1
	LjAMT1.2	AY135020.1
	LjAMT1.3	AJ575588.1
Malus hupehensis var. mengshanensis	MhAMT1.1	JQ072026.1
,	MhAMT1.2	JQ072027.1
Medicago truncatula	AMT1	XM_003590129.1
Dryza sativa	OsAMT1.1	AF289477.1
	OsAMT1.2	AF289478.1
	OsAMT1.3	AF289479.1
Populus trichocarpa	PtrAMT1.1	XM 002314482.1
	PtrAMT1.3	
	PtrAMT1.4	XM_002303068.1
Puccinellia tenuiflora	PutAMT1.1	JQ279059.1
Pyrus betulifolia	PbAMT1.2	JN650604.1
Pyrus ussuriensis	AMT1.2	JN650608.1
Solanum lycopersicum	LeAMT1.1	X92854.1
	LeAMT1.2	X95098.1
	LeAMT1.3	AF118858.1
Triticum aestivum	TaAMT1.1	AY525637.2
	TaAMT1	AY390355.1

Table 3. 1: Names and accession numbers of aligned AMT1 genes that were used to design primers

3.3 Sequence analyses

3.3.1 DNA extraction

DNA was extracted from Bambara groundnut (Bg) (Vigna subterranean) and potato (Solanum tuberosum) leaves using Cetyl trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method. Leaf tissue (0.1g - 0.2g) was ground thoroughly in liquid nitrogen with a mortar and pestle. The tissue powder was then transferred into a 1.5 mL micro centrifuge tube containing 500 µL CTAB (cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide) buffer and 7 μL β-mercaptoethanol. The CTAB and plant extract mixture was incubated for 15 minutes at 55°C in a recirculating water bath and then centrifuged at 12000g for 5 minutes. The supernatant was transferred into clean micro centrifuge tubes where 250 µL of chloroform was added to each tube and mixed by inversion. After mixing, the tubes were centrifuged at 13000 rpm for 1 minute before the upper aqueous phase was transferred to a clean micro centrifuge tube. 50 µL of 7.5 M ammonium acetate was added to each tube followed by 500 µL of ice cold absolute ethanol. The tubes were inverted slowly several times (to precipitate the DNA) and then stored in -20°C for 1 hour. The precipitate was isolated by centrifuging the tubes at 13000 rpm for 1 minute to form a pellet. The supernatant was removed and the DNA pellet was washed by adding two changes of 500 µL ice cold 70% ethanol. After the wash, the DNA was spun into a pellet by centrifuging at 13000 rpm for 1 minute. All the supernatant was removed and the DNA pellet was allowed to dry for about 15 minutes. The DNA was re-suspended in 60 µL sterile autoclaved distilled water and then incubated at 65°C for 20 minutes.

3.3.1.1 DNA concentration

The concentration of DNA samples were determined using Thermo Scientific NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer. To establish a blank, 1 μ L of the blanking buffer (sterile distilled H₂O) was pipetted onto the bottom pedestal. The blank was then wiped away and 1 μ L of each sample was measured to determine their concentration.

3.3.1.2 DNA quality assessment

A 0.8% agarose gel was prepared by melting 0.8g of agarose (WhiteSci) in 100 ml of 1x Tris-acetate (TAE) electrophoresis buffer in a microwave for about 2 minutes. The agarose was left to cool to about 50°C before 1.5 μ L SYBR green was added (for stain) and mixed gently without introducing bubbles. The gel was poured into the casting apparatus and allowed to set for 30 minutes at room temperature on a flat surface. Two μ L 6x loading dye

of 2 μ L was added to each 2 μ L DNA samples before 8 μ L sterile distilled water was added to make the volume 12 μ L (2 μ L DNA + 2 μ L loading dye + 8 μ L sterile distilled water). Ten μ L GeneRuler 1kb DNA ladder was loaded in the first well while the samples were loaded separately in the following wells. The lid was closed and subjected to gel electrophoresis at 100V for 1 hour. The gel was viewed and photographed under UV light to determine the presence and quality of DNA.

3.3.2 PCR assay

Amplification of target gene (AMT1) by PCR was performed using a Bio Rad T100 Thermal Cycler for potato and Bambara groundnut. It was performed in a reaction volume of 25 μ L containing 17 μ L of sterile distilled water, 2.5 μ L 10x PCR mix, 1.25 μ L 25 mM Mgcl₂, 1 μ L 2.5 mM dNTP mix, 1 μ L 10 mM forward primer, 1 μ L 10 mM reverse primer, 1 μ L DNA sample (534.0 ng/ μ L and 1836.3 ng/ μ L for potato and Bg respectively) and 0.25 μ L Taq polymerase (Thermo scientific, Lithuania). Table 4.1 shows the AMT1-specific primer sequence (976 bp) that was used. The amplification processes included an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 2 minutes, followed by 34 cycles of 95°C for 1 minute, 60°C for 30 s and 72°C for 1 min. The reaction was brought to an end with a final extension period of 5 min at 72°C followed by cooling and storage at 12°C. The presence and quality of PCR products was visually inspected using electrophoresis. Approximately 10 μ L of the PCR amplicons were analysed on a 1% molecular grade agarose gel that was stained with SYBR Green, using 1x TAE electrophoresis buffer at 100V for 1 hour. The presence of a single band of the expected size was used as a criterion for specificity.

3.3.3 DNA Sequencing

DNA nucleotide sequence analysis of core-regions of potato and Bambara groundnut AMT1 was performed using 16S rRNA sequential analysis.

3.3.3.1 Phylogenetic analysis of Bambara groundnut and potato AMT1

Core-regions of BgAMT1 and PoAMT1 nucleotide sequences were aligned by Mafft version 7 and blasted (using Basic Local Alignment Search Tool software) against the NCBI GenBank data base and compared with known nucleotide sequences. Nucleotide sequences of AMT1 and AMT2 subfamily members from various plant species (including putative BgAMT1 and PoAMT1 sequences) were aligned by Mafft version 7. The aligned sequences were imported into the Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) 37 package version 6, where phylogenetic analyses were conducted using the neighbour joining (NJ) method. Table 3.2 presents the GeneBank accession numbers. The pairwise deletion option was used for handling alignment gaps. The evolutionary distances were computed using Kimura 2- parameter method while the strength of the branches was calculated with 1000 Bootstrap replicates.

3.3.3.2 Amino acid sequence alignment of Bambara groundnut AMT1

Bambara groundnut BgAMT1 amino acid sequence was aligned with *Lotus japonicus* LjAMT1.1, *Phaseoulus vulgaris* PvAMT1.1 and *Glycine max* GlycineAMT1 using Mafft version 7. The GeneBank accession numbers of these genes are shown in Table 3.2.

3.3.3.3 Amino acid sequence alignment of Potato AMT1

Potato PoAMT1 amino acid sequence was aligned with *Solanum lycopersicum* LeAMT1.1, *Lotus japonicus* LjAMT1.1, and *Solanum lycopersicum* LeAMT1.2 using Mafft version 7. The GeneBank accession numbers of these genes are shown in Table 3.2.

AMT gene	Accession number
PvAMT1.1	GQ377869.1
GlycineAMT1	XM_003535933.2
AtAMT1.1	X75879.1
AtAMT1.2	AF083036.1
AtAMT1.3	AF083035.1
AtAMT1.4	NM_119012
AtAMT1.5	NM_113335.1
AtAMT2	NM_129385.4
TaAMT1.1	AY525637.2
TaAMT1.2	AY525638.1
LeAMT1.1	X92854
LeAMT1.2	X95098
LeAMT1.3	AF118858
LjAMT1.1	AJ279059.1
LjAMT1.2	AY135020.1
LjAMT1.3	AJ575588.1
LjAMT2	AF189762
BnAMT1.1	AF188744
BnAMT1.2	AF306518
OSAMT1.1	AF289477.1
OSAMT1.2	AF289478
OSAMT1.3	AF289479.1
OSAMT2.1	AB051864.1
OSAMT2.2	AP003252.4
OSAMT2.3	NM_190448
PutAMT1.1	JQ279059
CsAMT1.1	AB597261.1

 Table 3. 2: Accession numbers of AMT1 genes that were used for multiple

 sequence alignment and phylogenetic analyses

3.4 Experimental conditions and treatments

3.4.1 Location

The hydroponic experiment was carried out from January to April in a temperature controlled research greenhouse at Cape Peninsula University of Technology, Cape Town. The controlled climatic condition included 12 hours day length, temperature of 34 ± 0.5 °C and 35% average humidity. Sterile water filtered from a Hager IP65 water filtration plant deionizer was used for irrigation.

3.4.2 Hardening process

Three week old Up To Date (UTD) tissue culture-grown potato plantlets were obtained from Ansabi Mass Tissue Culture Laboratory, Pyramid, South Africa. Algae was gently removed from plantlets in running sterile water without damaging their roots, and then planted in small pots containing a 1:1 ratio of coco peat and Styrofoam which served as the media. A mini tunnel was created to cover the plantlets with a transparent polythene sheet to maintain humidity, and then placed in the shade, to avoid direct sunlight. The polythene sheet was opened little by little each day till it was fully opened on the seventh day to acclimatize the plants (Chandra *et al.*, 2010, Mathur *et al.*, 2008). The plantlets were sprayed with organic liquid fertilizer two weeks after (Super Natural Fish Emulsion Plant Food: 93 g/kg N, 13 g/kg P, 35 g/kg K and 15 g/kg S) in order to relieve stress.

3.4.3 Hydroponic set up

One meter plastic gutters were evenly laid on a 1 meter galvanized steel table. The gutters were made of eight separate sections which were attached by means of irrigation pipes (20 mm) to water pump placed underneath the table. The water recirculating was aided by a submersible pump that pumps 1,350 litres per hour, which was used to supply nutrients to the plants using a nutrient film technique (NFT). Each reservoir (60 litres) had its individual pump. The drainage pipes drained water to the same reservoir that was drawn from. Drippers were connected to the spaghetti tube (4 mm) to control the rate of nutrient supply to the plant at 4 litres per hour. Marine silicon, a water proof sealant was used to seal leakages and external fittings. Leca hydroponic clay pellets were selected as a medium to grow the potato plantlets on. The leca clay pellets were selected for their good capillary and absorption properties, which easily carry the nutrient to the roots. Leca clay pellets were washed in running tap water, and then evenly distributed in each 12 cm square pot that has been allocated to individual gutters. The exposed part of the gutter was lined with black plastic sheathing to prevent algae growth. A photograph of the hydroponic system is shown in Appendix A.

Once the set up was complete, a solution of dodecyl dimethyl ammonium chloride of 120 g/l (spore kill) was used to clean and disinfect the whole system over a period of two days. Deionised water was then used to rinse off the spore kill for about 24 hours prior to planting.

3.4.4 Experimental setup

A completely randomized design was used with eight nutrient solution treatments for a potato growth stage. The eight nutrient solution treatments involved two forms of N: NO_3^- only (N0.75 and N7.5), NH₄⁺ only (A0.75 and A7.5), a 1:1 ratio of NH₄⁺:NO₃⁻ (A0.75N0.75 and A7.5N7.5) or a 1:10 and 10:1 ratio of NH₄⁺:NO₃⁻ (A0.75N7.5 and A7.5N0.75) at two levels of N supply (0.75 or 7.5mM), to represent deficient or abundant N supply, respectively (Li *et al.*, 2010, Zebarth *et al.*, 2012). The plantlets were grown for a four-week period which represented early tuber bulking, to assess gene expression response under different source relationships. All plants were sampled on the 28th day for early tuber bulking stage.

3.4.5 Plant selection and pre-treatment

Thirty two plantlets of the same size and growth range were gently taken out of the pots and the media (coco peat and Styrofoam) was washed off their roots with distilled water. Each plantlet was then transplanted into a 12.5 cm square pot with a sterile leca-growing media in the hydroponic system. The nutrient solution (Hoagland's solution as described by Li *et al.* (2010)) containing 7.5 mM nitrogen with a 1:1 ratio of NO₃⁻:NH₄⁺ was then introduced to the plantlets for two weeks in preparation for the experimental treatments. The pH of the nutrient solution was adjusted to 5.8 with 0.1 M KOH or 32% HCl using the hand pH meter (PCTestr 35 Multi-parameter).

3.4.6 Treatments

The experimental treatments were initiated; four plantlets received one of eight nutrient solution treatments (Table 3.3) after their roots were first flushed with distilled water (for about seven hours) to remove residual nutrient solution. Treatments were modified Hoagland's nutrients solution (Hammer *et al.*, 1978, Li *et al.*, 2010, Zebarth *et al.*, 2012). The concentration of N in the nutrient solutions was balanced by varying the concentrations of sulphur from 1 to 8.5 mM (Zebarth *et al.*, 2012). The concentrations of salts used for macronutrients in the nutrient solutions are outlined in Table 3.4 while Table 3.5 shows the micronutrients that were included in the solutions.

Number	Treatments (mM)
1	0.75 (NO ₃ ⁻)
2	7.5 (NO ₃ ⁻)
3	0.75 (NH4 ⁺)
4	7.5 (NH4 ⁺)
5	0.75 (NH4 ⁺):0.75 (NO3 ⁻)
6	7.5 (NH4 ⁺):7.5 (NO3 ⁻)
7	0.75 (NH ₄ ⁺):7.5 (NO ₃ ⁻)
8	7.5 (NH4 ⁺):0.75 (NO3 ⁻)

Table 3. 3: The nutrient solution treatments

Table 3. 4: Concentration of salts used to supply macronutrients in the eight treatment nutrient solutions

Salt	Nutrient solution (mM)												
	NO ₃		NH₄⁺		NH₄⁺:N	0 ₃	NH₄ ⁺ : NO₃ ⁻	NH₄ ⁺ : NO₃ ⁻					
	0.75	7.5	0.75	7.5	0.75	7.5	0.75:7.5	7.5:0.75					
KNO ₃	0.25	2.5	-	-	-	-	0.25	2.5					
Ca(NO ₃) ₂ .4H ₂ O	0.25	2.5	-	-	-	-	0.25	2.5					
$(NH_4)_2SO_4$	-	-	0.375	3.75	-	-	3.75	0.375					
K_2SO_4	1.125	-	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.125	1.25					
Cacl ₂	1.75	-	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.75	2.0					
NH ₄ NO ₃	-	-	-	-	0.375	3.75	-	-					
KH ₂ PO ₄	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5					
MgSO ₄ .7H ₂ O	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					

Salt	Micronutrient	Mg L⁻¹
Iron chelate	Fe	2.3
H ₃ BO ₃	В	0.25
Mncl ₂ .4H ₂ 0	Mn	0.25
ZnSO ₄ .7H ₂ O	Zn	0.025
CuSO ₄ .5H ₂ O	Cu	0.01
Na ₂ MoO ₄ .2H ₂ O	Мо	0.01

 Table 3. 5: Salts and micronutrients supplied to the eight treatment nutrient solution

The pH of the nutrient solution was frequently monitored (with PCTestr 35 Multi-parameter) and adjusted to 5.8 with 0.1 M KOH or 32% HCl when necessary. Each plantlet received approximately 96 litres nutrient solution treatments on each day for the 28 days duration. Plantlets were all staked a day after treatment initiation and the stakes were replaced with longer ones about every five days. This is as a result of the regular increase in the plant size.

3.4.7 Sampling and analysis

All plants for early tuber bulking growth stage were sampled at the end of the 28th day after treatment. The third leaf from the top of the plant was sampled for gene expression analyses (Zebarth *et al.*, 2012). Leaf samples from four plants per treatment were individually put in a transparent polythene bag then immediately stored in -80°C until use.

Leaf chlorophyll content index was determined on the same day (28th day) using a Chlorophyll Content Meter (CCM-200). Two readings were made on the third leaf from the top of each plant for all the treatments. The same leaf tissue from which leaf chlorophyll content index were measured was sampled for the 28th day gene expression analysis.

3.5 Quantification of gene expression

3.5.1 Primer design

The AMT nucleotide sequences that were used for the multiple sequence alignment in section 3.2.1.1 above were also used to design AMT1-specific primers, for gene expression analysis. The primers were designed from region of similarities between the aligned sequences and were evaluated as described in section 3.2.1.2 above.

3.5.2 RNA extraction

Total RNA from leaves was isolated using GeneJET Plant RNA Purification Mini Kit (Cat. No. K0801) following the manufacturer's instructions (Thermo scientific; Lithuania). Frozen leaf tissue (0.01g - 0.09g) was grounded thoroughly in liquid nitrogen with a mortar and pestle. The tissue powder was immediately transferred into a 1.5 mL micro centrifuge tube containing 500 µL of plant RNA Lysis solution (supplemented with 10 µL 2M DTT) and vortex for 20 seconds to mix thoroughly. The homogenate was incubated for 3 min at 56°C then centrifuged for 5 min at 14,000 rpm. Supernatant was collected and transferred to the clean micro centrifuge tube where 250 µL of 96% ethanol was added and mixed by pipetting. The prepared mixture was transferred to a purification column inserted in a collection tube which was then centrifuged for 1 min at 11,000 rpm. The flow through solution was discarded and the column and collection tube was reassembled. 700 µL of Wash Buffer WB 1 was added to the purification column (containing ethanol) and centrifuged for 1 min at 11,000 rpm. The flow through and collection tube was discarded while the purification column was placed into a clean 2 mL collection tube. 500 µL of Wash Buffer 2 (containing ethanol) was added to the purification column and then centrifuged for 1 min at 11,000 rpm. The flow through solution was discarded while column and collection tube was reassembled. Wash Buffer 2 of 500 µL (containing ethanol) was added to the purification column the second time and then centrifuged for 1 min at 11,000 rpm. The flow through solution was discarded while column and collection tube was reassembled. The column was re-spined for 1 min at maximum speed 14,000 rpm. The collection tube containing the flow through solution was discarded while the purification column was transferred to an RNase - free 1.5 mL collection tube. To elute the RNA, 50 µL of nuclease free water was added to the centre of the purification column membrane and centrifuged for 1 min at 11,000 rpm.

The presence and quality of RNA was visualised using gel electrophoresis as described in section 3.3.1.2. The concentration of RNA was also determined using Thermo Scientific NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer as described above.

3.5.3 Preliminary experiment

3.5.3.1 Qualitative PCR assay

In an exploratory experiment to optimize the reference gene (β-tubulin) and AMT1-specific primers (160 bp) for RT-qPCR assay, expression level of potato AMT1 was determined by end point PCR. Total RNA was extracted from potato leaf samples of five selected N treatments (A7.5N7.5, N0.75, N7.5, A7.5 and A0.75 represented by C, P1, P2, P3, and P4 respectively) using Thermo Scientific GeneJET Plant RNA Purification Mini Kit (Cat. No. K0801) as described in section 3.5.2. The presence and quality of total RNA was visualised using gel electrophoresis also as described in section 3.3.1.1. Thermo Scientific NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer was used to determine RNA concentration as similarly described above. The reverse transcription-PCR for amplification of target gene (AMT1) was performed using Thermo scientific Verso 1-step QRT-PCR kit according to manufacturer's description in a Rotor-Gene 3000 (Corbett research). It was performed in a reaction volume of 25 µL containing 0.25 µL verso enzyme mix, 12.5 µL 1-step qPCR mix, 1.25 µL RT enhancer, 1 µL 10 mM forward primer, 1 µL 10mM reverse primer, 1 µL 1 ng RNA sample, 5.5 µL sterile distilled water and 2.5 µL SYBR green. AMT1 primer of 160 bp was used to amplify target gene while β -tubulin was used to account for variation in total RNA. Table 4.1 shows the sequences of both primers. The amplification processes included 50°C for 15 minutes, 95°C for 15 minutes, 35 cycles of 95°C for 20 sec, 54°C for 20 sec and 72°C for 30 sec. The quality and sizes of end point PCR was visually inspected using electrophoresis as earlier described.

3.5.4 Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR assay

Absolute quantification approach was used to determine potato AMT1 expression level in a One-Step RT-qPCR, with SYBR Green 1 using a Rotor-Gene 3000 (Corbett research). The assay was performed over a range of RNA concentrations. A stock of 50 ng/µL was prepared for all the total RNA samples. Samples of 5 µL each was pulled into a single 1.5 ml micro centrifuge tube where a 5-point 10 fold serial dilution was prepared from the pulled gene to generate a standard curve by Rotor-Gene 6.1.93 software as described above. KAPA SYBR FAST One-Step qRT-PCR kit was used in the assay following the manufacturer's instructions. Reactions contained 6.8 µL nuclease-free water, 10 µL 2X KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR Master Mix, 0.4 µL of each 10 µM AMT1-specific primer or *Solanum tuberosum* β -tubulin, 0.4 µL 50X KAPA RT Mix and 2 µL of 50 ng total RNA in a final volume of 20 µL. The AMT1-specific primer (160 bp) and β -tubulin (101 bp: used to

account for variation in total RNA) that was used is shown in Table 4.1. Two separate master mixes were prepared prior to dispensing into individual PCR tubes, to reduce pipetting errors and to make sure that each reaction contains the correct and equal amount of primers as well as RNA samples. Both master mixes were prepared without RNA samples. However, the first master mix contains the reference primer while the second master mix contains AMT1-specific primer. Two µL of each dilution point was aliquoted in duplicate into the PCR tubes containing 18 µL master mix with the reference primer. Two µL of unknown RNA sample was also aliquoted into the PCR tubes containing 18 µL master mix with AMT1specific primer. Two µL nuclease-free water was also pipetted in both PCR tube containing reference gene and specific primer to represent No Template Control (NTC). A No RT Control (NRTC) was included in the reaction whereby KAPA RT Mix was excluded in a reaction tube component. All the tubes were centrifuged briefly without introducing bubbles before they were placed into the appropriate wells of the Rotor-Gene. The following cycle protocol was used for all the One-step RT-qPCR reactions: 42°C for 5 minutes, 95°C for 5 minutes, 40 cycles of 95°C for 20 sec, 54°C for 20 sec and 72°C for 30 sec. Data were analysed using Rotor-Gene 6.1.93 software.

3.6 Statistical Analysis

The data generated were subjected to descriptive and inferential statistics using SPSS version 21.

CHAPTER FOUR RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Bioinformatics; primer design, evaluation and optimization

4.1.1 Primer design

Literature and database searches for AMT1 gene revealed about 31 sequences as listed in section 2.4.1 and Table 3.1. Four of the homologs; MhAMT1.1, CusAMT1, CsAMT1.1 and LjAMT1.1 were selected for further analysis because of availability of full gene sequences in the database and the relationship of the species to both potato and Bambara groundnut. The multiple sequence alignment shown in Figure 4.1, was used to design AMT1 specific-primers. The non-degenerate primer sequence which was designed to amplify AMT1 gene in a PCR (target product, 976 bp) assay is shown in Table 4.1.

Que	80	901	CONTROL CONTROL CARCALON CONTROL CONTR	-					-				
	AB117 640	78		199 -	AB117640	545	CCC	603	-	32072.026	1025	.CCC.TCCGATAATTA.	1084
	Quezy	199	CCAATTT TCASSTSTATCSS ACAS ATTOSTCS ATACOSST TATSCAATC GATT CTACATA	- A.	AT642 427	292	${\scriptstyle -6\dots c\dots . \tau\dots . \dots$	350		AB117640	1003	.c	1062
	AB117 640	104		199	AF182188	879	$c.c.\dotsc.\dots.cc.\dots.c.\dots.c.\dotsc.\dotsc$	637		AF182188	1037 .	сссасстсс.	1096
	Quexy	199	TCT CCTCTTCTCT9CT TATCTC9T TTTCTCCAT9CA9 CTT99TTT C9CT AT9CTTTT99C	200 _	Query	603	90009AC-I-AA-T-ICAAATC-TATIATTC99AI0099191AAI09AIT	647	_	Query	1062 0	ost oggoodgatt ata tot oggstt ogt agoggott i agtt titaat-togtt titaaca to	1120
	20072026	211	ccccc	249	20072.026	623	$\cdots \cdots $	670		30072.026	1085		1143
			CCCCCCCC		38117640	604	$\ldots 0.7 {-} 7. {-} 0{-} \ldots {-} 0{-} \lambda. {-} \ldots {-} 0. \lambda. 0. 00{-} \ldots {-} 0{-} \ldots {-} 0{-} 0{-} 0{-} 0{-} 0{-} 0{-} 0{-} $	681		AB117640	1063		1121
	AF102100		ccc		AY642 427	851	$\ldots \ \ TC \ldots \neg P \neg P , \neg C \neg . , P \neg P , \neg C \neg . , P \neg P \neg P , \neg C , \neg P \neg P , \neg C , \neg P \neg P , \neg C , \neg P \neg P , \neg P \neg P , \neg P \neg P , \neg P \neg P$	401				.c	
	Query		565CTCT 6T5C5	A	AF102100	628	6.CCA-C.666CT6C6TCA66T	605				TRACCERARA TTRAAIACEACEAICCACTT-GAAGCACEACEAITEACAI OFFICIT	
	30072026	270	ccccc	227 -	Query	645	TCSCC999TC999T9TA9TTCATAT9STA99T95AATC9CC999T-TTTAC95A9CTTT9	706		32072026	1144 (C.GCGGGTCGGGGGGC.TCAG	1202
			cccccc		30072.026	671		729		AB117640	1122 (g.gg.g.g.g.g	1180
	AY642427		eee		38117440	682	$\ldots \ldots c \ldots c \ldots c \ldots \lambda \ldots c \ldots \lambda \ldots c \ldots \ldots c \ldots \lambda \ldots c \ldots T \ldots T \ldots0 \ldots \ldots c \ldots .c$	710				C.CA05.000TCAGTGC.TCC.	
			ccccccccccc		<u>XY642 427</u>	402	$\ldots \ldots T \ldots \lambda \ldots C \ldots G \ldots \ldots C \ldots \ldots T \ldots T \ldots \lambda C^{\perp} \ldots G G \ldots T \ldots \lambda$	460				I OG TOCATO GOD GA TAA TITI TI ACCODE TIA TI ODCIAAAG ODGA-GITTI EI OGATCAAG	
	Query	311	SCCSCSSTSSACTITITTACTATCTATTSSSATTCSCTTTTSCTTTSSSTSSTCSTCT		AT102100	686	.T	744				CCG	
					Query	707	ATTERAGE TOCKORAT COORCEPT ACEATCRT ACEPSTCS AT COUTTO CONTOUT OGA	766		-		C	
					20072.026	730		789					
	AY642427				A8117640	711	с	770				CCG	
	AF102100				AY642 427	461		477					
	Query				AT102100	745		804				.g.,, A, A, G., G., AT, C. G.,, CC., C, G., G	
					Query	767	CALMACSIC STERCTOST OFFICING-SCREETIT FIATTATS-STEESSAT OFFICISATIT	826				.GTA.GTACCAT.TGGCA9GT.G	
					JQ072026	790		849				.TG XTG C	
					38117640	771	$\ldots c \ldots \ldots . \mathfrak{c} \ldots . \mathfrak{k} \ldots \mathfrak{k} \ldots \mathfrak{k} \ldots \mathfrak{r} \ldots \mathfrak{k} \ldots \mathfrak{k} \ldots \mathfrak{c} \ldots \mathfrak{c} \mathfrak{c} \mathfrak{c} \mathfrak{c} \mathfrak{c} \ldots \mathfrak{c} \mathfrak{c} \mathfrak{c} \mathfrak{c} \mathfrak{c} \mathfrak{c} \mathfrak{c} \mathfrak{c}$	890		Query	1298 C	CTOSSESSESTATATAAT COAGATACTOSTAATAATOSSETSESET GASOSOGAGAATSEST	1357
			cooc		AF102100	805		864	-	32072.026	1321	GCCT.GAG.GTAGG.	1380
	AF102100	406	cc		Query	827	Aaccccsgttcatttaataaaatc-ct-asttacttacsgtgcatccsgaggstattatg	004	-	AB117640	1299 7	T.G.C	1358
	Query	425	TTCGAITACATGAAT-TTCCTCTATCAATG99CTTTC <mark>MCATORCOMONOM</mark> TATCAC		22072.026	880		907		AF182188	1333		1392
	30072026		ссссс	_	38117640	891	$\ldots T \ldots G \ldots \ldots T \ldots C \lambda \ldots \ldots G . C^{\perp} . \lambda . C^{\perp} . \lambda \ldots \lambda C^{\perp \perp} . G . ^{\perp} . \lambda . C \ldots C \ldots C \ldots C$	005	-	Query	1358 C	COPCT-TT-TTTAIAIACTTCAIAAATTCAAGTT9CTTC9GAEATC9TCC9AAGAC9AAA	1415
	AB117640		e		<u>X7182188</u>	845		919	-	32072.026	1381		1438
	AY642427	179	90	***	Query	005	GTCAATSSASCSCTGTASSACSTACCSCSGTTACAACTACCCTASCCSGTTSCACCSC	942		AB117640	1359		1416
	AT102108	460	c	_	30072.024	908	$, \mathtt{G}\mathtt{A}\mathtt{T}\mathtt{G} \ldots \mathtt{T}\mathtt{C} \ldots \mathtt{=} . \mathtt{=} . \mathtt{G} \ldots \mathtt{T} \ldots \mathtt{G} \ldots \ldots \mathtt{C} \ldots \mathtt{C} \ldots \mathtt{C} \ldots \mathtt{A} \ldots \mathtt{T} \ldots \mathtt{C} \ldots \ldots \mathtt{A} \ldots \ldots \ldots$	945		AF102100	1393 .		1450
	Que xy	404	CASESSIT CAAR OSC GAAC GAAC CCAATITS TTS COTATIT GAT TTAT FOOT OTTITCT	543	A8117640	886		943		Query	-	ICRODUTE TODAT CTOACTE GACATOGTOGOT TTOCTTAT 1456	
	30072026	504		543	AF102100	920	$\ldots . {\mathfrak G} \ldots {\mathfrak F} \ldots {\mathfrak A} {\mathfrak A} \ldots {\mathfrak A} {\mathfrak G} \ldots {\mathfrak C} \ldots {\mathfrak A} \ldots {\mathfrak G} \ldots {\mathfrak A} {\mathfrak A} {\mathfrak T} \cdot {\mathfrak G} \ldots {\mathfrak T} \cdot {\mathfrak C} \ldots {\mathfrak G} \ldots$	977					
	AB117640	485	c	544	Query	943	$\tt abccctaacbaccettiticosstaaac-statcctiticosstcattssaaccetaacceats$	1001					
	XY642427	292		291	30072026	966	$\mathbb{C} \ldots \mathbb{T} \ldots \mathbb{C} \ldots \mathbb{C} \ldots \ldots \mathbb{C} \ldots \ldots \mathbb{A} \ldots \mathbb{A}^n . \mathbb{A} \ldots \mathbb{T} \ldots \mathbb{G} \ldots \ldots \mathbb{C} \ldots \ldots \mathbb{C} \ldots \mathbb{G} \ldots \mathbb{C}.$	1024				.λλλ	
	AF102108	519		878	38117640	944	$\circ\ldots\ldots\ldots \circ , \circ , \circ \ldots , \circ \circ , \circ \circ , \circ \circ , \lambda \circ , \ldots \circ , \circ \circ , \circ , \circ , \circ , \circ , \circ , \circ ,$	1002		AF102100	1451	.T	
-	Query	544	-AAC699TTTC STTTA CCC8 STT9 TTTCTCAT T99T TTT95 ACCCCA6A 1999 T999 CTA	602	AT102100	978	$\circ\ldots\circ\ldots\circ\ldots\circ\ldots\circ\ldots\circ\ldots\circ\ldots\circ\ldots\circ\ldots\circ\ldots\circ\ldots\circ\ldots\circ\ldots\circ\ldots\circ\ldots\circ\ldots\circ\ldots\circ\ldots\circ\ldots$	1036					
	30072026	564	-CC ACCCCCT. ASTCC.	622	Query	1002	${\tt tatgtaac} {\tt gstttactaggcggatttgcacgatcacggctgggtgctcggtcgtcgagc$	1061					

Figure 4. 1: Sequence alignment of several AMT1 plant species; the highlighted nucleotides with the region of similarities are used to design the 976 bp AMT1 large enough for bioinformatics analysis

Table 4. 1: Primer sequence for one reference gene and two AMT1-specific genes, the amplification length, nucleotide length and the melting temperature of the amplified product

Name	Accession number	Primer sequence 5'-3'	Primer sequence 5'-3'	Length (bp)	Tm (⁰C)
β-tubulin	609267	ATGTTCAGGCGCAAGGCTT	TCTGCAACCGGGTCATTCAT	101	79
AMT1		ATGGGTGGTGGAGGGA	GGGTCAGATCCATACCCGC	160	63
AMT1		GCCATCGCCGCCGCCGG	GGGTCAGATCCATACCCGC	976	67

4.1.2 Primer evaluation and optimization

The primers were evaluated by BLASTing against *Solanum lycopersicum* and *Solanum tuberosum* genomic sequences present in NCBI database to ensure that the designed primers would specifically target the AMT1 gene. The bioinformatics evaluation of the primers revealed that they were AMT1-specific to *Solanum lycopersicum* and *Solanum tuberosum*. The optimum annealing temperature selected for the AMT1-specific primers was 60°C. In a preliminary experiment to optimize MgCl₂ for the 976 bp AMT1 primers, final concentration of MgCl₂ in a 50 μ L PCR reaction component was varied (0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.25, 1.5, and 2.5 mM for 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0 and 5.0 μ L, respectively). PCR product of the expected size was only observed for 0.75, 1, 1.25, 1.5 and 2.5 mM respectively out of all the MgCl₂ treatments. Figure 4.2 presents the agarose gel result which shows AMT1 amplicon/sizes (976 bp) for MgCl₂ optimization.



Figure 4. 2: Photograph of a SYBR Green stained agarose gel showing the results of a (MgCl₂) optimization reaction. The lanes represents: 1- Molecular weight marker, 2- No Template Control and 3-10- Varied MgCl₂ treatments: 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.25, 1.5, and 2.5 mM

No amplification was found on 0, 0.25 and 0.5 mM MgCl₂ lanes while amplification of PCR product was observed on 1.0, 1.25, 1.5 and 2.5 mM MgCl₂ lanes. The result shows that the optimum conditions are 1.0 and 1.25 mM MgCl₂. Higher MgCl₂ concentration resulted in unspecificity as shown by multiple amplification products while lower MgCl₂ concentration resulted in low or no amplification at all. The 1.25 mM MgCl₂ for a 50 μ L PCR reaction component was chosen.

4.2 Isolation and sequencing of Bambara groundnut and Potato AMT1

4.2.1 DNA extraction and amplification of AMT1 gene

Bambara groundnut and potato DNA were successfully extracted and their concentration was determined; 534 and 1836.3 ng/ μ L for potato and Bg respectively. A260:A280 ratio indicates 2.05 and 2.04 for potato and Bg DNA respectively. DNA quality is presented in Figure 4.3. The quality of PCR products was visually checked using electrophoresis where the generation of a single band of 976 bp size was taken as the criterion for AMT1 specificity (Fig 4.4).



Figure 4. 3: Photograph of a SYBR Green stained agarose gel showing potato and Bambara DNA quality of different concentrations. The lanes are: 1- Potato DNA sample, 2- Potato DNA sample, 3- Bambara groundnut DNA sample and 4- Molecular weight marker



Figure 4. 4: Photograph of a SYBR Green stained agarose gel showing amplified products and sizes (976 bp) of potato and Bambara AMT1. The lanes are: 1- Molecular weight marker, 2- No Template Control (NTC), 3- Potato PCR product, 4- Potato PCR product and 5- Bambara groundnut PCR product

4.2.2 Bambara groundnut and potato AMT1 sequence identification

Core-regions of Bambara groundnut BgAMT1 and potato PoAMT1 genes of 976 bp long were amplified and sequenced. Their sequences are shown in Appendix B. This is the first sequence report on BgAMT1.

4.2.2.1 Phylogenetic analysis

4.2.2.1.1 Phylogenetic analysis of Bambara groundnut

Figure 4.5 shows that the predicted BgAMT1 belongs to the AMT1 subfamily, and that it is most closely related to PvAMT1.1 followed by GlycineAMT1 and LjAMT1.1, respectively.

Bambara groundnut is an important leguminous crop that is indigenous to Africa. It is known for its highly nutritional composition, functional properties, antioxidant potential and drought tolerance ability (Baryeh, 2001). It has a crucial impact in sustainable agriculture due to its ability to fix atmospheric N₂. It is however one of the neglected crops. In legume crops, NH₄⁺ is the principal product of symbiotic N fixing bacteria, hence contribute greatly to N nutrition 55

(Udvardi and Day, 1997). Apart from the fact that AMT1 assimilates N from roots, they probably play a crucial role in the efficiency of the symbiosis (Salvemini *et al.*, 2001), indicating that AMT1 in leguminous plants may function in the regulation of nodule formation (D'apuzzo *et al.*, 2004). Since NH_4^+ plays a key role in nodule metabolism and in plant N nutrition as a whole, it is worth studying the part that AMT1 plays in the development and functioning of nodules. Also, it is important to have knowledge of how they support the integration of the extra NH_4^+ supplied by the nodule organ in the general frame of partitioning of nitrogenous solutes to the whole plant (D'apuzzo *et al.*, 2004). This study reports the amplification and sequence analysis of the core-region of BgAMT1 for the first time, and opens up many possibilities for further studies on this subject.

The relationship of the predicted BgAMT1 and products of other AMTs reported in higher plants was analysed. When nucleotide sequences were compared, the phylogenetic tree showed that BgAMT1 forms a well-supported and monophyletic clade with other plant AMT1 genes that have been isolated so far (Fig 4.5). Plant AMT1 family have been reported to have high affinity and selectivity for NH_4^+ thereby playing a role in NH_4^+ uptake from the soil (Ortiz-Ramirez *et al.*, 2011, Pantoja, 2012). This result indicates that the predicted BgAMT1 not only belongs to plant AMT1 family but also has high affinity to assimilate NH_4^+ from the soil.

4.2.2.1.2 Phylogenetic analysis of potato AMT1

Figure 4.5 shows that PoAMT1 belongs to the AMT1 subfamily and that it is most closely related to LeAMT1.1 followed by LeAMT1.2 and LeAMT1.3 respectively.

The phylogenetic analysis showed that PoAMT1 forms a well-supported and monophyletic clade with other plant AMT1 genes that have been isolated so far (Fig 4.5). This shows that putative PoAMT1 belongs to plant AMT1 family and hence has high affinity and selectivity for NH_4^+ thereby playing a role in NH_4^+ uptake from the soil.



Figure 4. 5: Phylogenetic tree analysis of plant AMT families. The tree was constructed by multiple (nucleotides) sequences alignment of 29 members of plant AMT families using the Mega 6 software with the Neighbour joining method. The accession numbers are listed in Table 3.2

4.2.2.2 Amino acid sequence analysis of Bambara groundnut AMT1

Sequence translation of BgAMT1 gene fragment gives a peptide of 247 aa long (Fig 4.6). The amino acid sequence alignment of Bambara groundnut BgAMT1 with *Lotus japonicus* LjAMT1.1, *Phaseolus vulgaris* PvAMT1.1, and *Glycine max* GlycineAMT1 revealed that BgAMT1.1 is 92%, 89% and 87% similar to PvAMT1.1, GlycineAMT1 and LjAMT1.1 respectively. The amino acid sequence alignment also showed that the amplified fragment of BgAMT1 corresponds to $5^{th} - 10^{th}$ AMT1 transmembrane spanning regions (Fig 4.6). Tryptophan (Bg W1), Serine (Bg S87) and Aspartic acid (Bg D23) represent selected residues that are constitutive of the pore in BgAMT1 (Fig 4.6).

Amino acid sequence alignment indicated that BgAMT1 is most closely related to PvAMT1.1 (92% similarity) (Ortiz-Ramirez et al., 2011), followed by GlycineAMT1 (89% similarity) (NCBI database) and LjAMT1.1 (87% similarity) (Salvemini et al., 2001) respectively, suggesting that a portion of NH₄⁺ transporter gene was amplified. It is not surprising that the aforementioned closely related homologues of BgAMT1 are all from the legume family (Fabaceace). Phaseolous vulgaris PvAMT1.1 mediates the high affinity and electrogenic transport of NH_4^+ and it is pH dependent in that NH_4^+ transport increased at low pH (5.5) (Ortiz-Ramirez et al., 2011). The high affinity transport property of PvAMT1.1 for NH4⁺ is evidence that BgAMT1 is a member of AMT1 family and may be responsible for NH₄⁺ transport. Glycine max GlycineAMT1 is a putative AMT1, but this transporter has not yet been further analysed. Apart from the fact that Lotus japonicus LjAMT1.1 is the first NH₄⁺ transporter gene with an intron (1009 bp) and no open reading frame of any marked length, it is also a high affinity AMT1 gene (transport affinity: 1.7 µM) which is highly expressed in roots, leaves and nodules in low N conditions (D'apuzzo et al., 2004, Salvemini et al., 2001). LjAMT1.1 has been reported to likely function in recovering NH₄⁺ escaped from nodule cells in the different tissues during normal metabolism apart from transporting NH_4^+ across the symbiosome membrane. This is a further indication that the core-region of BgAMT1 that we isolated is a high affinity ammonium transporter and may be predicted to play a role in different steps of *Rhizobium* infection.

BgAMT1		
PVAMT1-1	-MSLQTCSADQLAQLFGSNTTDPHAAATLICDQFAAVSRNFVDTGYAIDSTYLLFSAYLV 50	
LjAMT1.1	MAALPECSAANLAQLIGPNATDAAAVAGFICDQFTAVGQRFSDTAFAVDSTYLLFSAYLV 60	
GlycineAMT1	-MSLPACPAEQLAQLLGPNTTDASAAASLICGQFAAVDSKFVDTAFAVDSTTLLFSAYLV 59	
orycritectur		
BgAMT1		
PVAMT1-1	FSMQLGFAMLCAGSVRAKNTMNIMLTNVLDAAAGGLFYYLFGFAFAFGSPSNGFIGKHYF 119	
LjAMT1.1	FSMQLGFAMLCAGSVRAKNTMNIMLTNVLDAAAGGLFYYLFGFAFAFGAPSNGFIGRHFF 120	
GlycineAMT1	FSMQLGFAMLCAGSVRAKNTMNIMLTNVLDAAAGGLFYYLFGFAFAFGSPSNGFIGKHFF 119	
BgAMT1		
PVAMT1-1	GLTDIHAQNLDYSNFLYQWAFAIAAAGITSGSIAERTQFVAYLVYSSFLTGFVYPVVSHW 179	
LJAMT1.1	GLKDVPTVAFDYSYFLYQWAFAIAAAGITSGSIAERTQFVAYLIYSSFLTGFVYPVVSHW 180	
GlycineAMT1	GLKDIPSSSYDYSYFLYQWAFAIAAAGITSGSIAERTQFVAYLIYSSFLTGFVYPVVSHW 179	
or) critero in r	Ba D22 and a	
BgAMT1	-WAADGWASAFNVNNLLFSTGVIDFAGSGVVHMVGGVAGLWGALIEGPRIGRFDHAGRAV 59	
PVAMT1-1	FWSPDGWASAFNLNNLLFSTGVIDFAGSGVVHMVGGVAGLWGALIEGPRIGRFDHTGRAV 239	
LJAMT1.1	RUSGDGWASATNTGNLLFGTGVIDFAGSGVVHMVGGVAGLWGALIEGPRIGRFDHAGRAV 240	
GlycineAMT1	HUSPDGWASAFKITDRLFSTGVIDFAGSGVVHMVGGIAGLWGALIEGPRMGRFDHAGRAV 239	
	TMD 6 Bg S87 TMD 7	
8gAMT1	ALRGHSASLVVLGTFMLWFGWYGFNPGSFNKILVPY-SSGGKYGQWSAVGRTAVTTTLAG 118	
PVAMT1-1	ALRGHSASLVVLGTFMLWFGWYGFNPGSFNRILVPY-NSGSKYGQWSAVGRTAVTTTLAG 298	
LjAMT1.1	SLRGHSASLVVLGTFMLWFGWYGFNPGSFTKILSAY-DSGNYYGQWSAIGRTAVTTTLAG 299	
GlycineAMT1	ALRGHSASLVVLGTFLLWFGWYGFNPGSFNKILLTYGNSGNYYGQWSAVGRTAVTTTLAG 299	
490.2012/00/00/00/00	, • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
20	TMD 8 TMD 9	
BgAMT1	STAALTTLFGKRLISGHWNVTDVCNGLLGGFAAITAGCSVVEPWAAIVCGFVASLVLIAC 178	
PVAMT1-1	STAALTTLFGKRVLSGHWNVTDVCNGLLGGFAAITAGCAVVEPWAAIVCGFVASVVLIAC 358	
LJAMT1.1	CTAALTTLFGKRIISGHWNVTDVCNGLLGGFAAITAGCSVVEPWAAIVCGFVAAVVLIAC 359	
GlycineAMT1	STAALTTLFGKRVISGHWNVTDVCNGLLGGFAAITAGCSVVEPWAAIVCGFVASIVLIAC 359	
BgAMT1	NKLAEKVRFDDPLEAAQLHGGCGAWGVIFTALFAKKEYVAEVYSRDPSRPHGLLMGGGGK 238	
PVAMT1-1		
LjAMT1.1		
GlycineAMT1	NMLAEKVRYDDPLEAAQLHGGCGAWGIIFTALFAKEEYVNQVYPGKPGRPYGLFMGGGGK 419 NKLAEKVKFDDPLEAAQLHGGCGTWGVIFTALFAKKEYVKEVYGLGRAHGLLMGGGGK 417	
orycritectur	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
BgAMT1	LLAAHLIOX247	
PVAMT1-1	LLAAHLIQILVIVGWVSATMGPLFWLLKKLNLLRISSEDELAGMDMTRHGGFAYAYEDDE 478	
L'AMT1.1	LLGAHVIQILVIIGWVSATMGPLFFILNKMKLLRISTEDELAGMDLTRHGGFAYAYEDDE 479	
GlycineAMT1	LLAAHVIQILVIAGWVSATMGPLFWGLNKLKLLRISSEDELAGMDMTRHGGFAYAYEDDE 477	
or) criterent	** ** **	
BgAMT1		
PVAMT1-1		
	TOKHGTOL RKVERN-SSTRTTDE 500	
	TQKHGIQLRKVEPN-SSTPTTDE 500 SHKPGTQLRKTEPNSSSTPSAFS 502	
LjAMT1·1 GlycineAMT1	TQKHGIQLRKVEPN-SSTPTTDE 500 SHKPGIQLRKIEPNSSSTPSAES 502 THKHGMQLRRVGPNASSTPTTDE 500	

Figure 4. 6: An alignment of the amino acid sequence of Bambara groundnut BgAMT1 with *Phaseolous vulgaris* PvAMT1.1, *Lotus japonicus* LjAMT1.1 and *Glycine max* GlycineAMT1. The accession numbers are listed in Table 3.2. The amino acid residues conserved in all sequences are designated by *stars*, and conservative substitutions are shown by *dots*. Predicted transmembrane-spanning domains are marked above the alignment (TMD 5-10). Some amino acids predicted as constitutive of the pore in BgAMT (Bg W1, Bg D23 and Bg S87) are marked at the top of the sequence in rectangles

Several studies have revealed that bacteria (*E.coli Ec*AmtB) and plant AMTs possesses 11 transmembrane regions. The core-region of BgAMT1 that was isolated and sequenced in this study corresponds to $5^{th} - 10^{th}$ TMDs of other plant AMT transmembrane (Fig 4.6). A report on *Ec*AmtB showed that 1 – 10 transmembrane domain of the AMT family collectively
diverge outward from the central plane in a right-handed helical bundle to produce a vestibule on each side of the cell membrane (Khademi and Stroud, 2006).

The similarity of plant AMTs with that of *E.coli* and *A.fulgidus* has been reported to be 20 - 25% low. However, plant proteins tend to maintain similar tertiary and quaternary structures (transmembrane domains, cytoplasmic loops and the carboxyl terminal), as revealed by molecular modelling (Loque *et al.*, 2007, Mayer and Ludewig, 2006, Ortiz-Ramirez *et al.*, 2011). In *Ec*AmtB, W148 and S219 among other conserved amino acid residues, were indicated to play a role in structuring the NH₄⁺ binding site (Andrade *et al.*, 2005, Khademi *et al.*, 2004, Zheng *et al.*, 2004). These residues (*Ec*AmtB: W148 and S219) which have been reported to increase transport activity at mutation by between 2 and 10 fold (Pantoja, 2012), correspond to W1 and S28 of the predicted BgAMT1 amino acid residues (Fig 4.6). The residues are well conserved with other selected proteins as shown in the alignment (Fig 4.6). Therefore, mutations in Bg W1A-L and S28A may be predicted to function in aiding NH₄⁺ transport activity. The Bg D23 residue is equivalent to the residues in *E.coli Ec*AmtB (D160) (Pantoja, 2012), *Saccharomyces cerevisae* ScMep2 (D186N) (Marini *et al.*, 2006) and *Arabidopsis thaliana* AtAMT1.1 (D198N) (Loque *et al.*, 2007), which have all been stipulated to be inactive when substituted with Ala, hence inhibit NH₄⁺ transport at mutation.

In all, it can be predicted that the properties of the predicted BgAMT1 will be high affinity and selectivity for NH_4^+ uptake, pH dependent, and may possibly contribute in different steps of rhizobia interaction. Cloning and further characterization of complete BgAMT1 gene will confirm this report. On the other hand, if for instance we propose to increase NH_4^+ transportation through genetic engineering, we can perform site directed mutagenesis of W1A-L or S87A which have been shown to increase NH_4^+ transport activity between 2 and 10 fold (Hall and Kustu, 2011, Javelle *et al.*, 2008).

4.2.2.3 Amino acid sequence analysis of potato AMT1

PoAMT1 gene fragment showed a peptide of 193 aa long at translation (Fig 4.7). Amino acid sequence alignment showed that PoAMT1 is 92%, 83% and 76% similar to LeAMT1.1, LjAMT1.1 and LeAMT1.2, respectively. The amino acid sequence alignment also showed that the amplified fragment of PoAMT1 corresponds to $5^{th} - 10^{th}$ AMT1 transmembrane spanning regions (Fig 4.7). Aspartic acid (Po D16) and Serine (Po S70) represent the selected residues that are constitutive of the pore in PoAMT1 (Fig 4.7).

PoAMT1	0
LeAMT1.1	MACSVDTLAPFLGPNTTNAVAAASYICNQFSGVSDRFVDTGYAIDSTYLLFS 42
LjAMT1.1	MAALPECSAANLAQLIGPNATDAAAVAGFICDQFTAVGQRFSDTAFAVDSTYLLFS 48
LeAMT1.2	AAQIMASAMTCSAAELFPHLGSSA-NATAAAEFICSRFSAVSEYLTNTTYAVDTTYLLFS 50
PoAMT1	0
LeAMT1.1	AYLVFSMOLGFAMLLAGSVRNTMNIMLTNVLDAAAGGLFYYLFGFAFALGGPSNGFIG 90
LjAMT1.1	AYLVFSMQLGFAMLCAGSVRAKNTMNIMLTNVLDAAAGGLFYYLFGFAFAFGAPSNGFIG 98
LeAMT1.2	AYLVFAMQLGFAMLCAGSVRAKNTMNIMLTNVLDAAAGGFSYYLFGFAFAFGAPSNGFIG 100
PoAMT1	
LeAMT1.1	RHFFGLKEIPSNSFDYMNFLYQWAFAIAAAGITSGSIAERTQFVAYLIYSSFLTGFVYPV 140
LJAMT1.1	RHFFGLKDVPTVAFDYSYFLYQWAFAIAAAGITSGSIAERTQFVAYLIYSSFLTGFVYPV 148
LeAMT1.2	KHFFGLKEFPSQAFDYSYFLYQWAFAIAAAGITSGSIAERTQFVAYLIYSSFLTGFVYPI 150
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Po D18 TMD 5
POAMT1	ASPGNSNXLFGSGVIDFAGSGVVXMVGGIAGFYGALIEGPRIGRFDH 37
LeAMT1.1	VSHWFWTPDGWASPTNSNLLFGSGVIDFAGSGVVHMVGGIAGFYGALIEGPRIGRYDH 188
LJAMT1.1	VSHWFWSGDGWASAT-NTGNLLFGTGVIDFAGSGVVHMVGGVAGLWGALIEGPRIGRFDH 195
LeAMT1.2	VSHWFWSGDGWASASKTDGNLLLRFGVIDFAGSGVVHMVGGIAGLWGAFIEGPRIGRFDR 200
	TMD 6 [Po S70] TMD 7
PoAMT1	TGRXVAXRGHSASLVVLGTFLLWFGWYGFNPGSFNKILIXYDGA-TGGYYGOWSAVGXXA 88
LeAMT1.1	TGRSVALRGHSASLVVLGTFLLWFGWYGFNPGSFNKILVTYG-A-SGGYYGQWSAVGRTA 238
LjAMT1.1	AGRAVSLRGHSASLVVLGTFMLWFGWYGFNPGSFTKILSAYDSGNYYGQWSAIGRTA 242
LeAMT1.2	SGRSVALRGHSASLVVLGTFLLWFGWYGFNPGEFLTILKSYDHTIRGTYYGQWSAIGRTA 250
	TMD 8 TMD 9
PoAMT1	VTTTLAGCTAALTTLFGKRILSGHWXVTDVCNGLLGGFAAITAGCSXXEPWAAIICGXVA 138
LeAMT1.1	VTTTLAGCTAALTTLFGKRILSGHWNVTDVCNGLLGGFAAITAGCSVVEPWAAIICGFVA 288
LjAMT1.1	VTTTLAGCTAALTTLFGKRIISGHWNVTDVCNGLLGGFAAITAGCSVVEPWAAIVCGFVA 292
LeAMT1.2	VTTTLAGCTAALTTLFCKRLLVAHWNVVDVCNGLLGGFAAITSGCAVVEPWAAIVCGFIA 300
	TMD 10
PoAMT1	ALVLIGCXMLAEKFKYDDPLEAAQLHGGCGAWGIIFTALFAKGEFVDQVYPGKPGRPHGL 188
LeAMT1.1	ALVLIGENMLAEKFKYDDPLEAAQLHGGCGAWGIIFTGLFAKGEFVDQVYPGKPGRPHGL 338
LjAMT1.1	AVVLIACNMLAEKVRYDDPLEAAQLHGGCGAWGIIFTALFAKEEYVNQVYPGKPGRPYGL 342
LeAMT1.2	AVVLIACINICAEVVKYDDPLEAAQLHGGCGSWGIIFTALPAKEEYVNQVYPGRPGRPGL 342 AWVLIGFNALAAKLKYDDPLEAAQLHGGCGSWGIIFTGLFAKKEYVNEVYPGFPNRPYGL 350
LEANT1.2	* ***. ** *.:**************************
POAMT1	EMGGGGX 193
LeAMT1.1	FMGGGGKLLGAHIIQILVIIGWVSATMGPLFYILHKFKLLRISSEDEMAGMDLTRHGGFA 388
LjAMT1.1	FMGGGGKLLGAHVIQILVIIGWVSATMGPLFFILNKMKLLRISTEDELAGMDLTRHGGFA 392
LeAMT1.2	FMGGGGKLLGAQVIQVVVIIGWSXTMGPLFYLLHKFKLLRISRDDETAGMDLTRHGGFA 400
ř	*****
POAMT1	193
LeAMT1.1	YYHEEDPKLGMQMRRIEPTTST 408
LJAMT1.1	YAYEDDESHKPGIQLRKIEPNSSSTPSAES 422
LeAMT1.2	YIYHDEDEGSSMPGFKMTRVEPTNTSTPDHQNRSVNVVV*QINK*IKMLLYRMVTL*LRK 450
PoAMT1	193
LeAMT1.1	408
LjAMT1.1	422
LeAMT1.2	RQISKCFNNVILLVS**TIYIYAKYF*PKKKGLYLF*LYDFIVCVFLFVCLFI*SSYLYC 500
De ANT1	193
POAMT1 LeAMT1.1	193
	408
LjAMT1.1 LeAMT1.2	SKDVNK*IINYSGQKKKKKX 500
LEANIT1.Z	SPANN TTHIS OF VENERAL ON

Figure 4. 7: An alignment of the amino acid sequence of potato PoAMT1 with Solanum lycopersicum LeAMT1.1, Lotus japonicus LjAMT1.1 and Solanum lycopersicum LeAMT1.2. The accession numbers are listed in Table 3.2. The amino acid residues conserved in all sequences are designated by *stars*, and conservative substitutions are shown by *dots*. Predicted transmembrane-spanning domains are marked above the alignment (TMD 5-10). Some amino acids predicted as constitutive of the pore in PoAMT (Po D16 and Po S70) are marked at the top of the sequence in rectangles

The amino acid sequence similarity which PoAMT1 shares with LeAMT1 (92%) (Lauter *et al.*, 1996), LjAMT1.1 (83%) (Salvemini *et al.*, 2001) and LeAMT1.2 (76%) (Von Wiren *et al.*, 2000b) showed that a portion of NH_4^+ transporter gene was indeed amplified. *Solanum*

lycopersicum LeAMT1.1 and LeAMT1.2 are from the same family with potato PoAMT1 (Solanaceae). A substrate affinity study on tomato showed that LeAMT1.1 is a high affinity transporter with K_m value of about 10 µM (Von Wiren et al., 2000a). The high transport activity of this homolog depends on concentration and voltage, but not affected by protons. Furthermore, LeAMT1.1 is preferentially expressed in root hairs indicating that it acquires NH₄⁺ from the rhizosphere (Von Wiren et al., 2000a). The affinity transport property of LeAMT1.1 is an indication that the putative PoAMT1.1 is from AMT1 family and has high affinity for NH₄⁺ uptake from the rhizosphere. LjAMT1.1 has high affinity for NH₄⁺ at 1.7 μ M and is highly expressed in leaves, roots and nodules (Salvemini et al., 2001). This further supports the stipulation that putative PoAMT1.1 is not only from AMT1 family but also a high affinity transporter of NH₄⁺. LeAMT1.2 is a close homologue of LeAMT1.1 with 76% amino acid similarity (Von Wiren et al., 2000b) and it is preferentially expressed in root hairs indicating NH_4^+ uptake from the rhizosphere. In addition, the highest expression level of LeAMT1.2 occurs after the onset of light. It has been reported that LeAMT1.2 plays a role in the uptake of xylem-derived NH₄⁺ or in the retrieval of photorespiratory NH₃ (Von Wiren *et al.*, 2000b).

Plant AMT1 family members have been shown to have 11 transmembrane spanning domain (Gazzarini *et al.*, 1999, Howitt and Udvardi, 2000). The core-region of putative PoAMT1 that was isolated and sequenced in this study corresponds to $5^{th} - 10^{th}$ TMDs of the plant AMT transmembrane (Fig 4.7). The amino acid sequence alignment showed that among other residues, the putative PoAMT1 possess Po S70 (equivalent to *Ec*AmtB S219) which have been indicated to increase transport activity at mutation by between 2 and 10 fold (Pantoja, 2012). The residue (Po S70) is well conserved with other selected proteins as shown in the alignment (Fig 4.7). Residue Po D16 correlates with the residues in *E.coli Ec*AmtB (D160) (Pantoja, 2012), *Saccharomyces cerevisae* ScMep2 (D186N) (Marini *et al.*, 2006) and *Arabidopsis thaliana* AtAMt1.1 (D198N) (Loque *et al.*, 2007) and falls under the 5^{th} transmembrane spanning region of PoAMT1 amino acid sequence. The implication of this is that PoAMT1 is a high affinity NH₄⁺ transporter and mutation of Po S70A may play a role in enhancing NH₄⁺ transport activity while residue Po D16 may inhibit NH₄⁺ transport activity when substituted with Ala.

4.3 Effect of N rate and N form on leaf chlorophyll content index

In general good plant growth was recorded with all N treatments in the hydroponically grown potato over the 4-weeks period.

Leaf chlorophyll content index (CCI) measured using CCM-200 varied with different N treatments (Appendix C). Leaf chlorophyll content index showed a mean: 13.45 ± 1.36 in response to nitrate deficient (N0.75) nutrient while it showed a mean: 34.53 ± 2.21 for abundant nitrate (N7.5) nutrient. For ammonium deficient (A0.75) nutrient, leaf chlorophyll content index showed a mean: 15.0 ± 0.83 , while it showed a mean: 35.83 ± 5.11 when abundant ammonium (A7.5) nutrient was supplied. The leaf chlorophyll content index for abundant and nitrate (A0.75N0.75) nutrient showed a mean: 15.70 ± 0.83 ; while index for abundant ammonium and nitrate (A7.5N7.5) nutrient treated plants showed a mean: 36.10 ± 3.68 . Plants treated with ammonium deficient/ abundant nitrate (A0.75N7.5) nutrient showed leaf chlorophyll index with mean: 36.53 ± 4.12 , while ammonium abundant/ deficient nitrate (for A7.5N0.75) nutrient treated plants showed a mean: 25.90 ± 1.06 (Appendix C).

There was significant difference (P>0.05) between potato leaf CCI of treatments supplied with abundant N supply and treatments supplied with deficient N (Appendix E). Leaf CCI was increased with increased N supply whereas it decreased with decreased N supply. Leaf CCI was high for N7.5 treatment while N0.75 treatment resulted in low leaf CCI (Fig 4.8). In the same manner, A7.5 treatment showed high CCI yet A0.75 treatment showed low leaf CCI. Leaf CCI for N0.75 treatment was lower than A0.75 treatment while leaf CCI for A7.5 treatment was higher than that of N7.5 treatment. For A0.75N0.75 treatment, leaf CCI of potato was low while it was high for A7.5N7.5 treatment. High leaf CCI was observed for A0.75N7.5 treatment yet A7.5N0.75 treatment resulted in low leaf CCI. There was no significant difference (P<0.05) between the leaf CCI of A0.75N0.75 treatment and N7.5 treatment (Appendix E). In all, A7.5N7.5 showed the highest leaf CCI followed by A0.75N7.5 treatment while N0.75 showed the least leaf CCI among other treatments (Fig 4.8).



Figure 4. 8: Response of leaf chlorophyll content index to N rate and form. Values with the same letter are not significantly different

A number of studies have been done to access potato N status by measuring leaf chlorophyll content (Gianquinto et al., 2004, Li et al., 2010, Vos and Bom, 1993, Zebarth et al., 2012). The result of this study indicates that leaf chlorophyll content index (CCI) is sensitive to N form and rate. Leaf CCI increased as N supply was increased. This observation seems to be consistent with other research which reported that SPAD-502 chlorophyll metre value increases progressively with N supply (Guler, 2009, Majic et al., 2008, Nemeth et al., 2007, Turner and Jund, 1991, Zhu et al., 2012). Leaf CCI was highest when a mixture of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ (A7.5N7.5) was supplied in abundance (Appendix C). This is an indication that leaf CCI may respond more to N supply when it is a mixture of NO₃⁻ and NH4⁺. When N was supplied in abundance, leaf CCI for NO3⁻ only was higher than that of NH₄⁺ only. Furthermore, a comparison of a mixture of deficient NH₄⁺/abundant NO₃⁻ and a mixture of abundant NH₄⁺/deficient NO₃⁻ treatments showed higher leaf CCI for deficient NH₄⁺/abundant NO₃⁻ treatment (Fig 4.8). These results indicate that N supply in the form of NO₃⁻ only, has more effect on potato leaf CCI than NH₄⁺ only. However, the findings of the current study do not support the previous research by Zebarth et al. (2012), who reported that leaf CCIs were mainly more responsive to N supply when NH₄⁺ was the N form. There are several possible explanations for this difference. For NH_4^+ only, Zebarth et al. (2012) reported the lowest leaf CCI at tuber bulking stage while they recorded the highest leaf CCI at both tuber initiation stage and flowering stage among all the N treatments. The result of 64 the current study is only based on tuber bulking stage (four weeks after planting) which corroborates with the low leaf CCI recorded only at tuber bulking stage in Zebarth *et al.* (2012) study. Research under field and hydroponic conditions has however shown that potato leaf CCI differs with growth stages, growing season and variety (Giletto *et al.*, 2010, Guler, 2009, Li *et al.*, 2010, Minotti *et al.*, 1994, Wu *et al.*, 2007). Indeed, the timely fluctuation of leaf CCI varies between N treatments (Vos and Bom, 1993). In general, these findings suggest that leaf chlorophyll content indexes were more responsive to N supply when NO₃⁻ was the N form.

4.4 Gene expression analysis of AMT1 in potato leaf

4.4.1 Primer design

For a RT-qPCR experiment, it is recommended that the primer length should be between 100 - 200 bp. The 160 bp AMT1-specific primer sequence is presented in Table 4.1. The bioinformatics evaluation of the primers showed that they are AMT1-specific to *Solanum lycopersicum* and *Solanum tuberosum*. The optimum annealing temperature selected for the primers was 54°C.

_	Query	1180	TGGTGCATGGGGGGATAATTTTTACCGGGGTTATTCGCTAAAGGGGA-GTTTGTGGATCAAG	1238
	<u>JQ072026</u>	1203	CCGCG.C.C.GTGGAAACA.CG.G.	1261
	<u>AB117640</u>	1181	CAGG.GCG.C.C.TTC.GC.A.AACA.CG.G.	1239
	<u>AF182188</u>	1215	CCGCACC.CCG.AACA.CG.	1273
_	Query	1239	TATA-CCCGGGTAAACCGGGTCGACCTCACGGGTTATTT <mark>ATGGGTGGTGGAGGGA</mark> AACTA	1297
	JQ072026	1262	.GAAGGATC.GCCGG	1320
	<u>AB117640</u>	1240	.GTA.GTACCAT.TGGCAGGT.G	1298
	<u>AF182188</u>	1274	.T	1332
_	Query	1298	CTCGGGGCGCATATAATCCAGATACTCGTAATAATCGGGTGGGT	1357
	JQ072026	1321	GCCT.GAG.GTAGGG	1380
	<u>AB117640</u>	1299	T.G.CG.GTTG.GTTG.TTT.TTT.	1358
	<u>AF182188</u>	1333	ACG.GTT.GGT	1392
_	Query	1358	CCGCT-TT-TTTATATACTTCATAAATTCAAGTTGCTTCGGATATCGTCCGAAGACGAAA	1415
	<u>JQ072026</u>	1381	TGC.T.G.GGCGC.GCGAG.GG	1438
	<u>AB117640</u>	1359	AT.GCGCGCC.TCAGGT	1416
	<u>AF182188</u>	1393	TGCCGAGA.G	1450
	Ouerv	1416	TG <mark>BCCGGTATGGATCTGACCC</mark> GACATGGTGGGTTTGCTTAT 1456	
	JQ072026	1439		
	AB117640	1417	.AA	
	AF182188	1451	.TA 1491	

Figure 4. 9: Sequence alignment of several AMT1 plant species; the highlighted nucleotides with the region of similarities are used to design the 160 bp AMT1 for use in qPCR

4.4.2 Total RNA isolation

In an experiment to investigate the response of the AMT1 expression level to N status, RNA was successfully isolated from hydroponically grown potato leaf collected at early tuber bulking growth stage, as described in section 3.4.7 above. Thermo Scientific NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer was used to determine concentration of each sample which varied from 36.4 - 1045 ng/µL. RNA quality was checked through SYBR Green stained gel electrophoresis (Fig. 4.10) where the quality of each treatment varied. Any variation observed at this stage is due to technical issues of RNA isolation that is why RNA quantity must be standardized when setting up amplification reactions.



Figure 4. 10: Photograph of a SYBR Green stained agarose gel showing RNA quality of varying concentration. The lanes are: M (molecular weight marker), 1-2 (N0.75), 3-4 (N7.5), 5-6 (A0.75), 7-8 (A7.5), 9-10 (A0.75N0.75), 11-12 (A7.5N7.5), 13-14 (A0.75N7.5) and 15-16 (A7.5N0.75) respectively

4.4.3 Preliminary: Response of AMT1 expression level to N rate and form 4.4.3.1 Qualitative RT-PCR

Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis was performed on total RNA of potato leaf samples that were grown hydroponically with varying N rate and form. This was done to investigate the pattern of potato AMT1 expression under the aforementioned N conditions. The concentrations of total RNA that was extracted from selected leaf samples were 995, 1045, 1122.1, 1675.8 and 422.2 ng/µL, respectively for A7.5N7.5 (C), N0.75 (P1), N7.5 (P2), A7.5 (P3), and A0.75 (P4) treatments. One ng/µL was used for each sample. The amplified products and sizes as well as the qualitative expression of β -tubulin and AMT1 (160 bp) are shown in figure 4.11. The expression of potato AMT1 is given relative to β -tubulin mRNA levels. The expression of β -tubulin was relatively the same for all the treatments as expected apart from P3 whose expression was low and the size was 160 bp instead of the expected 101 bp size. Potato AMT1 expression was high for N0.75, N7.5 and A0.75 treatment with the

expression being lower for N7.54. Expression of AMT1 was low for A7.5N7.5 (C) and A7.5 (P3). Amplification was however found on 101 bp against the expected 160 bp size for P3 treatment.



Figure 4. 11: Photograph of SYBR Green stained agarose gel showing ampicons of β -tubulin (101 bp) and AMT1 (160 bp) for each treatment

The expression of β -tubulin (Lopez-Pardo *et al.*, 2013, Nicot *et al.*, 2005) was used as a reference to determine AMT1 expression in this study. β -tubulin mRNA level for A7.5 (P3) treatment was not only low, but showed a different product size compared to other treatments (Fig. 4.11). The variation in β -tubulin mRNA level may indicate that P3 treatment had an influence on its expression or some form of contamination occurred. In this preliminary study, expression of AMT1 by semi-quantitative analysis showed that potato leaf AMT1 expression increased when N supply was decreased (P1 and P4) regardless of N form. This finding is consistent with a semi-quantitative analysis study on *Brassica napus* BnAMT1.2 in leaf (Pearson *et al.*, 2002). Furthermore, a study by Zebarth *et al.* (2012) indicated an increase in *Solanum tuberosum* AT1 expression when 0.75mM NH₄⁺ and 0.75 mM NO₃⁻ was supplied at tuber bulking stage. Increased NO₃⁻ (P2) supply however also increased the potato leaf AMT1 expression level along with the aforementioned expression for the N deficient treatment. This result corroborates Von Wiren *et al.* (2000b) study who 68

reports high expression of LeAMT1.2 in tomato leaf when NO₃ was continuously supplied for 3 weeks. It is however contradictory to a quantitative analysis carried out on Solanum tuberosum AT1 (Zebarth et al., 2012). The end-point PCR analysis in this study showed that AMT1 expression level decreased when N supply was increased (C and P3). This is in accord with Zebarth et al. (2012) results which showed that Solanum tuberosum AT1 expression decreased when 7.5 mM NH₄⁺, 7.5 mM NO₃⁻ as well as a mixture of 7.5mM NH₄⁺ and 7.5 mM NO₃⁻ were applied. In addition, a semi-quantitative RT-PCR assay on Brassica napus BnAMT1.2 leaf also showed low expression when 5.0 mM NH₄⁺ was continuously supplied (Pearson et al., 2002). It is however not surprising that the low AMT1 expression level indicated for P3 treatment was observed on 160 bp instead of the expected 101 bp. This change in product size was also earlier observed in β-tubulin mRNA when treated with P3, possibly due to contamination. In general, the semi-quantitative RT-PCR assay in this preliminary study showed that AMT1 expression in potato leaf increased when N was deficient while it decreased when N supply was in abundance regardless of N form. However, these findings are qualitative and preliminary besides having a small sampling/treatment size.

4.5 Response of AMT1 expression level to N rate and form

RT-qPCR assay was performed on total RNA isolated from potato leaf which was subjected to varying N rate and form for four weeks.

The correlation coefficient (R²) value from the standard curve analysis was 0.98433 (Fig. 4.12). AMT1 mRNA levels showed varied responses to different rates and forms of N (Appendix D). AMT1 expression level showed a mean: ($24.65 \pm 2.94 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{L}$) when nitrate deficient (N0.75) nutrient was supplied, while the abundant nitrate (N7.5) nutrient results in AMT1 expression level which showed a mean: ($8.73 \pm 2.61 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{L}$). For ammonium deficient (A0.75) nutrient, AMT1 expression level showed a mean: ($9.83 \pm 1.31 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{L}$), while the abundant ammonium (A7.5) nutrient resulted in AMT1 expression level with a mean: (6.23 ± 0.46) ng/ μ L. Furthermore, the AMT1 expression level in plants treated with ammonium/nitrate deficient (A0.75N0.75) N nutrient showed a mean: ($18.76 \pm 1.11 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{L}$), while that for ammonium and nitrate abundant (A7.5N7) N nutrient treated plants showed a mean: ($12.94 \pm 0.32 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{L}$). In plants treated with ammonium deficient/nitrate abundant (A0.75N7.5) N nutrient, the AMT1 expression level showed a mean: ($11.96 \pm 2.63 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{L}$), while the AMT1 expression level observed in ammonium abundant/nitrate deficient (A7.5N0.75) N nutrient treated plants showed a mean: ($12.94 \pm 0.32 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{L}$).



Figure 4. 12: Standard curve for potato AMT1 concentration

AMT1 mRNA levels showed varied responses to different rates and forms of N. Generally, expression level of potato AMT1 was increased when N supply was reduced, but not when N supply was in the form of deficient NH₄⁺ only (A0.75) (Fig. 4.13). Increased N supply decreased the expression level of AMT1 regardless of N form. AMT1 expression level was high for N0.75 treatment while it was low for N7.5 treatment. However, the expression level of AMT1 decreased when A0.75 treatment and A7.5 treatment were supplied. AMT1 expression level was high for A0.75N0.75 treatment and A7.5 treatment were supplied. AMT1 expression level was high for A0.75N0.75 treatment, expression level of AMT1 decreased while it increased for A7.5N0.75 treatment, expression level of AMT1 decreased while it increased for A7.5N0.75 treatment. When N deficient treatments were compared, it was observed that N0.75 had highest AMT1 expression level followed by A0.75N0.75 and A0.75 (Fig. 4.13). For abundant N supply, A7.5 had the lowest AMT1 expression level followed by N7.5 and A7.5N7.5. In all, the N0.75 treatment.

In an attempt to study and quantitate AMT1 expression level for the purpose of correlating it to plant N status, two levels and forms of N supply were imposed on hydroponic grown potato plants. Ammonium transporters are expressed with a different pattern of regulation in several plant organs (roots, leaves, stems, shoots, buds, nodules) (D'apuzzo *et al.*, 2004, Gazzarini *et al.*, 1999, Ninnemann *et al.*, 1994, Von Wiren *et al.*, 2000a), although they are preferentially expressed in the root tissue. Expression of AMT1 in leaves have been reported in *Solanum lycopersicum* LeAMT1.2 and LeAMT1.3 (Von Wiren *et al.*, 2000b), *Brassica napus* BnAMT1.2 (Pearson *et al.*, 2002), *Lotus Japonicus* LjAMT1.1 - 1.3 (D'apuzzo *et al.*, 2004) and *Solanum tuberosum* AT1 (Zebarth *et al.*, 2012) and their primary function may be

 NH_4^+ transport from the apoplast as well as the recovery of photorespiratory NH_4^+ (D'apuzzo *et al.*, 2004, Pearson *et al.*, 2002, Zebarth *et al.*, 2012).



Figure 4. 13: RT-qPCR assay showing the effect of N form and N rate on expression of potato AMT1

The correlation coefficient (R^2) value from the standard curve analysis in this study was 0.984. This indicated the percentage of the data which matched the hypothesis that the given standards form a standard curve (Fig. 4.12). Increased N supply decreased the expression level of AMT1 in potato leaves regardless of N form. These findings further support previous research on leaves of *Brassica napus* BnAMT1 (Pearson *et al.*, 2002) and *Solanum tuberosum* AT1 (Zebarth *et al.*, 2012). AMT1 expression level was found to increase when N supply was reduced in the form of NO₃⁻ and a mixture of NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻. The increase in AMT1 expression at low N supply is in agreement with other studies. Zebarth *et al.* (2012) reported an increase in AT1 expression when hydroponic grown potato was subjected to 0.75 mM NH₄⁺, 0.75 mM NO₃⁻ and a mixture of 0.75 mM NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ respectively. In leaf, *Solanum tuberosum* AT1 expression was also high when N supply was reduced under field condition (Zebarth *et al.*, 2011). Contrary to expectations, this study showed low AMT1 expression level in potato leaf when N supply was 0.75 mM NH₄⁺ only. This is in contrast with a number of studies (Pearson *et al.*, 2002, Wiren and Merrick, 2004a, Wiren and Merrick, 2004b, Zebarth *et al.*, 2012). This rather contradictory result may be due

to some factors. AMT1 expression level was low when N was supplied in a mixture of 0.75 mM NH₄⁺ and 7.5mM NO₃⁻ while it was highly increased for a mixture of 7.5 mM NH₄⁺ and 0.75 mM NO₃⁻. Furthermore, 0.75 mM NO₃⁻ and a mixture of 7.5 mM NH₄⁺ and 0.75 mM NO₃⁻ treatments showed the highest AMT1 expression level followed by a mixture of 0.75 mM NH₄⁺ and 0.75 mM NO₃⁻ treatments showed the highest AMT1 expression level followed by a mixture of 0.75 mM NH₄⁺ and 0.75 mM NO₃⁻ treatment. This result shows that NO₃⁻ has more effect on AMT1 in potato leaf. In addition, high AMT1 expression in potato leaf correlates with low NO₃⁻ regardless of presence or absence of NH₄⁺. It is however worth knowing that differential expression of AMT1 homologs (in roots and leaves) have been observed in several plant species which include; LjAMT1.1-3 (D'apuzzo *et al.*, 2004, Salvemini *et al.*, 2001), LeAMT1.1-3 (Von Wiren *et al.*, 2000b), AtAMT1.1-5 (Gazzarini *et al.*, 1999, Ninnemann *et al.*, 1994, Yuan *et al.*, 2009), PutAMT1.1 (Bu *et al.*, 2013). In addition, expression of rice OSAMT1 varies from different varieties, to growth conditions and experimental setup, whereas various studies have proven that expression of AMT1 in plants are generally regulated by nitrogen starvation (Kumar *et al.*, 2003, Sonoda *et al.*, 2003a, Wiren and Merrick, 2004a).

The findings of this study indicate that AMT1 probably transports NH_4^+ efficiently, but NO_3^- inefficiently. Low NO_3^- will therefore induce high levels of AMT1 expression to ensure N uptake. Monitoring of AMT1 expression levels in plants can therefore be used as a direct indicator of the plant's attempt to take up nitrogen in the form of nitrate from an environment where nitrate is limiting.

5.1 Conclusion

This work is to our knowledge the first report of nucleotide sequence of Bambara groundnut BgAMT1 core-region, and its bioinformatics analysis. Amino acid sequence alignment as well as the phylogenetic analysis showed that BgAMT1 and PoAMT1 are indeed from the plant AMT1 family and they have high affinity to assimilate NH_4^+ from the soil. BgAMT1 may contribute to different steps in rhizobia interaction. In potato, site directed mutagenesis of Po S70A can be performed to increase NH_4^+ transport activity.

We also used RT-qPCR to study the response of potato PoAMT1 expression level to N rate and form and demonstrated the potential to correlate the expression level to potato N status. AMT1 expression in potato leaf was sensitive to N form and rate. AMT1 expression level in potato leaf increased when N supply was low and the expression was generally more responsive to NO₃⁻ form of nitrogen. We propose AMT1 as a candidate gene for determining the plant N status with NO₃⁻.

5.2 Recommendation

Further work should be done to clone a complete BgAMT1 gene and report its uniqueness as AMT1 gene in a legume crop. It is important to study the role it plays in the development and functioning of nodules and how they bring about combination of additional NH₄⁺ supplied by the nodule organ. The RT-qPCR study should be expanded to other crops to validate its utility in determining the plant N status.

- Aatsinki, J. T., Lakkakorpi, J. T., Pietila, E. M. & Rajaniemi, H. J. 1994. A coupled one-step reverse transcription PCR procedure for generation of full-length open reading frames. *BioTechniques*, 16, 282-288.
- Abdel-Aal, Z., Khalf-Alla, A., Al-Shal, M. & Abd-Al-Qader, M. 1977. Vegetables production part 2. *Dar. Al-Madboat. Al-Jadida, Publisher Alexandria, ARE*, 15-57.
- Alva, A. K. 2007. Petiole and soil nitrogen concentrations during the growing season of two potato cultivars as influenced by different nitrogen-management practices. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 38, 403-421.
- Andrade, S. L. A., Dickmanns, A., Ficner, R. & Einsle, O. 2005. Crystal structure of the archaeal ammonium transporter Amt-1 from *Archaeoglobus fulgidus*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 102, 14994-14999.
- Ayyub, C., Pervez, M., Ali, S., Manan, A., Akhtar, N., Ashraf, I. & Shahid, M. 2011. Growth and yield response of potato crop to different sources of potash. *International Journal for Agro Veterinary and Medical Sciences*, 5, 316-321.
- Backofen, R., Bernhart, S. H., Flamm, C., Fried, C., Fritzsch, G., Hackermuller, J., Hertel, J., Hofacker, I. L., Missal, K., Mosig, A., Prohaska, S. J., Rose, D., Stadler, P. F., Tanzer, A., Washietl, S. & Will, S. 2007. RNAs everywhere: Genome-wide annotation of structured rnas. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part B: Molecular and Developmental Evolution*, 308B, 1-25.
- Barber, S. A. 1995. Nutrient uptake by plant roots growing in soil. *Soil nutrient bioavailability: A mechanistic approach.* New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Barker, A. V. & Mills, H. A. 1980. Ammonium and nitrate nutrition of horticultural crops. *Horticultural reviews.* John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Baryeh, E. A. 2001. Physical properties of bambara groundnuts. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 47, 321-326.
- Battaglia, M., Pedrazzoli, P., Palermo, B., Lanza, A., Bertolini, F., Gibelli, N., Da Prada, G., Zambelli, A., Perotti, C. & Robustelli Della Cuna, G. 1998. Epithelial tumour cell detection and the unsolved problems of nested RT-PCR: A new sensitive one step method without false positive results. *Bone Marrow Transplantation*, 22.
- Below, F. E. 2001. Nitrogen metabolism and crop productivity. *In:* Pessarakli, M. (ed.) *Handbook of plant and crop physiology.* New York: Marcel Dekker.
- Bengtsson, M., Stahlberg, A., Rorsman, P. & Kubista, M. 2005. Gene expression profiling in single cells from the pancreatic islets of langerhans reveals lognormal distribution of mRNA levels. *Genome research*, 15, 1388-1392.

- Bhalerao, R., Keskitalo, J., Sterky, F., Erlandsson, R., Bjorkbacka, H., Birve, S. J., Karlsson, J., Gardestrom, P., Gustafsson, P. & Lundeberg, J. 2003. Gene expression in autumn leaves. *Plant Physiology*, 131, 430-442.
- Birnbaum, K., Shasha, D. E., Wang, J. Y., Jung, J. W., Lambert, G. M., Galbraith, D. W. & Benfey, P. N. 2003. A gene expression map of the *Arabidopsis* root. *Science*, 302, 1956-1960.
- Blackmer, T. & Schepers, J. 1995. Use of a chlorophyll meter to monitor nitrogen status and schedule fertigation for corn. *Journal of production agriculture*, 8, 56-60.
- Bloom, A., Jackson, L. & Smart, D. 1993. Root growth as a function of ammonium and nitrate in the root zone. *Plant, Cell & Environment,* 16, 199-206.
- Bloom, A. J., Sukrapanna, S. S. & Warner, R. L. 1992. Root respiration associated with ammonium and nitrate absorption and assimilation by barley. *plant physiology*, 99, 1294-1301.
- Boeckstaens, M., Bruno, A. & Marini, A. M. 2008. Distinct transport mechanism in yeast ammonium transport/sensor proteins of the MEP/AMT/Rh family and impact on filamentation. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 283, 21362-21370.
- Botha, E., Zebarth, B. & Leblon, B. 2006. Non-destructive estimation of potato leaf chlorophyll and protein contents from hyperspectral measurements using the prospect radiative transfer model. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 86, 279-291.
- Bradeen, J. M. & Haynes, K. G. 2011. Introduction to potato. *In:* Bradeen, J. M. & Kole, C. (eds.) *Genetics,genomics and breeding of potato.* Science publishers, Inc.
- Bu, Y., Sun, B., Zhou, A., Zhang, X., Lee, I. & Liu, S. 2013. Identification and characterization of a putAMT1; 1 gene from *Puccinellia tenuiflora*. *PloS one*, 8, e83111.
- Bu, Y., Takano, T., Nemoto, K. & Liu, S. 2011. Research progress of ammonium transporter in rice plants. *Genomics and Applied Biology*, 2.
- Buono, V., Paradiso, A., Serio, F., Gonnella, M., De Gara, L. & Santamaria, P. 2009. Tuber quality and nutritional components of "early" potato subjected to chemical haulm desiccation. *Journal of food composition and analysis,* 22, 556-562.
- Burlingame, B., Mouille, B. & Charrondiere, R. 2009. Nutrients, bioactive non-nutrients and anti-nutrients in potatoes. *Journal of food composition and analysis*, 22, 494-502.
- Burton, W. G. 1989. The potato, Longman Group Limited.
- Busch, W. & Lohmann, J. U. 2007. Profiling a plant: Expression analysis in *Arabidopsis*. *Current opinion in plant biology*, 10, 136-141.
- Bustin, S. 2008. Rt-qPCR and molecular diagnostics: No evidence for measles virus in the gi tract of autistic children. *Eur Pharm Rev Dig,* 1, 11-16.

- Bustin, S., Benes, V., Nolan, T. & Pfaffl, M. 2005. Quantitative real-time RT-PCR–a perspective. *Journal of molecular endocrinology*, 34, 597-601.
- Bustin, S. A. 2000. Absolute quantification of mRNA using real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction assays. *Journal of molecular endocrinology*, 25, 169-193.
- Bustin, S. A. 2005. Real-time reverse transcription PCR. *Encyclopedia of diagnostic genomics and proteomics*, 1131-1335.
- Camanes, G., Cerezo, M., Primo-Millo, E., Gojon, A. & Garcia-Agustin, P. 2009. Ammonium transport and citAMT1 expression are regulated by n in citrus plants. *Planta*, 229, 331-342.
- Cartelat, A., Cerovic, Z., Goulas, Y., Meyer, S., Lelarge, C., Prioul, J.-L., Barbottin, A., Jeuffroy, M.-H., Gate, P. & Agati, G. 2005. Optically assessed contents of leaf polyphenolics and chlorophyll as indicators of nitrogen deficiency in wheat (*Triticum aestivum l.*). *Field Crops Research*, 91, 35-49.
- Chandra, S., Bandopadhyay, R., Kumar, V. & Chandra, R. 2010. Acclimatization of tissue cultured plantlets: From laboratory to land. *Biotechnology letters*, 32, 1199-1205.
- Chantigny, M. H., Prévost, D., Angers, D. A., Simard, R. R. & Chalifour, F.-P. 1998. Nitrous oxide production in soils cropped to corn with varying n fertilization. *Canadian journal of soil science*, 78, 589-596.
- Chapman, S. C. & Barreto, H. J. 1997. Using a chlorophyll meter to estimate specific leaf nitrogen of tropical maize during vegetative growth. *Agronomy Journal*, 89, 557-562.
- Chase, R., Silva, G. & Kitchen, R. 1990. Nitrogen and spacing effects on tuber yield and quality of russet norkotah and spartan pearl. *Am Potato J*, 67, 542.
- Collinson, S., Azam-Ali, S., Chavula, K. & Hodson, D. 1996. Growth, development and yield of bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea*) in response to soil moisture. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 126, 307-318.
- Cong, Y., Yang, S.-Y., Song, Z.-Z., Hao, D.-L. & Su, Y.-H. 2011. Cloning and expression analysis of an ammonium transporter gene in *Pyrus betulaefolia* and its snp analysis in *Pyrus. Acta Botanica Boreali-Occidentalia Sinica*, 10, 003.
- Conroy, M. J., Durand, A., Lupo, D., Li, X.-D., Bullough, P. A., Winkler, F. K. & Merrick, M. 2007. The crystal structure of the *Escherichia coli* Amtb–Glnk complex reveals how Glnk regulates the ammonia channel. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 104, 1213-1218.
- Consortium, P. G. S. 2011. Genome sequence and analysis of the tuber crop potato. *Nature*, 475, 189-195.
- Couturier, J., Montanini, B., Martin, F., Brun, A., Blaudez, D. & Chalot, M. 2007. The expanded family of ammonium transporters in the perennial poplar plant. *New Phytologist*, 174, 137-150.

- Cruz, F., Kalaoun, S., Nobile, P., Colombo, C., Almeida, J., Barros, L. G., Romano, E., Grossi-De-Sa, M., Vaslin, M. & Alves-Ferreira, M. 2009. Evaluation of coffee reference genes for relative expression studies by quantitative real-time RT-PCR. *Molecular Breeding*, 23, 607-616.
- D'apuzzo, E., Rogato, A., Simon-Rosin, U., El Alaoui, H., Barbulova, A., Betti, M., Dimou, M., Katinakis, P., Marquez, A., Marini, A.-M., Udvardi, M. K. & Chiurazzi, M. 2004. Characterization of three functional high-affinity ammonium transporters in *Lotus japonicus* with differential transcriptional regulation and spatial expression. *plant physiology*, 134, 1763-1774.
- Dakora, F. & Muofhe, M. Year. Molecular signals involved in nodulation of the african bambara groundnut (vigna subterranea I. Verdc.). *In:* Proceedings of the International Bambara groundnut Symposium, University of Nottingham, UK, 1996. 23-25.
- Davis, J., Sorensen, L., Stark, J. & Westermann, D. 1990. Fertility and management practices to control verticillium wilt of the russet burbank potato. *American potato journal*, 67, 55-65.
- Delaplace, P., Rojas-Beltran, J., Frettinger, P., Du Jardin, P. & Fauconnier, M.-L. 2008. Oxylipin profile and antioxidant status of potato tubers during extended storage at room temperature. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 46, 1077-1084.
- Deng, R., Gu, J., Lu, W., Xu, H., Cao, Y. & Xiao, K. 2007. Characterization, function and expression analysis of ammonium transporter gene OsAMT1.4 and OSAMT5 in rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Scientia Agricultura Sinica*, 40, 2395-2402.
- Dheda, K., Huggett, J., Chang, J., Kim, L., Bustin, S., Johnson, M., Rook, G. & Zumla, A. 2005. The implications of using an inappropriate reference gene for real-time reverse transcription PCR data normalization. *Analytical biochemistry*, 344, 141-143.
- Dheda, K., Huggett, J. F., Bustin, S. A., Johnson, M. A., Rook, G. & Zumla, A. 2004. Validation of housekeeping genes for normalizing rna expression in real-time PCR. *Biotechniques*, 37, 112-119.
- Dieffenbach, C., Lowe, T. & Dveksler, G. 1993. General concepts for pcr primer design. *PCR Methods Appl,* 3, S30-S37.
- Engineer, C. B. & Kranz, R. G. 2007. Reciprocal leaf and root expression of AtAMT1.1 and root architectural changes in response to nitrogen starvation. *plant physiology*, 143, 236-250.
- Epstein, E. & Bloom, A. J. 2005. *Mineral nutrition of plants: Principles and perspectives,* Sunderland, MA, USA, Sinauer Associates.
- Ercoli, L., Mariotti, M., Masoni, A. & Massantini, F. 1993. Relationship between nitrogen and chlorophyll content and spectral properties in corn leaves. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 2, 113-117.

- Errebhi, M., Rosen, C. J. & Birong, D. E. 1998a. Calibration of a petiole sap nitrate test for irrigated 'Russet burbank'potato. *Communications in Soil Science & Plant Analysis*, 29, 23-35.
- Errebhi, M., Rosen, C. J., Gupta, S. C. & Birong, D. E. 1998b. Potato yield response and nitrate leaching as influenced by nitrogen management. *Agron. J.*, 90, 10-15.
- Esfahani, M., Abbasi, H. A., Rabiei, B. & Kavousi, M. 2008. Improvement of nitrogen management in rice paddy fields using chlorophyll meter (SPAD). *Paddy and Water Environment*, 6, 181-188.
- Estiarte, M., Filella, I., Serra, J. & Penuelas, J. 1994. Effects of nutrient and water stress on leaf phenolic content of peppers and susceptibility to generalist herbivorehelicoverpa armigera (hubner). *Oecologia*, 99, 387-391.
- Ezekiel, R., Singh, N., Sharma, S. & Kaur, A. 2013. Beneficial phytochemicals in potato—a review. *Food Research International*, 50, 487-496.
- Fink, L., Seeger, W., Ermert, L., Hanze, J., Stahl, U., Grimminger, F., Kummer, W. & Bohle, R. M. 1998. Real-time quantitative RT–PCR after laser-assisted cell picking. *Nature medicine*, 4, 1329-1333.
- Freeman, W. M., Walker, S. J. & Vrana, K. E. 1999. Quantitative RT-PCR: Pitfalls and potential. *Biotechniques*, 26, 112-125.
- Friedman, M. 1997. Chemistry, biochemistry, and dietary role of potato polyphenols. A review. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 45, 1523-1540.
- Fronhoffs, S., Totzke, G., Stier, S., Wernert, N., Rothe, M., Bruning, T., Koch, B., Sachinidis, A., Vetter, H. & Ko, Y. 2002. A method for the rapid construction of cRNA standard curves in quantitative real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction. *Molecular and cellular probes*, 16, 99-110.
- Galloway, J. N. & Cowling, E. B. 2002. Reactive nitrogen and the world: 200 years of change. *Ambio*, 31, 64-71.
- Gansel, X., Munos, S., Tillard, P. & Gojon, A. 2001. Differential regulation of the NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ transporter genes AtNrt2.1 and AtAMT1.1 in *Arabidopsis*: Relation with long-distance and local controls by N status of the plant. *The Plant Journal*, 26, 143-155.
- Garnett, T., Conn, V. & Kaiser, B. N. 2009. Root based approaches to improving nitrogen use efficiency in plants. *Plant, Cell & Environment,* 32, 1272-1283.
- Gause, W. & Adamovicz, J. 1994. The use of the PCR to quantitate gene expression. *PCR Methods Appl,* 3, 123-135.
- Gazzarini, S., Lejay, L., Gojon, A., Ninnemann, O. & Frommer, W. B. 1999. Three functional transporters for constitutive, diurnally regulated and starvation-induced uptake of ammonium into Arabidopsis roots. *The Plant Cell*, 11, 937-947.

- Gessler, A., Schneider, S., Von Sengbusch, D., Weber, P., Hanemann, U., Huber, C., Rothe, A., Kreutzer, K. & Rennenberg, H. 1998. Field and laboratory experiments on net uptake of nitrate and ammonium by the roots of spruce (*Picea abies*) and beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) trees. *New Phytologist*, 138, 275-285.
- Gianquinto, G., Goffart, J., Olivier, M., Guarda, G., Colauzzi, M., Dalla Costa, L., Delle Vedove, G., Vos, J. & Mackerron, D. 2004. The use of hand-held chlorophyll meters as a tool to assess the nitrogen status and to guide nitrogen fertilization of potato crop. *Potato Research*, 47, 35-80.
- Giletto, C. M., Diaz, C., Rattin, J. E., Echeverria, H. E. & Caldiz, D. O. 2010. Green index to estimate crop nitrogen status in potato processing varieties. *Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research*, 70, 142-149.
- Glass, A. & Siddiqi, M. 1995. Nitrogen absorption by plant roots. *Nitrogen nutrition in higher plants*, 21-56.
- Glass, A. D. M., Britto, D. T., Kaiser, B. N., Kinghorn, J. R., Kronzucker, H. J., Kumar, A., Okamoto, M., Rawat, S., Siddiqi, M. Y. & Unkles, S. E. 2002. The regulation of nitrate and ammonium transport systems in plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 53, 855-864.
- Goffart, J. P. & Olivier, M. 2004. Management of N-fertilization of the potato crop using total N-advice software and in-season chlorophyll-meter measurements. *In:* Mackerron Dkl & Aj, H. (eds.) *Decision support systems in potato production.* Wageningen: Wageningen Academic Publishers.
- Goffart, J. P., Olivier, M. & Frankinet, M. 2008. Potato crop nitrogen status assessment to improve N fertilization management and efficiency: Past–present–future. *Potato Research*, 51, 355-383.
- Gojon, A., Soussana, J.-F., Passama, L. & Robin, P. 1986. Nitrate reduction in roots and shoots of barley (*Hordeum vulgare I.*) and corn (*Zea mays I.*) seedlings i. 15N study. *plant physiology*, 82, 254-260.
- Gonzalez-Ballester, D., Camargo, A. & Fernandez, E. 2004. Ammonium transporter genes in *Chlamydomonas*: The nitrate-specific regulatory gene nit2 is involved in amt1;1 expression. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 56, 863-878.
- Good, A. G., Shrawat, A. K. & Muench, D. G. 2004. Can less yield more? Is reducing nutrient input into the environment compatible with maintaining crop production? *Trends in plant science*, 9, 597-605.
- Goulas, Y., Cerovic, Z. G., Cartelat, A. & Moya, I. 2004. Dualex: A new instrument for field measurements of epidermal ultraviolet absorbance by chlorophyll fluorescence. *Applied Optics*, 43, 4488-4496.
- Gu, R., Duan, F., An, X., Zhang, F., Von Wiren, N. & Yuan, L. 2013. Characterization of amtmediated high-affinity ammonium uptake in roots of maize (*Zea mays I.*). *Plant and Cell Physiology*, 54, 1515-1524.

- Guler, S. 2009. Effects of nitrogen on yield and chlorophyll of potato (*Solanum tuberosum I.*) cultivars. *Bangladesh Journal of Botany*, 38, 163-169.
- Guo, J. H., Liu, X. J., Zhang, Y., Shen, J. L., Han, W. X., Zhang, W. F., Christie, P., Goulding, K. W. T., Vitousek, P. M. & Zhang, F. S. 2010. Significant acidification in major chinese croplands. *Science*, 327, 1008-1010.
- Hall, J. A. & Kustu, S. 2011. The pivotal twin histidines and aromatic triad of the *Escherichia coli* ammonium channel Amtb can be replaced. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108, 13270-13274.
- Hammer, P. A., Tibbitts, T., Langhans, R. & Mcfarlane, J. C. 1978. Base-line growth studies of grand rapids' lettuce in controlled environments. *Journal-American Society for Horticultural Science (USA)*.
- Harris, P. M. 1992. *The potato crop: The scientific basis for improvement*, Chapman and Hall.
- Heid, C. A., Stevens, J., Livak, K. J. & Williams, P. M. 1996. Real time quantitative PCR. *Genome research,* 6, 986-994.
- Higuchi, R., Fockler, C., Dollinger, G. & Watson, R. 1993. Kinetic PCR analysis: Real-time monitoring of DNA amplification reactions. *Biotechnology*, 11, 1026-1030.
- Hoel, B. O. 1998. Use of a hand-held chlorophyll meter in winter wheat: Evaluation of different measuring positions on the leaves. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica B—Plant Soil Sciences, 48, 222-228.
- Hoel, B. O. 2002. Chlorophyll meter readings in winter wheat: Cultivar differences and prediction of grain protein content. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B-Plant Soil Science*, 52, 147-157.
- Holden, M. J. & Wang, L. 2008. Quantitative real-time PCR: Fluorescent probe options and issues. *Standardization and quality assurance in fluorescence measurements ii.* Springer.
- Hong, N., Scharf, P. C., Davis, J. G., Kitchen, N. R. & Sudduth, K. A. 2007. Economically optimal nitrogen rate reduces soil residual nitrate. *Journal of environmental quality*, 36, 354-362.
- Houles, V., Mary, B., Guerif, M., Makowski, D. & Justes, E. 2004. Evaluation of the ability of the crop model stics to recommend nitrogen fertilisation rates according to agroenvironmental criteria. *Agronomie*, 24, 339-349.
- Howitt, S. M. & Udvardi, M. K. 2000. Structure, function and regulation of ammonium transporters in plants. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Biomembranes*, 1465, 152-170.
- Huggett, J., Dheda, K., Bustin, S. & Zumla, A. 2005. Real-time RT-PCR normalisation; strategies and considerations. *Genes and immunity*, 6, 279-284.

- Hunt, M. 2010. Real time pcr. *Microbiology and Immunology Online. Columbia: Board of Trustees of the University of South Carolina.*
- Jahn, T. P., Moller, A. L. B., Zeuthen, T., Holm, L. M., Klarke, D. A., Mohsin, B., Kuhlbrandt, W. & Schjoerring, J. K. 2004. Aquaporin homologues in plants and mammals transport ammonia. *FEBS Letters*, 574, 31-36.
- Javelle, A., Lupo, D., Li, X.-D., Merrick, M., Chami, M., Ripoche, P. & Winkler, F. K. 2007. Reprint of "structural and mechanistic aspects of amt/rh proteins" [j. Struct. Biol. 158 (2007) 472–481]. *Journal of Structural Biology*, 159, 243-252.
- Javelle, A., Lupo, D., Ripoche, P., Fulford, T., Merrick, M. & Winkler, F. K. 2008. Substrate binding, deprotonation, and selectivity at the periplasmic entrance of the *Escherichia coli* ammonia channel Amtb. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105, 5040-5045.
- Jungebloud, A., Bohle, K., Gocke, Y., Cordes, C., Horn, H. & Hempel, D. C. 2007. Quantification of product-specific gene expression in biopellets of *Aspergillus niger* with real-time PCR. *Enzyme and microbial technology*, 40, 653-660.
- Kaiser, B. N., Rawat, S. R., Siddiqi, M. Y., Masle, J. & Glass, A. D. M. 2002. Functional analysis of an *Arabidopsis* t-DNA "knockout" of the high-affinity NH₄⁺ transporter AtAMT1;1. *plant physiology*, 130, 1263-1275.
- Ke, L., Chen, Z. & Yung, W. 2000. A reliability test of standard-based quantitative PCR: Exogenous vs endogenous standards. *Molecular and cellular probes*, 14, 127-135.
- Kebelmann-Betzing, C., Seeger, K., Dragon, S., Schmitt, G., Moricke, A., Schild, T., Henze, G. & Beyermann, B. 1998. Advantages of a new taq DNA polymerase in multiplex PCR and time-release PCR. *Biotechniques*, 24, 154-158.
- Khademi, S., O'connell, J., Remis, J., Robles-Colmenares, Y., Miercke, L. J. W. & Stroud, R. M. 2004. Mechanism of ammonia transport by AMT/MEP/Rh: Structure of Amtb at 1.35 A. Science, 305, 1587-1594.
- Khademi, S. & Stroud, R. M. 2006. The AMT/MEP/Rh family: Structure of Amtb and the mechanism of ammonia gas conduction. *Physiology*, 21, 419-429.
- Kronzucker, H. J., Siddiqi, M. Y. & Glass, A. D. 1996. Kinetics of NH₄⁺ influx in spruce. *plant physiology*, 110, 773-779.
- Kryndushkin, D. S., Alexandrov, I. M., Ter-Avanesyan, M. D. & Kushnirov, V. V. 2003. Yeast [PSI+] prion aggregates are formed by small sup35 polymers fragmented by hsp104. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 278, 49636-49643.
- Kubista, M., Andrade, J. M., Bengtsson, M., Forootan, A., Jonak, J., Lind, K., Sindelka, R., Sjoback, R., Sjogreen, B. & Strombom, L. 2006. The real-time polymerase chain reaction. *Molecular aspects of medicine*, 27, 95-125.
- Kumar, A., Silim, S., Okamoto, M., Siddiqi, M. Y. & Glass, A. 2003. Differential expression of three members of the AMT1 gene family encoding putative high-affinity NH₄⁺

transporters in roots of *Oryza sativa* subspecies indica. *Plant, cell* & *environment,* 26, 907-914.

- Ladha, J. K., Pathak, H., Krupnik, T. J., Six, J. & Van Kessel, C. 2005. Efficiency of fertilizer nitrogen in cereal production: Retrospects and prospects. *Advances in Agronomy*, 87, 85-156.
- Lam, H.M., Coschigano, K., Schultz, C., Melo-Oliveira, R., Tjaden, G., Oliveira, I., Ngai, N., Hsieh, M.H. & Coruzzi, G. 1995. Use of *Arabidopsis* mutants and genes to study amide amino acid biosynthesis. *The Plant Cell*, 7, 887.
- Lam, H.M., Wong, P., Chan, H.K., Yam, K.M., Chen, L., Chow, C.M. & Coruzzi, G. M. 2003. Overexpression of the asn1 gene enhances nitrogen status in seeds of arabidopsis. *plant physiology*, 132, 926-935.
- Lam, H. M., Hsieh, M. H. & Coruzzi, G. 1998. Reciprocal regulation of distinct asparagine synthetase genes by light and metabolites in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *The Plant Journal*, 16, 345-353.
- Lanquar, V., Loque, D., Hormann, F., Yuan, L., Bohner, A., Engelsberger, W. R., Lalonde, S., Schulze, W. X., Von Wiren, N. & Frommer, W. B. 2009. Feedback inhibition of ammonium uptake by a phospho-dependent allosteric mechanism in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Cell Online*, 21, 3610-3622.
- Lauer, D. 1986. Russet burbank yield response to sprinkler-applied nitrogen fertilizer. *American potato journal,* 63, 61-69.
- Lauter, F. R., Ninnemann, O., Bucher, M., Riesmeier, J. W. & Frommer, W. B. 1996. Preferential expression of an ammonium transporter and of two putative nitrate transporters in root hairs of tomato. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 93, 8139-8144.
- Lawyer, F. C., Stoffel, S., Saiki, R., Chang, S., Landre, P., Abramson, R. & Gelfand, D. 1993. High-level expression, purification, and enzymatic characterization of full-length *Thermus aquaticus* DNA polymerase and a truncated form deficient in 5' to 3' exonuclease activity. *Genome research*, 2, 275-287.
- Lea, P. J. & Azevedo, R. A. 2007. Nitrogen use efficiency. 2. Amino acid metabolism. *Annals of Applied Biology*, 151, 269-275.
- Lea, P. J., Sodek, L., Parry, M. a. J., Shewry, P. R. & Halford, N. G. 2007. Asparagine in plants. *Annals of Applied Biology*, 150, 1-26.
- Lekanne Deprez, R. H., Fijnvandraat, A. C., Ruijter, J. M. & Moorman, A. F. 2002. Sensitivity and accuracy of quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction using SYBR green I depends on cDNA synthesis conditions. *Analytical biochemistry*, 307, 63-69.
- Leo, L., Leone, A., Longo, C., Lombardi, D. A., Raimo, F. & Zacheo, G. 2008. Antioxidant compounds and antioxidant activity in "early potatoes". *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 56, 4154-4163.

- Li, B.-Z., Merrick, M., Li, S.-M., Li, H.-Y., Zhu, S.-W., Shi, W.-M. & Su, Y.-H. 2009. Molecular basis and regulation of ammonium transporter in rice. *Rice Science*, 16, 314-322.
- Li, S.-M., Li, B.-Z. & Shi, W.-M. 2012. Expression patterns of nine ammonium transporters in rice in response to N status. *Pedosphere*, 22, 860-869.
- Li, S. & Shi, W. 2006. Quantitative characterization of nitrogen regulation of OSAMT1;1, OSAMT1; 2, and OSAMT2; 2 expression in rice seedlings. *Russian Journal of Plant Physiology*, 53, 837-843.
- Li, X.-Q., Sveshnikov, D., Zebarth, B. J., Tai, H., De Koeyer, D., Millard, P., Haroon, M. & Singh, M. 2010. Detection of nitrogen sufficiency in potato plants using gene expression markers. *American journal of potato research*, 87, 50-59.
- Linnemann, A. R. & Azam Ali, S. 1993. Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea*). *Pulses and vegetables. (Underutilized crops)*, 13-58.
- Liu, W. & Saint, D. A. 2002. A new quantitative method of real time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction assay based on simulation of polymerase chain reaction kinetics. *Analytical biochemistry*, 302, 52-59.
- Livak, K. J. & Schmittgen, T. D. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using realtime quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta c(t)) method. *Methods*, 25, 402-408.
- Long, C., Snapp, S., Douches, D. & Chase, R. 2004. Tuber yield, storability, and quality of michigan cultivars in response to nitrogen management and seed piece spacing. *American Journal of Potato Research*, 81, 347-357.
- Lopez-Pardo, R., De Galarreta, J. I. R. & Ritter, E. 2013. Selection of housekeeping genes for qRT-PCR analysis in potato tubers under cold stress. *Molecular Breeding*, 31, 39-45.
- Loque, D., Lalonde, S., Looger, L., Von Wiren, N. & Frommer, W. 2007. A cytosolic transactivation domain essential for ammonium uptake. *Nature*, 446, 195-198.
- Loque, D. & Von Wiren, N. 2004. Regulatory levels for the transport of ammonium in plant roots. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 55, 1293–1305.
- Loque, D., Yuan, L., Kojima, S., Gojon, A., Wirth, J., Gazzarrini, S., Ishiyama, K., Takahashi, H. & Von Wiren, N. 2006. Additive contribution of AMT1;1 and AMT1;3 to high-affinity ammonium uptake across the plasma membrane of nitrogen-deficient arabidopsis roots. *The Plant Journal*, 48, 522-534.
- Love, S., Stark, J. & Salaiz, T. 2005. Response of four potato cultivars to rate and timing of nitrogen fertilizer. *American journal of potato research*, 82, 21-30.
- Ludewig, U., Neuhauser, B. & Dynowski, M. 2007. Molecular mechanisms of ammonium transport and accumulation in plants. *FEBS Letters*, 581, 2301-2308.

- Ludewig, U., Von Wiren, N. & Frommer, W. B. 2002. Uniport of NH₄⁺ by the root hair plasma membrane ammonium transporter LeAMT1;1. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 277, 13548–13555.
- Ludewig, U., Von Wiren, N., Rentsch, D. & Frommer, W. 2001. Rhesus factors and ammonium: A function in efflux? *Genome Biology*, 2, 1-5.
- Ludewig, U., Wilken, S., Wu, B., Jost, W., Obrdlik, P., El Bakkoury, M., Marini, A.M., Andre, B., Hamacher, T., Boles, E., Von Wiren, N. & Frommer, W. B. 2003. Homo- and hetero-oligomerization of ammonium transporter-1 uniporters. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 278, 45603-45610.
- Lutaladio, N. & Castaldi, L. 2009. Potato: The hidden treasure. *Journal of food composition and analysis,* 22, 491-493.
- Luzhkov, V. B., Almlof, M., Nervall, M. & Aqvist, J. 2006. Computational study of the binding affinity and selectivity of the bacterial ammonium transporter amtb. *Biochemistry*, 45, 10807-10814.
- Mackay, I. M. 2007. *Real-time PCR in microbiology: From diagnosis to characterization*, Horizon Scientific Press.
- Mackerron, D. K. 2000. *Management of nitrogen and water in potato production*, Wageningen Academic Pub.
- Majic, A., Poljak, M., Sabljo, A., Knezovic, Z. & Horvat, T. 2008. Efficiency of use of chlorophyll meter and cardy-ion meter in potato nitrogen nutrition. *Cereal Research Comm*, 36, 1431-1434.
- Mallet, F., Oriol, G., Mary, C., Verrier, B. & Mandrand, B. 1995. Continuous RT-PCR using AMV-RT and taq DNA polymerase: Characterization and comparison to uncoupled procedures. *Biotechniques*, 18, 678-687.
- Marini, A.-M., Soussi-Boudekou, S., Vissers, S. & Andre, B. 1997. A family of ammonium transporters in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Molecular and cellular biology*, 17, 4282-4293.
- Marini, A. M., Boeckstaens, M., Benjelloun, F., Cherif-Zahar, B. & Andre, B. 2006. Structural involvement in substrate recognition of an essential aspartate residue conserved in MEP/AMT and Rh-type ammonium transporters. *Current genetics*, 49, 364-374.

Marschner, H. 1995. Mineral nutrition of higher plants, London, Academic Press.

- Marschner, H. & Marschner, P. 2012. *Marschner's mineral nutrition of higher plants*, Academic press.
- Masclaux-Daubresse, C., Daniel-Vedele, F., Dechorgnat, J., Chardon, F., Gaufichon, L. & Suzuki, A. 2010. Nitrogen uptake, assimilation and remobilization in plants: Challenges for sustainable and productive agriculture. *Annals of Botany*, 105, 1141-1157.

- Mathur, A., Mathur, A. K., Verma, P., Yadav, S., Gupta, M. L. & Darokar, M. P. 2008. Biological hardening and genetic fidelity testing of micro-cloned progeny of *Chlorophytum borivilianum sant*. Et fernand. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 7.
- Mayer, M. & Ludewig, U. 2006. Role of AMT1;1 in NH₄⁺ acquisition in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Biology*, 8, 522-528.
- Mcallister, C. H., Beatty, P. H. & Good, A. G. 2012. Engineering nitrogen use efficient crop plants: The current status. *Plant biotechnology journal,* 10, 1011-1025.
- Mcdonald, S., Plant, J. & Worden, A. 2010. The mixed lineage nature of nitrogen transport and assimilation in marine eukaryotic phytoplankton: A case study of micromonas. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 27, 2268–2283.
- Mcdonald, T. R., Dietrich, F. S. & Lutzoni, F. 2012. Multiple horizontal gene transfer of ammonium transporter/ammonia permeases from prokaryotes to eukaryotes: Towards a new functional and evolutionary classification. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 29, 51-60.
- Mcgregor, I. 2011. The fresh potato market. *Potato Biology and Biotechnology: Advances and Perspectives: Advances and Perspectives*, 1.
- Millard, P. & Mackerron, D. K. L. 1986. The effects of nitrogen application on growth and nitrogen distribution within the potato canopy. *Annals of Applied Biology*, 109, 427-437.
- Millard, P. & Marshall, B. 1986. Growth, nitrogen uptake and partitioning within the potato (Solatium tuberosum I.) crop, in relation to nitrogen application. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 107, 421-429.
- Miller, A. J., Fan, X., Orsel, M., Smith, S. J. & Wells, D. M. 2007. Nitrate transport and signalling. *Journal of experimental Botany*, 58, 2297-2306.
- Minotti, P., Halseth, D. & Sieczka, J. 1994. Field chlorophyll measurements to assess the nitrogen status of potato varieties. *HortScience*, 29, 1497-1500.
- Minton, A. P. 1995. Confinement as a determinant of macromolecular structure and reactivity. Ii. Effects of weakly attractive interactions between confined macrosolutes and confining structures. *Biophysical journal,* 68, 1311-1322.
- Monahan, B. J., Unkles, S. E., Tsing I, T., Kinghorn, J. R., Hynes, M. J. & Davis, M. A. 2002. Mutation and functional analysis of the *Aspergillus nidulans* ammonium permease meaa and evidence for interaction with itself and mepa. *Fungal Genetics and Biology*, 36, 35-46.
- Morgan, M. & Jackson, W. 1988. Inward and outward movement of ammonium in root systems: Transient responses during recovery from nitrogen deprivation in presence of ammonium. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 39, 179-191.

- Mwale, S., Azam-Ali, S. & Massawe, F. 2007. Growth and development of bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea*) in response to soil moisture: 1. Dry matter and yield. *European journal of agronomy*, 26, 345-353.
- Nagarajah, S. 1999. A petiole sap test for nitrate and potassium in sultana grapevines. Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research, 5, 56-60.
- Nemeth, T., Mathe-Gaspar, G., Radimszky, L. & Gyori, Z. 2007. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer on the nitrogen, sulphur and carbon contents of canola (*Brassica napus l.*) grown on a *Calcareous chernozem* soil. *Cereal Research Communications*, 35, 837-840.
- Neuhauser, B., Dynowski, M. & Ludewig, U. 2009. Channel-like NH₃ flux by ammonium transporter AtAMT2. *FEBS Letters*, 583, 2833-2838.
- Neuhauser, B., Dynowski, M., Mayer, M. & Ludewig, U. 2007. Regulation of NH₄⁺ transport by essential cross talk between amt monomers through the carboxyl tails. *plant physiology*, 143, 1651-1659.
- Nicot, N., Hausman, J.-F., Hoffmann, L. & Evers, D. 2005. Housekeeping gene selection for real-time RT-PCR normalization in potato during biotic and abiotic stress. *Journal of experimental botany*, 56, 2907-2914.
- Nielsen, K. H. & Schjoerring, J. K. 1998. Regulation of apoplastic NH₄⁺ concentration in leaves of oilseed rape. *plant physiology*, 118, 1361-1368.
- Ninnemann, O., Jauniaux, J. C. & Frommer, W. B. 1994. Identification of a high affinity nh₄⁺ transporter from plants. *EMBO Journal*, 13, 3464–3471.
- Ojala, J., Stark, J. & Kleinkopf, G. 1990. Influence of irrigation and nitrogen management on potato yield and quality. *American potato journal*, 67, 29-43.
- Olfs, H.-W., Blankenau, K., Brentrup, F., Jasper, J., Link, A. & Lammel, J. 2005. Soil and plant based nitrogen fertilizer recommendations in arable farming. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 168, 414-431.
- Orlando, C., Pinzani, P. & Pazzagli, M. 1998. Developments in quantitative PCR. *Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine*, 36, 255-269.
- Ortiz-Ramirez, C., Mora, S. I., Trejo, J. & Pantoja, O. 2011. Pvamt1;1, a highly selective ammonium transporter that functions as H⁺/NH4⁺ symporter. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 286, 31113-31122.
- Pantoja, O. 2012. High affinity ammonium transporters: Molecular mechanism of action. *Frontiers in plant science,* 3.
- Pearson, J. & Stewart, G. R. 1993. The deposition of atmospheric ammonia and its effects on plants. *New Phytologist*, 125, 283-305.
- Pearson, J. N., Finnemann, J. & Schjoerring, J. K. 2002. Regulation of the high-affinity ammonium transporter (BnAMT1;2) in the leaves of *Brassica napus* by nitrogen status. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 49, 483-490.

- Pfaffl, M. W. 2001. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT– PCR. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 29, e45-e45.
- Pfaffl, M. W. & Hageleit, M. 2001. Validities of mRNA quantification using recombinant RNA and recombinant DNA external calibration curves in real-time RT-PCR. *Biotechnology Letters*, 23, 275-282.
- Piekielek, W. P., Fox, R. H., Toth, J. D. & Macneal, K. E. 1995. Use of a chlorophyll meter at the early dent stage of corn to evaluate nitrogen sufficiency. *Agronomy Journal*, 87, 403-408.
- Piekkielek, W. & Fox, R. 1992. Use of a chlorophyll meter to predict sidedress nitrogen. *Agronomy journal*, 84, 59-65.
- Porter, G. A. & Sisson, J. A. 1991. Response of russet burbank and shepody potatoes to nitrogen fertilizer in two cropping systems. *American Potato Journal,* 68, 425-443.
- Radonic, A., Thulke, S., Mackay, I. M., Landt, O., Siegert, W. & Nitsche, A. 2004. Guideline to reference gene selection for quantitative real-time PCR. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 313, 856-862.
- Ramesh, K., Chandrasekaran, B., Balasubramanian, T., Bangarusamy, U., Sivasamy, R. & Sankaran, N. 2002. Chlorophyll dynamics in rice (*Oryza sativa*) before and after flowering based on spad (chlorophyll) meter monitoring and its relation with grain yield. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*, 188, 102-105.
- Rawat, S. R., Silim, S. N., Kronzucker, H. J., Siddiqi, M. Y. & Glass, A. D. M. 1999. AtAMT1 gene expression and NH₄⁺ uptake in roots of *Arabidopsis thaliana*: Evidence for regulation by root glutamine levels. *The Plant Journal*, 19, 143-152.
- Reece, J. B. 2011. Campbell biology, Pearson.
- Rhodes, J., Thain, J. & Wildon, D. 1996. The pathway for systemic electrical signal conduction in the wounded tomato plant. *Planta*, 200, 50-57.
- Robertson, G. P. & Vitousek, P. M. 2009. Nitrogen in agriculture: Balancing the cost of an essential resource. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 34, 97-125.
- Rogato, A., D'apuzzo, E., Barbulova, A., Omrane, S., Parlati, A., Carfagna, S., Costa, A., Schiavo, F. L., Esposito, S. & Chiurazzi, M. 2010. Characterization of a developmental root response caused by external ammonium supply in lotus japonicus. *Plant physiology*, 154, 784-795.
- Rothstein, S. J. 2007. Returning to our roots: Making plant biology research relevant to future challenges in agriculture. *The Plant Cell Online*, 19, 2695-2699.
- Rowe, R. C. 1993. Potato health management, St. Paul, APS Press.
- Salvemini, F., Marini, A.-M., Riccio, A., Patriarca, E. J. & Chiurazzi, M. 2001. Functional characterization of an ammonium transporter gene from lotus japonicus. *Gene*, 270, 237-243.

- Scharf, P. C., Kitchen, N. R., Sudduth, K. A., Davis, J. G., Hubbard, V. C. & Lory, J. A. 2005. Field-scale variability in optimal nitrogen fertilizer rate for corn. *Agron. J.*, 97, 452-461.
- Schepers, J., Francis, D., Vigil, M. & Below, F. 1992. Comparison of corn leaf nitrogen concentration and chlorophyll meter readings. *Communications in Soil Science & Plant Analysis*, 23, 2173-2187.
- Scheromm, P., Martin, G., Bergoin, A. & Autran, J. 1992. Influence of nitrogen fertilization on the potential bread-baking quality of two wheat cultivars differing in their responses to increasing nitrogen supplies. *Cereal chemistry (USA)*.
- Schmid, M., Davison, T. S., Henz, S. R., Pape, U. J., Demar, M., Vingron, M., Scholkopf, B., Weigel, D. & Lohmann, J. U. 2005. A gene expression map of *arabidopsis thaliana* development. *Nature Genetics*, 37, 501-506.
- Schmittgen, T. D., Zakrajsek, B. A., Mills, A. G., Gorn, V., Singer, M. J. & Reed, M. W. 2000. Quantitative reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction to study mrna decay: Comparison of endpoint and real-time methods. *Analytical biochemistry*, 285, 194-204.
- Sexton, P. & Carroll, J. 2002. Comparison of spad chlorophyll meter readings vs. Petiole nitrate concentration in sugarbeet. *Journal of Plant Nutrition,* 25, 1975-1986.
- Shelden, M., Dong, B., De Bruxelles, G., Trevaskis, B., Whelan, J., Ryan, P., Howitt, S. & Udvardi, M. 2001. *Arabidopsis* ammonium transporters, AtAMT1;1 and AtAMT1;2, have different biochemical properties and functional roles. *Plant and Soil*, 231, 151-160.
- Shi, R. & Chiang, V. L. 2005. Facile means for quantifying microrna expression by real time PCR. *Biotechniques*, 39, 519-525.
- Simon-Rosin, U., Wood, C. & Udvardi, M. 2003. Molecular and cellular characterisation of LjAMT2;1, an ammonium transporter from the model legume lotus japonicus. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 51, 99-108.
- Singh, B., Singh, Y., Ladha, J. K., Bronson, K. F., Balasubramanian, V., Singh, J. & Khind, C. S. 2002. Chlorophyll meter–and leaf color chart–based nitrogen management for rice and wheat in northwestern india. *Agronomy Journal*, 94, 821-829.
- Smil, V. 2002. Nitrogen and food production: Proteins for human diets. *AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment*, 31, 126-131.
- Sogaard, R., Alsterfjord, M., Macaulay, N. & Zeuthen, T. 2009. Ammonium ion transport by the AMT/Rh homolog TaAMT1;1 is stimulated by acidic ph. *Pflugers Archiv European Journal of Physiology*, 458, 733-743.
- Sohlenkamp, C., Shelden, M., Howitt, S. & Udvardi, M. 2000. Characterization of *Arabidopsis* AtAMT2, a novel ammonium transporter in plants. *FEBS Letters*, 467, 273-278.

- Sohlenkamp, C., Wood, C. C., Roeb, G. W. & Udvardi, M. K. 2002. Characterization of *Arabidopsis* AtAMT2, a high-affinity ammonium transporter of the plasma membrane. *plant physiology*, 130, 1788-1796.
- Sonoda, Y., Ikeda, A., Saiki, S., Von Wiren, N., Yamaya, T. & Yamaguchi, J. 2003a. Distinct expression and function of three ammonium transporter genes (OSAMT1;1–1;3) in rice. *Plant Cell Physiology*, 44, 726–734.
- Sonoda, Y., Ikeda, A., Saiki, S., Yamaya, T. & Yamaguchi, J. 2003b. Feedback regulation of the ammonium transporter gene family amt1 by glutamine in rice. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, 44, 1396-1402.
- Souaze, F., Ntodou-Thome, A., Tran, C., Rostene, W. & Forgez, P. 1996. Quantitative RT-PCR: Limits and accuracy. *Biotechniques*, 21, 280-285.
- Spooner, D. M. & Bamberg, J. B. 1994. Potato genetic resources: Sources of resistance and systematics. *American Potato Journal*, 71, 325-337.
- Suenaga, A., Moriya, K., Sonoda, Y., Ikeda, A., Von Wiren, N., Hayakawa, T., Yamaguchi, J. & Yamaya, T. 2003. Constitutive expression of a novel-type ammonium transporter OSAMT2 in rice plants. *Plant Cell Physiology*, 44, 206-211.
- Sun, S., Li, B., Hu, J. & Xu, G. 2006. Establishment and application of a real-time fluoresence quantitative pcr for detecting transcripts of low abundance gene, OSAMT1.3, in rice. *Chinese J Rice Sci*, 20, 8-12.
- Swanevelder, C. 1998. Bambara–food for africa. *National Department of Agriculture, Government Printer, Republic of South Africa.*
- Tabuchi, M., Abiko, T. & Yamaya, T. 2007. Assimilation of ammonium ions and reutilization of nitrogen in rice (*Oryza sativa I.*). *Journal of experimental botany*, 58, 2319-2327.
- Tate, R., Cermola, M., Riccio, A., Iaccarino, M., Merrick, M., Favre, R. & Patriarca, E. J. 1999. Ectopic expression of the rhizobium etli Amtb gene affects the symbiosome differentiation process and nodule development. *Molecular plant-microbe interactions*, 12, 515-525.
- Thellin, O., Elmoualij, B., Heinen, E. & Zorzi, W. 2009. A decade of improvements in quantification of gene expression and internal standard selection. *Biotechnology Advances*, 27, 323-333.
- Tremblay, N., Fallon, E. & Ziadi, N. 2011. Sensing of crop nitrogen status: Opportunities, tools, limitations, and supporting information requirements. *HortTechnology*, 21, 274-281.
- Tremblay, N., Wang, Z. & Bélec, C. 2007. Evaluation of the dualex for the assessment of corn nitrogen status. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 30, 1355-1369.
- Tricarico, C., Pinzani, P., Bianchi, S., Paglierani, M., Distante, V., Pazzagli, M., Bustin, S. A. & Orlando, C. 2002. Quantitative real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain

reaction: Normalization to rRNA or single housekeeping genes is inappropriate for human tissue biopsies. *Analytical biochemistry*, 309, 293-300.

- Turner, F. T. & Jund, M. F. 1991. Chlorophyll meter to predict nitrogen top-dress requirement for semi-dwarf rice. *Agronomy Journal*, 83, 926-928.
- Tyerman, S. D., Whitehead, L. F. & Day, D. A. 1995. A channel-like transporter for NH₄⁺ on the symbiotic interface of N₂-fixing plants. *Nature*, 378, 629-632.
- Udvardi, M. K. & Day, D. A. 1997. Metabolite transport across symbiotic membranes of legume nodules. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 48, 493-523.
- Ullrich, W. R., Larsson, M., Larsson, C. M., Lesch, S. & Novacky, A. 1984. Ammonium uptake in lemna gibba G1, related membrane potential changes, and inhibition of anion uptake. *Plant Phsiology*, 61, 369-376.
- Van Es, H., Czymmek, K. & Ketterings, Q. 2002. Management effects on nitrogen leaching and guidelines for a nitrogen leaching index in new york. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, 57, 499-504.
- Vandesompele, J., De Paepe, A. & Speleman, F. 2002. Elimination of primer–dimer artifacts and genomic coamplification using a two-step SYBR green I real-time RT-PCR. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 303, 95-98.
- Varvel, G. E., Schepers, J. S. & Francis, D. D. 1997. Chlorophyll meter and stalk nitrate techniques as complementary indices for residual nitrogen. *Journal of Production Agriculture*, 10, 147-151.
- Vidal, I., Longeri, L. & Hetier, J. M. 1999. Nitrogen uptake and chlorophyll meter measurements in spring wheat. *Nutrient cycling in Agroecosystems*, 55, 1-6.
- Villeneuve, S., Coulombe, J., Belec, C. & Tremblay, N. 2002. A comparison of sap nitrate test and chlorophyll meter for nitrogen status dignosis in broccoli (Brassica oleracea I. Ssp. *Italica*). *Acta Horticulturae*, 571, 171-177.
- Von Wiren, N., Gazzarrini, S. & Frommer, W. 1997. Regulation of mineral nitrogen uptake in plants. *Plant and Soil*, 196, 191-199.
- Von Wiren, N., Gazzarrini, S., Gojont, A. & Frommer, W. B. 2000a. The molecular physiology of ammonium uptake and retrieval. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 3, 254-261.
- Von Wiren, N., Lauter, F.-R., Ninnemann, O., Gillissen, B., Walch-Liu, P., Engels, C., Jost, W. & Frommer, W. B. 2000b. Differential regulation of three functional ammonium transporter genes by nitrogen in root hairs and by light in leaves of tomato. *The Plant Journal*, 21, 167-175.
- Vos, J. & Bom, M. 1993. Hand-held chlorophyll meter: A promising tool to assess the nitrogen status of potato foliage. *Potato Research*, 36, 301-308.

- Vouillot, M. O., Huet, P. & Boissard, P. 1998. Early detection of N deficiency in a wheat crop using physiological and radiometric methods. *Agronomie*, 18, 117-130.
- Wang, M. Y., Glass, A. D., Shaff, J. E. & Kochian, L. V. 1994. Ammonium uptake by rice roots (iii. Electrophysiology). *plant physiology*, 104, 899-906.
- Wang, M. Y., Siddiqi, M. Y., Ruth, T. J. & Glass, A. D. 1993a. Ammonium uptake by rice roots (i. Fluxes and subcellular distribution of 13NH₄⁺). *plant physiology*, 103, 1249-1258.
- Wang, M. Y., Siddiqi, M. Y., Ruth, T. J. & Glass, A. D. 1993b. Ammonium uptake by rice roots (ii. Kinetics of 13NH₄⁺ influx across the plasmalemma). *Plant Physiology*, 103, 1259-1267.
- Wells, D. M. & Miller, A. J. 2000. Intracellular measurement of ammonium in chara corallina using ion-selective microelectrodes. *Plant and Soil*, 221, 103-106.
- Westcott, M. P., Rosen, C. J. & Inskeep, W. P. 1993. Direct measurement of petiole sap nitrate in potato to determine crop nitrogen status. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 16, 515-521.
- Westcott, M. P., Stewart, V. R. & Lund, R. E. 1991. Critical petiole nitrate levels in potato. *Agron. J.*, 83, 844-850.
- Westermann, D. 2005. Nutritional requirements of potatoes. American journal of potato research, 82, 301-307.
- Westermann, D. & Kleinkopf, G. 1985. Nitrogen requirements of potatoes. Agronomy Journal, 77, 616-621.
- Westermann, D., Tindall, T., James, D. & Hurst, R. 1994. Nitrogen and potassium fertilization of potatoes: Yield and specific gravity. *American potato journal*, 71, 417-431.
- Winkler, F. K. 2006. Amt/mep/rh proteins conduct ammonia. *Pflugers Archiv : European Journal of Physiology*, 451, 701-707.
- Wiren, N. & Merrick, M. 2004a. Regulation and function of ammonium carriers in bacteria, fungi, and plants. *Molecular mechanisms controlling transmembrane transport.* Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Wiren, N. V. & Merrick, M. 2004b. Molecular mechanisms controlling transmembrane transport. *Topics in Current Genetics*, 1-26.
- Wise, R. P., Moscou, M. J., Bogdanove, A. J. & Whitham, S. A. 2007. Transcript profiling in host–pathogen interactions*. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 45, 329-369.
- Wong, M. L. & Medrano, J. F. 2005. Real-time PCR for mRNA quantitation. *Biotechniques*, 39, 75.

- Wood, C. C., Porée, F., Dreyer, I., Koehler, G. J. & Udvardi, M. K. 2006. Mechanisms of ammonium transport, accumulation, and retention in ooyctes and yeast cells expressing *Arabidopsis* AtAMT1;1. *FEBS Letters*, 580, 3931-3936.
- Wu, J., Wang, D., Rosen, C. J. & Bauer, M. E. 2007. Comparison of petiole nitrate concentrations, SPAD chlorophyll readings, and quickbird satellite imagery in detecting nitrogen status of potato canopies. *Field Crops Research*, 101, 96-103.
- Xu, G., Fan, X. & Miller, A. J. 2012. Plant nitrogen assimilation and use efficiency. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 63, 153-182.
- Yadawa, U. 1986. A rapid and nondestructive method to determine chlorophyll in intact leaves. *HortScience*, 21, 1449-1450.
- Yuan, L., Loque, D., Kojima, S., Rauch, S., Ishiyama, K., Inoue, E., Takahashi, H. & Von Wiren, N. 2007a. The organization of high-affinity ammonium uptake in *Arabidopsis* roots depends on the spatial arrangement and biochemical properties of amt1-type transporters. *The Plant Cell Online*, 19, 2636-2652.
- Yuan, L., Loque, D., Ye, F., Frommer, W. B. & Von Wiren, N. 2007b. Nitrogen-dependent post-transcriptional regulation of the ammonium transporter AtAMT1;1. *Plant Physiology*, 143, 732-744.
- Yuan, L. X., Graff, L., Loque, D., Kojima, S., Tsuchiya, Y. N., Takahashi, H. & Von Wiren, N. 2009. AtAMT1.4, a pollen-specific high-affinity ammonium transporter of the plasma membrane in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell Physiology*, 50, 13-25.
- Zebarth, B., Tai, H., Luo, S., Millard, P., Koeyer, D., Li, X.-Q. & Xiong, X. 2012. Effect of nitrogen form on gene expression in leaf tissue of greenhouse grown potatoes during three stages of growth. *American Journal of Potato Research*, 89, 315-327.
- Zebarth, B. J., Drury, C. F., Tremblay, N. & Cambouris, A. N. 2009. Opportunities for improved fertilizer nitrogen management in production of arable crops in eastern canada: A review. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 89, 113-132.
- Zebarth, B. J., Leclerc, Y., Moreau, G. & Botha, E. 2004. Rate and timing of nitrogen fertilization of russet burbank potato: Yield and processing quality. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 84, 855-863.
- Zebarth, B. J., Rochette, P. & Burton, D. L. 2008. N₂O emissions from spring barley production as influenced by fertilizer nitrogen rate. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 88, 197-205.
- Zebarth, B. J. & Rosen, C. J. 2007. Research perspective on nitrogen bmp development for potato. *American Journal of Potato Research*, 84, 3-18.
- Zebarth, B. J., Tai, H., Luo, S., Millard, P., De Koeyer, D., Li, X.-Q. & Xiong, X. 2011. Differential gene expression as an indicator of nitrogen sufficiency in field-grown potato plants. *Plant and soil*, 345, 387-400.

- Zhao, X.-Q., Zhao, S.-P. & Shi, W.-M. 2008. Enhancement of NH₄⁺ uptake by NO₃⁻ in relation to expression of nitrate-induced genes in rice (*Oryza sativa*) roots. *Pedosphere*, 18, 86-91.
- Zheng, L., Kostrewa, D., Berneche, S., Winkler, F. K. & Li, X.-D. 2004. The mechanism of ammonia transport based on the crystal structure of Amtb of *Escherichia coli*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 101, 17090-17095.
- Zhu, J., Tremblay, N. & Liang, Y. 2012. Comparing spad and atleaf values for chlorophyll assessment in crop species. *Canadian journal of soil science*, 92, 645-648.
- Ziadi, N., Brassard, M., Belanger, G., Claessens, A., Tremblay, N., Cambouris, A. N., Nolin, M. C. & Parent, L.E. 2008. Chlorophyll measurements and nitrogen nutrition index for the evaluation of corn nitrogen status. *Agronomy Journal*, 100, 1264-1273.
- Zimmermann, P., Hirsch-Hoffmann, M., Hennig, L. & Gruissem, W. 2004. Genevestigator. *Arabidopsis* microarray database and analysis toolbox. *Plant physiology*, 136, 2621-2632.

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Hydroponic system for potato N concentration studies



Appendix B: Forward (primer-1) and reverse (primer-2) sequences of BgAMT1 and PoAMT1

BgAMT1_Primer-1

TGGGCCGCAGACGGTTGGGCATCCGCGTTCAACGTAAACAATCTTTTATTCTCCACCG GCGTAATCGACTTCGCCGGCTCCGGCGTTGTCCACATGGTCGGCGGTGTGGCGGGTC TCTGGGGCGCGCTTATCGAGGGTCCCCGAATCGGCCGATTCGATCACGCGGGACGCG CGGTGGCCTTACGTGGCCACAGCGCCTCGTTAGTCGTGGTTGGAACCTTCATGCTGTG GTTCGGTTGGTACGGTTTCAACCCTGGTTCATTCAACAAAATCTTAGTCCCTTATAGCTC AGGGGAAAGTATGGTCAATGGAGCGCGGTTGGGAGAACCGCGGTTACCACAACACT AGCAGGGTCAACGGCGGCGTTAACTACGCTGTTCGGGAAACGCCTGATATCTGGTCAC GGTCGCCACGGCGGCGTTAACTACGCTGTTCGGGAAACGCCTGATATCTGGTCAC GGTGTCACCGCGGCGTTAACTACGCTGTTCGGGAAACGCCTGATATCTGGTCAC GGTGTCACCGACGGCGTGGCGGCTGGGGTCGGGTTCGCCGCCATCACAGCAG GTTGTTCTGTGGTGGAGCCGTGGGGCGGCTATCGTGTGTGGTTTTGTGGCGTCCCTTGT TCTGATCGCGTGTAACAAACTGGCGGAGAAGGTGAGGT

BgAMT1_Primer-2

PoAMT1_Primer-1

GCTAGCCCGGGTAATTCAAATCTKTTATTCGGATCCGGTGTTATCGATTTCGCCGGGTC GGGTGTAGTTCAYATGGTAGGTGGAATTGCCGGGTTTTATGGAGCTTTAATTGAAGGTC CGAGAATCGGGCGGTTCGATCATACGGGTCGATCYGTTGCACTYCGTGGACATAGCGC GTCACTCGTGGTTCTAGGCACCTTTTATTATGGTTCGGATGGTACGGGTTTAACCCGG GTTCATTTAATAAAATCCTAATTACYTACGACGGTGCAACCGGAGGGTATTATGGTCAAT GGAGCGCTGTGGGCCGWACYGCGGTTACAACTACCTAGCCGGTTGCACCGCTGCC TAACAACCCTTTTCGGTAAAAGGATCCTTTCGGGTCATTGGAAYGTWACCGATGTATGT AACGGTTTACTAGGCGGATTGCAACCGGCTGGATGCTCGGTYGTWGAGCCAT GGGCCGCGCGTTATATGTGGGGTTYGTGGCGGC

PoAMT1_Primer-2

	N	Range	Minimum	Maximum	Sum	Mean	
	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Std. Error
N0.75	4	6.20	9.80	16.00	53.80	13.4500	1.35554
N7.5	4	10.60	30.00	40.60	138.10	34.5250	2.20959
A0.75	4	3.90	12.70	16.60	60.00	15.0000	.83367
A7.5	4	21.70	20.70	42.40	143.30	35.8250	5.11263
A0.75N0.75	4	3.80	14.30	18.10	62.80	15.7000	.82865
A7.5N7.5	4	17.00	25.50	42.50	144.40	36.1000	3.67605
A0.75N7.5	4	18.80	24.80	43.60	146.10	36.5250	4.12096
A7.5N0.75	4	4.70	23.30	28.00	103.60	25.9000	1.06066
Valid N (listwise)	4						

Appendix C: Descriptive statistics of leaf chlorophyll content index for potato N concentration studies

	Ν	Range	Minimum	Maximum	Sum	Mean	
	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Std. Error
N0.75	4	10.17	19.57	29.74	98.62	24.6549	2.93668
N7.5	4	9.03	4.22	13.24	34.92	8.7312	2.60588
A0.75	4	4.53	7.56	12.09	39.31	9.8276	1.30872
A7.5	4	1.59	5.43	7.02	24.92	6.2291	.45856
A0.75N0.75	4	3.84	16.84	20.68	75.05	18.7624	1.10916
A7.5N7.5	4	1.13	12.38	13.50	51.76	12.9395	.32497
A0.75N7.5	4	9.12	7.40	16.52	47.83	11.9585	2.63350
A7.5N0.75	4	.46	22.46	22.92	90.78	22.6938	.13322
Valid N (listwise)	4						

Appendix D: Descriptive statistics of AMT1 expression level for potato N concentration studies

Appendix E: Pearson Correlation Matrix for the measured chlorophyll content index across the different N rates and form

Correlations						
		N0.75	N7.5	A0.75	A7.5	A0.75N0.75
N0.75	Pearson Correlation	1	326	.037	.947	283
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.674	.963	.053	.717
	Ν	4	4	4	4	4
N7.5	Pearson Correlation	326	1	060	019	.987 [*]
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.674		.940	.981	.013
	Ν	4	4	4	4	4
A0.75	Pearson Correlation	.037	060	1	075	.099
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.963	.940		.925	.901
	Ν	4	4	4	4	4
A7.5	Pearson Correlation	.947	019	075	1	.006
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.053	.981	.925		.994
	Ν	4	4	4	4	4
A0.75N0.75	Pearson Correlation	283	.987 [*]	.099	.006	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.717	.013	.901	.994	
	Ν	4	4	4	4	4
A7.5N7.5	Pearson Correlation	190	824	252	439	879
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.810	.176	.748	.561	.121
	Ν	4	4	4	4	4
A0.75N7.5	Pearson Correlation	481	.413	.800	452	.524
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.519	.587	.200	.548	.476
	Ν	4	4	4	4	4
A7.5N0.75	Pearson Correlation	.632	.341	.508	.727	.449
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.368	.659	.492	.273	.551
	Ν	4	4	4	4	4

		A7.5N7.5	A0.75N7.5	A7.5N0.75
N0.75	Pearson Correlation	190	481	.632
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.810	.519	.368
	Ν	4	4	4
N7.5	Pearson Correlation	824	.413	.341
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.176	.587	.659
	Ν	4	4	4
A0.75	Pearson Correlation	252	.800	.508
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.748	.200	.492
	Ν	4	4	4
A7.5	Pearson Correlation	439	452	.727
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.561	.548	.273
	Ν	4	4	4
A0.75N0.75	Pearson Correlation	879	.524	.449
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.121	.476	.551
	Ν	4	4	4
A7.5N7.5	Pearson Correlation	1	407	813
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.593	.187
	Ν	4	4	4
A0.75N7.5	Pearson Correlation	407	1	.282
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.593		.718
	Ν	4	4	4
A7.5N0.75	Pearson Correlation	813	.282	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.187	.718	
	Ν	4	4	4

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).