

Functional role of ammonium and nitrate in regulating transpiration for mass-flow acquisition of nutrients in *Phaseolus vulgaris* L.

Mandilakhe Naku

September 2017

Thesis presented to the degree of

MAGISTER TECHNOLOGIAE

in the

Department of Horticultural Sciences

Faculty of Applied sciences

CAPE PENINSULA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Supervisors:

Prof. Learnmore Kambizi

Dr. Ignatious Matimati

CPUT copyright information

The dissertation/thesis may not be published either in part (in scholarly, scientific or technical journals), or as a whole (as a monograph), unless permission has been obtained from the University.

DECLARATION

I, Mandilakhe Naku hereby, declare that the contents of this dissertation/thesis represent my own unaided work, and that the dissertation/thesis has not previously been submitted for academic examination towards any qualification. Furthermore, it represents my own opinions and not necessarily those of the Cape Peninsula University of Technology.

Signed

Date

ABSTRACT

Transpiration serves in leaf cooling, maintaining turgor pressure, promoting xylem transport of nutrient solutes from roots to shoots and delivering mobile soil nutrients to root surfaces. Soil availability of nitrogen can modulate transpiration rates, consequently powering nutrient delivery to the root surfaces ('mass-flow'). Although such knowledge on N-regulation of transpiration is available, it remains unknown, however, whether it is NO_3^- or NH_4^+ that regulates transpiration. Given that both nitrogen forms co-occur in soils, it is not known how they interact at varying ratios in modulating stomatal behaviour. To test the functional role of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ in regulating water fluxes for mass-flow nutrient acquisition, P. vulgaris L. plants were grown with NO_3^- or NH_4^+ placed at one of four distances behind a nylon mesh, which prevented direct root access to nitrogen, whilst control plants intercepted the nitrogen source (Chapter 3). Day- and night-time stomatal conductance and transpiration, measured using Infra-Red Gas Analyser (IRGA) declined in NO₃ fed plants with the increased distance behind a nylon mesh, with maximum water fluxes at the closest distance (ca. 0 mm), demonstrating a regulatory role of NO_3^- on stomata closure. An opposite trend was displayed by NH4⁺ -fed plants, which indicated the incapacity of NH4⁺ to down-regulate water fluxes and ammoniacal syndrome at high concentrations.

To test how different $[NO_3]$ and $[NH_4^+]$ regulate day- and night-time stomatal conductance and transpiration (Chapter 4), *P. vulgaris* was fed with six concentrations (0, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4 and 8 mM) of each nitrogen form. A biphasic trend emerged, as postulated in previous studies (Wilkinson *et al.*, 2007; Matimati *et al.*, 2013), characterized by an increase in stomatal conductance and transpiration as $[NO_3^-]$ increased, attaining a maximum before declining with higher $[NO_3^-]$. Plants displayed 2-fold higher photosynthetic rates, 2.2-fold higher stomatal conductance and 2.3-fold higher transpiration rates at 4 mM than at 0.25 mM of $[NO_3^-]$. The lowest $[NO_3^-]$ up-regulated night-time stomatal conductance and transpiration, indicating that NO_3^- -fed plants opened their stomata at night-time, but reduced night-time water loss at higher $[NO_3^-]$. NH_4^+ -fed plants had the incapacity to regulate day- and night-time water fluxes, but rather displayed wilting and stress known as

'ammoniacal syndrome'. Thus, under NO_3^- deprived soil conditions *P. vulgaris* may be opportunistic in their water uptake, transpiring more when water is available in order to draw nutrients through 'mass-flow'.

This thesis explored and confirmed the functional role of NO₃⁻ in regulating day- and night-time water fluxes as a mechanism for increasing 'mass-flow' acquisition of N and possibly other nutrients, signalling a down-regulation of day-time and night-time water fluxes when [NO₃⁻] is replete (Chapter 3 & 4). Where both NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ are present in soils, it is the [NO₃⁻] and not [NH₄⁺] that regulated stomatal conductance and transpiration. Since organic nitrogen forms such as amino acids also occur in soils, there is a need for further work on their role in stomatal behaviour. Using amino acids laced with 15^N isotopes as a nitrogen source can allow their acquisition and role on stomatal behaviour to be discovered. Current trends in research are focussed around developing real-time in-situ sensing of soil nitrogen status to promote enhanced nitrogen and water use efficiency in agricultural systems. This thesis provides the vital literature on stomatal regulation by [NO₃⁻].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- Dr. Ignatious Matimati and Prof. Learnmore Kambizi are thanked for their supervision during the research, for encouragements when challenges arose. I am thankful to Dr. Matimati for the priceless advice and patience with the writing of the thesis, but most importantly, for giving me the opportunity to achieve a lifetime ambition.
- Prof. Learnmore Kambizi assisted with funding for foliar elemental and isotopic analysis and comments on the thesis, and most importantly for the taking his time to address my challenges.
- Prof. Micheal D. Cramer of University of Cape Town is thanked for providing the modified troughs used in Chapter 3.
- Department of Biodiversity and Conservation, University of the Western Cape assisted with the LI COR 6400XT for gas measurements.
- Mr. Micheal Koch of Haifa Fertilizers (PYT) LTD provided the slow release nutrients used in this study.
- Mr. Ian Newton (Department of Acheometry, University of Cape Town) is thanked for mass spectrometer analyses.
- Mr. Mbulelo Ofisi, Miss Ntombizonke Tshali, Mr. Axola Qongqo, Mr. Wanga Ncise, Miss Yonela Vakele and Miss Siphosethu Mase assisted me through the research experiments.

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my late grandmother Agnes Ntombomzi Naku, my mother Zukiswa Naku, my brothers Akhona Naku and Anathi Naku for their love, wisdom, prayers and unconditional support throughout my academic career. Without you this thesis may never have been completed.

CONTENTS

DECLARATIONii
ABSTRACTiii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS v
DEDICATIONvi
LIST OF FIGURESix
ABBREVATIONS AND SYMBOLS x
CHAPTER 1 1
1.0 INTRODUCTION1
1.1 Background to the research problem1
1.2 Statement of research problem
1.3 Hypotheses
1.4 Objectives of the research4
1.5 Literature cited5
CHAPTER 2
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW9
2.1 Introduction9
2.2 Acquisition of NH_4^+ , NO_3^- and amino acids
2.3 The effects of N-forms on regulatory mechanism of plant water fluxes12
2.4 Adaptations that regulate rate of transpiration15
2.5 The effects of N forms in regulating night time transpiration
2.6 Effects of N forms on water use efficiency (<i>WUE</i>) and implications in agriculture17
2.7 Literature cited
CHAPTER 3
3.0 NH₄ ⁺ AND NO₃ ⁻ REGULATION OF DAY- AND NIGHT-TIME TRANSPIRATION IN <i>P. VULGARIS</i> L
3.1 Abstract26
3.2 Introduction
3.3 Research design and methodology28
3.3.1 Plant cultivation28

	3.3.2 Gas exchange analysis	.29
	3.3.3 Biomass measurement	.29
	3.3.4 Foliar elemental and isotope analysis	29
	3.3.5 Data analysis	.30
	3.4 Results	.30
	3.4.1 Plant biomass in response to NO_3^- and NH_4^+ availability	.30
	3.4.2 Gas exchange response to NO_3^- and NH_4^+ availability	.32
	3.4.3 Foliar N and Carbon Isotope response to NO_3^- and NH_4^+ availability	.34
	3.5 Discussion	.36
	3.6 Literature Cited	40
Cł	HAPTER 4	.44
	4.0 BIPHASIC NO3 ⁻ REGULATION OF DAY- AND NIGHT-TIME WATER FLUXI IN <i>P. VULGARIS</i> L IN A HYDROPONIC SYSTEM	∃S .44
,	4.1 Abstract	.44
,	4.2 Introduction	.44
,	4.3 Materials and methods	46
	4.3.1 Growth and treatment	46
	4.3.2 Gas exchange measurement	47
	4.3.3 Plant biomass measurement	47
	4.3.4 Data analysis	47
,	4.4 Results	47
	4.4.2 Plant biomass measurements	.47
	4.4.2 Gas exchange measurements.	48
	4.5 Discussion	53
	4.6 Literature Cited	55
Cł	HAPTER 5	59
	5.0 SYNTHESIS	59
	5.1 Summary	59
	5. 2 Conclusion	62
	5. 3 Further research study	62
	5. 4 Literature cited	63

Eig 2.4. Variation in total biomagn of <i>D</i> basedue <i>undert</i> is plants for with NO $\frac{1}{2}$ (a) and			
Fig.3.1: Variation in total biomass of <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> plants led with NO_3 (a) and			
NH_4^+ (b) with the fertilizer placed at varying distances			
Fig.3.2: Roots of <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> plants that accessed either NO_3^- or NH_4^+ at			
different distance (0, 9, 18 and 27 mm) from behind a nylon mesh by 'diffusion' and			
'mass-flow' or directly by root 'interception', diffusion and mass-flow			
Fig.3.3: Correlation of photosynthetic rate (A), stomatal conductance (g_s) , and			
transpiration rate (<i>E</i>), with NH_4^+ and NO_3^- at varying distances of mass-flow and			
interception in <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>			
Fig.3.4: Respiration dark (<i>Rd</i>), night-time stomatal conductance (g_s) and			
transpiration (<i>E</i>) of <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> plants acquire NO ₃ ⁻ and			
NH4 ⁺			
Fig.3.5: A variation of the foliar N and δ^{15} N with distance from the NO ₃ ⁻ and NH ₄ ⁺			
sources in Phaseolus vulgaris accessing a slow-release fertiliser either by bar			
represents a mean \pm SE (N=6). Means with different letters showed significant			
differences after a one-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey's HSD			
Fig.4.1: Total biomass of <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> plants fed with different $[NO_3]$ and			
$[NH_4^+]$ and their respective shoot: root ratios for NO ₃ ⁻ and NH ₄ ⁺ fed			
plants46			

Fig.4.2: Correlation of photosynthetic rate (A), stomatal conductance (g_s), and transpiration rate (*E*), with NO_3^- and NH_4^+ at varying concentration in *Phaseolus*

Fig.4.3: Night-time stomatal conductance (g_{night}) and transpiration rate (E_{night}) of *Phaseolus vulgaris* fed with NO_3^- and NH_4^+ nutrition at varying concentrations in a

Fig.4.4: Variation in photosynthetic rate (A) stomatal conductance (g_s) , and transpiration rate (E) of Phaseolus vulgaris plants fed with either sole NO_3^- (a) or NH_4 + and mixture of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ in varying ratios at 2 and 4 Mm concentration 50

ABBREVATIONS AND SYMBOLS

ABA	abscisic acid
AIC	akaike information criterion
ANOVA	analysis of variance
C _i	intercellular CO ₂ mole fractions
De	diffusion coefficient
DM	dry mass
E	transpiration
Enight	night-time transpiration
g s	stomatal conductance
g night	night-time stomatal conductance
LSD	least significant difference
PAR	photosynthetically active radiation
PVC	polyvinyl chloride
Rd	respiration dark
TDM	total dry mass
WUEi	photosynthetic water use efficiency

CHAPTER 1

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the research problem

Terrestrial water fluxes are dominated by transpiration, which contributes 80 to 90 % of terrestrial evapotranspiration (Jashechko et al., 2013). As plants open their stomata to assimilate CO₂ during photosynthesis, there is an unavoidable loss of water vapour to the surrounding unsaturated air (Kramer and Booyer, 1995). Furthermore, plants transpire more than 90% of their root acquired water (Raven and Johnson, 2001), these large amounts of transpirational water fluxes serve many functional roles, such as leaf cooling (Nobel, 1999), maintaining of turgor pressure (Rygol et al., 1993), powering solute transport from roots to shoots via xylem and delivering nutrients through the soil to the root surface through mass-flow (Barber, 1995; Cramer et al., 2008; Cramer et al., 2009; Christman et al., 2009; Matimati et al., 2013). The large amounts of transpirational water fluxes suggest a major functional role, possibly in powering the movement of water and dissolved nutrients through the soil to the roots by mass-flow (Cramer et al., 2008; Matimati et al., 2013). This notion may be supported by the substantial night-time transpiration observed in photosynthetically inactive C_3 and C_4 plants (Caird *et al.*, 2007; Kupper *et al.*, 2012). Evidence of substantial night-time transpiration has discredited the long-held assumption that stomata remain closed during the night in C₃ plants (Cirelli, 2014). In addition, regulation of transpiration rates in response to nutrient availability (e.g. Cramer et al., 2008; Cramer et al., 2009; Matimati et al., 2013) has been consistent with the adaptive stimulation of nutrient supply to plant roots through mass-flow (Raven, 2008).

Availability of soil nutrients to roots is mainly controlled by the rate at which nutrients move through the soil towards the root surface (Barber, 1962). A plant may acquire soil nutrients in three ways: 1) as roots proliferate and get in contact with nutrients (root interception) or 2) diffusion of soil nutrients towards the root surface and 3) delivery of dissolved soil nutrients to the root surface in response to transpiration (mass-flow) (Barber, 1962). Interception only occurs when roots are get in contact with nutrient sources, which facilitates nutrient assimilation (Matimati *et al.*, 2013). Thus, root interception of soil nutrients increases with proliferation of roots in the soil (Kage, 1997). Diffusion occurs when dissolved soil nutrients move along a

1

concentration gradient towards the roots, driven by a net influx of ions at the root surface (Cernusak *et al.*, 2011). Mass-flow occurs when water and dissolved soil nutrients transported to the root surface, driven by transpirational water flux (Cernusak *et al.*, 2011; Chapman, 2012; Matimati *et al.*, 2013). Transpiration driven mass-flow is effective in delivering mobile soil nutrients to root surfaces, particularly NO₃, K and S (Silberbush and Barber, 1983; Barber and Silberbush, 1984, Silberbush 2002). Mass-flow acquisition of nutrients is vital for plants that are struggling to intercept nutrients as a result of poor root density (Cramer *et al.*, 2008). Thus, mass-flow has no direct role to influence on acquisition of nutrients across the plasma membrane. It is the concentrated region at the root surface that may enhance membrane nutrient transport (Dalton *et al.*, 1975; Fiscus, 1975; Fiscus and Kramer, 1975).

Transpiration may be regulated by the concentration of nutrients in the cytosol, particularly N (Wilkinson et al., 2007), which consequently modulates the mass-flow acquisition of mobile soil nutrients (Cramer et al., 2008; Matimati et al., 2013). But a key research question has emerged regarding the N-form that signals the regulation of transpiration for mass-flow acquisition of nutrients. Assimilation of N as NO₃⁻ may signal the regulation of transpiration, potentially through mechanisms such as root hydraulic conductance and stomatal conductance (Cramer *et al.*, 2009). NO₃⁻ may modulate root hydraulic conductance through its control of aquaporins, and may induce stomata closure through nitric oxide (NO) as a reduction product of nitrate reductase (NR) (Cramer et al., 2009). In contrast, N uptake as NH₄⁺ did not modulate root hydraulic conductance or increase the expression of the aquaporins in P. vulgaris (Guo et al., 2007). It remains unclear, however, whether plants fertilized with ammoniacal-N fertilizers, such as NH₄⁺SO₄ and NH₄+Cl modulate their transpiration rates. Previous studies suggest that NH₄⁺ taken up by roots is converted into amino acids in the roots (Miller and Cramer 2004), and has no role in regulating stomatal closure. In addition, plants supplied with NH_4^+ possess a lower water use efficiency (WUE) (Raven et al., 2004; Guo et al., 2007a,b), and NH4⁺ toxicity in plants causes symptoms such as growth inhibition, wilting and other traits of water stress (Cramer and Lewis, 1993; Chaillou and Lamaze, 2001), known as 'ammoniacal syndrome'. Thus, the effects of nutrient availability, particularly N forms (NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺) in regulating transpiration for nutrient uptake through mass-flow is poorly understood. This study investigates the functional role of two common N forms (NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺)

in regulating transpiration rates, which is inextricable from mass-flow acquisition of nutrients

1.2 Statement of research problem

The functions of transpiration are well documented (Cramer et al., 2009). These include cooling of leaves (Nobel, 1999), maintaining leaf turgor pressure (Rygol et al., 1993), driving root to shoot ascension of solutes through the xylem and powering mass-flow, the delivery of nutrients to the root surface (Barber, 1995; Cramer et al., 2008; Cramer et al., 2009; Christman et al., 2009; Matimati et al., 2013). Recent studies using urea, concluded that nitrogen modulates transpiration rates and consequently mass-flow nutrient acquisition by plants (Matimati et al., 2013). Despite knowledge on N regulation of transpiration, it remains unknown whether all forms of N can regulate transpiration given that urea releases both NO_3^- and NH_4^+ . In addition, the mechanism involving N regulation of transpiration is not well-known. NO₃⁻ has been found to increase root hydraulic conductivity (Carvajal *et al.*, 1996; Clarkson et al., 2000), which often results in a high rate of transpiration. An uptake of N as NO₃⁻ may induce stomatal closure (Gloser et al., 2007; Gorska et al., 2008) Cramer *et al.*, 2009). In contrast, plants supplied with NH₄⁺ generally display lower water use efficiency than those acquiring NO_3^- and may display wilting and other symptoms of water stress (Crammer and Lewis, 1993; Chaillou and Lazame, 2001; Raven *et al.*, 2004; Guo *et al.*, 2007). Thus, the role of NH₄⁺ in plant water fluxes is still obscure. A knowledge gap also exists on how water-use efficiency varies in plants in response to different N-forms, especially under varying environmental conditions. Such information is vital for nutrient and water management in plant production. Further, atmospheric CO₂ controls stomatal opening and consequently water use efficiency. In the wake of predicted increases in global CO₂ levels (Siegenthaler and Oeschger, 1978), it remains unclear how WUE and nutrient acquisition in crops will respond, especially when exposed to varying rates of N fertilizers. Studies on N-regulation of transpiration are critical in order to untangle the complex relationships between nutrient acquisition and plant water use and to improve our interpretation of plant physiological responses to the environment.

1.3 Hypotheses

It is hypothesized that both NO_3^- and NH_4^+ indirectly signal for the increase in rates of transpiration, when N is limiting, for increasing its mass-flow acquisition by the roots. The hypothesis is based on the suggestion that N-deprived plants may increase their stomatal conductance, for the mass-flow delivery of nutrients to the roots (Cramer *et al.* 2008; Matimati *et al.*, 2013). Specific hypotheses are that:

- A limitation in both NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ must increase the rate of both day- and night-time stomatal conductance and transpiration in *P. vulgaris* to facilitate nutrient acquisition through mass-flow, and down-regulate stomatal conductance and transpiration when N is replete and;
- 2. Plants that lack a direct access to NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ rich soil patches must display higher water fluxes than plants with direct root access to N.
- Plants that fed with increasing [NH4⁺] and [NO3⁻] should initially up-regulate day- and night-time water flux for mass-low delivery of the limiting N, then down-regulate these fluxes as foliar [N] exceeds tolerable levels.
- 4. Increased proportion of NO_3^- : NH_4^+ should down-regulate transpiration because of the regulatory role of the NO_3^- .

1.4 Aim and objectives of the research

The primary aim of the study was to determine whether NH_4^+ or NO_3^- regulate the rate of transpiration and, consequently, mass-flow acquisition of nutrients by *P. vulgaris*. Specific objectives of the study were:

- 1. To determine the functional role of different N forms (NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻) on plant water fluxes and mass-flow acquisition of nutrients in *P. vulgaris*.
- To evaluate plant water fluxes and mass-flow acquisition of nutrients in *P. vulgaris* when supplied with N as NH₄⁺ and/or NO₃⁻ at varying distances from the roots.
- 3. To test the biphasic regulation of transpiration and stomatal conductance in *P. vulgaris* when supplied with varying [NH₄⁺] and [NO₃⁻].
- To test the biphasic regulation of transpiration and stomatal conductance in *P. vulgaris* when supplied with N as mixture of NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ at varying propotions.

1.5 Literature cited

- Barber, S.A. 1962. A diffusion and mass-flow concept of soil nutrient availability. *Soil Science*, *93*(1), pp.39-49.
- Barber, S.A. 1995. Soil nutrient bioavailability: a mechanistic approach. John Wiley & Sons.
- Caird, M.A., Richards, J.H. and Donovan, L.A. 2007. Nighttime stomatal conductance and transpiration in C₃ and C₄ plants. *Plant Physiology*, *143*(1), pp.4-10.
- Carvajal, M., Cooke, D.T. and Clarkson, D.T. 1996. Responses of wheat plants to nutrient deprivation may involve the regulation of water-channel function. *Planta*, *199*(3), pp.372-381.
- Cernusak, L.A., Winter, K. and Turner, B.L. 2011. Transpiration modulates phosphorus acquisition in tropical tree seedlings. *Tree Physiology*, *31*(8), pp.878-885.
- Chaillou, S. and Lamaze, T. 2001. Ammoniacal nutrition of plants. *Nitrogen* assimilation by plants. Ed. JF Morot-Gaudry, pp.53-69.
- Christman, M.A., Donovan, L.A. and Richards, J.H. 2009. Magnitude of nighttime transpiration does not affect plant growth or nutrition in well-watered Arabidopsis. *Physiologia Plantarum*, *136*(3), pp.264-273.
- Cirelli, D. 2014. Whole-plant transpiration in *Populus* sp.: its determination, nocturnal effects and influence by form of nitrogen (Doctoral dissertation, University of Alberta).
- Clarkson, D.T., Carvajal, M., Henzler, T., Waterhouse, R.N., Smyth, A.J., Cooke, D.T. and Steudle, E. 2000. Root hydraulic conductance: diurnal aquaporin expression and the effects of nutrient stress. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *51*(342), pp.61-70.
- Cramer, M.D., Hawkins, H.J. and Verboom, G.A. 2009. The importance of nutritional regulation of plant water flux. *Oecologia*, *161*(1), pp.15-24

- Cramer, M.D., Hoffmann, V. and Verboom, G.A. 2008. Nutrient availability moderates transpiration in *Ehrharta calycina*. *New Phytologist*, *179*(4), pp.1048-1057.
- Cramer, M.D., Lewis, O.A.M.1993. The influence of NO₃ ⁻ and NH₄ ⁺ nutrition on the growth of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and maize (*Zea mays*) plants. Annual Botany, 72,pp.359–365.
- Dalton, F.N., Raats, P.A.C. and Gardner, W.R. 1975. Simultaneous uptake of water and solutes by plant roots. *Agronomy Journal*, *67*(3), pp.334-339
- Fiscus, E.L. 1975. The interaction between osmotic-and pressure-induced water flow in plant roots. *Plant Physiology*, *55*(5), pp.917-922.
- Fiscus, E.L. and Kramer, P.J. 1975. General model for osmotic and pressureinduced flow in plant roots. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.* 72, pp. 3114-3118
- Gloser, V., Zwieniecki, M.A., Orians, C.M. and Holbrook, N.M. 2007. Dynamic changes in root hydraulic properties in response to nitrate availability. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *58*(10), pp.2409-2415.
- Gorska, A., Ye, Q., Holbrook, N.M. and Zwieniecki, M.A. 2008. Nitrate control of root hydraulic properties in plants: translating local information to whole plant response. *Plant Physiology*, *148*(2), pp.1159-1167.
- Guo, S., Kaldenhoff, R., Uehlein, N., Sattelmacher, B. and Brueck, H. 2007.
 Relationship between water and nitrogen uptake in nitrate-and ammoniumsupplied *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. plants. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 170(1), pp.73-80.
- Guo, S., Shen, Q. and Brueck, H. 2007. Effects of local nitrogen supply on water uptake of bean plants in a split root system. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*, *49*(4), pp.472-480.
- Jasechko, S., Sharp, Z.D., Gibson, J.J., Birks, S.J., Yi, Y. and Fawcett, P.J. 2013. Terrestrial water fluxes dominated by transpiration. *Nature*, *496*(7445), pp.347-350.
- Kage, H. 1997. Is low rooting density of faba beans a cause of high residual nitrate content of soil at harvest?. *Plant and Soil*, *190*(1), pp.47-60.
- Kramer, P.J. and Boyer, J.S. 1995. Stomata and gas exchange. *Water relations of plants and soils. Academic, London*, pp.257-282.

- Kupper, P., Rohula, G., Saksing, L., Sellin, A., Lõhmus, K., Ostonen, I., Helmisaari, H.S. and Sõber, A. 2012. Does soil nutrient availability influence night-time water flux of *Aspen saplings*?. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 82, pp.37-42.
- Matimati, I., Verboom, G.A. and Cramer, M.D. 2013. Nitrogen regulation of transpiration controls mass-flow acquisition of nutrients. *Journal of experimental botany*, 65 (1), pp.159-168.
- Miller, A.J. and Cramer, M.D. 2004. Root nitrogen acquisition and assimilation. In *Root Physiology: from Gene to Function*, 274,pp. 1-36.
- Nobel, P.S. 1999. *Physicochemical & environmental plant physiology*. Academic press.
- Raven, J.A., Handley, L.L. and Wollenweber, B. 2004. Plant nutrition and water use efficiency. *Water use efficiency in plant biology*, pp.171-197.
- Raven, P.A., Johnson, G.B. 2001. Mc Graw-hill Science/Engineering/Maths. 6th edition.
- Raven, J.A. 2008. Transpiration: how many functions?. *New phytologist*, *179*(4), pp.905-907. Rygol, J., Pritchard, J., Zhu, J.J., Tomos, A.D. and Zimmermann, U. 1993. Transpiration induces radial turgor pressure gradients in wheat and maize roots. *Plant Physiology*, *103*(2), pp.493-500.
- Rygol, J., Pritchard, J., Zhu, J.J., Tomos, A.D. & Zimmermann, U. 1993.
 Transpiration Induces Radial Turgor Pressure Gradients in Wheat and Maize Roots. *Plant Physiology*, 103, pp.493-500.
- Siegenthaler, U. and Oeschger, H. 1978. Predicting future atmospheric carbon dioxide levels. *Science*, *199*(4327), pp.388-395.
- Silberbush, M. 2002. Simulation of ion uptake from the soil. *Plant Roots: The Hidden Half, 3rd ed.; Waisel, Y., Eshel, A., and Kafkafi, U (eds.)*, pp.651-661.
- Silberbush, M. and Barber, S.A. 1983. Sensitivity of simulated phosphorus uptake to parameters used by a mechanistic –mathemetical model. Plant and Soil. 74 (1), pp. 93-100.
- Silberbush, M. and Barber, S.A. 1984. Phosphorus and potassium uptake of fieldgrown soybean cultivars predicted by a simulation model. *Soil Science Society of America Journal, 48*(3), pp.592-596.

Wilkinson, S., Bacon, M.A. and Davies, W.J. 2007. Nitrate signalling to stomata and growing leaves: interactions with soil drying, ABA, and xylem sap pH in maize. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *58*(7), pp.1705-1716.

CHAPTER 2

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

Terrestrial plants transpire ca. 95% and only retain 5% of their root-acquired water (McElron et al., 2001; Raven and Johnson, 2001). Plants can transpire more than 100 moles of water when they assimilate a mole of CO₂ during photosynthesis (Hack et al., 2006). Such water fluxes have commonly been considered as wasteful, yet an inevitable consequence of assimilating CO₂ through their stomata (Cowan and Troughton, 1971, Monteith, 1988; Kramer and Boyer, 1995). Transpiration, however, serves in leaf cooling (Nobel, 1999), maintaining turgor pressure (Rygol et al., 1993), possibly driving solutes from the root to shoot through xylem (Campbell et al., 1999; Strasburger, 1998), and powering nutrients from the soil towards root surfaces (Barber, 1995; Cramer et al., 2008; Cramer et al., 2009; Christman et al., 2009; Matimati et al., 2013). The high transpiration fluxes are a potential mechanism of delivering nutrients to root surfaces from nutrient-poor soils through mass-flow (Cramer et al., 2008; Matimati et al., 2013). Likewise, night-time transpiration in species that partially close their stomata at night may be construed as further evidence for transpiration-driven mass-flow of nutrients to root surfaces (Matimati et *al.*, 2013).

Generally plants acquire soil nutrients in three ways: i) when roots proliferate and get in contact with nutrients (interception), ii) diffusion of soil nutrients towards the root surface and iii) delivery of dissolved soil nutrients to the root surface in response to transpiration (Barber, 1962). Although transpiration-driven mass-flow has no direct role in the uptake of nutrients across the plasma membrane, the concentration of nutrients around the root surface may enhance diffusion and active uptake of soil nutrients (Dalton *et al.*, 1975; Fiscus, 1975; Fiscus and Kramer, 1975).Thus, the concentration of nutrients in the cytosol, particularly N may regulate transpiration (Wilkinson *et al.*, 2007), and modulate mass-flow acquisition of mobile soil nutrients (Cramer *et al.*, 2008; Matimati *et al.*, 2013). Although the role of N in modulating transpiration was confirmed, the mechanisms signalling the regulation of transpiration by different N forms (e.g. NO_3^- , NH_4^+ and amino acids) remain unclear. Since N regulates transpiration, it should consequently control the mass-flow

9

acquisition of mobile nutrients (Cramer *et al.*, 2008; Matimati *et al.*, 2013). Chapter 2 reviews the effects of N forms (NO_3^- , NH_4^+ and amino acid) on acquisition of soil nutrients, ii) Adaptations that regulate rate of transpiration, iii) N-forms on regulatory mechanism of transpiration, iv) N-forms in regulating night-time transpiration and v) N-forms on *WUE* for implications in agriculture.

2.2 Acquisition of NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻ and amino acids

Nitrogen in the soil exists as a complex mixture of both organic and inorganic forms, and, in addition to seasonal and day-time changes, is also characterised by an extremely heterogeneous distribution (Miller and Cramer, 2004). The dominant N form exists as complex organic molecules that are converted to NH_4^+ by soil bacteria and fungi through mineralisation (Miller and Cramer, 2004). When oxidised, NH_4^+ can be converted *via* NO_2^- to NO_3^- through a process known as nitrification (Miller and Cramer, 2004). Thus, nitrogen is acquired mainly as NH_4^+ , NO_3^- , uncharged NH_3^- in equilibrium with charged NH_4^+ forms, and organic forms such as cationic amino acids such as L-arginine (L-Arg) or L-lysine (L-Lys) (Svennerstam *et al.*, 2008). The acquisition of soil nutrients including NH_4^+ , NO_3^- and amino acids by terrestrial plants occurs through diffusion, mass-flow delivery and root interception, depending on root system of the plant species, nutrient concentration and nutrient mobility within the soil (Barber, 1962).

Plants acquire water and nutrients through root interception when their roots proliferate and become denser, and consequently get in contact with nutrient solutes within the soil (Barber, 1962). Nutrient availability, particularly NO_3^- at the rhizosphere enhances root growth by influencing root elongation and branching through NO_3^- signaling to gene expression of root growth (Linkhor *et al.*, 2002; Tian *et al.*, 2005). This is supported by the fact that NO_3^- enhances root growth, whilst NH_4^+ and amino acids do not enhance root growth (Zhang and Rengel, 1999). Thus, NO_3^- enhances root growth, which aids the root systems to acquire immobile nutrients (S, K and NH_4^+) easily through interception (Miller and Cramer, 2004). In addition, a highly proliferated root system may also play a significant role in intercepting mobile and leaching nutrients i.e. (NO_3^-) which move to deeper areas of the soil where water is greater at depth (Kudoyavora, 2015). There is a suggestion that lateral roots proliferate on localized patches within soil patches of higher N,

particularly NO_3^- (Drew and Saker, 1975), resulting in stimulatory effects on the number and location of lateral roots (Malamy and Ryan, 2001), but not initiated by amino acids or NH_4^+ (Leyser and Filter, 1998).

Acquisition of other soil nutrients by the roots varies depending on the availability of N-forms (NH_4^+ or NO_3^- or amino acids) within the soil (Guo et al., 2007a). In some species, NH_4^+ , NO_3^- and amino acids may negatively or positively influence the rate of acquisition of soil nutrients at the root surface. This varies with species, developmental stage, nutrient concentration, soil moisture or soil water status and temperature (Wallace, 1986). Amino acids levels, particularly proline correlates with drought-resistance in plants (Sigh et al., 1972). Barley grown under water stress conditions had high accumulation of proline (Aspinall and Paleg, 1981). Such amino acid levels significantly alter modulation of water and nutrient acquisition in relation to plant water potential (Rai, 2002). Thus, amino acids possibly play a significant role in signaling the modulation of plant water fluxes under water stress conditions. On the contrary, other studies found no correlation between proline levels and drought resistance (Singh et al., 1972; Waldren and Teare, 1974, Hanson et al., 1977, 1979). Thus, the effect of amino acids on plant water fluxes remains poorly understood. NH₄⁺ increased the acquisition of N, this displayed by higher foliar N concentration (Schrader et al., 1972). Nutrient acquisition increased with NH4⁺ supply in barley (Lewis, 1983) and rice (Qian *et al.*, 2004), but not in NO_3^- fed plants. In contrast, NO₃⁻ nutrition increased acquisition soil nutrients in *P. vulgaris* (Guo et al., 2007b). NO₃ seems to play a significant role in stimulating the acquisition of water, and consequently the delivery of dissolved soil nutrients to roots through mass-flow (Guo et al., 2007b). Some species supplied with a mixture of NH₄⁺ and NO₃ solution, however, had a higher water and nutrient acquisition compared to sole NH_4^+ or NO_3^- nutrition (Guo *et al.*, 2007b). The significance of combined N nutrition relies on the ratio of the N forms. For example, a mixed NO_3^- and NH_4^+ at a ratio of 50/50 strongly increased the total acquisition of N more than a sole NO_3^- and NH_4^+ nutrition in rice (Qian et al., 2004). Foliar accumulation of N, K+, NO₃- and Ca₂⁺ increased when the NO₃⁻ was higher than NH₄⁺ in the ratio, for example NO₃⁻ (7.5 mM) : NH_4^+ (2.5 mM) than NO_3^- (2.5 mM) : NH_4^+ (7.5 mM) (Helali *et al.*, 2010). Therefore, the role of different N forms in regulating transpiration and mass-flow movement of soil nutrients remains unclear.

Diffusion, the movement of nutrients from regions of higher concentration towards regions of lower region, contributes to nitrogen acquisition in plants (Jones, 2005). N acquisition through diffusion depends on a concentration gradient and a diffusion coefficient (De) (Miller and Cramer, 2004). Diffusion coefficient for NO₃⁻ is greater than form NH₄⁺ and amino acids, and De for amino acid is strongly varying in water (Miller and Cramer, 2004). For example NO₃- De is c.a. 1×10^{-10} m^{2 s-1} c.a, NH₄⁺ is c.a.10-fold to 100-fold less, and amino acids (lysine, glycine and glutamate) is 1×10^{-12} , 1×10^{-11} , 1×10^{-11} m^{2 s⁻¹}, respectively (Silberbush and Baber, 1984; Owen and Jones, 2001). It is believed that De is determined by differences in nutrient mobility or leaching through the soil. It is suggested that diffusion on nutrient acquisition contributes greater in NO₃⁻ more than in NH₄⁺, due to poor mobility in NH₄⁺ and NH₄⁺ binding to clay particles (Xu *et al.*, 2011). The effectiveness of diffusion in contributing to root nutrient acquisition relies on ionic form, viscosity of water, temperature, soil moisture, tortuosity and the soil buffer capacity (Miller and Cramer, 2005; Chapman *et al.*, 2012).

Transpiration modulates nutrients acquisition from the soil to the root surface through 'mass-flow' (Barber, 1962). Mass-flow plays an important role in driving acquisition of mobile nutrients (NO₃⁻ and K) through the soil towards the root surface (Oyewole *et al.*, 2014). Nutrient acquisition through mass-flow is more effective and clear in the soil more than in a hydroponic system (Oyewole *et al.*, 2014). Moreover, mass-flow acquisition of nutrients is vital for plants that are struggling to intercept nutrients as a result of poor root density (Cramer *et al.*, 2008). Thus, mass-flow has no direct role to influence on acquisition of nutrients across the plasma membrane. It is the concentrated region at the root surface that may enhance membrane nutrient transport (Dalton *et al.*, 1975; Fiscus, 1975; Fiscus and Kramer, 1975).

2.3 The effects of N-forms on regulatory mechanism of plant water fluxes.

Although environmental conditions can determine the rate of transpiration (Collatz *et al.*, 1991), recent studies have shown that nutrient availability, particularly N, controls transpiration and consequently mass-flow acquisition of nutrients (Matimati *et al.*, 2013). Generally, mass-flow acquisition of soil nutrients depends on fluxes of water, nutrient concentration and nutrient mobility (Barber, 1995). The availability of

12

immobile nutrients such as P and S at root surfaces is limited, and hence the role of transpiration in their acquisition is inadequate (Barber 1995; Kramer and Boyer, 1995). A limited availability of P at the root surface may rapidly increase root hydraulic conductivity (Radin and Eidenbock, 1984; Carvajal et al., 1996) probably due to increased aquaporin expression (Clarkson et al., 2000). An extra Phosphorus fertiliser on plants has no effect on transpiration, but plants transpired adequately in moist soil (Fidelibus et al., 2001). Furthermore, increased P concentration at root surface seems to increase WUE in many species (Raven et al., 2004), but this will mainly focus to the relief of photosynthesis from P- limitation (Jacob and Lawlor, 1991), rather than any P- induced decrease in transpiration (Cramer et al., 2009). It is, however, the K^+ ion as the main osmotic solute in plants that may regulate transpiration (Mengel and Arneke, 1982). As K⁺ ions move into guard cell through protein pump of the cell membrane to increase turgidity, turgid guard cells change their stomatal opening, resulting in water vapour movement to the atmosphere (Bower, 2008). In addition, nitrogen potentially regulates transpiration and consequently the acquisition of other nutrients, as was the case in *P. vulgaris* (Matimati et al., 2013) and Erharta. calycina (Cramer et al., 2008).

Whilst N is now known to regulate transpiration and mass-flow nutrient acquisition, there is limited knowledge on the role of other N forms, such as NH₄⁺ and organic N (amino acids). Comparing their mobility, NO₃⁻ is regarded as more mobile in the soil than NH_4^+ (Miller and Cramer, 2004). Acquisition of N as NO_3^- is possibly the signal that regulates the rate of transpiration (Matimati et al., 2013), exerting its effects on root hydraulic conductivity and stomata conductance (reviewed by Cramer *et al.*, 2009). A limited availability of NO₃⁻ at the root surface is known to rapidly increase aquaporin- mediated root hydraulic conductivity (Carvajal et al., 1996: Clarkson et al., 2000; Gloser et al., 2007; Gorska et al., 2008). The root NO₃, without a reduction product (NO) can modulate aquaporin expression, which is an adaptive characteristic that has functional significance in the mass-flow delivery of NO₃⁻ to the root surface when the root of N-deprived plants are exposed to fertile soil patches (Gorska et al., 2008). As NO₃⁻ fluxes into the root exceed the capacity of nitrate reductase enzymes, NO₃⁻ is transported to shoots and is reduced by nitrate reductase (NR) enzymes to produce NO and NO₂ (Desikan et al., 2002; Neill et al., 2008). NO is known as the main signal that has functional significance in resulting in

stomatal closure (Neill *et al.*, 2008). This explains why stomatal conductance in *P. vulgaris* began to decline when nitrogen source was beyond 10 mm from the root surface (Matimati *et al.*, 2013). Plants supplied with NO₃⁻ fertiliser their xylem/ apoplastic pH will generally be more alkaline, resulting in the accumulation of abscisic acid (ABA) in the apoplast (Mengel *et al.*, 1994; Mühling and Lauchli, 2001; Jia and Davies, 2007). ABA has a vital functional role in regulating stomatal closure (Wilkinson *et al.*, 2007).

Unlike NO₃, acquisition of N as NH_4^+ does not increase the expression of root aquaporins or alter root hydraulic conductance for regulation of transpiration (Gou et al., 2007a). Despite an observed incapacity of NH_4^+ in regulating root hydraulic conductance, however, Oryza sativa has increased its root hydraulic conductance when low $[NH_4^+]$ was available at the rhizosphere (Gao *et al.*, 2010). In some species, roots readily assimilate NH4⁺ into amino acids (Miller and Cramer, 2004) and NH_4^+ does not appear to elicit the closing of stomata (Cramer et al., 2009). This interpretation may suggest a lack of evidence that emerged on NH₄⁺ regulation of transpiration through root hydraulic conductance and stomata aperture. Nevertheless, it remains poorly understood how plants supplied with NH₄Cl or (NH₄)₂SO₄ fertilisers, regulate their rate of transpiration. For example, Goodger and Schachtman (2010) suggested how plants supplied with NH₄⁺ might control their rates of transpiration. NH4⁺-supplied plants, however, generally show lower WUE than those acquiring NO₃ (Raven et al., 2004; Guo et al., 2007a, b) and indicating wilting and other symptoms of water stress (Cramer and Lewis, 1993; Chaillou and Lamaze, 2001), which is known as ammoniacal syndrome (Chaillou and Lamaze, 2001). Such water stress may be caused by a lack of co-ordinated regulation of root hydraulic conductance and g_s (Cramer *et al.*, 2009). High soil [NH₄⁺] is associated with agriculture and is rare in natural ecosystems. As a result plants may lack a mechanism to respond to these particular conditions.

Plants seem to control their transpiration in response to availability of amino acids in the soil, depending to the nature of amino acid (Rai and Sharma,1992). For example glycine, alanine, leucine, threonine, lysine, arginine, proline, tryptophan and phenylalanine induced endogenous ABA which consequently down-regulated transpiration through stomata closure (Rai and Sharma, 1992) This notion is

14

supported by a notable lower value of g_s in proline-fed plants compared to plants fed without proline (control) (Rajagopal, 1981). Furthermore, availability of exogenous proline at the root surface enhanced permeability of aquaporin in *Vinca* sp (Rai and Sharma, 1992), which consequently increases nutrient entry across cell membrane of the root system. These findings suggest that amino acid may possibly regulate transpiration and mass-flow acquisition of soil nutrients, although there is no further knowledge raised on regulatory mechanism of transpiration in response to amino acid.

2.4 Adaptations that regulate rate of transpiration

Is plant water flux a design trait of plants or rather a design error, whereby plants unavoidably lose water vapour as their stomata open for carbon assimilation? It appears that plants possess several biological traits that may enhance water fluxes (Yates *et al.*, 2010). Such biological traits ensure water flux into leaf tissue to encounter the demands for water imposed by transpiration (Cramer *et al.*, 2009). A typical example of such a trait is the extent and density of venation in leaves, which dictates the hydraulic conductivity of leaf tissue (Brodribb *et al.*, 2007). In some species, aquaporins as transport channels in the mesophyll cells have functional significance in up-regulating water fluxes (Kaldenhoff and Fischer, 2006; Sakurai *et al.*, 2008). For example, *Julgans regia* displayed variable leaf hydraulic conductance, which possibly enhanced leaf gas exchanges while buffering leaf water status in response to ambient light fluctuations (Cochard *et al.*, 2007). Such light-mediated activation of leaf acquaporins resulted in a rapid (< 1h) and spectular 400% increase in hydraulic conductivity.

Most plants seem to lack traits that might reduce transpiration, such as leaf hair and sunken stomata, which may be taken as mechanisms that promote transpiration, although such traits generally influence both water and CO₂ fluxes (Cramer *et al.*, 2009). An evolution that occurs in leaf traits may not necessarily exert the same influence on water loss and CO₂ assimilation (Cramer *et al.*, 2009). For instance, stomatal crypts have a great impact on water conservation than on CO₂ fluxes (Roth-Nebelsick, 2007). Further, although small leaves are usually described as an adaptive trait to ensure close coupling of leaf and air temperature (McNaughton and Jarvis, 1983), they may promote the rate of transpiration (Parkhurts and Loucks 1972; Roth-Nebelsick, 2007) through decreased boundary

15

layer (Nobel, 1999; Yates *et al.*, 2010). Higher stomatal density and small leaves in sclerophyllous shrubs, for example, display a coupling between the leaf surface and the atmosphere, which promotes transpiration in winter, when evaporative demand is low and tight control of transpiration in summer (Yates *et al.*, 2010). Thus, such enhanced water loss may be important for plants growing in nutrient poor soils for powering mass-flow acquisition of nutrients in winter while water is sufficient (Yates *et al.*, 2010). This may illustrate why small leaved species mostly grow in nutrient-limited Mediterranean-type ecosystems in which nutrients are sufficient for acquisition in the cold and wet winter period (Cramer *et al.*, 2009).

2.5 The effects of N forms in regulating night time transpiration.

Terrestrial plants, particularly C₃ and C₄, possess incomplete stomata closure in night-time (Scholz *et al.*, 2007). An observed incomplete stomata closure in C₃ and C₄ plant species in night-time possibly causes substantial night-time transpiration (E_{night}) (Caird *et al.*, 2007). Although it is believed that transpiration occurs during photosynthesis when plants open their stomata to release water vapour and assimilate carbon dioxide [i.e. Water use efficiency] (Hack *et al.*, 2006), substantial E_{night} occurs with the absence of CO₂ assimilation and contribute ca. 30% to terrestrial transpiration (E_T) (Caird *et al.*, 2007). A question is raised on the functional role of night-time transpiration, if leaf cooling and turgor pressure maintenance are not demanded by plants in night-time (Howard and Donavan, 2007). It is suggested that night-time transpiration may have functional role in driving mass-flow acquisition of soil nutrients to root surface (Caird *et al.*, 2007). Night-time transpiration and mass-flow nutrient acquisition seem to fluctuate due to environmental factors, particular nutrient availability in the ecosystem (Scholtz *et al.*, 2007).

Whilst nutrient availability is known to control E_{night} , some studies suggested that the rate of E_{night} may increase at a low nutrient availability (Ludwig *et al.*, 2006; Scholtz *et al.*, 2007; Matimati *et al.*, 2013). Other studies suggested that N and P availability had no effect on the E_{night} (Howard and Donavan, 2007). These differences could possibly be affected by various nutritional concentrations supplied, or different genetic responses from plant species (Matimati *et al.*, 2013). A recent study on *Aspalathus linearis* concluded that both day- and night-time transpiration had a functional role in promoting nutrients delivery in nutrient poor sand *via* massflow (Matimati *et al.*, 2013). In addition, the study conducted on saplings of hybrid aspen (*P. tremula* L. × *P. tremuliodes* Michx.) displayed an increase in E_{night} with low N treatment, which was associated with restricted uptake and delivery of N (Kupper *et al.*, 2012). Therefore, if substantial E_{night} is an adaptive process for acquisition of soil nutrition *via* mass-flow, then a limited nutrient availability, particularly N may possibly increase E_{night} .

2.6 Effects of N forms on water use efficiency and implications in agriculture.

Globally, water is a major limiting natural resource, and ca. 70% of water is used in agriculture for irrigation to achieve good plant production (Oweis and Hachum, 2006; Lambers et al., 2007). Plants transpire ca. 95 % and retain only 5% of root acquired water (McElron et al., 2001; Raven and Johnson, 2001). High rates of transpiration are viewed as a cost on WUE, because changes of WUE are associated with changes of gas exchange perimeters including transpiration rate, stomatal conductance, intrecellular CO₂ and photosynthetic rate. WUE at a leaf level is defined as a ratio of net assimilation of CO₂ to net assimilation of transpired water (moles of CO₂ to kg of transpired water), and thereafter known as instantaneous water use efficiency or WUE_i (Shangguan et al., 2000). A reduced photosynthetic capacity at the mesophyll level may lead to greater WUEi. Manipulation of WUEi can be a mechanism to improve overall crop water use (Cramer et al., 2009). However, WUEi may be significantly manipulated by nutrient availability, particularly P and N at deprived levels (not deficiency) (Wilkinson et al., 2007; Matimati et al., 2013) through stomatal conductance and root hydraulic conductance (Raven et al., 2004). This notion is supported by observed higher value of WUEi at a lower availability of N source supplied in *P. vulgaris* (Matimati *et al.*, 2013). NH₄⁺ nutrition increased WUE_i more than NO₃⁻ nutrition in Rice (Guo et al, 2007 b), white clover and wheat (Hong-Jensen and Schjoerring, 1997; Yin and Raven, 1998), but not amino acids and NO₃ NO_3^- nutrition increased WUE_i nutrition in tomato more than NH_4^+ nutrition. (Clausen, 2002). We believe that WUE_i response in plants vary depending on crop species. Now, it seems that there is no concrete implication on N-forms regulation of WUEi due to variable responses.

2.7 Literature cited

- Aspinall, D. and Paleg, L.G. 1981. Proline accumulation: physiological aspects. *Physiology and biochemistry of drought resistance in plants*.
- Barber, S.A. 1962. A diffusion and mass-flow concept of soil nutrient availability. *Soil Science*, *93*(1), pp.39-49.
- Barber, S.A. 1995. Soil nutrient bioavailability: a mechanistic approach. John Wiley & Sons.
- Bacon, M. 2009. Water use efficiency in plant biology. John Wiley & Sons.
- Benyon, R.G. 1999. Nighttime water use in an irrigated *Eucalyptus grandis* plantation. *Tree Physiology*, *19*(13).
- Bower, K.E. 2008. Relationship between transpiration and nitrogen uptake by pepper (Capsicum annuum) as mediated by vapor pressure deficit (Doctoral dissertation, uga).
- Brodribb, T.J., Feild, T.S. and Jordan, G.J. 2007. Leaf maximum photosynthetic rate and venation are linked by hydraulics. *Plant Physiology*, *144*(4), pp.1890-1898.
- Bucci, S.J., Goldstein, G., Meinzer, F.C., Scholz, F.G., France, A.C. and Bustamante, M. 2004. Functional convergence in hydraulic architecture and water relations of tropical savanna trees: from leaf to whole plant.
- Caird, M.A., Richards, J.H. and Donovan, L.A. 2007. Nighttime stomatal conductance and transpiration in C_3 and C_4 plants. *Plant Physiology*, 143(1), pp.4-10.
- Campbell, N.A., Reece, J.B. and Mitchell, L.G. 1999. Biology. Addison Wisley Longman. *Inc. California*..
- Carvajal, M., Cooke, D.T. and Clarkson, D.T. 1996. Responses of wheat plants to nutrient deprivation may involve the regulation of water-channel function. *Planta*, *199*(3), pp.372-381.
- Chaillou, S. and Lamaze, T. 2001. Ammoniacal nutrition of plants. *Nitrogen* assimilation by plants. Ed. JF Morot-Gaudry, pp.53-69.
- Chapman, N., Miller, A.J., Lindsey, K. and Whalley, W.R. 2012. Roots, water, and nutrient acquisition: let's get physical. *Trends in Plant Science*, *17*(12), pp.701-710.

- Christman, M.A., Donovan, L.A. and Richards, J.H. 2009. Magnitude of nighttime transpiration does not affect plant growth or nutrition in well-watered *Arabidopsis*. *Physiologia Plantarum*, *136*(3), pp.264-273.
- Clarkson, D.T., Carvajal, M., Henzler, T., Waterhouse, R.N., Smyth, A.J., Cooke, D.T. and Steudle, E. 2000. Root hydraulic conductance: diurnal aquaporin expression and the effects of nutrient stress. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *51*(342), pp.61-70.
- Claussen, W. 2002. Growth, water use efficiency, and proline content of hydroponically grown tomato plants as affected by nitrogen source and nutrient concentration. *Plant and Soil*, *247*(2), pp.199-209.
- Cochard, H., Venisse, J.S., Barigah, T.S., Brunel, N., Herbette, S., Guilliot, A., Tyree,
 M.T. and Sakr, S. 2007. Putative role of aquaporins in variable hydraulic conductance of leaves in response to light. *Plant Physiology*, *143*(1), pp.122-133.
- Collatz, G.J., Ball, J.T., Grivet, C. and Berry, J.A. 1991. Physiological and environmental regulation of stomatal conductance, photosynthesis and transpiration: a model that includes a laminar boundary layer. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, *54*(2-4), pp.107-136.
- Cowan, I.R. and Troughton, J.H. 1971. The relative role of stomata in transpiration and assimilation. *Planta*, *97*(4), pp.325-336.
- Cramer, M.D., Hawkins, H.J. and Verboom, G.A. 2009. The importance of nutritional regulation of plant water flux. *Oecologia*, *161*(1), pp.15-24.
- Cramer, M.D., Hoffmann, V. and Verboom, G.A. 2008. Nutrient availability moderates transpiration in *Ehrharta calycina*. *New Phytologist*, *179*(4), pp.1048-1057.
- Dalton, F.N., Raats, P.A.C. and Gardner, W.R. 1975. Simultaneous uptake of water and solutes by plant roots. *Agronomy Journal*, *67*(3), pp.334-339.
- Desikan, R., Griffiths, R., Hancock, J. and Neill, S. 2002. A new role for an old enzyme: nitrate reductase-mediated nitric oxide generation is required for abscisic acid-induced stomatal closure in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *99*(25), pp.16314-16318.

- Fidelibus, M.W., Martin, C.A. and Stutz, J.C. 2001. Geographic isolates of Glomus increase root growth and whole-plant transpiration of Citrus seedlings grown with high phosphorus. *Mycorrhiza*, *10*(5), pp.231-236.
- Fiscus, E.L. 1975. The interaction between osmotic-and pressure-induced water flow in plant roots. *Plant Physiology*, *55*(5), pp.917-922.
- Fiscus, E.L. and Kramer, P.J. 1975. General model for osmotic and pressureinduced flow in plant roots. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 72(8), pp.3114-3118.
- Fisher, J.B., Baldocchi, D.D., Misson, L., Dawson, T.E. and Goldstein, A.H. 2007.What the towers don't see at night: nocturnal sap flow in trees and shrubs at two AmeriFlux sites in California. *Tree Physiology*, *27*(4), pp.597-610.
- Gao, Y., Li, Y., Yang, X., Li, H., Shen, Q. and Guo, S. 2010. Ammonium nutrition increases water absorption in rice seedlings (*Oryza sativa* L.) under water stress. *Plant and Soil*, 331(1-2), pp.193-201.
- Gloser, V., Zwieniecki, M.A., Orians, C.M. and Holbrook, N.M. 2007. Dynamic changes in root hydraulic properties in response to nitrate availability. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *58*(10), pp.2409-2415.
- Goodger, J.Q. and Schachtman, D.P. 2010. Nitrogen source influences root to shoot signaling under drought. In *Abiotic Stress Adaptation in Plants* (pp. 165-173). Springer Netherlands.
- Gorska, A., Ye, Q., Holbrook, N.M. and Zwieniecki, M.A. 2008. Nitrate control of root hydraulic properties in plants: translating local information to whole plant response. *Plant Physiology*, *148*(2), pp.1159-1167.
- Guo, S., Kaldenhoff, R., Uehlein, N., Sattelmacher, B. and Brueck, H. 2007a.
 Relationship between water and nitrogen uptake in nitrate-and ammoniumsupplied *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. plants. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 170(1), pp.73-80.
- Guo, S., Shen, Q. and Brueck, H. 2007b. Effects of local nitrogen supply on water uptake of bean plants in a split root system. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*, *49*(4), pp.472-480.
- Hack, J.J., Caron, J.M., Yeager, S.G., Oleson, K.W., Holland, M.M., Truesdale, J.E. and Rasch, P.J. 2006. Simulation of the global hydrological cycle in the CCSM Community Atmosphere Model version 3 (CAM3): Mean features. *Journal of climate*, *19*(11), pp.2199-2221.

- Hanson, A.D., Nelsen, C.E., Pedersen, A.R. and Everson, E.H. 1979. Capacity for proline accumulation during water stress in barley and its implications for breeding for drought resistance. *Crop Science*, *19*(4), pp.489-493.
- Hanson, A.D., Nelsen, C.E. and Everson, E.H. 1977. Evaluation of free proline accumulation as an index of drought resistance using two contrasting barley cultivars. *Crop Science*, *17*(5), pp.720-726.
- Helali, S.M.R., Nebli, H., Kaddour, R., Mahmoudi, H., Lachaâl, M. and Ouerghi, Z.
 2010. Influence of nitrate—ammonium ratio on growth and nutrition of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant and soil, 336(1-2), pp.65-74.
- Høgh-Jensen, H. and J. K. Schjoerring .1997. Effects of drought and inorganic N form on nitrogen fixation and carbon isotope discrimination in *Trifolium repens*. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* ,35(1),pp. 55-62
- Howard, A.R. and Donovan, L.A .2007. *Helianthus* night-time conductance and transpiration respond to soil water but not nutrient availability. *Plant Physiology*, 143, pp.145–155.
- Jacob, J. and Lawlor, D.W. 1991. Stomatal and mesophyll limitations of photosynthesis in phosphate deficient sunflower, maize and wheat plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *42*(8), pp.1003-1011.
- Jia, W. and Davies, W.J. 2007. Modification of leaf apoplastic pH in relation to stomatal sensitivity to root-sourced abscisic acid signals. *Plant Physiology*, *143*(1), pp.68-77.
- Kaldenhoff, R. and Fischer, M. 2006. Aquaporins in plants. *Acta Physiologica*, *187*(1-2), pp.169-176.
- Kramer, P.J. and Boyer, J.S. 1995, "Stomata and gas exchange." in *Water relations* of *Plants*, eds. P.J. Kramer & J.S. Boyer, Academic press, San Diego, pp. 257-282.
- Kudoyarova, G.R., Dodd, I.C., Veselov, D.S., Rothwell, S.A. and Yu. Veselov, S. 2015. Common and specific responses to availability of mineral nutrients and water. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 66(8), pp.2133-2144.
- Kupper, P., Rohula, G., Saksing, L., Sellin, A., Lõhmus, K., Ostonen, I., Helmisaari,
 H.S. and Sõber, A. 2012. Does soil nutrient availability influence night-time
 water flux of *Aspen saplings*?. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, *82*,
 pp.37-42.

- Lewis, O.A.M., Chadwick, S.1983. An ¹⁵N investigation into N assimilation in hydroponically grown barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L. cv. Clipper) in response to mixed NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ nutrition. *New Phytologist*. 95,pp. 635-346.
- Leyser, O. and Fitter, A. 1998. Roots are branching out in patches. *Trends in Plant Sciences*, 3,pp. 203–204.
- Ludwig, F., Jewitt, R.A. and Donovan, L.A. 2006. Nutrient and water addition effects on day-and night-time conductance and transpiration in a C_3 desert annual. *Oecologia*, *148*(2), pp.219-225.
- Malamy, J.E. and Ryan, K.S. 2001). Environmental regulation of lateral root initiation in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology*, 127, pp.899–909.
- Matimati, I., Verboom, G.A. and Cramer, M.D. 2013. Nitrogen regulation of transpiration controls mass-flow acquisition of nutrients. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 65(1), pp.159-168.
- McElrone, A., Choat, B., Gambetta, G. & Brodersen, C. 2013. Water uptake and transport in vascular plants. *Nat Educ Knowl*, 4(6).
- McNaughton, K.G. and Jarvis, P.G. 1983. Predicting effects of vegetation changes on transpiration and evaporation. *Water deficits and plant growth*, *7*, pp.1-47
- Mengel, K. and Arneke, W.W. 1982. Effect of potassium on the water potential, the pressure potential, the osmotic potential and cell elongation in leaves of *Phaseolus vulgaris. Physiologia plantarum*, *54*(4), pp.402-408.
- Mengel, K., Planker, R. and Hoffmann, B. 1994. Relationship between leaf apoplast pH and iron chlorosis of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, *17*(6), pp.1053-1065.
- Miller, A.J. and Cramer, M.D. 2004. Root nitrogen acquisition and assimilation. In *Root Physiology: from Gene to Function*. *Springer Netherlands*,pp. 1-36.
- Monteith, J.L. 1988. Does transpiration limit the growth of vegetation or vice versa? .*Journal of Hydrology*, 100,pp. 57–68.
- Mühling, K.H. and Läuchli, A. 2001. Influence of chemical form and concentration of nitrogen on apoplastic pH of leaves. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 24(3), pp.399-411.
- Neill, S., Barros, R., Bright, J., Desikan, R., Hancock, J., Harrison, J., Morris, P., Ribeiro, D. and Wilson, I. 2008. Nitric oxide, stomatal closure, and abiotic stress. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *59*(2), pp.165-176.

- Nobel, P.S. 1999. *Physicochemical & environmental plant physiology. Academic press.*
- Oweis, T. and Hachum, A. 2006. Water harvesting and supplemental irrigation for improved water productivity of dry farming systems in West Asia and North Africa. *Agricultural Water Management*, *80*(1), pp.57-73.
- Owen, A.G. and Jones, D.L. 2001. Competition for amino acids between wheat roots and rhizosphere microorganisms and the role of amino acids in plant N acquisition. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *33*(4), pp.651-657.
- Oyewole, O.A., Inselsbacher, E. and Näsholm, T. 2014. Direct estimation of mass flow and diffusion of nitrogen compounds in solution and soil. *New Phytologist*, 201(3), pp.1056-1064.
- Parkhurst, D.F. and Loucks, O.L. 1972. Optimal leaf size in relation to environment. *The Journal of Ecology*, 60 (2), pp.505-537.
- Qian, X., Shen, Q., Xu, G., Wang, J. and Zhou, M. 2004. Nitrogen form effects on yield and nitrogen uptake of rice crop grown in aerobic soil. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, *27*(6), pp.1061-1076.
- Radin, J.W. and Eidenbock, M.P. 1984. Hydraulic conductance as a factor limiting leaf expansion of phosphorus-deficient cotton plants. *Plant Physiology*, *75*(2), pp.372-377.
- Rai, V.K. 2002. Role of amino acids in plant responses to stresses. *Biologia Plantarum*, *45*(4), pp.481-487.
- Rai, V.K.; Sharma, U.D. 1992: Amino acids can modulate ABA induced stomatal closure, stomatal resistance and potassium fluxes in Vicia faba L. leaves.
 Beitraege zur Biologie der Pflanzen. 66: 393-405.
- Rajagopal, V. 1981. The influence of exogenous proline on the stomatal resistance *in Vicia faba. Physiologia Plantarum*, 52 (2), pp. 292-296.
- Raven, J.A., Handley, L.L. and Wollenweber, B. 2004. Plant nutrition and water use effeciency. In: Bacon MS (ed) Water use efficiency in plant biology. *Blackwell*, Oxford.
- Raven, P.A., Johnson, G.B. 2001. Mc Graw-hill Science/Engineering/Maths. 6th edition.
- Roth-Nebelsick, A. 2007. Computer-based studies of diffusion through stomata of different architecture. *Annals of Botany*, *100*(1), pp.23-32.

- Rygol, J., Pritchard, J., Zhu, J.J., Tomos, A.D. and Zimmermann, U. 1993. Transpiration induces radial turgor pressure gradients in wheat and maize roots. *Plant Physiology*, *103*(2), pp.493-500.
- Sakurai, J., Ahamed, A., Murai, M., Maeshima, M. and Uemura, M. 2008. Tissue and cell-specific localization of rice aquaporins and their water transport activities. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, *49*(1), pp.30-39.
- Scharder, L.E., Dosmka, D., Jung, P.E., and Patterson, L.A. 1972. Uptake and assimilation of ammonium -N and nitrate –N and their influence on the growth of corn (*Zea mays* L.). *Agronomy Journal,* 64, pp.690-695.
- Scholz, F.G., Bucci, S.J., Goldstein, G., Meinzer, F.C., Franco, A.C. and Miralles-Wilhelm, F. 2007. Removal of nutrient limitations by long-term fertilization decreases nocturnal water loss in savanna trees. *Trees physiology*, 27, 551-559.
- Shangguan, Z.P., Shao, M.A., and Dyckmans, J.2000. Nitrogen nutrition and water stress effects on leaf photosynthetic gas exchange and water use efficiency in winter wheat. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 44 (2), pp.141-149.
- Singh, T.N., Aspinall, D. and Paleg, L.G. 1972. Proline accumulation and varietal adaptability to drought in barley: a potential metabolic measure of drought resistance. *Nature*, 236(67), pp.188-190.
- Svennerstam, H., Ganeteg, U. and Näsholm, T. 2008. Root uptake of cationic amino acids by *Arabidopsis* depends on functional expression of amino acid permease 5. *New Phytologist*, *180*(3), pp.620-630.
- Tian, Q., Chen, F., Zhang, F. and Mi, G. 2005. Possible involvement of cytokinin in nitrate-mediated root growth in maize. *Plant and Soil*, *277*(1), pp.185-196.
- Waldren, R.P. and Teare, I.D. 1974. Free proline accumulation in drought-stressed plants under laboratory conditions. *Plant and Soil*, *40*(3), pp.689-692.
- Wallace, W. 1986. Distribution of nitrate assimilation between the root and shoot of legumes and a comparison with wheat. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 66(4), pp.630-636.
- Wilkinson, S., Bacon, M.A. and Davies, W.J. 2007. Nitrate signalling to stomata and growing leaves: interactions with soil drying, ABA, and xylem sap pH in maize. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *58*(7), pp.1705-1716.
- Xu, X.L., Ouyang, H., Cao, G.M., Richter, A., Wanek, W., Kuzyakov, Y. 2011. Dominant plant species shift their nitrogen uptake patterns in response to

nutrient enrichment caused by a fungal fairy in an alpine meadow. *Plant and Soil*,341, pp. 495–504.

- Yates, M.J., Anthony Verboom, G., Rebelo, A.G. and Cramer, M.D. 2010. Ecophysiological significance of leaf size variation in Proteaceae from the Cape Floristic Region. *Functional Ecology*, *24*(3), pp.485-492.
- Yin, Z. H. and Raven, J. A. 1998. Influences of different nitrogen sources on nitrogen and water-use efficiency, and carbon isotope discrimination, in C-3 *Triticum aestivum* L. and C-4 *Zea mays* L. plants. *Plantarium*, 205(4), pp.574-580
- Zhang, H., Jennings, A.J., Barlow, P.W. and Forde, B.G. 1999. Dual pathways for regulation of root branching by nitrate. *Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences of the United State of America*, 96, pp. 6529–6534
- Zhang, X. and Rengel, Z. 1999. Gradients of pH, ammonium, and phosphorus between the fertiliser band and wheat roots. *Crop and Pasture Science*, *50*(3), pp.365-374.

CHAPTER 3

3.0 NH4⁺ AND NO3⁻ REGULATION OF DAY- AND NIGHT-TIME TRANSPIRATION IN *P. VULGARIS* L.

3.1 Abstract

Transpiration powers acquisition of soil nutrients towards the root surface through mass-flow. Nitrogen may regulate transpiration and possibly mass-flow acquisition of soil nutrients. Despite such knowledge on N regulation of transpiration, it remains unknown whether both inorganic N forms can regulate transpiration. The study investigates the hypothesis that both NO_3^- and NH_4^+ indirectly signal for the increase in rates of transpiration, when N is limiting, for increasing mass-flow acquisition by roots. P. vulgaris was grown in troughs designed to create a N-availability gradient by restricting roots from intercepting a slow-release NO_3^- or NH_4^+ , placed at one of four distances behind a 25-µm mesh from which nutrients could move by diffusion or mass-flow (dubbed 'mass-flow' treatment). Control plants had direct access to NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ in their root zone through interception, mass-flow and diffusion (dubbed 'interception' treatment). NO3-fed 'mass-flow' plants closest to the N source had 2fold higher stomatal conductance (q_s) , 2.6-fold higher transpiration (E), 1.8-fold higher night-time stomatal conductance (q_{night}) , and 1.5-fold higher night-time transpiration (E_{night}) than NO₃⁻fed 'interception' plants, despite comparable values of photosynthetic rate (A). A, E and g_s of 'mass-flow' plants declined with increasing distance from the N source to values even lower than those of 'interception' plants. Unlike NO₃-fed mass-flow plants, NH₄⁺ didn't regulate day- and night-time transpiration but rather displayed toxicity symptoms. Thus, under NO₃⁻ availability plants may be opportunistic in their water uptake, transpiring more when the water is available, in order to acquire NO_3^- and other nutrients through mass-flow.

3.2 Introduction

Terrestrial plants transpire up to 95% of root acquired water and retaining less than 5% for cell expansion and growth (McElron *et al.*, 2013). Consequently, transpiration has been construed as serving other functions, such as cooling of leaves (Nobel, 1999), maintaining turgor pressure (Rygol *et al.*, 1993), driving the xylem transport of solutes from roots to shoots (Campbell *et al.*, 1999), and powering nutrients through
the soil towards the root surfaces through mass-flow (Barber 1995; Carmer *et al.*, 2008; Cramer *et al.*, 2009; Matimati *et al.*, 2013).

Although there are other edaphic factors that influence plant water fluxes, nutrient availability, particularly N may regulate transpiration and modulate mass-flow acquisition of other soil nutrients (Cramer *et al.*, 2008, Matimati *et al.*, 2013). This notion is supported by a remarkable decrease of transpiration rate (*E*) in *E. calycina* (Cramer *et al.*, 2008), *Randia canthodies* (Zhu *et al.*, 2014) and *P.vulgaris* (Matimati *et al.*, 2013) when N was supplied. Despite this response, which was also triggered by P supply in *R. canthodies*, it was N that regulated transpiration-driven mass-flow of soil nutrients towards the rhizosphere (Cramer *et al.*, 2008; Matimati *et al.*, 2013).

N-regulation of stomatal conductance and transpiration are known, but the pending question is whether both N-forms (NO_3^- and NH_4^+) regulate transpiration possibly for enhancing mass-flow of soil nutrients in nutrient-deficient plants. NO3⁻ possibly regulates transpiration through controlling: i) root hydraulic conductivity through aquaporins, and 2) shoot hydraulic conductivity through the stomatal aperture (Cramer et al., 2009). For example, low NO₃⁻ increased water fluxes and nutrient acquisition in P. vulgaris L (Guo et al., 2007a), and in E. cyclina (Cramer et al., 2008) whilst NO₃-replete plants down regulated their water fluxes (Cramer et al., 2008; Matimati et al., 2013). Likewise, urea which releases precursors of NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺, increased water fluxes and nutrient acquisition in *P. vulgaris* when the roots had limited access, but decreased when roots intercepted much of the fertilizer. On the contrary, NH₄⁺ seems to play no significance in regulating plant water fluxes (Cramer et al., 2009). Excessive levels cause NH4⁺ toxicity or 'ammoniacal syndrome' (Cramer and Lewis, 1993; Challiou and Lamaze, 2001), which may be related to failure in regulating water fluxes. NH₄⁺ toxicity is noted from suppression of plant growth, leaf chlorosis and reduction of shoot: root ratio. Role of NH₄⁺ in transpiration requires testing, given that NH₄⁺ is converted to amino acids which have no significance in regulating stomatal closure.

Therefore, the main objective of the study was to determine whether both NH_4^+ and NO_3^- regulate plant water fluxes and consequently mass-flow acquisition of nutrients in *P. vulgaris*. The specific objectives were: i) to determine whether NH_4^+ and NO_3^- regulate day- and night-time stomatal conductance and transpiration

consequently affecting the mass-flow acquisition of nutrients in *P. vulgaris*, and ii) to evaluate whether varying availability of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- , placed at different distances from the roots affects plant water fluxes and mass-flow acquisition of nutrients in *P. vulgaris*. It was hypothesized that both NO_3^- and NH_4^+ indirectly signal for the increase in rates of transpiration, when N is limiting, for increasing mass-flow nutrient acquisition by roots. This is based on the fact that N-deprived plants may increase their water fluxes, for the mass-flow delivery of nutrients (Cramer *et al.*, 2008; Matimati *et al.*, 2013).

3.3 Research design and methodology

3.3.1 Plant cultivation

A total of 72 PVC 6-litre troughs were partitioned with PVC plates into two compartments, one for growing plants with volume of 4 litres and second part for nutrient supply with volume of 2 litres. Each PVC plate had a 30 cm² window covered with a 20 μ m nylon mesh to prevent roots from directly accessing the nutrients, while allowing nutrients to move between compartments. The plant and nutrient compartments were filled with 4 and 2 kg of sterile acid-washed and rinsed (pH 7) sand respectively. Two seeds of *P. vulgaris* cv. Star 2000 (Stark Ayres, Rondebosch, Cape Town) were sown in each pot at a depth of 50 mm equidistant from the edges at 50 mm from the PVC plate. After wetting the sand, a 6.5 cm³ core was excavated at either 0, 9, 18 or 27 mm from the PVC plate in the nutrient compartment using a 9-mm diameter cork borer. To avoid rapid volatilisation, 5 g of slow-release NH₄Cl or KNO₃ (Haifa Chemicals, Brackenfell, South Africa) fertilisers were placed in the soil cores at varying distances and lightly covered with sand at the top, thus creating a gradient of N availability.

All plants were grown for about 62 days in a temperature- and relative humiditycontrolled greenhouse at the Bellville Campus of Cape Peninsula University of Technology (33.9324° S, 18.6406° E). The positions of the troughs were regularly rearranged within a glasshouse in every second day to create uniform growing conditions for cultivated plants. An ideal temperature range between 18° C - 25 °C was maintained. Plants received a 200 ml of N-free Long Ashton nutrient solution (Hewitt, 1952) containing 2.4 mM PO4 ³⁻, 2 mM K, 4 mM Ca, 1.5 mM Mg, 3.5 mM SO4 ²⁻, 0.1 mM FeEDTA, 0.02 mM Mn, 0.14 mM H₃BO₃, 4.2 mM Na, 4 mM Cl, 0.003

28

mM Cu, 0.0002 mM Mo and 0.002 mM Zn twice per week. Soil moisture was monitored at hourly intervals using ECH₂O-5TE moisture sensors and EM50 data loggers (Decagon Devices, Pullman, USA). Irrigation supply was maintained in the range of 0.15 and 0.2 g H_2O g⁻¹ DW sand, which was maintained with the aid of estimates of moisture content from moisture sensors.

3.3.2 Gas exchange analysis

All plants were watered prior to gas exchange measurements. Photosynthetic rate (*A*), stomatal conductance (g_s), transpiration rate (*E*), respiration dark (*Rd*), night-time stomatal conductance (g_{night}) and transpiration rate (E_{night}) of the third fully expanded leaves of each plant were measured using a Licor 6400-02B cuvette connected to a Li6400XT portable gas exchange system. Gas exchange parameters were measured after equilibration of the leaf in the cuvette (*ca*. 5 min) at a saturating photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) level of 1200 µmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹ with 400 µmol L⁻¹ CO₂ and flow rate of 500 µmol s⁻¹. Average leaf temperature and relative humidity were 25°C and 65 % respectively during the measurements.

3.3.3 Biomass measurement

After harvesting and oven-drying (70°C for 48 hours) the shoot and roots, their biomasses were weighed. The harvesting involved gently excavating and washing the roots under running water and then blotting them with paper towel and the plants were separated into shoots and roots before oven-drying. Dried shoots of each plant were milled in a Wiley mill using a 0.5 mm mesh (Arthur H. Thomas Co. Philadelphia, CA, USA) to enable analysis of tissue nutrient concentrations and for mass spectrometry ($^{15}N/^{14}N$, $^{13}C/^{12}C$).

3.3.4 Foliar elemental and isotope analysis

The milled leaf material was ashed at 480 °C for 8 hours before dissolving with a 1:1 (v/v) of HCI (Kalra, 1998) to measure foliar nutrient concentration. Performing an assessment of the element concentration in solution, an inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry was used. Foliar [N] and ¹⁵N /¹⁴N isotope ratios (expressed as δ^{15} N) were determined using mass spectrometry to verify the N source used by plants. Atmospheric N₂ fixation gave a δ^{15} N signature closest to the natural abundance values of almost zero whilst urea-N should show enriched δ^{15} N signature due to losses of N through volatilization (Högberg, 1990, Högberg and

Johannisson 1993). Between 1.900 and 2.000 mg of ground leaf sample was weighed into a 5 mm \times 9 mm tin capsule. The tin capsule was combusted in thermo flash EA 1112 series elemental analyser coupled to a delta plus XP isotope ratio mass spectrometer *via* a thermo Finnigan conflo control unit. International Atomic Energy Authority standard was used to determine the isotope values.

3.3.5 Data analysis

One-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) and post-hoc Tukey's HSD tests were performed using Statistica (version 10 Statsoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA) to evaluate content between the fertiliser treatments.

3.4 Results

3.4.1 Plant biomass in response to NO_3^- and NH_4^+ availability

Total biomass of NO₃⁻-fed plants decreased with the increase in distance from the Nsource (i.e.0, 9, 18 and 27 mm distances), behind nylon mesh. Plants which had direct root-access to NO₃⁻ (interception) had significantly (p<0.001) higher total biomass than plants that accessed NO₃⁻ at the same distance (ca. 0 mm) just behind a nylon mesh ('mass-flow') plants (Fig 3.1a).



Fig.3.1. Variation in total biomass of *Phaseolus.vulgaris* plants fed with NO₃⁻ (a) and NH₄⁺ (b) with the fertilizer placed at varying distances. The respective shoot: root ratios for NO₃⁻ (c) and NH₄⁺ (d) plants. Dark circles and bars represent mean (n=6) \pm standard errors for "mass-flow" plants, whilst lighter circles represent "interception" plants. Means with different letters are significantly different after a one-way ANOVA and a post-hoc Turkey's HSD.

Unlike in NO₃⁻-fed plants, total biomass in NH₄⁺-fed plants did not significantly vary at increased distances from the N-source behind a nylon mesh, but were all higher than for plants that had direct root-access to NH₄⁺(Fig 3.1b). Thus, NH₄⁺-fed plants were smaller than NO₃⁻-fed plants, this was displayed by their root biomass (data not presented; Fig 3.2). Shoot: root ratios did not display discernible trends in NO₃⁻-fed plants (Fig 3.1c), but showed a significant decline (p<0.001) with distance for NH₄⁺-fed plants (Fig 3.1d). Despite having the same total biomass, 'mass-flow' NH₄⁺-fed

plants (ca. 0 mm) had a two-fold higher shoot: root ratio than 'interception' plants, which had direct root-access to NH_4^+ .



Fig.3.2. Roots of *Phaseous vulgaris* plants that accessed either NO₃⁻ or NH₄⁺ at different distances (0, 9, 18 and 27 mm) from behind a nylon mesh by 'diffusion' and 'mass-flow' or directly by root 'interception', diffusion and mass-flow.

3.4.2 Gas exchange response to NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ availability

In both NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺-fed plants photosynthetic rates (*A*), stomatal conductance (g_s) and transpiration rates (*E*) varied with the N-form and its proximity to the roots (Fig 3.3). For NO₃⁻ fed 'mass-flow' plants, *A*, g_s and *E* decreased with the increased distance from the N-source, whilst NH₄⁺-fed plants had increased values with distance from N-source.



Fig.3.3. Correlation of photosynthetic rate (*A*), stomatal conductance (g_s), and transpiration rate (*E*), with NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ at varying distances of mass-flow and interception in *Phaseolus vulgaris*. Each circle and bar represent a mean ±SE (n=6). Different letters on each circles showed significant differences after one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Turkey's HSD.

Despite having the same A values, NO_3^- fed plants that intercepted N had lower E and g_s values than plants that accessed N from behind a nylon mesh ('mass-flow', 0

mm). In contrast, NH_4^+ -fed plants with direct access to N (interception) had higher *A*, g_s and *E* than plants that accessed N from behind a nylon mesh ('mass-flow', 0 mm). The highest values of *A*, g_s and *E* were attained for NO_3^- -fed 'mass-flow' plants at the most proximal distance (0 mm) to a nylon mesh whilst in NH_4^+ -fed plants had their highest *A*, g_s and *E* at distances distal to the N source.

Respiration dark (*Rd*, µmol m⁻² s⁻¹), night-time stomatal conductance (g_{night}) and night-time transpiration (E_{night}) in NO₃⁻-fed 'mass-flow' plants decreased as the distance from NO₃⁻-source increased (Fig 3.4). At the most proximal distances (ca. 0 mm), 'interception' plants, which had direct access to NO₃⁻ had lower *Rd*, g_{night} and E_{night} than 'mass-flow' plants (0 mm). *Rd*, g_{night} and E_{night} in NH₄⁺-fed plants declined with increased distances from the NH₄⁺-source behind a nylon mesh (mass-flow plants; Fig 3.4b, d & f). Both NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺-fed plants displayed similar patterns, but different magnitudes, in their respective g_s and g_{night} values as well as trends in *E* and E_{night} (Fig 3.4 c-f).

3.4.3 Foliar N and Carbon Isotope response to NO_3^- and NH_4^+ availability.

Although NO₃⁻ fed plants had insignificant differences in foliar [N], NH₄⁺-fed plants accumulated foliar N at increased distances from the NH₄⁺-source, this coinciding with their reduced biomasses (Fig 3.5 a & b). 'Interception' plants, which had direct access to NH₄⁺ had the same foliar [N] as 'mass-flow' plants that acquired NH₄⁺ from the nearest distance behind the nylon mesh (ca. 0 mm).

Values of δ^{15} N in the fertilizers used were 0.1 ‰ in NH₄⁺ and 0.2 ‰ NO₃⁻. Plants that had direct 'interception' of NH₄⁺ attained higher δ^{15} N than mass-flow plants closest to the N-source (0 mm) (Fig 3.5c & d). Unlike in NH₄⁺-fed plants, the NO₃⁻-fed plants closest to the N-source (0 mm) had more enriched values (positive) than 'interception' plants. Generally, NO₃⁻-fed and NH₄⁺-fed plants displayed contrasting response trajectories as the N-source became distant and less accessible. NO₃⁻-fed plants had higher δ^{15} N values when the N-source was close, whilst NH₄⁺-fed plants had higher δ^{15} N as NH₄⁺ fertilizer became distant.



Fig.3.4. Respiration dark (*Rd*), night-time stomatal conductance (g_s) and transpiration (*E*) of *Phaseolus vulgaris* plants acquire NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ nutrition at varying distances (0, 9, 18 and 27 mm) behind nylon mesh dubbed 'mass-flow plant', or intercepting nutritional sources directly dubbed as 'interception' plants. 'Mass-flow plants' represented by solid filled circles and 'interception plants' are represented by light filled circles. Each circle and bar represent a mean ±SE (n=6). Different letters on each circle showed significant differences after one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Turkey's HSD.



Fig.3.5. A variation of the foliar N and δ^{15} N with distance from the NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ sources in *Phaseolus vulgaris* accessing a slow-release fertiliser either by bar represents a mean ± SE (N=6). Means with different letters showed significant differences after a one-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey's HSD.

3.5 Discussion

Evidence emerged that accessibility to soil N by *P. vulgaris*, either as NH_4^+ or NO_3^- , partially regulated photosynthetic rates and day- and night-time water fluxes (g_s , g_{night} , *E*, E_{night} and *WUE*), consequently affecting mass-flow acquisition of nutrients. Contrasting trends were displayed by NO_3^- and NH_4^+ , suggesting that NH_4^+ -fed plants lacked a mechanism to control their day-time water fluxes (discussed further). Plants that had direct 'interception' of NO_3^- or NH_4^+ (*ca.* 0 mm) responded differently from plants accessing NO_3^- or NH_4^+ past a mesh screen from the closest distance

(*ca.* 0 mm). Day- and night-time water fluxes measured from NO_3^- -fed plants indicated the role of NO_3^- in stomatal regulation.

The experimental set-up used was effective in varying N-availability to the roots, thus allowing the functional role of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ to be explored. The significance of supplied NO_3^- and NH_4^+ to the plants was revealed by the absence of effective nodules on roots and the sterile sand used. The observed gradual decline in photosynthesis and total biomass as the N-source became distant points to a gradual decline in availability of soil N from NO₃-fed plants, however, explains a regulatory role of N-availability particularly NO3. Furthermore, the stunted root growth (Fig 3.2), wilting of leaves (data not shown) and indistinguishable differences in total biomass for NH₄⁺-fed plants is consistent with the symptoms of ammoniacal syndrome as described in previous studies (Chaillou and Lamaze, 2001; Miller and Cramer, 2004), showing morphological effects of N fertilizer as NH₄⁺. However, the increase in photosynthetic rates in NH₄⁺-fed plants distant from the fertilizer serves as evidence for a gradual decline in availability of the 'potentially toxic' NH₄⁺ fertilizer. NH₄⁺ toxicity arises from accumulation of amino acids in tissues of plants (Miller and Cramer, 2004) without capacity to down-regulate its uptake. Soil N availability may also regulate plant water fluxes in P. vulgaris (Matimati et al., 2013), as was demonstrated in this study with the NO₃-fed plants.

Evidence for NO₃⁻ regulation of water fluxes emerged from this study, which suggests that modulation of g_s , *E*, g_{night} and E_{night} were possibly for powering the acquisition of nutrients through 'mass-flow' from inaccessible, but proximal, fertile soil zones. Firstly, the closest (ca. 0 mm) 'mass-flow' plants had 2-fold higher g_s , 1.6-fold higher *E*, 1.8-fold higher g_{night} and 1.5-fold higher E_{night} compared to 'interception' plants (ca. 0 mm), which directly accessed NO₃⁻ from the rhizosphere, despite having the same photosynthetic rates (Fig 3.3 a). This suggests that *P. vulgaris* elevated its water fluxes to power the inaccessible, but mobile NO₃⁻ to its root surfaces without compromising on photosynthetic activity. These plants were not limited by water and, therefore, variation in water fluxes was not a consequence of differences in the available water. These data are corroborated by previous reports that N regulates transpiration, resulting in greater water flux when N availability is restricted, but not deficient (Cramer *et al*, 2008; Cramer *et al.*, 2009; Matimati *et al.*, 2013). Secondly, the decline in g_s , *E*, g_{night} and E_{night} in NO₃⁻-fed mass-flow plants

demonstrates a regulatory role of N as previously discussed (Cramer *et al.*, 2009; Matimati *et al.*, 2013). In brief, increasing [NO₃⁻] above sufficiency must have rapidly elicited concentration-dependent stomatal closure (Wilkinson *et al.* 2007), potentially mediated by changes in xylem pH, ABA signalling (Wilkinson *et al.*, 1998) and nitric oxide (NO) signalling (Desikan *et al.*, 2005; Neill *et al.*, 2008). This trend of NO₃⁻-fed plants contrasted that of NH₄⁺-fed plants, which appeared as lacking the ability to down regulate their N intake (Cramer *et al.*, 2009).

Unlike NO₃, the incapacity of NH₄⁺ to down-regulate g_s , E, g_{night} and E_{night} when in excess suggests failure of NH₄⁺ to elicit stomatal closure, to reduce the toxic accumulation of amino acids (Cramer *et al.*, 2009), which led to the observed ammoniacal syndrome. At the closest distance from NH₄⁺ source (*ca.* 0 mm), 'interception' plants with direct root access to NH₄⁺ had 1.9-fold higher *A*, 2- fold higher g_s and 1.8-fold higher *E* than 'mass-flow' plants (*ca.* 0 mm from NH₄⁺), indicating the incapacity of the directly acquired NH₄⁺ to elicit stomatal closure. Despite having the same total biomass, 'mass-flow' plants closest to NH₄⁺-source (*ca.* 0 mm) invested more in shoot biomass by 2.5-fold compared to 'interception' plants (*ca.* 0 mm) (Fig 3.1 b & d; Fig 3.2). It is possible that the increased root biomass helped distal 'mass-flow' plants in countering uncoordinated regulation of root hydraulic conductance and g_s , which characterize the ammoniacal syndrome (Cramer *et al.*, 2009).

Since no effective nodules were observed, the increasingly more positive δ^{15} N values increasing from -0.4‰ to 1‰ with distance from NH₄⁺-source in 'mass-flow' plants, suggests use of a more enriched N source following volatilization of NH₃ (Högberg, 1990; Högberg and Johannisson, 1993). The fact that foliar [N], δ^{15} N increased along with stomatal conductance and transpiration for 'mass-flow' plants (Fig 3.5 b & d & Fig 3.3 d & f) is consistent with the 'mass-flow' delivery of NH₄⁺ from a distal source. Despite having the same total biomass, the unexpectedly higher *g*_s and *E* in NH₄⁺-fed 'interception' plants (*ca.* 0 mm) than 'mass-flow' plants (*ca.* 0 mm), may be linked to their extensive root system (Fig 3.2) and enhanced *A* (Fig 3.3b). Thus, root hydraulic conductivity in response to NH₄⁺-N requires further scrutiny, given that previous studies indicated that NH₄⁺ may not alter the expression of root aquaporins or root hydraulic conductance (Guo *et al.*, 2007b). Contrasting NH₄⁺, root-acquired NO₃⁻ increases aquaporin-mediated root hydraulic conductivity

(Carvajal *et al.*, 1996; Clarkson *et al.*, 2000; Gloser *et al.*, 2007; Gorska *et al.*, 2008) and, when it is in excess of the capacity of root nitrate reductase, it is reduced to NO in leaves (Cramer *et al.*, 2009) or it can alter xylem sap pH (Mengel *et al.*, 1994; Mühling and Lauchli, 2001), resulting in increased sensitivity of guard cells to ABA, which stimulates stomatal closure (Wilkinson, 2004; Wilkinson *et al.*, 2007; Jia and Davies, 2007).

Unlike NO₃⁻-fed 'mass-flow' plants (*ca*. 0 mm), which had more positive δ^{15} N, 'interception' plants (*ca*. 0 mm) had δ^{15} N values closer to those of the fertilizer (0.2‰), suggesting that 'mass-flow' was less important in 'interception' plants. Unlike NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻ is less-prone to isotopic enrichment in the soil, which possibly explains the same δ^{15} N values obtained across the 'mass-flow' distances, except the furthest (*ca*. 27 mm). NO₃⁻-fed 'mass-flow' plants furthest from N-source must have reduced their metabolism due to N-limitation, as noted from decreased *A*, *gs* and *E*. This possibly explains the less-positive foliar δ^{15} N values. These data are consistent with the notable down-regulation of foliar [N] in 'mass-flow 'plants in response to N-limitation (Cramer *et al.*, 2008; Matimati *et al.*, 2013).

This study quantified, possibly for the first time, night-time stomatal conductance and transpiration in *P. vulgaris* in response to NO_3^- or NH_4^+ accessibility. When roots are constrained from directly accessing proximal NO_3^- sources, *P. vulgaris* elicits both day and night-time stomatal conductance which increases 'mass-flow' delivery of N, signalling down-regulation of stomatal conductance when NO_3^- is replete or as the N-source becomes distant. NH_4^+ -fed plants, however, lacked the mechanism of down-regulating their stomatal conductances, but rather displayed water-stress symptoms ('ammoniacal syndrome') when NH_4^+ became replete. It is likely that water fluxes of urea-fed *P. vulgaris* in previous studies (Matimati *et al.*, 2013) were also regulated by the NO_3^- but not NH_4^+ , which are both by-products of urea. Thus, under NO_3^- availability plants may be opportunistic in their water uptake, transpiring more when the water is available, in order to acquire NO_3^- and other nutrients through mass-flow.

39

3.6 Literature Cited

- Barber, S.A. 1995. Soil nutrient bioavailability: a mechanistic approach. John Wiley & Sons.
- Campbell, N.A., Reece, J.B. and Mitchell, L.G. 1999. Biology. Addison Wisley Longman. Inc. Caliornia.
- Carvajal, M., Cooke, D.T. and Clarkson, D.T. 1996. Responses of wheat plants to nutrient deprivation may involve the regulation of water-channel function. *Planta*, *199*(3), pp.372-381.
- Chaillou, S. and Lamaze, T. 2001. Ammoniacal nutrition of plants. *Nitrogen* assimilation by plants. Ed. JF Morot-Gaudry, pp.53-69.
- Clarkson, D.T., Carvajal, M., Henzler, T., Waterhouse, R.N., Smyth, A.J., Cooke, D.T. and Steudle, E. 2000. Root hydraulic conductance: diurnal aquaporin expression and the effects of nutrient stress. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *51*(342), pp.61-70.
- Cramer, M.D., Hawkins, H.J. and Verboom, G.A. 2009. The importance of nutritional regulation of plant water flux. *Oecologia*, *161*(1), pp.15-24
- Cramer, M.D., Hoffmann, V. and Verboom, G.A. 2008. Nutrient availability moderates transpiration in *Ehrharta calycina*. *New Phytologist*, *179*(4), pp.1048-1057.
- Cramer, M.D. and Lewis, O.A.M. 1993. The influence of nitrate and ammonium nutrition on the growth of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and maize (*Zea mays*) plants. *Annals of Botany*, 72(4), pp.359-365.
- Desikan, R., Griyths, R., Hancock, J., Neill, S. 2002. A new role for an old enzyme: nitrate reductase-mediated nitric oxide generation is required for abscisic acidinduced stomatal closure in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Proceedings National Academy of Science of the United States of America*, 99, pp.16314–16318.

- Gloser, V., Zwieniecki, M.A., Orians, C.M. and Holbrook, N.M. 2007. Dynamic changes in root hydraulic properties in response to nitrate availability. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *58*(10), pp.2409-2415.
- Gorska, A., Ye, Q., Holbrook, N.M. and Zwieniecki, M.A. 2008. Nitrate control of root hydraulic properties in plants: translating local information to whole plant response. *Plant Physiology*, *148*(2), pp.1159-1167.
- Guo, S., Kaldenhoff, R., Uehlein, N., Sattelmacher, B. and Brueck, H. 2007a.
 Relationship between water and nitrogen uptake in nitrate-and ammoniumsupplied *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. plants. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 170(1), pp.73-80.
- Guo, S., Shen, Q. and Brueck, H. 2007b. Effects of local nitrogen supply on water uptake of bean plants in a split root system. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*, *49*(4), pp.472-480.
- Hewit, E.J. 1952. Sand and water culture methods used in the study of plant nutrition. Technical communication No. 22. Farnham Royal, UK: *Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau*.
- Högberg, P. 1990. Forests losing large quantities of nitrogen have elevated 15^N ratios. *Oecologia.* 84, pp. 229-231.
- Hübner, H. 1981. Isotope effects of nitrogen in soils and the biosphere (No. ZFI-MITT--41).
- Jia, W. and Davies, W.J. 2007. Modification of leaf apoplastic pH in relation to stomatal sensitivity to root-sourced abscisic acid signals. *Plant Physiology*, *143*(1), pp.68-77.
- Kalra YP. 1998. Handbook of standard methods of plant analysis. Boca Raton, FL, USA:CRC Press

- Matimati, I., Verboom, G.A. and Cramer, M.D. 2013. Nitrogen regulation of transpiration controls mass-flow acquisition of nutrients. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 65 (1), pp. 159-168. 71.
- McElrone, A.J., Choat, B., Gambetta, G.A. and Brodersen, C.R. 2013. Water uptake and transport in vascular plants. *Nature Education Knowledge*, *4*(6).
- Mengel, K., Planker, R. and Hoffmann, B. 1994. Relationship between leaf apoplast pH and iron chlorosis of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, *17*(6), pp.1053-1065.
- Miller, A.J. and Cramer, M.D. 2004. Root nitrogen acquisition and assimilation. In *Root Physiology: from Gene to Function* ,pp. 1-36.
- Mühling, K.H. and Läuchli, A. 2001. Influence of chemical form and concentration of nitrogen on apoplastic pH of leaves. *Journal of plant nutrition*, 24(3), pp.399-411.
- Neill, S., Barros, R., Bright, J., Desikan, R., Hancock, J., Harrison, J., Morris, P., Ribeiro, D. and Wilson, I. 2008. Nitric oxide, stomatal closure, and abiotic stress. *Journal of experimental botany*, *59*(2), pp.165-176.
- Nobel, P.S. 1999. *Physicochemical & environmental plant physiology*. Academic press.
- Rygol, J., Pritchard, J., Zhu, J.J., Tomos, A.D. and Zimmermann, U. 1993.
 Transpiration induces radial turgor pressure gradients in wheat and maize roots. *Plant Physiology*, *103*(2), pp.493-500.
- Wilkinson, S., Corlett, J.E., Oger, L., Davies, W.J. 1998. Effects of xylem pH on transpiration from wild-type and flacca tomato leaves: a vital role for abscisic acid in preventing excessive water loss even from well-watered plants. *Plant Physiology*.117,pp.703–709.
- Wilkinson, S. 2004. Water use efficiency and chemical signalling. Water use efficiency in plant biology, pp.75-112.

Wilkinson, S., Bacon, M.A. and Davies, W.J. 2007. Nitrate signalling to stomata and growing leaves: interactions with soil drying, ABA, and xylem sap pH in maize. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 58(7), pp.1705-1716.

CHAPTER 4

4.0 BIPHASIC NO₃⁻ REGULATION OF DAY- AND NIGHT-TIME WATER FLUXES IN *P. VULGARIS* L IN A HYDROPONIC SYSTEM.

4.1 Abstract

Plant water fluxes respond to nutrient availability through root aquaporins and leaf stomata. Although the functional role of root aquaporin and stomata in controlling day-time and night-time water fluxes is known, the nutritional regulation of plant water fluxes remains largely unclear. To test the biphasic (up- and down-regulation) of day- and night-time water fluxes by NO₃ or NH₄⁺, *P. vulgaris* plants were fed with KNO₃ or NH₄Cl fertilisers at one of seven concentrations or as five varying proportion. Photosynthetic rate (A), stomatal conductance (q_s) and transpiration rate (E) in NO_3 -fed plants increased with the increase in $[NO_3]$, attaining the highest value at 4 mM [NO₃]. NO₃-fed plants with the highest water fluxes at 4 mM [NO₃] had 2-fold higher A, 3-fold higher g_s and 2.8-fold higher E, 1.9-fold lower g_{night} and 2.2-fold lower E_{night} than at the lowest [NO₃] (*ca.* 0.25 mM). Unlike in NO₃-fed plants, A, g_s and E in NH₄⁺-fed plants declined with the increase in [NH₄⁺], attaining the highest value at 0.25 mM [NH4⁺].NH4⁺-fed plants at lowest concentration (0.25 mM) had 4-fold higher g_s and 3.5-fold higher E compared to plants at the highest $[NH_4^+]$ (ca. 8 mM). Plants fed with NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ as proportions of 100%, 75%NO₃⁻:25% NH_4^+ and 50% NO_3^- :50 NH_4^+ displayed lower A, g_s and E than in plants fed with 0%NO₃:100%NH₄⁺.NO₃-fed plants regulated their day- and night-time water flux in response to availability of limiting [NO₃], whilst day- and night-time water flux in NH_4^+ -fed plants was not regulated by $[NH_4^+]$. However, NH_4^+ -fed plants displayed ammoniacal syndrome. In conclusion, a limiting availability of [NO₃] regulates daynight-time water flux possibly through root aquaporin and leaf stomata.

4.2 Introduction

Plant water fluxes respond to nutrient availability through functional traits such as root aquaporins and leaf stomata (Cramer *et al.*, 2009), which responds primarily to [N] in their rhizosphere (Chapter 3) (Cramer *et al.*, 2008; Matimati *et al.*, 2013). Root aquaporins are plasma membrane intrinsic proteins that facilitate water fluxes by increasing root hydraulic conductivity and are strongly expressed in the exodermis, endodermis and vascular tissue of several species (Maurel *et al.*, 2008). Stomata are small pores surrounded by guard cells that regulate gas exchange between plants

and the atmosphere (Takahashi *et al.*, 2015). Although the functional role of root aquaporin and stomata in controlling day-time and night-time water fluxes is known (Chaumont *et al.*, 2005; Maurel *et al.*, 2008), the nutritional regulation of plant water fluxes remains largely unclear (Cramer *et al.*, 2009; Matimati, 2013).

Whilst C₃ and C₄ plants are expected to close their stomata at night when CO₂ assimilation is absent, substantial night-time conductance (g_{night}) and transpiration (g_{night}) in some species have now been reported (Caird *et al.*, 2007). High g_{night} approximating 50% of day-time stomatal conductance (g_s), E_{night} of *ca.* 15 - 30% of day-time transpiration (*E*) have been reported (Caird *et al.*, 2007; Howard and Donovan, 2007). It is suggested that g_{night} may play a functional role of delivering nutrients to root surfaces through mass-flow (Chapter 3) (Daley and Philips, 2006; Ludwig *et al.*, 2006; Caird *et al.*, 2007; Scholz *et al.*, 2007). Night-time opening of stomata is also perceived as a stress response of plants to drought (e.g. Cavender – bares *et al.*, 2007; Philips *et al.*, 2010; Zeppel *et al.*, 2012). Currently, there is no empirical evidence supporting the mechanism regulating night-time stomatal opening (de Dios *et al.*, 2013).

Although the mechanism is unclear, nutrient availability, particularly nitrogen, may potentially regulate g_s and E (Cramer *et al.*, 2008; Matimati *et al.*, 2013; Chapter 3) as well as g_{night} and E_{night} (Ludwig *et al.*, 2006; Cirelli, 2014). The N regulation of day- and night-time water fluxes was demonstrated by high g_s and E values in Nlimited *E. calycina* (Cramer *et al.*, 2008) and *P. vulgaris* (Matimati *et al.*, 2013), but not deficiency. Increased g_{night} and E_{night} observed in N-limited *Helianthus* spp. (Caird *et al.*, 2007) and *P. vulgaris* (Chapter 3), suggested stomatal regulation by N. Thus, N has capacity to regulate day- and night-time stomatal fluxes.

Roles of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ in controlling day- and night-time water fluxes is unclear, although NO_3^- is linked to the regulation of day- and night-time water fluxes (Gloser *et al.*, 2007; Guo *et al.*, 2007; Wilkinson *et al.*, 2007; Cramer *et al.*, 2009). Increased NO_3^- availability in the rhizosphere may rapidly increase aquaporinmediated root hydraulic conductance in herbaceous species (Clarkson *et al.*, 2000; Gloser *et al.*, 2007; Gorska *et al.*, 2008; Cramer *et al.*, 2009). NO_3^- acts as a hydraulic signal that rapidly coordinates response at the whole plant level (Gorska *et al.*, 2008). Root hydraulic conductivity increased when NO_3^- availability was abundant and declined when NO_3^- supply was limiting, but not deficient (Carvajal *et al.*, 1996; Clarkson *et al.*, 2000). Supra-optimal [NO_3^-] in the rhizosphere elicited

45

stomatal closure (Wilkinson *et al.*, 2007), due to alteration of xylem pH, ABA signalling (Wilkinson *et al.*, 1998) and nitrate oxide (NO) signalling (Desikan *et al.*, 1998; Neill *et al.*, 2008). Thus, $[NO_3^-]$ regulation of stomata is biphasic, comprising of concentration-dependant up-regulation and down-regulation phases (Wilkinson *et al.*, 2007). Contrary to NO_3^- , NH_4^+ had no role in regulating root hydraulic conductivity, but rather suppressed acquaporin expression in *P. vulagris*, thus down-regulating water uptake (Guo *et al.*, 2007a).

The proposed 'biphasic' model of N-regulation of stomatal conductance (Wilkinson *et al.*, 2007) has not been empirically tested under hydroponic systems. Previous work has used urea-N, which supplied both NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ (Cramer *et al.*, 2008; Matimati *et al.*, 2013). Moreover, night-time water fluxes were not monitored. To test the biphasic (up- and down-regulation) of day- and night-time water fluxes by NO₃⁻ or NH₄⁺, it was hypothesized that: i) plants fed with increasing [NO₃⁻] and [NH₄⁺] should initially up-regulate g_s , g_{night} , E and E_{night} for 'mass-flow' delivery of the limiting N, then down-regulate these fluxes as foliar [N] exceeds tolerable levels ii) at the same rhizosphere [N], higher proportions of NO₃⁻: NH₄⁺ should down-regulate g_s and E, because of the regulatory effect of the NO₃⁻.

4.3 Materials and methods

4.3.1 Growth and treatment

P. vulgaris cv. Star 2000 (Stark Ayres, Cape Town) seeds were germinated in vermiculite under controlled greenhouse conditions at the Cape Peninsula University of Technology (CPUT) (33.9324° S, 18.6406° E). Fourteen 'rough-tot' troughs with six planting holes (200 mm x 300 mm) on the lids were used for growing *P. vulgaris* in a deep water culture system under greenhouse conditions at the CPUT (33.9324° S, 18.6406° E). To test the role of [N] and N-form on regulation of water fluxes, either [NO₃⁻] or [NH₄⁺] at one of seven levels (0 mM; 0.25 mM; 0.5 mM; 1 mM; 2 mM; 4 mM or 8mM), were allocated to the troughs and similar-sized 10-d old *P. vulgaris* seedlings planted in each hole using square pieces (9 cm²) of OTG 10mm Pilates Mat (Sportsmans Warehouse, Cape Town) for anchorage. Each trough had 68 L of N-free Long Ashton solution (Hewitt, 1966), with the different [NO₃⁻] and [NH₄⁺] added as KNO₃ and NH₄Cl respectively. The solution was aerated from the base of the trough using airstones connected to Dophin 7500 double 2 outlet pumps. To test the hypothesis that varying the ratios of NO₃⁻: NH₄⁺ at the same concentration of N

controls water fluxes, a similar deep water culture system was set, but with either 2 mM or 4 mM supplied as proportions of 0% NO₃⁻:100% NH₄⁺; 25% NO₃⁻:75% NH₄⁺; 50% NO₃⁻:50% NH₄⁺; 75% NO₃⁻: 25% NH₄⁺ and 100% NO₃⁻:0% NH₄⁺.

4.3.2 Gas exchange measurement

Photosynthetic rate (*A*), day-time stomatal conductance (g_s) and transpiration (*E*), night-time stomatal conductance (g_{night}) and transpiration (E_{night}) were measured using a 6400-02B chamber with LED light source interfaced with a Li-6400 XT photosynthesis system. *A*, g_s , *E*, g_{night} and E_{night} were measured after equilibration in the cuvette (*ca*. 5 min) at a 400 µmol L⁻¹ CO₂ and flow rate of 500 µmol s⁻¹. Since greenhouse temperatures were maintained at 30°C, the leaf temperature was set at 30° C, and relative humidity was averaged at 57 % during the measurements. LED lights were switched off during measurements of g_{night} and E_{night} at night-time from 21H00 - 01H00 SSAT. In plants with varying ratios of both NO₃⁻ and or NH₄⁺, *A*, g_s and *E* were measured 12 hours after adding N.

4.3.3 Plant biomass measurement

After 21 d from planting, plants were gently removed and their roots rinsed to remove salts and dried using paper towel. Roots and shoots were separated before oven drying them in paper bags until there was no further weight loss at 60°C for *ca.* 48hrs cut separately into shoot and root system. Root measurements were done. Both shoot and root were put in the oven and then dried at 70 C for 48 h (Matimati *et al.*, 2013).

4.3.4 Data analysis

Analyses of variance (ANOVA) and post-hoc Tukey's HSD tests on total biomass, shoot: root weight ratio, A, g_s , E, g_{night} and E_{night} were done in Statistica Version 13.2 (Dell Software, Texas, USA).

4.4 Results.

4.4.2 Plant biomass measurements

Total biomass and shoot: root ratios of NO_3^- -fed plants increased as $[NO_3^-]$ increased, reaching their maximum biomass at 2 mM, whilst NH_4^+ -fed plants had reduced biomass as $[NH_4^+]$ increased (Fig 4.1). NH_4^+ -fed plants, however, increased

their shoot: root portioning of biomass as the [NH₄⁺] increased, attaining a maximum at 1 mM.



Fig.4.1. Total biomass of *Phaselous vulgaris* plants fed with different (a) [NO₃⁻] and (b) [NH₄⁺] and their respective shoot: root ratios for (c) NO3⁻ and (d) NH₄⁺ fed plants. Circles and bars represent mean (n=6) ± standard errors. Means with different letters are significantly different after a one-way ANOVA and a posthoc test using Turkey's HSD.

4.4.2 Gas exchange measurements.

Photosynthetic rate (*A*), stomatal conductance (g_s) and transpirational rate (*E*) in NO₃⁻-fed plants increased with the increase in [NO₃⁻], attaining the highest value at 4 mM [NO₃⁻] (Fig 4.2). NO₃⁻-fed plants with the highest water fluxes at 4 mM [NO₃⁻] had 2-fold higher *A*, 3-fold higher g_s and 2.8-fold higher *E* than at the lowest [NO₃⁻] (*ca.* 0.25 mM). Despite having the same *A* as N-free plants, NO₃⁻-fed plants at 0.25 mM,

had 3-fold higher g_s and 2.2 -fold higher *E*. Unlike in NO₃⁻fed plants, g_s and *E* values in NH₄⁺-fed plants declined with higher [NH₄⁺], displaying the highest values when [NH₄⁺] was lowest (*ca.* 0.25 mM) (Fig 4.2). NH₄⁺-fed plants at lowest concentration (0.25 mM) had 4-fold higher g_s and 3.5-fold higher *E* compared to plants at the highest [NH₄⁺] (*ca.* 8 mM). Despite the indistinguishable *A*, the lowest [NH₄⁺] (*ca.* 0.25 mM) had 2-fold higher g_s and 1.4 -fold higher *E* than N-free plants. The *A*, g_s and *E* of NO₃⁻fed *P. vulgaris* displayed curvilinear trajectories, comprising of an upward then a down-ward phase (Fig 4.2), whilst trajectories of the NH₄⁺-fed plants displayed asymptotic decline in g_s and *E* with higher [NH₄⁺].

Trends in day- and night-time water fluxes totally different for both NO₃⁻-fed and NH₄⁺-fed *P. vulgaris.* Contrary to the curvilinear trajectories, night-time stomatal conductance (g_{night}) and transpiration (E_{night}) in NO₃⁻ fed plants declined with the increased [NO₃⁻] (Fig 4.3). NO₃⁻-fed plants with the highest water fluxes at 0.25 mM [NO₃⁻] had 1.9-fold higher g_{night} and 2.2 fold higher E_{night} than at the highest [NO₃⁻] (*ca.* 8 mM).



Fig.4.2. Correlation of photosynthetic rate (*A*), stomatal conductance (g_s), and transpiration rate (E), with NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ at varing concentration in *Phaseolus vulgaris*. Each circle and bar represent a mean± SE (n=6). Different letters on each circle showed significant differences after one way Anova with post-hoc Turkeys HSD.



Fig.4.3. Night-time stomata conductance (g_{night}) and transpiration rate (E_{night}) of *Phaseolus vulgaris* fed with NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ nutrition at varying concentrations in a hydroponic system. Each circle and bar represents a mean ± SE (N=6). Means with different letters showed significant differences after a one way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey's HSD.

Plants fed with NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ as proportions of 100% NO₃: 0% NH₄⁺, 75%NO₃⁻:25% NH₄⁺ and 50%NO₃⁻:50NH₄⁺ displayed lower *A*, *g*_s and *E* than in plants fed with 100%NH₄⁺: 0%NO₃. Trends in *A*, *g*_s and *E* were similar in both plants fed with mixture of NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ at 2 mM and 4 mM, but different magnitudes. Plants that were solely NH₄⁺-fed without NO₃⁻ had the highest *A*, *g*_s and *E*.



Fig.4.4. Variation in photosynthetic rate (A), stomatal conductance (g_s) and transpiration rate (E) of *Phaselous vulgaris* plants fed with either sole NO₃⁻ (a) or NH₄⁺ and mixture of NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ in varying ratios at 2 and 4 Mm concentration. Dark circles and bars represent mean (n=3) ± standard errors for sole NO₃⁻ or NH₄⁺-fed plants, or mixture of NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺-fed plants. Means with different letters are significantly after a one-way ANOVA and a post-hoc Turkey's HSD.

4.5 Discussion

The proposed 'biphasic' model of N-regulation of stomatal conductance (Chapter 3) (Wilkinson *et al.*, 2007) was tested and verified with empirical evidence from hydroponically grown *P. vulgaris*. Many lines of evidence emerged in support of the NO₃⁻ regulation of plant water fluxes (discussed further). No evidence was noted in NH₄⁺-fed plants, which supported our hypothesis that it is not NH₄⁺ but NO₃⁻ that regulated water fluxes as previously suggested (Cramer *et al.*, 2009), based on a review of literature. At the same rhizosphere [N], it emerged those higher proportions of NO₃⁻ :NH₄⁺ down-regulated *g*_s and *E*, because of the regulatory effect of the NO₃⁻.

Firstly, absence of nodulation from *P.vulgaris* indicated that supplied NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ fertilisers were the likely sources of plant-accessible N. Secondly, the plants were hydroponically grown and had sufficient water, thus, water limitation was not a factor controlling water fluxes. The observed gradual increase in photosynthesis and biomass accumulation in NO₃⁻ fed plants with the increase in [NO₃⁻], indicated that photosynthesis and biomass accumulation were up-regulated by high availability of NO₃⁻ source. However, excessive [NH₄⁺] caused 'ammonical syndrome' characterised by decreased photosynthesis and total biomass, and higher shoot: root ratios. ''Ammonical syndrome'' was associated with reduced water potential and suppression of photosynthesis as the result of reduced stomatal conductance in NH₄⁺-fed plants (Cramer and Lewis, 1993).

The hypothesis that NO₃⁻ regulates day- and night-time plant water flux was supported by three lines of evidence. Firstly, the significantly higher *A*, $g_{s and} E$ in the highest NO₃⁻-fed plants (e.g.8 mM) compared to N-free plants confirming the role of [NO₃⁻] in regulating water fluxes. These findings concur with Chapter 3 that restricted NO₃⁻ availability at a proximal distance (ca. 0 mm) behind a 20 μ mesh up-regulated the day-time water fluxes in *P. vulgaris*. Secondly, the 2-fold lower *A*, 2.2-fold lower g_s and 2.3-fold lower *E* than NO₃⁻-fed plants at 8 mM, NO₃⁻-fed plants (0.25 mM) indicates that increased [NO₃⁻] elicited stomatal closure. Similarly, the reduced *A*, g_s and *E* in plants fed with sole NO₃⁻ or a mixture of NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ compared to sole NH₄⁺ supports the down-regulation of water fluxes by NO₃⁻.Thirdly, increased g_{night} and E_{night} were observed in plants fed with NO₃⁻ at a lower concentration (e.g. 0.25

mM), indicating that NO₃⁻fed plants opened their stomata at night, resulting in substantial water fluxes at limiting [NO₃⁻], but not deficiency (Chapter 3). NO₃⁻ must have controlled stomatal conductance (Chapter 3; Fig 4.2) and root hydraulic conductivity as previously suggested (Gloser *et al.*, 2007).

Unlike NO₃, NH₄⁺ had no capacity to regulate day- and night-time water fluxes in *P. vulgaris* under water sufficient conditions. The low *A*, *g*_s, *E*, *g*_{night} and E_{night} in NH₄⁺-fed plants were due to ammonium toxicity ('ammoniacal syndrome') and not NH₄⁺ down-regulating water fluxes. To support this statement, plants that had the lowest $[NH_4^+]$ ca. 0.25 mM had the highest g_s and E_r , and reduced ammoniacal syndrome (pictures not displayed). The incapability of NH₄⁺ to regulate water fluxes may be due to NH_4^+ toxicity, when in excess. In previous studies, suppression of mRNA aquaporin expression occurred when P. vulgaris plants were fed with NH4⁺, resulting in lower water uptake compared to NO3⁻fed plants (Guo et al., 2007a). The observed higher g_{s} , E, g_{night} and E_{night} in plants fed with sole NH₄⁺ nutrition (0% NO₃ : 100% NH₄⁺) than other ratios at 2 and 4 mM (Fig 4.4) which seem as an ideal [NH₄⁺] to feed *P. vulgaris* hydroponically. However, the observed high magnitudes of day-time water fluxes in NH₄⁺ fed plants indicated that NH₄⁺ lacked a mechanism to elicit stomatal closure (Cramer *et al.*, 2009). Thus, NH₄⁺ may not be a key signalling N-form involved in plant water fluxes, despite the speculation of a potential regulatory role (Goodger and Schachtman, 2009). Stomatal opening and closure may be mediated by cytosolic pH and/or phytohormones concentrations, e.g. ABA (Wilkinson et al., 1998). NH₄⁺ absorbed by roots is transported as electroneutral amino acids such as glutamine (Miller and Cramer, 2004; Goodger and Schachtman, 2009). The transportation of NH_4^+ as amino-acids may partly explain the very low xylem [NH₄⁺], but high concentrations of glutamine when plants are grown on NH₄⁺ only (Gollan, 1992). Because of glutamine concentrations, the pH of the cytosol does not become alkaline, and the flux of protons is at its highest, and the low levels of ABA are insufficient to reduce stomatal conductance (Goodger and Schachtman, 2009).

In conclusion, Chapter 4 explored the notion that develops the understanding on nutrient regulation of water fluxes in plants, particularly the biphasic regulation of water fluxes by NO_3^- and the lack of similar regulation by NH_4^+ of water flux (Cramer *et al.*, 2009). The functional role of NO_3^- in regulating water fluxes implies that *P. vulgaris* control their root acquaporins and stomata in response to availability [NO_3^{-}].

in the rhizosphere, to loose water continuously (day and night) for delivering soil nutrient through mass-flow (Chapter 3). Furthermore, NO_3^- stomatal regulation seems to be a strategic adaptive trait to optimize *WUE* which possibly maintains plant survival or productivity. Thus, NO_3^- -fed plants with an improved *WUE*, however, produces better yield in response to NO_3^- availability, but not sole NH_4^+ . *P. vulgaris* down-regulated their water fluxes when they fed with a mixture of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ , indicating a regulatory role of NO_3^- to elicit stomata closure. However, *P. vulgaris* didn't regulate water fluxes when they fed with sole NH_4^+ nutrition, but rather displayed water-stress symptoms ('ammoniacal syndrome') when root $[NH_4^+]$ is excessive.

4.6 Literature Cited

- Caird, M.A., Richards, J.H. and Donovan, L.A. 2007. Nighttime stomatal conductance and transpiration in C3 and C4 plants. *Plant Physiology*, *143*(1), pp.4-10.
- Carvajal, M., Cooke, D.T. and Clarkson, D.T. 1996. Responses of wheat plants to nutrient deprivation may involve the regulation of water-channel function. *Planta*, *199*(3), pp.372-381.
- Cavender-Bares, J., Sack, L. and Savage, J. 2007. Atmospheric and soil drought reduce nocturnal conductance in live oaks. *Tree Physiology*, *27*(4), pp.611-620.
- Chaumont, F., Moshelion, M. and Daniels, M.J. 2005. Regulation of plant aquaporin activity. *Biology of the Cell*, *97*(10), pp.749-764.
- Cirelli, D. 2014. Whole-plant transpiration in *Populus* sp.: its determination, nocturnal effects and influence by form of nitrogen (Doctoral dissertation, University of Alberta).
- Clarkson, D.T., Carvajal, M., Henzler, T., Waterhouse, R.N., Smyth, A.J., Cooke, D.T. and Steudle, E. 2000. Root hydraulic conductance: diurnal aquaporin expression and the effects of nutrient stress. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *51*(342), pp.61-70.
- Cramer, M.D., Hawkins, H.J. and Verboom, G.A. 2009. The importance of nutritional regulation of plant water flux. *Oecologia*, *161*(1), pp.15-24.

- Cramer, M.D., Hoffmann, V. and Verboom, G.A. 2008. Nutrient availability moderates transpiration in *Ehrharta calycina*. *New Phytologist*, *179*(4), pp.1048-1057.
- Cramer, M.D., Lewis, O.A.M.1993. The influence of NO₃ ⁻ and NH₄ ⁺ nutrition on the growth of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and maize (*Zea mays*) plants. Annual Botany, 72,pp.359–365.
- Daley, M.J., Phillips, N.G. 2006. Interspecific variation in night-time transpiration and stomatal conductance in a mixed New England deciduous forest. *Tree Physiology*,26,pp.411–419.
- Desikan, R., Griyths, R., Hancock, J., Neill, S. 2002. A new role for an old enzyme: nitrate reductase-mediated nitric oxide generation is required for abscisic acidinduced stomatal closure in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Proceedings National Academy of Science of the United States of America*, 99, pp.16314–16318.
- de Dios, V.R., Turnbull, M.H., Barbour, M.M., Ontedhu, J., Ghannoum, O. and Tissue, D.T. 2013. Soil phosphorous and endogenous rhythms exert a larger impact than CO2 or temperature on nocturnal stomatal conductance in Eucalyptus tereticornis. *Tree physiology*, *33*(11), pp.1206-1215.
- Gollan, T., Schurr, U., Schulze, E.D. 1992. Stomatal response to drying soil in relation to changes in xylem sap composition of *Helianthus annuus*. I. The concentration of cations, anions, amino acids in, and pH of, the xylem sap. *Plant Cell and Environment*, 15, pp. 551–559.
- Goodger, J.Q. and Schachtman, D.P. 2009. Nitrogen source influences root to shoot signaling under drought. In *Abiotic Stress Adaptation in Plants* (pp. 165-173). Springer Netherlands.
- Gloser, V., Zwieniecki, M.A., Orians, C.M. and Holbrook, N.M. 2007. Dynamic changes in root hydraulic properties in response to nitrate availability. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *58*(10), pp.2409-2415.
- Gorska, A., Ye, Q., Holbrook, N.M. and Zwieniecki, M.A. 2008. Nitrate control of root hydraulic properties in plants: translating local information to whole plant response. *Plant Physiology*, *148*(2), pp.1159-1167.
- Guo, S., Kaldenhoff, R., Uehlein, N., Sattelmacher, B. and Brueck, H. 2007a.
 Relationship between water and nitrogen uptake in nitrate-and ammoniumsupplied *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. plants. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, *170*(1), pp.73-80.

- Hewit, E.J. 1952. Sand and water culture methods used in the study of plant nutrition. Technical communication No. 22. Farnham Royal, UK: *Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau*.
- Howard, A.R. and Donovan, L.A .2007. *Helianthus* night-time conductance and transpiration respond to soil water but not nutrient availability. *Plant Physiology*, 143, pp.145–155.
- Ludwig F., Jewitt R.A., Donovan L.A. 2006. Nutrient and water addition effects on day- and night-time conductance and transpiration in a C3 desert annual. *Oecologia*. 148: 219–225.
- Matimati, I., Verboom, G.A. and Cramer, M.D. 2013. Nitrogen regulation of transpiration controls mass-flow acquisition of nutrients. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 65(1), pp.159-168.
- Maurel, C., Verdoucq, L., Luu, D.T. and Santoni, V. 2008. Plant aquaporins: membrane channels with multiple integrated functions. *Annual Review of Plant Biology.*, *59*, pp.595-624.
- Miller, A.J. and Cramer, M.D. 2004. Root nitrogen acquisition and assimilation. In *Root Physiology: from Gene to Function* (pp. 1-36). Springer Netherlands.
- Neill, S., Barros, R., Bright, J., Desikan, R., Hancock, J., Harrison, J., Morris, P., Ribeiro, D. and Wilson, I. 2008. Nitric oxide, stomatal closure, and abiotic stress. *Journal of experimental botany*, *59*(2), pp.165-176.
- Phillips, N.G. ,Lewis, J.D., Logan, B.A., Tissue, D.T. 2010. Inter- and intra-specific variation in nocturnal water transport in *Eucalyptus*. *Tree Physiology* ,30, pp. 586-596.
- Scholz, F.G., Bucci, S.J., Goldstein, G., Meinzer, F.C., Franco, A.C. and Miralles-Wilhelm, F. 2007. Removal of nutrient limitations by long-term fertilization decreases nocturnal water loss in savanna trees. *Tree Physiology* 27, pp.551-559.
- Tabatabaei, S.J., Yusefi, M. and Hajiloo, J. 2008. Effects of shading and NO 3: NH 4 ratio on the yield, quality and N metabolism in strawberry. *Scientia Horticulturae*, *116*(3), pp.264-272.
- Takahashi, S., Monda, K., Negi, J., Konishi, F., Ishikawa, S., Hashimoto-Sugimoto,
 M., Goto, N. and Iba, K. 2015. Natural variation in stomatal responses to
 environmental changes among Arabidopsis thaliana ecotypes. *PloS*one, 10(2), p.e0117449.

Wilkinson, S., Bacon, M.A. and Davies, W.J. 2007. Nitrate signalling to stomata and growing leaves: interactions with soil drying, ABA, and xylem sap pH in maize. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *58*(7), pp.1705-1716.

CHAPTER 5

5.0 SYNTHESIS

5.1 Summary

Terrestrial plants loose more than 90% of their root acquired water (Raven and Johnson, 2001), which serves in leaf cooling (Nobel, 1999), maintain leaf turgidity (Rygol *et al.*, 1993), powering solute transport from roots to shoots *via* xylem and delivering nutrients through the soil to the root surface through mass-flow (Barber, 1995; Cramer *et al.*, 2008; Cramer *et al.*, 2009; Christman *et al.*, 2009; Matimati *et al.*, 2013). The inextricable link between large amounts of water fluxes by nutrient-limited plants suggest a major functional role of transpiration, possibly in powering the acquisition of water and dissolved nutrients through the soil to the root surfaces by mass-flow (Chapter 3 and Chapter 4) (Cramer *et al.*, 2008; Matimati *et al.*, 2013). This notion has been supported by the substantial night-time transpiration observed in photosynthetically inactive C₃ and C₄ plants (Caird *et al.*, 2007; Kupper *et al.*, 2012). In addition, regulation of transpiration rates in response to nutrient availability (Chapter 3, Cramer *et al.*, 2008; Cramer *et al.*, 2009; Matimati *et al.*, 2013) has been consistent with the adaptive stimulation of nutrient supply to plant roots through mass-flow (Raven, 2008).

The current study explored a hypothesis that mass-flow nutrient acquisition is controlled by water fluxes, and that water fluxes is possibly regulated by nutrient availability. Despite a poor understanding on NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ in regulation of water fluxes for mass-flow acquisition of nutrients, the current study showed that day- and night-time water fluxes in *P. vulgaris* is regulated by [NO₃⁻] either under xeric and mesic conditions (Chapter 3 & 4). When NO₃⁻ source was distant from a nylon mesh, there was a limiting [NO₃⁻] in the rhizosphere, and plants up-regulated their water fluxes to acquire nutrients from their remote source in the soil. Beyond that distance, NO₃⁻ possibly remained poorly accessible and plants had to down-regulate their water fluxes to conserve water and as a response to NO₃⁻ limitation (Radin *et al.*, 1981 & 1982). Overall, plants may possibly up-and down-regulate their water fluxes in response to NO₃⁻ availability in the soil, with a purpose of driving acquisition of soil nutrients through mass-flow. Unlike NO₃⁻, NH₄⁺ lacks the capacity to regulate day-and night-time water fluxes in *P. vulgaris* grown in sand or hydroponically (Chapter 3 & 4). The reduced photosynthesis, stomatal conductance and transpiration noted in

 NH_4^+ - fed plants characterized 'ammoniacal syndrome' (discussed further). Despite a lack of evidence emerged on *WUE*, other studies suggested that NH_4^+ may also reduce *WUE* in NH_4^+ fed plants (Cruiz *et al.*, 2008).

NO₃ regulate day- and night-time water fluxes through N-linked regulatory mechanisms (Wilkinson et al., 1998, 2004 & 2007; Clarkson et al., 2000; Desikan et al., 2005; Gloser et al., 2007; Cramer et al., 2009) occurring in both roots and shoots. Acquisition of N as NO₃⁻ was possibly the signal that regulate day- and nighttime water fluxes in Chapter 2 & 3, exerting its effects on root hydraulic conductivity (not displayed in the study) and on stomatal conductance as previously reported by others (discussed below). Increasing $[NO_3]$ in the rhisophere is known to rapidly upregulate aquaporin-mediated root hydraulic conductivity (Carvajal et al., 1996; Clarkson et al., 2000; Gloser et al., 2007; Gorska et al., 2008). [NO₃⁻] in the rhizosphere regulate aquaporin expression (Gorska et al. 2008), which is probably an adaptive trait that has a significant impact in the mass-flow acquisition of NO₃⁻ towards the rhizosphere when the roots of NO₃-limited plants are exposed to fertile soil patches (Gorska et al., 2010). Indeed NO₃-fed plants up-regulated their water fluxes as distance from the NO₃-source was decreased (Chapter 3), whilst in hydroponic system NO₃-fed plants elevated their day-time water flux as [NO₃] increased (Chapter 4). NO3- root uptake in excess of capacity of the nitrate reductase (NR) enzyme for reduction may possibly be transported to the shoots where nitrous oxide (NO) is produced. NO has a functional significance in stomatal regulation, which possibly explains the functional role of NO_3^{-} in regulating day- and night-time water flux in *P. vulgaris*. High availability of NO₃ in the rhizosphere induces xylem/apoplast pH to be more alkaline (Jia and Davies, 2007), resulting in the accumulation of ABA in the apoplast, which enhances stomata closure (Wilkinson and Davies, 1997). NO_3^- down-regulation of stomatal conductance through NO, increased xylem/apoplastic pH and ABA, however, may possibly reduce water fluxes, and consequently improve WUE under water deficit conditions (Wilkinson, 2004).

Contrary to NO_3^- , NH_4^+ lacked a mechanism to regulate day-and night-time water fluxes in *P. vulgaris* under dry and well-watered conditions (Chapter 3 & 4). NH_4^+ supply may not increase the expression of root aquaporins or alter root

hydraulic conductance as potential mechanism of water flux regulation (Guo *et al.*, 2007a). NH₄⁺ is taken up by roots and readily assimilated into amino acid (building blocks of proteins) (Miller and Cramer, 2004) and NH₄⁺ does not appear to elicit stomatal responses (Cramer *et al.*, 2009). Nevertheless, plants that are fed with NH₄Cl, as a common fertilizer, did not regulate their day- and night-time water fluxes. NH₄⁺- fed plants generally displayed lower *WUE* than those fed with NO₃⁻ (Raven *et al.* 2004; Guo *et al.*, 2007a & b) and may possess leaf chlorises and other symptoms of water stress (Cramer and Lewis 1993; Chaillou and Lamaze 2001, Chapter 4). In natural ecosystems high soil [NH₄⁺] are rare, compared to agricultural soils and plants may thus lack the mechanisms to respond to these variations in soil [NH₄⁺].

 NO_3^- regulation of day- and night-time water fluxes in *P. vulgaris*, explains that plants may evolve their adaptive traits (e.g. stomata conductance and aquaporinmediated root hydraulic conductivity) in response to NO_3^- availability under water deficit conditions. NO_3^- regulated stomata aperture may possibly minimize water fluxes in order to increase *WUE* and consequently improve crop production. High rate of transpirational water fluxes in plants fed with NO_3^- , however, encourages those plants have poor dense root system to acquire nutrient through mass-flow from their distant source in the soil to the root surface, where different species compete for soil nutrients. High $[NO_3^-]$ in the rhizosphere increases biomass accumulation and shoot: root ratio in *P. vulgaris* plants, however, this interpretation suggest that the increased $[NO_3^-]$ in the soil induce plant growth and plant production. Plants fed with NO_3^- at sufficient level have a large root system (Chapter 3, Figure 3.2), which is significant to acquire less mobile nutrients (such as P and NH₄⁺) from the soil .

As the global climate change occurs, NO_3^- regulation of water fluxes raises key questions to resolve in future. The NO_3^- regulation provides implications as follows: the first implication focuses on physiologic perspective, that NO_3^- signals the mechanism of water flux regulation, such as stomatal closure, which acts to conserve water. This notion fulfills a gap which emerged on N-form that is responsible to regulate water fluxes for mass-flow acquisition of soil nutrients. This study also support an existing model on proposed mechanism for the biphasic regulation of water flux by NO_3^- , and the incapacity of NH_4^+ regulation of water flux (Cramer *et al.*, 2009). Secondly, the NO_3^- regulation of water fluxes may have implications for controlling intrinsic water use efficiency (*WUE*_i) which may possibly maintain plant survival or productivity under conditions of limited water supply, and therefore an improved *WUE* will produce better crop yield. This notion recommends that plants grow in an ecosystem where NO_3^- nutrition is limiting, but not deficiency, closes their stomata to reduce water flux, and consequently improve *WUE*. Although it is known that NO_3^- down-regulates water flux and consequently increases *WUE*, further physiological research is needed to understand better NO_3^- regulation of whole plant water flux and plant-level *WUE*.

5.2 Conclusion

This thesis explored and confirmed the notion that NO_3^- nutrition regulates both day- and night-time water flux which increases 'mass-flow' acquisition of N and other soil nutrients, signaling down-regulation of day-time water flux when root [NO₃⁻] is limiting, but not deficiency (Chapter 3 & 4). Day-time water fluxes in *P. vulgaris* increased with the increased root [NO₃⁻], whilst night-time water flux increased as root [NO₃⁻] decreased (Chapter 3 & 4). However, *P. vulgaris* didn't regulate day- and night-time water fluxes when they were fed with NH₄⁺ nutrition , but rather displayed water-stress symptoms ('ammoniacal syndrome') when root [NH₄⁺] is excessive. Thus, under NO₃⁻ deprived conditions plants may be opportunistic in their water uptake, transpiring more when water is available in order to enhance the acquisition of nutrients through mass-flow.

5. 3 Further research study

Since organic nitrogen forms such as amino acids also found in soils, there is need for further investigation on their role in regulating transpiration and mass-flow acquisition of soil nutrients. Using amino acids laced with ¹⁵N isotopes as a nitrogen source can allow their acquisition and role on stomatal regulation to be explored. Current trends in research are focussed around developing real-time *in-situ* sensing of soil nitrogen status to promote enhanced nitrogen and water use efficiency in agricultural systems. This thesis provides the vital literature on stomatal regulation by $[NO_3^-]$. NO₃⁻ regulation of transpiration and mass-flow nutrient acquisition, however, also initiates a future study on the functional role of NO₃⁻ in regulating whole plant transpiration of C₄ and C₃ species.
5. 4 Literature cited

- Barber, S. 1995. Soil nutrient bioavailability: a mechanistic approach. 2nd Edition, *John Wiley and Sons*, pp.89-99
- Caird, M.A., Richards, J.H., Donovan, L.A. 2007. Night-time stomatal conductance and transpiration in C₃ and C₄ plants. *Plant Physiology*. 143:4–10.
- Carvajal, M., Cooke, D.T. and Clarkson, D.T. 1996. Responses of wheat plants to nutrient deprivation may involve the regulation of water-channel function. *Planta*, 199(3), pp.372-381.
- Chaillou, S. and Lamaze, T. 2001. Ammoniacal nutrition of plants. Nitrogen assimilation by plants. *Ed. JF Morot-Gaudry*, pp.53-69.
- Christman, M.A., Donovan, L.A., Richards, J.H. 2009. Magnitude of nighttime transpiration does not affect plant growth or nutrition in well-watered *Arabidopsis. Physiologia Plantarum*, 136, 264–273.
- Clarkson, D.T., Carvajal, M., Henzler, T., Waterhouse, R.N., Smyth, A.J., Cooke, D.T. and Steudle, E. 2000. Root hydraulic conductance: diurnal aquaporin expression and the effects of nutrient stress. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 51(342), pp.61-70.
- Cramer, M.D., Hawkins, H.J. and Verboom, G.A. 2009. The importance of nutritional regulation of plant water flux. *Oecologia*, 161(1), pp.15-24.
- Cramer, M.D., Hoffmann, V. and Verboom, G.A. 2008. Nutrient availability moderates transpiration in *Ehrharta calycina*. *New Phytologist*, *179*(4), pp.1048-1057
- Desikan, R., Griffiths, R., Hancock, J. and Neill, S. 2002. A new role for an old enzyme: nitrate reductase-mediated nitric oxide generation is required for abscisic acid-induced stomatal closure in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *99*(25), pp.16314-16318.

- Gloser, V., Zwieniecki, M.A., Orians, C.M. and Holbrook, N.M. 2007. Dynamic changes in root hydraulic properties in response to nitrate availability. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 58(10), pp.2409-2415.
- Goodger, J.Q. and Schachtman, D.P. 2009. Nitrogen source influences root to shoot signaling under drought. In *Abiotic Stress Adaptation in Plants* (pp. 165-173). *Springer Netherlands*.
- Gorska, A., Ye, Q., Holbrook, N.M. and Zwieniecki, M.A. 2008. Nitrate control of root hydraulic properties in plants: translating local information to whole plant response. *Plant Physiology*, *148*(2), pp.1159-1167.
- Górska, A., Lazor, J.W., Zwieniecka, A.K., Benway, C. and Zwieniecki, M.A. 2010. The capacity for nitrate regulation of root hydraulic properties correlates with species' nitrate uptake rates. *Plant and Soil*, *337*(1-2), pp.447-455.
- Guo, S., Kaldenhoff, R., Uehlein, N., Sattelmacher, B. and Brueck, H. 2007a.
 Relationship between water and nitrogen uptake in nitrate-and ammoniumsupplied *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. plants. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 170(1), pp.73-80.
- Guo, S., Shen, Q. and Brueck, H. 2007b. Effects of local nitrogen supply on water uptake of bean plants in a split root system. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*, 49(4), pp.472-480.
- Howard, A.R. and Donovan, L.A. 2007. Helianthus nighttime conductance and transpiration respond to soil water but not nutrient availability. *Plant Physiology*, *143*(1), pp.145-155.
- Jia, W. and Davies, W.J. 2007. Modification of leaf apoplastic pH in relation to stomatal sensitivity to root-sourced abscisic acid signals. *Plant Physiology*, *143*(1), pp.68-77.
- Kupper, P., Rohula, G., Saksing, L., Sellin, A., Lõhmus, K., Ostonen, I., Helmisaari,H.S. and Sõber, A. 2012. Does soil nutrient availability influence night-time

water flux of aspen saplings?. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 82, pp.37-42.

- Mengel, K., Planker, R. and Hoffmann, B. 1994. Relationship between leaf apoplast pH and iron chlorosis of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, *17*(6), pp.1053-1065.
- Miller, A.J. and Cramer, M.D. 2005. Root nitrogen acquisition and assimilation. In *Root Physiology: from Gene to Function* (pp. 1-36). Springer Netherlands.
- Mühling, K.H. and Läuchli, A. 2001. Influence of chemical form and concentration of nitrogen on apoplastic pH of leaves. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 24(3), pp.399-411.
- Neill, S., Barros, R., Bright, J., Desikan, R., Hancock, J., Harrison, J., Morris, P., Ribeiro, D. and Wilson, I. 2008. Nitric oxide, stomatal closure, and abiotic stress. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *59*(2), pp.165-176.
- Radin, J.W., Ackerson, R.C. 1981. Water relations of cotton plants under nitrogen deficiency. III. Stomatal conductance, photosynthesis, and abscisic acid accumulation during drought. *Plant Physiology*, 67,pp.115–119.
- Radin, J.W., Parker, L.L., Guinn, G. 1982. Water relations of cotton plants under nitrogen deficiency. V. Environmental control of abscisic acid accumulation and stomatal sensitivity to abscisic acid. *Plant Physiology* .70, pp.1066-1070.
- Raven, J.A., Handley, L.L. and Wollenweber, B. 2004. Plant nutrition and water use effeciency. In: Bacon MS (ed) Water use efficiency in plant biology. *Blackwell*, Oxford.
- Raven, P.A., Johnson, G.B. 2001. Mc Graw-hill Science/Engineering/Maths. 6th edition.
- Rengel, Z. 1993. Mechanistic simulation models of nutrient uptake: a review. *Plant and Soil*, *152*(2), pp.161-173.

- Scholz F.G., Bucci S.J., Goldstein G., Meinzer F.C., Franco A.C. and Miralles-Wilhelm F. 2007. Removal of nutrient limitations by long-term fertilization decreases nocturnal water loss in savanna trees. *Tree Physiology* 27, 551– 559.
- Tanner, W. and Beevers, H. 2001. Transpiration, a prerequisite for long-distance transport of minerals in plants?. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 98(16), pp.9443-9447.
- Tinker, P.B. and Nye, P.H. 2000. *Solute movement in the rhizosphere*. Oxford University Press.
- Turner, B.L., Driessen, J.P., Haygarth, P.M. and Mckelvie, I.D. 2003. Potential contribution of lysed bacterial cells to phosphorus solubilisation in two rewetted Australian pasture soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *35*(1), pp.187-189.
- Wilkinson, S. 2004. Water use efficiency and chemical signalling. In: Bacon MA, ed.
 Water use efficiency in plant biology . Oxford: *Blackwell Publishing* Ltd, 75–112.
- Wilkinson, S., Bacon, M.A. and Davies, W.J. 2007. Nitrate signalling to stomata and growing leaves: interactions with soil drying, ABA, and xylem sap pH in maize. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *58*(7), pp.1705-1716.
- Wilkinson, S., Corlett, J.E., Oger, L. and Davies, W.J. 1998. Effects of Xylem pH on Transpiration from Wild-Type and flacca Tomato Leaves a Vital Role for Abscisic Acid in Preventing Excessive Water Loss Even from Well-Watered Plants. *Plant Physiology*, *117*(2), pp.703-709.
- Wilkinson, S. and Davies, W.J. 1997. Xylem sap pH increase: a drought signal received at the apoplastic face of the guard cell that involves the suppression of saturable abscisic acid uptake by the epidermal symplast. *Plant physiology*, *113*(2), pp.559-573.